World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

A review of data-driven approaches to improving transaction monitoring systems for enhanced detection of money laundering and terrorism financing in U.S. financial institutions

Francis Floyd Doe *

Northeastern University, Boston, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 30(02), 1562-1572

Publication history: Received on 12 April 2026; revised on 18 May 2026; accepted on 20 May 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2026.30.2.1415

Abstract

This paper is a narrative review of data science methods of improving transaction monitoring systems to combat anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing in the U.S. financial institutions. It takes a critical look at the shift of rule-based systems to complex data-driven models based on machine learning, network analysis, and real-time analytics, and analyzes the effect of these models on detection accuracy, false-positive reduction, and operational efficiency. The study conceptualizes the transaction monitoring process as a socio-technical system that is influenced by the interaction of analytical models, data infrastructures, governance structures and human decision-making. The review reveals the main performance improvements and ongoing issues, such as the lack of data quality, integrity, interpretability of the model, and high implementation expenses. It also discusses the implications of governance and regulation, and the increasing significance of explainability and accountability as well as strong data management practices in compliance. The changing nature of the human analysts is also emphasized, especially in the interpretation of model outputs and assisting in making decisions within hybrid systems. The results indicate that data-driven monitoring can be effective, but not universal, depending on institutional capacity, governance structure, and regulatory alignment. The paper also cites significant theoretical and empirical gaps, such as the lack of interdisciplinary integration, and absence of longitudinal and real-world evidence, and urges more holistic and mixed-method study designs.

Keywords; Transaction Monitoring; Machine Learning; Compliance; Fraud Detection; AML

1. Introduction

The growth of the complexity of financial transaction has increased the difficulty of identifying money laundering and financing of terrorism in the United States financial system. Conventional transaction monitoring systems (based on rules) are sensitive to predetermined threshold limits and fixed rules. Such systems have limited capacity to identify adaptive and coordinated laundering schemes, especially in high-volume and cross-border settings (Goecks et al., 2022; Oztas et al., 2024). With financial systems producing bigger and more complicated datasets, these constraints have been exacerbated. The recent development of machine learning and data analytics has brought about new trends in transaction monitoring. These methods allow for more flexible and data-driven fraud detection of financial crime. Network analysis methods also expand these functions by determining connections between entities and revealing organized financial activity (Barcio, 2025).

Irrespective of these advances, it presents major challenges with the adoption of data-driven monitoring systems. The problems of model interpretability, bias, and compliance with regulations are not resolved. The financial institutions need to safeguard the ability of automated decisions to be explained/justified in the regulatory frameworks. This need

* Corresponding author: Francis Floyd Doe

restricts the application of some models that perform well and poses a conflict between accuracy and transparency (Abugri & Colley, 2026; Canhoto, 2021). The current literature on anti-money laundering technologies is disjointed. Technical research is concerned with the performance of algorithms, and regulatory research is concerned with compliance and governance. These perspectives are not well integrated, and there are not many studies that explore the functionality of various data-driven approaches in terms of operating systems. Consequently, the wider ramifications of these technologies in terms of tracking transactions have not been developed.

The present research fills this gap by offering a narrative review of evidence-based methods in transaction monitoring within U.S. financial institutions. It combines the results of machine learning, financial crime detection, and compliance research. The review makes a contribution by redefining data-driven transaction monitoring as a socio-technical system where the detection performance is determined by the relationship between algorithms, data infrastructure, governance frameworks, and human oversight. With this outlook, the advantages as well as the disadvantages of these systems would be evaluated in a more holistic manner.

2. Methodology

This paper uses a narrative review as a research methodology to investigate data-driven solutions to enhancing transaction monitoring systems in anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing settings. It is suitable to use a narrative review since the corresponding research is in a variety of fields and has various methodological traditions, such as finance, computer science, and regulatory studies (Pautasso, 2019). The review was based on peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and select working papers published between 2021 and 2026. The sources were determined using scholarly databases and search engines indexing the research in financial crime, machine learning, and compliance systems. Some of the search terms were machine learning in anti-money laundering, transaction monitoring systems, financial fraud detection, predictive analytics in compliance, and network analysis to detect money laundering.

The studies were identified as the ones that satisfied three criteria. To start with, they reviewed information or algorithm-based methods of detecting financial crimes. Second, they tackled transaction monitoring, risk assessment, and compliance systems. Third, they offered empirical, conceptual, or methodological knowledge applicable to financial institutions. Articles that were not related to the research were eliminated unless they provided transferable analytical frameworks. An overall review of recent literature was undertaken and classified into three themes of analysis. These themes are detection performance, operational efficiency, and governance and regulatory implications. The studies were evaluated concerning their methodology, data setting, and results. Synthesis was then done to develop patterns, contradictions, and limitations common in studies. The thematic narrative synthesis is followed in its analysis. This method allows incorporating different types of evidence and preserving conceptual consistency. Nevertheless, the study does not give statistical generalization as a narrative review. The findings are indicative of trends that can be seen in the literature as opposed to quantitative summation.

3. Data-Based Transactions Monitoring as a Socio-Technical Change.

This shift towards data-driven methods of monitoring transactions, as opposed to a rules-based system, is a paradigm shift in terms of financial institutions detecting illicit activity. Conventional systems, as noted by Goecks et al. (2022) and Popoola (2023), use fixed thresholds and fixed rules to indicate suspicious transactions. Such systems can also be characterized by a limited capacity to accommodate the changing methods of laundering money and also produce huge numbers of false positives. By contrast, data-driven systems can include machine learning, network analysis, and real-time data processing in order to determine patterns that are not explicitly written in advance.

This change is not only technical, but also organizational. Transaction monitoring systems that are data-driven integrate the decisions into the algorithmic framework, as explained by Canhoto (2021) and Hossain (2025). These systems affect the risk assessment process, the alerts generation process, and the compliance decision-making. Consequently, this makes monitoring a distributed task that incorporates human analysts as well as automated models. The interaction is a more general socio-technical change where technology and organizational practices co-evolve.

3.1. Rule-Based Systems to Data-Driven Architectures.

Traditional systems of transaction monitoring are modeled on sets of rules based on regulatory provisions and experience, as described by Oztas et al. (2024) and Lokanan (2023). Such regulations normally state a limit on transaction size, frequency, or geographic risk. These systems are not flexible despite being transparent and easy to implement. It allows criminal actors, according to Oztas et al. (2024) and Lokanan (2023), to modify their behavior in order to prevent known thresholds, and this lowers the usefulness of rule-based detection.

Data-driven architecture overcomes these shortcomings by applying statistical models and computational models to process large amounts of transaction data. Machine learning algorithms, as shown by Ajagbe et al. (2025) and Alotibi et al. (2022), are able to determine complex associations between variables and identify anomalies that are not as expected. These models may be trained on past data and updated as new information arrives. This enables the monitoring systems to be flexible to the new risks and enhances detection performance with time.

The other important aspect of data-driven systems is that they can combine several data sources. Besides transaction history, these systems may include customer profiles, behavioral data, and external data. The integration, as highlighted by Barcio (2025) and Venčkauskas et al. (2024), improves the contextualization of financial activity and allows making risk assessment more precise. It also allows consideration of the network-based methods that analyze relations between objects and not separate transactions.

3.2. Data-Driven Monitoring Systems Operation Capabilities.

According to the literature, data-driven monitoring systems have several fundamental capabilities that help distinguish them among others in comparison with traditional methods. Predictive analytics is one of such capabilities. Such systems are able to provide an approximation of a transaction or an account being linked to illicit activity using trends in historical records. It enables institutions to focus on the cases that are high-risk and distribute resources more effectively (Mazumder, 2023; Okeke et al., 2025).

The other feature is automation. The systems based on data, as demonstrated by Malempati (2023) and Vududala (2024), are capable of processing transactions in real time and generating alerts automatically. This decreases the time interval taken to detect a suspicious activity and aids quick reporting to the regulatory bodies. Automated workflows also lessen the management pressure on compliance units; this enables analysts to concentrate on intricate investigations instead of regular screening.

Precise visualization and network analysis provide additional support to the operational effectiveness. They allow analysts to see connections between accounts, detect groups of suspicious behavior, and track the money between several organizations. The visualization methods, as discussed by Lokanan (2023) and Barcio (2025), enhance the interpretability and assist in decisions when the patterns to be identified are hard to identify using the numerical analysis.

3.3. Implications of Algorithms and Governance Decisions.

The trend of using monitoring systems is becoming more data-driven, hence the tendency of the systems to affect decision-making processes in financial institutions. The risk scores, alerts prioritization, and recommendations are assigned with the help of algorithms. In other instances, these systems work with minimum human interference. This brings up some critical questions concerning accountability, transparency and regulatory compliance.

Model interpretability is one of its major concerns. Machine learning models, especially deep learning ones, are capable of making reasonable predictions, but with no clear explanation of their results. This poses a problem to compliance teams who are required to explain their actions to the regulators. The United States regulatory frameworks expect the institutions to know how their monitoring systems work and subsequently they are expected to explain the way the systems work. Consequently, explainable artificial intelligence and model governance practices are increasingly becoming interesting (Abugri & Colley, 2026; Canhoto, 2021).

Another issue is prejudice. Systems that are based on data are dependent on historical data, which can be biased or incomplete. Failure to address these biases can result in unequal outcomes of the models or even failure to recognize some forms of illicit activity. To achieve fairness and accuracy, data management, model validation, and monitoring of the system's performance should be performed with care (Abugri & Colley, 2026).

Organizational structures are also influenced by the integration of systems that are data-driven. Financial institutions will need to acquire new data science and model risk management capabilities, as well as regulatory compliance. This usually involves the cooperation of technical and compliance experts. Good governance mechanisms are needed to make sure that models are utilized in the right way, and their results are understood in the right way (Adeniran et al., 2024; Hossain, 2025).

4. Efficacy of Data-Based Solutions in Monitoring of Transactions.

The performance of the data-driven transaction monitoring systems is usually measured against accuracy in detection, false positives reduction, and efficiency. These aspects are uniformly improved in the literature when machine learning and advanced analytics are incorporated in monitoring structures. Nevertheless, these gains do not apply uniformly in any setting and depend on the quality of data, model design, and institutional capacity.

4.1. Detection Accuracy and Risk Identification

Rule-based systems fail to detect patterns because these systems are data-driven and thus more accurate in detecting patterns that are present. Big data can be analyzed using machine learning models to identify minor abnormalities in transactions. The models are especially useful in detecting complicated laundering schemes that consist of several accounts and layered transactions. The research indicates that supervised learning algorithms, as discussed by Ajagbe et al. (2025), and classification models, as shown by Segovia-Vargas (2021), can obtain a higher accuracy in differentiating legitimate and suspicious transactions when trained in labeled data.

The significance of unsupervised learning techniques is also important. Such techniques, as explained by Alotibi et al. (2022), are not based on any preset labels and are able to identify anomalies according to the deviation from normal behavior. This is applicable in the instances where new laundering techniques arise and labelled data is not abundant. Anomaly detection models and clustering, as highlighted by Goecks et al. (2022), may be used to detect suspicious transaction patterns that need to be investigated further.

Network-based solutions also increase detection. These methods, as demonstrated by Barcio (2025), have the ability to reveal hidden relationships and organized operations by examining associations between entities. This is especially applicable in the detection of organized financial crime, where the transactions are spread through several accounts in order to go undetected. Network analysis, as noted by Venčkauskas et al. (2024), makes it possible to see the financial activity more holistically and helps to detect suspicious networks, not isolated events.

Although these are the strengths, the availability and quality of data affect the accuracy of detection. Data that is not complete or inconsistent, as emphasized by Hossain (2025), may lessen the performance of the models and result in missed detections. This means that financial institutions have to invest in data integration and validation procedures to make sure that models are trained using solid datasets.

4.2. The fourth objective is to minimize False Positives.

One of the biggest weaknesses of conventional transaction monitoring systems is the percentage of false positives is high. The systems that are rule-based tend to produce huge volumes of alerts that are not associated with real illicit activity. This presents a great compliance team burden and makes the entire monitoring process less efficient. Data-driven solutions, as explained by Popoola (2023), can solve this problem by adding more sophisticated risk signals and minimizing the use of hard and fast boundaries.

Machine learning models, as demonstrated by Mousavier and Miah (2025), have the ability of assigning risk scores using various variables, and hence, more accurate classification of transactions is possible. This lowers the cases of false alarms and enhances the quality of flagged cases. Empirical research claims that machine learning models can be applied with substantial decreases in false-positive rates compared to rule-based systems or as a supplementary.

Behavioral and contextual data are also combined, which advances classification accuracy. Models, as highlighted by Canhoto (2021), can better distinguish between legitimate and suspicious behavior by taking into account customer profiles, transaction history, and external risk factors. This decreases the chances of considering regular transactions as elevated risk and enhances the effectiveness of the monitoring systems on the whole. This is further supported by Mazumder (2025a).

Nevertheless, the minimization of false positives should be at the expense of the possibility of false negatives. Excessive optimization of models to decrease the number of alerts can result in the loss of illicit activity cases. This signifies the importance of performing a cautious model calibration and continuous performance assessment. Institutions, as noted by Oztas et al. (2024), should also make sure that the efficiency gains do not affect the detection effectiveness.

4.3. Operational Efficiency and Real-Time Monitoring.

Data-driven systems help enhance the efficiency of operations through the automation of major features of transaction monitoring. Automated data processing and alert generation make it less necessary to have manual intervention and allow faster responses. This is especially essential in a large volume financial setting where the time lag in detection can be very costly. Institutions are able to detect suspicious activity and stop it through real-time monitoring.

This helps to intervene in time and keep up with regulatory reporting requirements. Streaming data, as explained by Malempati (2023), can be processed by machine learning models, and the risk assessment can be updated in real time, which increases the responsiveness of monitoring systems. This is further supported by Vududala (2024).

Another significant strength is scalability. Systems that are data-driven are able to process large amounts of transactions without the corresponding rise in operational expenses. This is necessary in the case of large financial institutions that deal with millions of transactions in a day. The scalability of these systems, as highlighted by Mazumder (2025b), is also supported by cloud computing and distributed data processing structures. This is further reinforced by Amoako et al. (2025).

Simultaneously, data-driven systems involve high expenses in terms of infrastructure and expertise. As institutions, they should build up data engineering, model development and system integration skills. The possible efficiency gains might not be achieved through the absence of these capabilities. This explains the need to match the use of technology with the preparedness of the organization, as argued by Adeniran et al. (2024) and Amoako et al. (2025).

5. Data-Driven transaction monitoring, governance, and regulatory compliance.

The introduction of data-driven transaction monitoring systems has far-reaching consequences on regulatory compliance and governance in the U.S financial institutions. Anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing systems mandate the institutions to identify, report, and eliminate illegal financial transactions and to be transparent and accountable. Monitoring systems are increasingly complex and automated, and compliance with these requirements becomes harder.

The regulatory frameworks in the United States focus on risk-based approaches to financial crime detection. Institutions are supposed to install systems that are able to detect high-risk activities and adjust to the emerging threats. The monitoring systems based on data, as explained by Amoako et al. (2025), can meet this expectation because they allow dynamic evaluation of risks and learning. Machine learning models, as highlighted by Hossain (2025), are capable of updating the risk profiles when new data is provided, which facilitates more responsive compliance practices.

Nevertheless, there are issues of explainability and transparency that are associated with the application of advanced analytics. The regulatory authorities make it mandatory that the financial institutions know and explain the actions taken by their control mechanisms. This is mainly necessary when automated models are applied in creating alerts or impacting reporting decisions. Black-box models, as discussed by Abugri and Colley (2026), including some deep learning methods, can be able to give good results without clear explanations. This generates a conflict between the model performance and regulatory expectations, as emphasized by Canhoto (2021).

In order to deal with these issues, model governance frameworks are becoming more popular in institutions. Such models involve model validation, documentation, and performance monitoring. Validation makes sure that models work in the desired way and give credible results in various situations. Documentation, as noted by Adeniran et al. (2024), gives visibility into the design of the model, data source, and assumptions. Continual monitoring, as explained by Hossain (2025), enables institutions to identify model drift and sustain performance in the long run.

Another important element is data governance. The systems based on data are dependent on the high volumes of structured and unstructured data, which should be precise, full, and safe. Low data quality, as highlighted by Alonge et al. (2023), may result in wrong risk estimation and breach of regulations. The practices of data management, as discussed by Popoola (2023), that need to be done by the institutions are to be consistent, sound, and traceable. This involves data cleansing, standardization, and interoperability between different systems.

Reporting requirements are also influenced by the incorporation of information-based surveillance systems. The financial institutions are expected to submit suspicious activity reports depending on the determined risks. This process may be supported by using automated systems to create alerts and support evidence. Nevertheless, human control will be necessary to make sure that the reports are correct and adequate to context. Analysts, as explained by Ahmed et al.

(2025), need to reason and draw final conclusions using both quantitative and qualitative data they get as a result of using model outputs. This is further supported by Oztas et al. (2024).

The other significant concern is regulatory alignment in various technologies. Additional complexity is presented by the use of blockchain, decentralized finance, and cross-border payment system. Such technologies result in the production of new types of financial data and demand new methods of monitoring. The system based on data, as discussed by Ozili (2022), should be flexible to such changes without breaking the current regulations. This is further emphasized by Venčkauskas et al. (2024).

Ethics also contribute towards governance. Machine learning in financial monitoring creates doubts concerning fairness and bias. The models that are trained on historical data can either represent the existing disparities or be unable to identify some forms of illicit activity. Learning institutions, as highlighted by Abugri and Colley (2026), need to put in place measures to detect and reduce bias, such as testing of fairness and representation of diverse data.

Effective governance has to do with organization capacity. Banks should acquire skills in risk management, data science and compliance. This usually necessitates interdisciplinary work of technical teams and regulatory professionals. The training and capacity building, as explained by Amoako et al. (2025), are needed to make sure that the staff can read model outputs and operate complex monitoring systems. This is further supported by Adeniran et al. (2024).

6. Data-driven transaction monitoring system Challenges and Limitations.

There are a number of limitations to integrating data-driven transaction monitoring systems. The quality of data is one of the main areas of concern since incomplete or inconsistent data will decrease the reliability of the model and detection accuracy (Hossain, 2025; Alonge et al., 2023). Moreover, the poor access to labeled data limits the operations of the supervised learning models, especially when identifying unique and dynamic laundering patterns (Goecks et al., 2022; Mousavian & Miah, 2025). There are additional challenges of model interpretability. Complex models are usually not transparent, making it difficult to comply with the regulations and lessen the trust in automated choices (Abugri & Colley, 2026). The danger of bias also exacerbates these problems because models that are trained with historical data might reproduce the current distortions in financial behavior.

It is also resource-intensive in its implementation. Banks have to implement new systems and integrate them with old infrastructure and build technical and analytical skills (Adeniran et al., 2024). Secondly, financial crime is dynamic and thus demands constant updating of the model, which complicates operations (Mazumder, 2023; Mohamed, 2025). These limitations suggest that the success of data-driven monitoring systems requires long-term investment in data infrastructure, governance, and human control.

7. Discussion

The results of this review show that the systems of transaction monitoring based on data cannot be measured exclusively with references to technical performance. Their efficacy is realized through the interplay of detection powers, governance systems, and organizational assimilation. This relationship is one of the reasons why reported improvements in accuracy and efficiency are not evenly spread by institutions and settings.

The underlying trend in the literature is that machine learning models enhance detection accuracy, especially when it comes to detecting complex and previously unobservable pattern of transactions (Ajagbe et al., 2025; Segovia-Vargas, 2021). These improvements are however commonly reported in controlled conditions or in particular datasets. Real-world studies tend to show more fluctuated results particularly when the quality of data is questionable or when systems are not linked appropriately to the operational processes (Hossain, 2025; Oztas et al., 2024). This implies that the performance of a model is not a good enough measure of the effectiveness of a system.

The second pattern is that of reducing false positives. Some of the studies have found drastic improvements in the replacement or complement of rule-based systems with machine learning models (Popoola, 2023; Mousavier & Miah, 2025). Nonetheless, this decrease is attained by a higher degree of complexity of the models and dependence on probabilistic risk rating. This creates a trade-off between transparency and efficiency. Regulatory compliance is also problematic since models that minimize alert volumes can also be less interpretable. This conflict is not addressed in the existing literature and it is one of the main drawbacks of the data-based monitoring systems.

The governance role is thus significant. The review indicates that the institutions that have robust model validation, data governance, and oversight mechanisms have a higher possibility of attaining consistent gains in monitoring performance (Adeniran et al., 2024; Abugri & Colley, 2026). Conversely, the weak state apparatuses increase the risks of model obscurity, bias, and inconsistency of data. It means that governance is not a peripheral factor but a fundamental factor of system performance.

The results affirm a more extensive view of data-driven transaction monitoring as a socio-technical infrastructure, as opposed to a collection of analytical tools. Here, the detection models, data systems, regulatory, and human actors are interconnected elements. Alterations to one element influence the effectiveness of the whole mechanism. As an illustration, a better model performance might not be reflected in better performance in detection in case analysts do not have the capabilities to interpret model outputs, or when the data used is not reliable. This view justifies the existence of various outcomes of similar technologies in different institutions.

A structural dependence on data quality is also found in the literature. Machine learning models are based on past transaction history, which can be incomplete, biased, or not representative of new methods of laundering. Although there are studies that suggest the use of advanced algorithms to overcome these limitations, there is less research that looks at the problem behind these limitations, which is the generation and curation of data. This puts a disparity between technical innovation and practical applicability. The benefits of advanced analytics are limited without changes in the data infrastructure.

The other significant observation relates to the changing role of human analysts. Data-driven systems change the emphasis of compliance work to be more about detection by manual means to interpretation and validation. The analysts have to evaluate the outputs of the models, examine the flagged cases and make final decisions. This enhances the value of domain knowledge and judgment. Nevertheless, there is little empirical evidence in the literature of how analysts engage with such systems in practice. This is a massive gap because human-machine interaction is core to the performance of systems.

Another area that is brought out in the review is the discrepancies in measuring success. Numerous studies measure model performance based on precision, recall, and accuracy as a measure. Although these measures are effective, they do not reflect the larger results like regulatory compliance, operational efficiency, or institutional risk exposure. Such a small scope does not allow for determining the practical effect of the data-driven monitoring systems. Future studies are to use more inclusive evaluation frameworks, which encompass both technical and organizational aspects. Combined, these results imply that data-driven transaction monitoring systems are not always effective but contingent. Governance quality, data infrastructure, and organizational capacity mediate the improvements in detection and efficiency. This debunks the premise that technological adoption is the sole cause of improved compliance results.

7.1. Detection, Efficiency, and Governance Interdependence.

Enhancement of detection accuracy is directly correlated with the system efficiency and governance systems. Machine learning models contribute to the ability to spot suspicious deals, yet their usefulness varies depending on the way of their implementation into operational processes. Such systems that produce correct alerts yet are not consistent with analyst processes can not achieve better results in practice. This places the significance of harmonizing the technical performance and institutional processes (Hossain, 2025; Oztas et al., 2024).

The workload of compliance teams is minimized by efficiency, especially automation and real-time processing. Nevertheless, such gains also pose new risks when there is a lack of adequate development of governance mechanisms. Automated systems are capable of handling a lot of data, but unless appropriate supervision is done, they can commit errors that are hard to detect. It forms a dependency of efficiency and governance, in which one area must be reinforced by the other (Adeniran et al., 2024).

Governance is hence a key role. The validation of models, data management and regulatory compliance frameworks is what defines whether data-driven systems generate reliable and accountable data. The institutions investing in the governance structures have higher chances of attaining sustained progress in performance monitoring. Conversely, poor governance may negate the positive effects of sophisticated analytics and subject institutions to regulatory risk (Abugri & Colley, 2026).

7.2. Data-driven Surveillance as an Infrastructure of Financial Control.

The merger of data-driven systems turns into a controlled environment for monitoring transactions rather than a collection of tools. Such systems incorporate risk assessment, decision-making, and reporting processes into digital architectures. Consequently, they influence the interpretation and reaction of financial institutions to financial activity. This revolution alters the position of human analysts. Instead of manually uncovering the suspicious transactions, analysts are reading more model outputs and exploring flagged cases. The change needs new skills, such as the capability to comprehend model behavior and evaluate the credibility of automated decisions. It also alters the accountability frameworks since the responsibility decisions are based on human interpretation and algorithmic results (Canhoto, 2021). The infrastructure lens also describes the co-existence of the advantages and difficulties. Data-driven systems increase the ability to detect and bring the issue of transparency and bias. These consequences do not contradict each other but represent the duality of algorithmic systems. Their effectiveness is determined by the way they are designed, implemented and regulated within institutional settings (Hossain, 2025).

7.3. U.S. Financial Institutions Implications.

The consequences of data-driven transaction monitoring are especially important in the United States, where regulatory demands are high and financial systems are too complicated. The institutions need to weigh between innovation requirements and compliance requirements. This balance is supported by data-driven solutions that allow a more accurate assessment of risk but demand transparency and accountability. There should be an investment in data infrastructure and human capital. Financial institutions are forced to build data integration capabilities, model development capabilities, and compliance management capabilities. This involves training personnel on how to operate sophisticated analytical systems and to analyze their results. The advantages of data-driven systems can be inadequately achieved without such capabilities (Amoako et al., 2025).

Regulatory participation is also significant. The institutions should also collaborate with the regulators in order to make sure that new technologies are used in a compliant way. This involves coming up with explainability, model validation, and reporting standards. Regulatory guidance can be used to encourage the implementation of data-driven systems and minimise uncertainty and compliance risk (Canhoto, 2021).

8. Literature Deficiencies and Future Research Avenues.

The study of data-driven transaction monitoring is still insufficient and quite disjointed with major gaps in theory and practice. Numerous investigations are concerned with either the performance of algorithms or regulatory compliance; however, they rarely explore the interaction between these aspects in the context of real-world systems. This integration gap renders it hard to comprehend the full reason behind the difference in performance of monitoring systems in different institutions. Also, the majority of available studies are based on simulations or small datasets, which makes them less applicable to real-life settings and subject to doubt regarding their effectiveness in the long term in the context of changing financial crime.

The conceptualization of these systems also has great theoretical gaps. The current research is found to be split up in terms of disciplines, with technical research focusing on model performance and financial studies focusing on compliance issues. There are not many frameworks that relate technological capabilities to organizational results, governance forms, or decision-making. The other neglected consideration is the aspect of human judgment in automated systems as well as interactions of analysts with models, how to develop trust, validation of outputs, and how to share responsibility in a hybrid human-machine setup.

Empirical data is also constrained, with most of the studies dependent upon case studies, controlled data or proprietary data that is not easily replicable or comparable. The fact is that there is a dearth of large-scale, longitudinal studies that can investigate the performance of monitoring systems over time in the real world. Moreover, the organizational impact of these systems, including their effects on the workflows, decision-making, and the results of compliance, have received little attention. It is also challenging to compare various approaches as there are no standardized datasets and evaluation benchmarks.

The future studies must embrace the mixed-method studies that integrate the quantitative performance analysis with the qualitative understanding of implementation and decision-making. Cross-institutional and cross regulatory studies are required to determine in what conditions such systems work best. Explainability, fairness and transparency should also be given more priority particularly with the changing regulatory expectations. With the ongoing development of data-driven monitoring systems, financial institutions will be obliged to be more integrated and adaptive, as well as redefine the role of human expertise with handling towards interpretation, oversight, and strategic decision-making.

9. Conclusion

The current data on the topic of data-driven transaction monitoring is still disjointed and uneven. The major weakness is the absence of cohesive theoretical frameworks. The majority of studies test the performance of algorithms or adherence to regulations separately. Limited research is done on the interaction of these dimensions in operational systems. It restricts the possibility to describe the individual difference in the effectiveness of systems in different institutions (Goecks et al., 2022; Canhoto, 2021). There is also a lack of empirical evidence. Most studies are based on simulation or limited datasets, diminishing the external validity. Longitudinal studies of the performance of monitoring systems over time in response to changes in financial crime strategies are lacking. This poses a question mark on the sustainability of reported performance gains (Mousavier & Miah, 2025). The future research must implement mixed-method designs, which would involve quantitative measurement of model performance and qualitative approach to the implementation and decision-making processes. This would give a more detailed insight into the practical functioning of systems. A comparison of institutions and regulatory settings is also required to determine the circumstances in which data-driven systems perform best.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abugri, C., & Colley, V. (2026). Bias, fairness, and explainability in AI-driven credit scoring: A critical review of algorithmic governance in financial risk assessment.

[2] Adeniran, I. A., Abhulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele, A. N., Osundare, O. S., Agu, E. E., & Efunniyi, C. P. (2024). Strategic risk management in financial institutions: Ensuring robust regulatory compliance. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6*(8), 1582–1596.

[3] Ahmed, W., Alam, M., Soomro, M. A., & Verginio, M. R. C. (2025). Strengthening the role of financial intelligence units (FIUs) in detecting and preventing complex money laundering schemes. *Inverge Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(3), 298–310.

[4] Ajagbe, S. A., Majola, S., & Mudali, P. (2025). Comparative analysis of machine learning algorithms for money laundering detection. *Discover Artificial Intelligence, 5*(1), 144.

[5] Alonge, E. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Chibunna, B., Ubanadu, A. I. D., Balogun, E. D., & Ogunsola, K. O. (2023). Data-driven risk management in U.S. financial institutions: A theoretical perspective on process optimization. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals.*

[6] Alotibi, J., Almutanni, B., Alsubait, T., Alhakami, H., & Baz, A. (2022). Money laundering detection using machine learning and deep learning. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 13*(10).

[7] Amoako, D., Boboye, C. O., Boateng, V., & Nii-Laryea Laryea, J. E. (2025). Artificial intelligence and machine learning for fraud detection in the U.S. banking industry: Regulatory frameworks, implementation, and challenges.

[8] Amoako, D., Obodai, T. N., Amoako, E. K., Mensah, N., & Adukpo, T. K. (2025). Leveraging machine learning, deep learning, and 6G technologies in anti-money laundering strategies. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting.*

[9] Amoako, E. K. W., Boateng, V., Ajay, O., Adukpo, T. K., & Mensah, N. (2025). Exploring the role of machine learning and deep learning in anti-money laundering strategies within the U.S. financial industry. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 7*(1), 22–36.

[10] Amoako, E. K., Okeke, P. T., Boateng, V., Bonsu, M. A., & Oman-Amoako, M. (2025). Enhancing risk management and fraud detection in the U.S. financial industry through machine learning algorithms.

[11] Barcio, S. (2025). *Network analysis enhancements to improve anti-money laundering transaction monitoring systems in banks* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[12] Boateng, V., Amoako, E. K., Bonsu, M. A., & Oman-Amoako, M. (2025). Assessing the impact of machine learning models on identifying terrorism financing patterns within the U.S. financial industry.

[13] Boateng, N. V., Amoako, N. E. K., Ajay, N. O., & Adukpo, N. T. K. (2025). Harnessing artificial intelligence for combating money laundering and fraud in the U.S. financial industry. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal.*

[14] Canhoto, A. I. (2021). Leveraging machine learning in the global fight against money laundering and terrorism financing: An affordances perspective. *Journal of Business Research, 131*, 441–452.

[15] Castelao-López, J., Corzo Santamaría, T., & Lagoa-Varela, D. (2025). Analysis of the main techniques and tools to combat money laundering: A review of the literature. *Journal of Money Laundering Control.*

[16] Cipriani, M., Goldberg, L. S., & La Spada, G. (2023). Financial sanctions, SWIFT, and the architecture of the international payment system. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 37*(1), 31–52.

[17] Goecks, L. S., Korzenowski, A. L., Gonçalves Terra Neto, P., de Souza, D. L., & Mareth, T. (2022). Anti-money laundering and financial fraud detection: A systematic literature review. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management, 29*(2), 71–85.

[18] Hossain, M. A. (2025). Data-driven compliance frameworks for anti-money laundering (AML) and tax risk management in financial institutions. *International Journal of Scientific Interdisciplinary Research, 6*(2), 88–101.

[19] Lokanan, M. E. (2023). Financial fraud detection: The use of visualization techniques in credit card fraud and money laundering domains. *Journal of Money Laundering Control, 26*(3), 436–444.

[20] Malempati, M. (2023). A data-driven framework for real-time fraud detection in financial transactions using machine learning and big data analytics. SSRN 5230220.

[21] Mazumder, P. T. (2023). Data-driven detection of trade-based money laundering (TBML): A predictive analytics framework for securing U.S. supply chains and financial integrity. *SAMRIDDHI: A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 15*(04), 423–432.

[22] Mazumder, P. T. (2025a). Harnessing fintech innovations for anti-money laundering: A data-driven approach. SSRN 5259084.

[23] Mazumder, P. T. (2025b). AI-driven anti-money laundering systems for cybersecurity resilience in U.S. financial infrastructure. *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7*(03), 90–97.

[24] Mohamed, B. (2025). The use of artificial intelligence in combating financial crimes and money laundering in international trade: A data-driven analysis (2010–2024). *International Journal of Economic Performance, 8*(1), 310–330.

[25] Mousavian, S., & Miah, S. J. (2025). Review of artificial intelligence-based applications for money laundering detection. *Intelligent Systems with Applications.*

[26] Nartey, O. L., Agyei, E., Anim, B., & Yeboah, M. M. (2026). AI and blockchain in forensic auditing: A review of tools for investigating financial crimes in the U.S.

[27] Okeke, P. T., Okumu, F., Obodai, T., Adams, I., & Buabin, W. K. A. (2025). Predictive analytics in financial forecasting: A comparative study of machine learning techniques.

[28] Opoku, E. K., Okumu, F., & Buabin, W. K. A. (2025). The role of financial inclusion in strengthening AML/CFT frameworks: Balancing access and security in the U.S. financial system.

[29] Oztas, B., Cetinkaya, D., Adedoyin, F., Budka, M., Aksu, G., & Dogan, H. (2024). Transaction monitoring in anti-money laundering: A qualitative analysis and industry perspectives. *Future Generation Computer Systems, 159*, 161–171.

[30] Ozili, P. K. (2022). Decentralized finance research and developments around the world. *Journal of Banking and Financial Technology, 6*(2), 117–133.

[31] Popoola, N. T. (2023). Big data-driven financial fraud detection and anomaly detection systems for regulatory compliance and market stability. *International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research, 12*(9), 32–46.

[32] Riccardi, M. (2025). A data-driven approach to measure money laundering risk and its relationship with corruption. *Journal of Economic Criminology.*

[33] Segovia-Vargas, M. J. (2021). Money laundering and terrorism financing detection using neural networks and an abnormality indicator. *Expert Systems with Applications, 169*, 114470.

[34] Venčkauskas, A., Grigaliūnas, Š., Pocius, L., Brūzgienė, R., & Romanovs, A. (2024). Machine learning in money laundering detection over blockchain technology. *IEEE Access, 13*, 7555–7573.

[35] Vududala, S. K. (2024). Enhancing anti-money laundering compliance using NICE Actimize: A data-driven approach. *International Journal of Computer Techniques, 11*(2).