

Reconceptualising Public Policy: A Qualitative Assessment of its Implications for Economic Development in Nigeria

Itojong Anthony Ayamba ^{1,*}, Simon Bankong Bisong ¹, Valentine Tobechukwu Achum ², Joseph Lifu Agbaka ¹, Oluwatobiloba Akerele ¹ and Daniel Joseph Ikpeme ¹

¹ Department of Public Administration, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.

² Department of Management, Wittenborg University of Applied Sciences, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 31(02), 310–319

Publication history: Received on 28 June 2026; revised on 04 August 2026; accepted on 06 August 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2026.31.2.2066>

Abstract

Public policy remains one of the most contested concepts in governance and public administration, with no universally accepted definition despite its central role in shaping economic and social development. This conceptual ambiguity has significant implications for policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation, particularly in developing economies such as Nigeria, where public policy is expected to address persistent challenges of economic diversification, unemployment, poverty, infrastructure deficits, and institutional weakness. This paper reconceptualises public policy by critically examining the definitional debates within the literature and assessing their implications for economic development in the Nigerian context. Adopting a qualitative, doctrinal, and analytical approach, the study synthesises extant literature, theoretical perspectives, and policy experiences to demonstrate that traditional state-centred definitions inadequately capture the complexity of contemporary policymaking. The paper argues that public policy should be understood as a dynamic, context sensitive governance framework that integrates governmental institutions, non-state actors, policy processes, implementation mechanisms, and measurable development outcomes. It further examines the strategic role of public policy across key sectors of the Nigerian economy, including petroleum, agriculture, manufacturing, finance, energy, and services, while highlighting the institutional and governance constraints that continue to undermine policy effectiveness. The study concludes that sustainable economic development depends not merely on the existence of sound policies but on conceptual clarity, institutional capacity, stakeholder collaboration, accountability, and evidence-based implementation. It recommends a shift towards an adaptive and outcome-oriented policy framework capable of responding to Nigeria's evolving socioeconomic realities. The paper contributes to public policy scholarship by offering a broader conceptual understanding that strengthens both policy analysis and development practice in emerging economies.

Keywords: Public Policy; Economic Development; Governance; Policymaking; Nigeria.

1. Introduction

Public policy remains one of the most contested concepts in the social sciences, despite its centrality to governance, public administration, and economic development. While the term is widely employed by scholars, policymakers, and practitioners, there is no universally accepted definition of what constitutes public policy. This lack of conceptual consensus reflects the multidimensional nature of policy itself, encompassing governmental intentions, political choices, administrative actions, legal frameworks, institutional arrangements, and societal outcomes (Fischer & Gottweis, 2012). Consequently, public policy extends beyond the formulation of government decisions to include the processes through which public problems are identified, priorities established, resources allocated, policies implemented, and outcomes evaluated. The diversity of perspectives from political science, economics, public

* Corresponding author: Itojong Anthony Ayamba

administration, sociology, and development studies has further complicated attempts to provide a single comprehensive definition, giving rise to what scholars describe as the definitional problematique of public policy.

Although classical scholars such as Dye (1992) define public policy as "whatever governments choose to do or not to do," contemporary policy scholarship argues that such minimalist definitions fail to capture the complexity of modern governance. Public policy is increasingly understood as a dynamic interaction between state institutions, political actors, market forces, civil society organisations, and international development partners, all of which influence policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. As governments confront increasingly complex economic and social challenges, conceptual clarity becomes indispensable because the way public policy is understood inevitably shapes how policy problems are diagnosed, policy alternatives selected, implementation strategies designed, and development outcomes assessed. Revisiting the conceptual foundations of public policy is therefore not merely an academic exercise but a practical necessity for improving governance and achieving sustainable economic transformation.

The relevance of this debate is particularly evident in developing economies such as Nigeria, where public policy has remained the principal instrument through which governments seek to stimulate economic growth, reduce poverty, create employment, improve infrastructure, promote industrialisation, and enhance citizens' welfare. Nigeria's public policy evolution can be traced to the colonial period, during which policy decisions primarily served the administrative and economic interests of the colonial government with limited attention to indigenous development priorities. Following independence in 1960, successive governments introduced a wide range of development policies aimed at accelerating national integration, economic diversification, social welfare, and institutional development (Luka & Gin, 2025; Falola, 2024). Since then, policy reforms have spanned agriculture, industrialisation, fiscal and monetary management, privatisation, education, healthcare, security, and more recently, digital governance and economic diversification.

Despite these extensive policy initiatives, Nigeria's development trajectory continues to present a paradox. The country possesses enormous human and natural resources, yet it continues to grapple with persistent macroeconomic instability, high unemployment, widespread poverty, inflationary pressures, infrastructural deficits, declining industrial productivity, and heavy dependence on crude oil revenues (Eminue, 2005). These persistent challenges have generated intense scholarly and policy debates regarding whether Nigeria's development difficulties arise from poor policy design, weak implementation, institutional constraints, governance failures, or more fundamentally, from the absence of conceptual coherence regarding what public policy ought to achieve and how its effectiveness should be evaluated. This suggests that the debate surrounding public policy should move beyond questions of implementation to include a critical reassessment of its conceptual foundations.

Empirical evidence demonstrates that well-designed and effectively implemented public policies can significantly improve economic performance. Fiscal reforms, monetary policy adjustments, financial sector reforms, and targeted investment policies have, at different periods, contributed to economic expansion, poverty reduction, improvements in the business environment, and increased investment inflows (Oseni & Oyelade, 2023). Conversely, inconsistent policy implementation, frequent policy reversals, excessive dependence on petroleum revenues, rising public debt, institutional weaknesses, and governance challenges have constrained the effectiveness of many development initiatives. These contrasting experiences underscore the importance of developing a more robust conceptual understanding of public policy as a prerequisite for designing policies capable of delivering sustainable economic development (Iriabije *et al.*, 2024).

This paper argues that resolving the definitional problematique surrounding public policy is fundamental to strengthening policy scholarship and improving development practice in Nigeria. Rather than treating public policy merely as governmental decisions or official actions, the paper reconceptualises public policy as an integrated governance framework that combines political decision making, institutional capacity, stakeholder participation, implementation effectiveness, accountability, and measurable socioeconomic outcomes. By revisiting competing conceptualisations of public policy and situating them within Nigeria's historical and contemporary development experience, the paper seeks to establish a more comprehensive understanding of how conceptual clarity can enhance policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. In doing so, it contributes to both public policy theory and development discourse by demonstrating that sustainable economic transformation depends not only on the quality of individual policies but also on a coherent understanding of the concept of public policy itself.

2. Conceptualising Public Policy: Revisiting the Definitional Debate

Public policy occupies a central position in governance and public administration because it provides the framework through which governments and other relevant actors respond to societal challenges and pursue collective welfare. Despite its prominence in both academic discourse and policy practice, public policy remains one of the most contested concepts in the social sciences. Peters and Zittoun (2016) observe that there are almost as many definitions of public policy as there are scholars studying it, reflecting the concept's multidimensional and evolving nature. This lack of conceptual consensus has generated what is widely regarded as the definitional problematique of public policy, with important implications for policy analysis, implementation, and evaluation.

Traditionally, public policy has been understood as the actions, decisions, and programmes adopted by governments to address public problems and improve citizens' well-being (Howlett & Cashore, 2020). Dye's (1992) widely cited definition, which describes public policy as "whatever governments choose to do or not to do," reinforces the centrality of the state in policy making. Such perspectives portray public policy as an authoritative allocation of societal values, expressed through legislation, regulations, programmes, and administrative actions. While these definitions provide an important foundation for understanding governmental responsibilities, they have been criticised for presenting an overly state-centred conception of policy that inadequately reflects the complexity of contemporary governance.

Recent scholarship argues that public policy extends beyond formal governmental decisions to encompass the interactions of multiple actors operating within complex governance systems. Stone *et al.* (2020) contends that policy making increasingly involves non-state actors, including civil society organisations, private sector institutions, professional associations, international organisations, and development partners. Similarly, Torfing *et al.* (2012) emphasise that collaborative governance, public private partnerships, and transnational policy networks have fundamentally transformed the policy process, making it inappropriate to view governments as the sole architects of public policy. In an era characterised by globalisation, digital governance, and policy interdependence, effective public policy is increasingly the product of negotiation, collaboration, and shared responsibility among diverse stakeholders.

The definitional debate is further complicated by disagreements regarding the scope of public policy. According to Peters and Zittoun (2016), public policy should not be confined to laws, official documents, or formal government programmes. Rather, it also encompasses informal institutional practices, administrative routines, political conventions, social norms, and behavioural expectations that shape public decision making. This broader perspective recognises that many policy outcomes are influenced not only by written regulations but also by unwritten institutional cultures, advocacy coalitions, public opinion, and political bargaining. Consequently, understanding public policy requires attention to both its formal and informal dimensions.

Another significant source of conceptual divergence concerns whether public policy should be viewed primarily as an outcome or as a process. Bell and Hindmoor (2009) distinguish between perspectives that define policy in terms of its tangible outputs, such as legislation, regulations, and programmes, and those that conceptualise policy as the sequence of activities through which public decisions are formulated and implemented. Fischer and Gottweis (2012) argue that public policy is best understood as a continuous process involving problem identification, agenda setting, policy formulation, decision making, implementation, monitoring, evaluation, and policy learning. From this perspective, policy cannot be adequately understood by examining final decisions alone; equal attention must be devoted to the institutional, political, and administrative processes through which those decisions emerge and evolve.

Beyond its conceptual significance, public policy remains indispensable to economic development and societal transformation. Effective policies create the institutional environment necessary for macroeconomic stability, private sector growth, employment generation, infrastructure development, technological innovation, and poverty reduction (Nigerian Economic Summit Group, 2020). Fiscal and monetary policies influence inflation, investment, exchange rates, and economic growth, while sectoral policies in agriculture, education, healthcare, energy, and industrial development determine the productive capacity and long-term competitiveness of national economies. Similarly, strategic public policies promote economic diversification, improve the investment climate, and enhance national resilience against both domestic and external economic shocks (Central Bank of Nigeria, 2022).

The significance of public policy extends beyond economic management to encompass democratic governance, institutional accountability, and sustainable development. Transparent and accountable policy processes strengthen public trust, improve resource allocation, reduce corruption, and encourage citizen participation in governance (Hussain, 2025). Likewise, inclusive policy making ensures that development initiatives respond to the needs of diverse social groups while promoting equity and social justice. Environmental sustainability has also emerged as an important

policy objective, with governments increasingly adopting policies aimed at climate resilience, natural resource conservation, pollution control, and sustainable production systems (Ambika & Vayola, 2023).

Taken together, these competing perspectives demonstrate that public policy cannot be adequately defined as merely governmental action or legislative output. Rather, it is a multidimensional governance framework comprising formal institutions, informal norms, political processes, stakeholder interactions, implementation mechanisms, and measurable societal outcomes. Reconceptualising public policy from this broader perspective provides a stronger analytical foundation for understanding how policy influences economic development, particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria, where governance challenges, institutional capacity, and stakeholder coordination significantly shape policy effectiveness. Such a perspective also lays the conceptual basis for examining how definitional clarity can improve policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation in pursuit of sustainable economic development.

3. Key Domains of Public Policy and Their Implications for Economic Development in Nigeria

Public policy constitutes one of the most influential instruments through which governments direct economic activities, allocate scarce resources, regulate markets, and pursue national development objectives. In both developed and developing economies, public policies determine the institutional environment within which businesses operate, investments are made, and citizens access public goods and services. Economic development therefore depends not merely on the availability of natural and human resources but on the quality, coherence, and implementation of public policies governing their utilisation. Effective policies create incentives for productivity, innovation, investment, employment generation, and social inclusion, whereas weak or inconsistent policies often result in economic distortions, institutional inefficiencies, and developmental stagnation. In Nigeria, successive governments have introduced numerous policy reforms across virtually every sector of the economy with the objective of promoting diversification, inclusive growth, poverty reduction, and macroeconomic stability. Nevertheless, the country's economic performance continues to reflect considerable disparities between policy formulation and policy implementation. Despite remaining Africa's largest economy by GDP, Nigeria continues to experience high unemployment, rising multidimensional poverty, inflation exceeding 30 percent in 2024, exchange rate volatility, and widening fiscal pressures. These realities suggest that economic development depends not only on policy intentions but also on institutional effectiveness, governance quality, and sustained implementation.

3.1. Petroleum and Extractive Resource Policy

Nigeria's petroleum sector has historically remained the dominant source of government revenue, foreign exchange earnings, and fiscal sustainability, making petroleum policy one of the most strategic domains of public policy. For over five decades, successive governments have relied heavily on oil revenues to finance national development programmes, public infrastructure, and recurrent expenditures (Ayamba *et al.*, 2026). Recognising persistent governance challenges within the sector, the Federal Government enacted the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) in 2021 to improve regulatory efficiency, enhance transparency, strengthen host community participation, and attract new investments into upstream and downstream petroleum operations (Prince Waterhouse Coopers, 2023). Complementary interventions by the Nigerian Content Development and Monitoring Board have equally promoted indigenous participation and local value addition. Despite these reforms, crude oil production continues to fluctuate because of pipeline vandalism, oil theft, insecurity in the Niger Delta, and declining foreign investment. Nigeria's dependence on crude oil exports has equally exposed the economy to recurrent global oil price shocks, exchange rate instability, and fiscal vulnerabilities. The experience demonstrates that while petroleum policies remain essential for revenue mobilisation, sustainable economic development requires comprehensive diversification beyond extractive industries.

3.2. Agricultural and Food Security Policy

Agriculture remains one of the most strategic sectors through which public policy can stimulate inclusive economic development in Nigeria. The sector employs over one-third of the country's labour force and contributes substantially to rural livelihoods, food production, and non-oil exports. Successive governments have implemented policy initiatives such as the Agricultural Transformation Agenda (ATA), the Anchor Borrowers' Programme (ABP), the National Agricultural Technology and Innovation Policy (NATIP 2022–2027), and the Presidential Initiative on Food Security to improve agricultural productivity and reduce food imports. These interventions were designed to expand farmers' access to credit, improved seedlings, extension services, mechanisation, and agricultural value chains (Nwankwo *et al.*, 2024; Nwankwo & Onwuka, 2022; Abang & Ayamba, 2021). However, persistent insecurity arising from banditry, farmer-herder conflicts, kidnapping, flooding, and climate variability continues to undermine agricultural productivity across major food-producing regions. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (2025) and the Food and Agriculture Organisation (2025), food inflation reached unprecedented levels in 2024, significantly eroding household

purchasing power. Weak rural infrastructure, inadequate storage facilities, limited irrigation systems, and poor market integration further constrain agricultural competitiveness (Akpandem *et al.*, 2025; Uko & Eyo, 2025). Consequently, agricultural policy must increasingly shift from production-oriented interventions to integrated rural development strategies that combine infrastructure, security, technology adoption, climate resilience, and market accessibility.

3.3. Industrial and Manufacturing Policy

Industrialisation remains indispensable for structural transformation, employment generation, export diversification, and technological advancement. Nigerian industrial policy has evolved through initiatives such as the Nigerian Industrial Revolution Plan (NIRP), the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP), the Nigeria Start-up Act (2022), and various incentives administered through the Bank of Industry and the Nigerian Export Promotion Council (Federal Ministry of Trade, Industry and Investment, 2025). These policies seek to strengthen domestic manufacturing, promote small and medium enterprises, encourage local production, and improve Nigeria's global competitiveness. Despite these efforts, manufacturing continues to contribute less than expected to national output due to persistent infrastructure deficits, unstable electricity supply, high borrowing costs, exchange rate volatility, and increasing production expenses. The depreciation of the naira and rising inflation have significantly increased the cost of imported industrial inputs, thereby reducing industrial productivity and investment confidence. Furthermore, limited technological innovation and weak research-industry collaboration continue to impede industrial competitiveness (Anam *et al.*, 2023). Future industrial policies should therefore prioritise innovation ecosystems, technological upgrading, local content development, industrial clusters, and stronger public-private partnerships capable of driving sustainable manufacturing-led growth.

3.4. Fiscal and Monetary Policy

Fiscal and monetary policies constitute the primary macroeconomic instruments through which governments influence economic stability, investment, inflation, and sustainable growth. Fiscal policy determines government expenditure, taxation, and public borrowing, while monetary policy regulates money supply, interest rates, inflation, and exchange rate stability. In Nigeria, recent fiscal reforms, including the removal of fuel subsidies and ongoing tax reforms, have sought to improve fiscal sustainability and increase public revenue. Simultaneously, the Central Bank of Nigeria has adopted tighter monetary policies through successive increases in the Monetary Policy Rate (MPR) to combat persistent inflationary pressures (Hussaini, 2026). While these policies demonstrate government commitment to macroeconomic stabilisation, they have also generated short-term challenges, including rising business costs, declining purchasing power, and reduced private sector borrowing. Inflation exceeded 30 percent during 2024, while exchange rate volatility continued to affect investment decisions and external competitiveness. These developments illustrate that macroeconomic policies must strike an appropriate balance between price stability, economic growth, employment generation, and social welfare. Policy coherence between fiscal authorities and monetary institutions therefore remains fundamental to achieving sustainable economic development (Ayamba, 2019).

3.5. Energy and Power Policy

Reliable energy supply remains one of the strongest determinants of economic productivity and industrial competitiveness. Energy policies influence virtually every productive sector by affecting production costs, investment decisions, technological innovation, and household welfare. Nigeria has implemented several reforms, including the National Energy Policy, the Electricity Act 2023, the Renewable Energy Master Plan, and the Presidential Power Initiative, to expand electricity generation, decentralise electricity regulation, encourage private sector participation, and promote renewable energy investments (Ayamba, 2026; Abah *et al.*, 2026). Despite these reforms, electricity generation remains considerably below national demand, forcing businesses and households to rely heavily on expensive diesel and petrol generators. The World Bank consistently identifies inadequate electricity supply as one of the major constraints to private sector competitiveness in Nigeria. Frequent grid collapses, transmission inefficiencies, limited renewable energy penetration, and weak distribution networks continue to undermine economic productivity. Consequently, future energy policies should emphasise decentralised renewable energy systems, private investment, smart grid technologies, rural electrification, and improved regulatory governance capable of delivering affordable and sustainable energy access.

3.6. Digital Economy and Innovation Policy

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has transformed public policy into an important catalyst for economic innovation, entrepreneurship, and national competitiveness. Nigeria's digital economy has experienced remarkable growth through increased mobile penetration, fintech innovations, e-commerce, artificial intelligence, and digital financial services. Public policy initiatives such as the National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (2020-2030), the Nigeria Data Protection Act (2023), the Nigeria Start-up Act (2022), and the National Artificial Intelligence Strategy seek

to position Nigeria as a leading digital economy within Africa (Amuda & Parveen, 2026). These policies encourage digital entrepreneurship, innovation ecosystems, digital identity management, broadband expansion, and electronic governance. Nevertheless, inadequate digital infrastructure, cyber insecurity, low digital literacy, inconsistent electricity supply, and unequal internet access continue to limit inclusive digital transformation. Public policy should therefore prioritise digital inclusion, cybersecurity governance, digital skills development, artificial intelligence regulation, and universal broadband connectivity to maximise the developmental benefits of the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

3.7. Education and Human Capital Development Policy

Human capital development represents one of the most enduring foundations of sustainable economic development because education enhances labour productivity, innovation, entrepreneurship, and technological progress. Nigeria has introduced several educational reforms, including the Universal Basic Education Programme, the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund), the National Policy on Education, and ongoing curriculum reforms designed to improve educational quality and workforce competitiveness. Government investment in technical and vocational education has equally sought to address growing skills shortages within the labour market (Adeleye *et al.*, 2025; Keji, 2021). Despite these interventions, inadequate educational funding, infrastructure deficits, industrial disputes, brain drain, graduate unemployment, and limited research financing continue to constrain the sector's developmental impact. International assessments consistently indicate that Nigeria records lower educational outcomes relative to countries with comparable income levels. Consequently, education policy should increasingly focus on digital learning, research commercialisation, industry-academia collaboration, science and technology education, and employability skills capable of supporting knowledge-based economic development.

3.8. Infrastructure and Transportation Policy

Modern infrastructure constitutes one of the most important drivers of economic competitiveness because it facilitates production, trade, investment, and regional integration. Nigerian governments have prioritised infrastructure development through initiatives such as the National Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan, the Presidential Infrastructure Development Fund, and major investments in roads, railways, airports, seaports, and inland waterways. These interventions seek to reduce logistics costs, improve market accessibility, facilitate regional trade under the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), and stimulate private sector investment (Ibrahim & Gani, 2026; Farinloye, *et al.*, 2020). Nevertheless, Nigeria continues to experience significant infrastructure deficits estimated by the African Development Bank to require substantial long-term investment. Poor road conditions, congested ports, inadequate rail connectivity, and weak maintenance culture continue to increase production costs and reduce business competitiveness. Future infrastructure policies should strengthen public-private partnerships, infrastructure financing mechanisms, maintenance frameworks, and climate-resilient infrastructure capable of supporting long-term economic transformation.

3.9. Security and Governance Policy

No economy can achieve sustainable development without adequate security and effective governance. Security policies influence investment confidence, agricultural productivity, industrial activities, tourism, education, and overall economic stability. Nigeria currently faces multiple security challenges, including insurgency in the North East, banditry in the North West, farmer-herder conflicts in the North Central, kidnapping for ransom in the South East and South South, piracy in the Gulf of Guinea, and urban criminality across major cities (Akpandem *et al.*, 2025; Njoku *et al.*, 2025a; Njoku *et al.*, 2026b). These security threats have disrupted economic activities, displaced millions of citizens, discouraged domestic and foreign investment, and increased government expenditure on defence and humanitarian interventions. Recent public policy initiatives, including the National Security Strategy, digital identity management through the National Identity Number (NIN), NIN-SIM integration, community policing initiatives, and technology-driven surveillance systems, seek to strengthen national security governance (Akale *et al.*, 2025; Ayamba & Ekanem, 2016). However, institutional coordination challenges, intelligence gaps, corruption, weak criminal justice systems, and limited technological capacity continue to undermine policy effectiveness. Sustainable economic development therefore requires security policies that integrate digital governance, intelligence-led policing, institutional accountability, community participation, and socio-economic inclusion. Security should no longer be viewed merely as a law enforcement concern but as a fundamental prerequisite for economic growth, investor confidence, poverty reduction, and national development.

3.10. Synthesis

Collectively, these policy domains demonstrate that public policy extends beyond government regulation to serve as the principal mechanism through which states mobilise resources, coordinate institutions, correct market failures,

stimulate innovation, and promote inclusive development. Nigeria's experience reveals that while numerous sectoral policies have produced measurable gains, their overall developmental impact has often been constrained by implementation deficits, institutional weaknesses, policy inconsistency, corruption, and limited interagency coordination. These observations reinforce the central argument of this article that public policy should be reconceptualised not merely as governmental decisions or administrative actions but as an integrated governance framework that aligns institutions, stakeholders, and developmental objectives. Such a reconceptualisation is essential if public policy is to function as an effective instrument for achieving sustainable economic development in Nigeria.

The effectiveness of public policy depends not merely on the quality of its formulation but, more importantly, on the capacity of institutions to implement it efficiently and consistently. While Nigeria has produced numerous well-designed national policies across virtually every sector of the economy, implementation has historically remained the weakest stage of the policy cycle. Consequently, many ambitious development programmes have yielded outcomes that fall considerably short of their intended objectives. This implementation deficit has become one of the defining features of public administration in Nigeria and has significantly constrained economic transformation. Contemporary evidence from the World Bank (2024), the African Development Bank (2024), and the National Bureau of Statistics (2025) suggests that despite substantial public expenditure on infrastructure, agriculture, social protection, and industrial development, the expected improvements in productivity, employment, poverty reduction, and economic diversification remain relatively modest. This disconnect reflects persistent institutional weaknesses rather than an absence of policy initiatives. Reconceptualising public policy therefore requires shifting attention from policy formulation to implementation effectiveness, institutional adaptability, and measurable development outcomes.

4. Conclusion

The persistent debate surrounding the definition of public policy demonstrates that it is far more than a collection of governmental decisions or administrative directives. Rather, public policy is a dynamic, multidimensional, and context dependent governance framework that evolves in response to changing political, economic, social, and institutional realities. The absence of a universally accepted definition should not be regarded as a conceptual weakness but as evidence of the complexity of governance itself. Public policy continuously adapts to emerging societal challenges, competing stakeholder interests, technological change, and shifting development priorities. Consequently, attempts to understand public policy solely through rigid or state-centred definitions fail to capture its evolving character and its growing reliance on collaborative governance, institutional learning, and stakeholder participation.

This paper has argued that reconceptualising public policy is essential for understanding its contribution to economic development in Nigeria. Rather than viewing policy simply as governmental action or legislative output, the study advances a broader conception that recognises public policy as an integrated process involving problem identification, agenda setting, policy formulation, implementation, institutional coordination, monitoring, evaluation, and continuous policy learning. Such a perspective better reflects the realities of contemporary governance, where governments increasingly interact with the private sector, civil society organisations, development partners, and citizens in the pursuit of national development objectives.

The Nigerian experience illustrates both the transformative potential and the limitations of public policy as an instrument of economic development. Successive policy initiatives have sought to diversify the economy, strengthen agriculture, promote industrialisation, deepen financial inclusion, improve energy access, and expand the services sector. While these interventions have generated measurable progress in several areas, their overall developmental impact has frequently been constrained by institutional fragmentation, weak implementation capacity, inadequate infrastructure, corruption, inconsistent policy direction, and limited accountability. These challenges suggest that Nigeria's development deficit is not merely a consequence of insufficient policies but, more fundamentally, of weaknesses in the governance systems through which policies are translated into tangible socioeconomic outcomes.

The paper therefore concludes that sustainable economic development requires a shift from viewing public policy as an end in itself to understanding it as a continuous governance process that links sound policy design with effective implementation, institutional resilience, stakeholder collaboration, and evidence-based evaluation. Public policy should be judged not only by the quality of its formulation but also by its capacity to generate measurable improvements in productivity, employment, social welfare, environmental sustainability, and citizens' quality of life. Reconceptualising public policy in this manner provides a more robust analytical framework for both policy scholarship and development practice in Nigeria.

Recommendations

In light of the foregoing conclusion, the following recommendations are advanced:

1. **Adopt a broader governance perspective in public policymaking.** Policymakers should move beyond narrow state-centred approaches by strengthening collaboration with the private sector, civil society organisations, research institutions, and development partners throughout the policy cycle. Such collaborative governance will improve policy relevance, legitimacy, and implementation effectiveness.
2. **Strengthen institutional capacity for policy implementation.** Greater emphasis should be placed on improving interagency coordination, administrative competence, policy continuity, and monitoring mechanisms to reduce fragmentation and enhance policy coherence across sectors.
3. **Institutionalise evidence-based policymaking.** Government should integrate empirical research, reliable data, policy evaluation, and stakeholder feedback into every stage of the policy process to ensure that policy decisions are informed by measurable development needs rather than short term political considerations.
4. **Promote transparency, accountability, and integrity in governance.** Strengthening anti-corruption institutions, improving public financial management, and expanding citizen oversight will enhance public confidence and ensure that public resources are utilised efficiently for national development.
5. **Accelerate economic diversification through strategic public policy.** Future policy interventions should prioritise productive sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing, renewable energy, technology, and knowledge-based services in order to reduce excessive dependence on crude oil and strengthen long term economic resilience.
6. **Establish robust monitoring and evaluation systems.** Public policies should be subjected to continuous performance assessment using clearly defined indicators and measurable outcomes. Regular policy reviews will facilitate institutional learning, improve implementation, and enable timely policy adjustments in response to changing socioeconomic conditions.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abah, P. O., Aye-Agele, F., & Ogiri, I. A. (2026). Navigating the Energy Trilemma in Nigeria: Energy Price Inflation, Energy Consumption, and Economic Growth. *International Research in Material and Environment* 6(1), 15-29. DOI: 10.52589/IRME-1SJ15CH.
- [2] Abang, P. O., & Ayamba, I. A. (2021). Agricultural development programmes in Nigeria's Fourth Republic: Changing the narrative of food insecurity in Nigeria. *Journal of Good Governance and Sustainable Development in Africa*, 6(3), 64–74. <http://journals.rcmss.com/index.php/jggsda>.
- [3] Adeleye, G. O., Afolabi, A. J., & Asebiode, M. F. (2025). Human capital development and sustainable economic development in Nigeria. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Annual Academic Conference on Accounting & Finance* (p. 46). Conference Publisher.
- [4] African Development Bank (2024) *African Economic Outlook 2024*. African Development Bank.
- [5] Akale, C. S., Udegbumam, K. C., & Achimugu, J. (2026). Contextualizing artificial intelligence in counter-terrorism: Toward a hybrid security model for Nigeria. *Kwararafa Security Review*, 3(2), 1-14. <https://kwararafasecurityreview.com.ng/index.php/ksr/article/view/57>
- [6] Akpandem, E. E., Ayamba, I. A., Echadu, M. O. & Akpandem, E. E. (2025). From grazing to grieving: How herders-farmers clashes fuel food insecurity in South-East Nigeria. *International Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies* (IJPCS), 10(1), 107-117.
- [7] Ambika, V., & Vayola, S. V. (2023). Promoting inclusive education for sustainable development: A comprehensive research perspective. *International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, 2(1), 116-119.
- [8] Amuda, Y. J., & Parveen, R. (2026). Digital economy policy, entrepreneurship, financial inclusion and sustainable development for enhancing perceived economic growth in Nigeria. *Discover Sustainability*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-026-03894-3>

- [9] Anam, B. E., Ironbar, V. E., Alozie, E. N., Duke, O. O., & Eteng, G.B. (2023). Nigerian Industrial Revolution Plan (2014): Performance, Review and Strategy for Strengthening Institutional and Regulatory Framework, *Research Journal of Humanities, Legal Studies and International Development*, 5(1), 96-115.
- [10] Anderson, J. (1994). *Public policymaking*. (2nd ed). Princeton, NJ: Houghton Mifflin.
- [11] Arjang, Khuan, H., Badrudin, R., Judijanto, L., Kalsum, U., & Manullang, R. R. (2025). *Impact of fiscal policy on economic growth in developing countries*. *Nomico Journal*, 1(12), 44–53. <https://doi.org/10.62872/06bv6z03>.
- [12] Ayamba, I. A. (2019). Whistleblowing in a depraved Nigerian economy: Challenges and prospects. *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, 7(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijsss.v7i2.3980>
- [13] Ayamba, I. A., Ahakiri, F. I., Bassey, U. S., Mbu-Ogar, G. B., Filijovic, M., Bisong, D. B., Osam, E., & Edet, O. G. (2026). Subsidy or sustainability? Nigeria's oil crossroads. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 21(2), 191–211. <https://doi.org/10.31920/1750-4562/2026/v21n2a9>.
- [14] Ayamba, I. A., Awatt, C. E., Nsa, S. E., & Akerele, O. (2026). Energy supply and public sector performance in Calabar Municipality, Cross River State, Nigeria. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 29(3), 1401–1410.
- [15] Ayamba, I. A., & Ekanem, O. (2016). National identity management in Nigeria: Policy dimensions and implementation. *International Journal of Humanities & Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 279–287.
- [16] Bell, S. and Hindmoor, A. (2009). *Rethinking Governance: The Centrality of the State in Modern Society*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [17] Central Bank of Nigeria (2022). Monetary and fiscal policy coordination. *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics*, 5(2), 11-22.
- [18] Dye, T. (1992). *Understanding Public Policy*. (7th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- [19] Eminue, O. E. (2005). *Public Policy Analysis and Decision Making*. Lagos: Concept Publications.
- [20] Eyestone, R. (1971). *The Threads of Public Policy: A Study in Policy Leadership*. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merill.
- [21] Falola, T. (2025). *Understanding colonial Nigeria: British rule and its impact*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009337205>
- [22] Farinloye, O. O., Mogaji, P. B., & Sanusi, T. B. (2020). Evaluating the contributions of road and rail transportation to economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 10(3), 259-268.
- [23] Federal Ministry of Industry, Trade and Investment. (2025). *Nigeria industrial policy*. Federal Ministry of Industry, Trade and Investment. <https://fmiti.gov.ng/publications/>
- [24] Fischer, F. and Gottweiss, H (2012). *The Argumentative Turn Revisited: Public Policy as Communicative Practice*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- [25] Food and Agriculture Organisation (2025). Country Report on Nigeria. *FAO*.
- [26] Howlett, M., & Cashore, B. (2020). Public policy: Definitions and approaches. In M. Howlett & J. Tosun (Eds.), *A modern guide to public policy* (pp. 10–21). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789904987.00007>.
- [27] Hussain, S. A., Khan, S. S., Gulzar, A., & Sheikh, A. I. (2025). The role of public policy in promoting transparent governance and accountability in developing countries. *Review of Education, Administration and Law*, 8(3), 491–504. <https://doi.org/10.47067/real.v8i3.450>.
- [28] Hussaini, A. (2026). Fiscal policy instruments and economic growth in Nigeria: A VECM analysis of government capital expenditure and VAT. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 10(4), 2520–?. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRIS.2026.100400194>
- [29] Ibrahim, M., & Gani, M. I. (2026). Impact of infrastructural sector on economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Economics, Finance & Management*, 11(2), 95–112. <https://ajaefm.adsu.edu.ng>
- [30] Ikelegbe, A. O. (1969). *Public policy Making and Analysis*. Benin City: Uri Publishing Limited.
- [31] Iriabije, A. O., Ekong, C. N., & Orebiyi, P. A. (2024). Monetary Policy and inflation in Nigeria: Unraveling the threshold puzzle. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 8(4), 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2024.804006>.

- [32] Keji, S. A. (2021) Human capital and economic growth in Nigeria. *Future Business Journal*, 7(49), 16-31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-021-00095-4>
- [33] Luka, E., & Gin, E. K. (2025). An overview of social change and social services in Nigeria before and after independence. *Human, Social & Political Science Research*, 10(6), 248–263. <https://doi.org/10.70382/sjhpsr.v10i6.068>
- [34] National Bureau of Statistics (2025). *The Nigerian Gross Domestic Product Report (Q4 2024/Q1 2025), Poverty and Inequality Report*. National Bureau of Statistics.
- [35] Nigerian Economic Summit Group (NESG) (2020). An overview of the Nigerian economy, including its strengths and weaknesses, as well as policy recommendations for achieving sustained economic growth and reducing inequality. The State of the Nigerian Economy Report.
- [36] Njoku, B. N., Ayamba, I. A., Agbaka, J. L., & Akerele, O. (2026). Federalism, national integration and the nationality question in Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 9(5), 36–54.
- [37] Njoku, B. N., Ayamba, I. A., Ogar, F. I., & Ntuk, N. J. (2025). The globalisation effect: Examining the nexus of insecurity and migration in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*, 3(1), 56–65.
- [38] Nwankwo, C. C., & Onwuka, E. S. (2022). Adoption of Digital Tools by Agricultural Extension Workers in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Informatics and Digitalisation*, 17(2), 34-50
- [39] Nwankwo, C. U., Ikehi, M. E., Ejiofor, T.E., & Ifeanyieze, F. O. (2024) Successive national agricultural policies/programmes, growth of gross domestic product (GDP) and expansion of agribusinesses in Nigeria. *PLoS ONE* 19(2): e0291999. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291999>.
- [40] Oseni, I. O., & Oyelade, A. O. (2023). The effects of monetary policy on economic growth in Nigeria. *African Journal of Economic Review*, 11(3), 1-28.
- [41] Peters, B. G. and Zittoun, P. (Eds.) (2016) *Contemporary Approaches to Public Policy: Theories, Controversies and Perspectives*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- [42] Price Waterhouse Coopers. (2023). *Fuel subsidy in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and the way forward*. <https://www.pwc.com/ng/en/publications/fuel-subsidy-in-nigeria-issues-challenges-and-the-way-forward.html>
- [43] Rose, R. (Ed.) (1969). *Policy Making in Great Britain*. London: Macmillan.
- [44] Salomon, L. M. (Ed.) (2001). *Handbook of Policy Instruments*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- [45] Stone, D., Porto de Oliveira, O., & Pal, L. A. (2020). Transnational policy transfer: the circulation of ideas, power and development models. *Policy and Society*, 39(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2019.1619325>.
- [46] Torfing J., Peters, B. G., Pierre, J. and Sorensen, E. (2012). *Interactive Governance: Advancing the Paradigm*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [47] Uko, M. G., & Eyo, P. O. (2025). Assessing the effects of climate change on food insecurity in Nigeria: Akwa Ibom, Bayelsa & Rivers States in focus. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(9). <https://doi.org/10.38124/IJISRT/25SEP517>
- [48] World Bank (2024). *Nigeria Development Update: Staying the Course: Progress Amid Pressing Challenges*. World Bank.
- [49] Zittoun, P. (2014). *The Political Process of Policymaking*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.