World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Reassessment of the U.S. food pyramid framework considering contemporary systematic evidence on dietary fat and metabolic health

Valerie Ann Loveland * and Sandra El Hajj

Department of Public Health, Faculty of Allied Health, Everglades University, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 30(01), 125-132

Publication history: Received on 24 February 2026; revised on 31 March 2026; accepted on 02 April 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2026.30.1.0824

Abstract

Since its introduction in 1992, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Pyramid has significantly influenced national dietary behavior, institutional food policy, and public health messaging. Developed during a period when cardiovascular mortality was the primary public health concern, the Pyramid emphasized total fat reduction and increased grain consumption as central strategies for disease prevention. However, subsequent decades have coincided with marked increases in obesity, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes, prompting re-evaluation of the evidentiary foundation underlying early fat-restrictive guidance.

This paper examines the historical development of U.S. dietary guidelines, including influence of early epidemiological research on saturated fat and cardiovascular disease, and evaluates the contemporary systematic reviews and meta-analyses addressing dietary fat, red meat consumption carbohydrate restriction, and metabolic outcomes. Attention is given to distinctions between processed and unprocessed red meat, fat quality versus total fat intake, and the role of carbohydrate refinement in glycemic regulation.

Contemporary systematic evidence warrants re-evaluation of the fat-restrictive, grain-forward structure of the early USDA dietary guidelines. Emerging data indicate that dietary quality, carbohydrate refinement, and metabolically relevant endpoints provide a more accurate framework for chronic disease prevention.

Keywords: Food Pyramid; Metabolic Health; Dietary Fat; Cardiovascular Health; Dietary Guidelines

1. Introduction

The USDA first introduced the Food Guide Pyramid in 1992 as a visual tool to simplify public nutrition messaging. Its design emphasized high carbohydrate intake, moderate protein, and minimal fats with the primary goal of reducing cardiovascular disease (Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2025; National Agricultural Library, 2008). Epidemiological research, particularly Ancel Keys' Seven Countries Study, provided initial evidence linking saturated fat consumption to heart disease, influencing the widespread adoption of low-fat dietary recommendations (Keys, 2025; Pett et al., 2017).

Public Health agencies adopted the pyramid across schools, hospitals, and community programs. Its success was due to its simplicity and visual clarity, allowing consumers to conceptualize recommended food choices. However, the pyramid's grain-heavy, low-fat framework deprioritized nutrient-dense fats and proteins essential for metabolic regulation, creating a mismatch between public guidance and long-term metabolic outcomes, including insulin resistance, obesity, and type 2 diabetes (Papadaki et al., 2020; Chiavaroli et al., 2018).

* Corresponding author: Valerie Ann Loveland

This paper argues that contemporary systematic evidence warrants re-evaluation of the fat-restrictive, grain-forward structure of early USDA guidelines, as emerging data indicate that dietary quality, carbohydrate refinement, and metabolically relevant endpoints provide a more accurate framework for chronic disease prevention.

2. Metabolic and Cardiovascular Limitations of Low-Fat Guidelines

Subsequent research highlights that not all dietary fats negatively impact cardiovascular health. Certain fats, particularly monounsaturated and saturated fats from whole foods have been shown to improve lipid profiles by increasing HDL cholesterol and supporting endothelial function (Castellana et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2023). Diets incorporating these fats also reduce inflammation markers associated with atherosclerosis, demonstrating protective effects overlooked in the original low-fat pyramid (Harvard Health Publishing, 2020; Papadaki et al., 2020).

Moreover, systematic reviews indicated that dietary patterns emphasizing high-quality proteins and balanced fats can reduce cardiovascular risk and improve metabolic health (Chiavaroli et al., 2018; Papadaki et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2023). The original food pyramid, focusing on carbohydrate predominance and fat restriction, inadvertently neglected these relationships, contributing to the rise in metabolic syndrome and persistence in cardiovascular risk in the population.

3. Evolving Evidence and Methodological Insights

The USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review team has since strengthened the rigor of public health nutrition recommendations by incorporating systematic review methodologies and evidence grading frameworks (Spill et al., 2022; Obbagy et al., 2022). Modern analyses integrate controlled trials and meta-analyses, providing more nuanced insights into the effects of macronutrient composition on metabolic and cardiovascular health. For example, controlled trials comparing animal-and plant-based proteins demonstrate differential effects on nutrient status and cardiovascular biomarkers, underscoring the need for precision in dietary guidance (Pellinen et al., 2022).

Recent meta-analyses also question the validity of early studies, such as the Keys' Seven Countries Study, due to methodological limitations, including selective country inclusion and funding sources, which may have biased outcomes (Pett et al., 2017; Keys, 2025). By re-examining these foundational studies, contemporary research provides a more evidence-based framework for evaluating dietary recommendations.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. The Rise of Metabolic Disease

Since the introduction of the original pyramid, rates of metabolic disease have increased markedly in the United States. Longitudinal studies indicate rising prevalence of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and metabolic syndrome among adults, with the CDC reporting obesity prevalence exceeding 40% in adults as of 2023 (Shi et al., 2023). Diets high in refined carbohydrates, as emphasized in early dietary guidelines including the 1992 Food Pyramid, contribute to chronic hyperinsulinemia, hepatic fat accumulation, and visceral adiposity, which are key drivers of metabolic dysfunction (Papadaki et al., 2020; Castellana et al., 2020).

Modern dietary strategies focus on nutrient-dense foods, macronutrient balance, and blood glucose stabilization. For example, Mediterranean and ketogenic-style dietary patterns demonstrate reductions in fasting glucose, insulin resistance, and body fat percentage compared to high-carbohydrate, low-fat approaches (Papadaki et al., 2020; Castellana et al., 2020). High-quality protein and nutrient-dense fats enhance satiety, reduce postprandial glucose excursions, and improve lipid profiles, mitigating the pathophysiological mechanisms associated with metabolic syndrome (Shi et al., 2023; Castellana et al., 2020).

High-quality proteins, particularly from animal sources, slow gastric emptying and enhance satiety, supporting insulin sensitivity and body weight regulation. Healthy fats, including monounsaturated and saturated fats from whole foods, modulate inflammatory pathways implicated in cardiovascular and metabolic disease (Castellana et al., 2020; Obbagy et al., 2022). When consumed with low-glycemic vegetables, these dietary patterns reduce the amplitude of postprandial blood glucose spikes, a critical factor in preventing the development of type 2 diabetes (Papadaki et al., 2020).

The rise of obesity, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia in post-guidelines decades, highlights a disconnect between early dietary guidelines and long-term metabolic outcomes. High-carbohydrate patterns promoted in the 1992 Food

Pyramid inadvertently exacerbated chronic inflammation, postprandial hyperglycemia, and hepatic fat deposition, contributing to metabolic syndrome and increased cardiovascular risk (Shi et al., 2023; Papadaki et al., 2020).

4.2. Historical Research That Shaped Past Recommendations

The development of late twentieth-century dietary guidance was heavily influenced by early epidemiological investigations examining dietary fat and cardiovascular disease. Among the most prominent was the Seven Countries Study, led by Ancel Keys, which reported associations between saturated fat intake, serum cholesterol levels, and coronary heart disease mortality across selected populations (Pett et al., 2017; Keys 2025). These findings contributed to the hypothesis that dietary saturated fat was a principal modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease.

While influential, early observational studies were limited by methodological constraints inherent to nutritional epidemiology. The Seven Countries Study, for example, examined specific populations rather than globally representative samples and relied on dietary assessment tools subject to recall bias and measurement error (Pett et al., 2017). Additionally, early analyses did not fully account for confounding variables such as food processing, refined carbohydrate intake, smoking prevalence, socioeconomic status, or broader lifestyle patterns. The complexity of dietary patterns and metabolic responses was not yet well understood, and long-term metabolic endpoints such as insulin resistance and glycemic control were not primary outcomes of interest at the time.

As a result, public health messaging throughout the 1980s and 1990s emphasized dietary fat restriction, particularly saturated fat, as a central preventative strategy against cardiovascular disease. This emphasis shaped the 1992 USDA Food Guide Pyramid and subsequent dietary recommendations (National Agricultural Library, 2008; Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2025). The focus on total fat reduction often occurred without equivalent attention to carbohydrate quality, glycemic load, or overall nutrient density.

Subsequent research has demonstrated that replacing dietary animal-sourced proteins with plant-sourced proteins alters micronutrient intake and status in measurable ways. In a 12-week, randomized controlled trial, Pellinen et al. (2022) observed that substitution of animal proteins with plant proteins led to changes in vitamin and mineral biomarkers, including reductions in vitamin B12 and certain bioavailable micronutrients. These findings underscore that protein source substitution has physiological consequences beyond macronutrient distribution and highlight the importance of evaluating dietary recommendations within a broader nutrient-density framework.

Contemporary evidence evaluation methods employed by the USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review team, reflect an evolution in the grading synthesis nutrition research (Spill et al., 2022; Obbagy et al., 2022). Modern systematic reviews incorporate more rigorous methodologies, including risk-of-bias assessment, standardized evidence grading, and evaluation of metabolic outcomes beyond serum cholesterol alone. This methodological advancement reflects recognition that early dietary models were developed within a narrower cardiovascular framework and did not fully account for metabolic health indicators now recognized as central to chronic disease risk.

The historical trajectory of dietary recommendations illustrates how early epidemiological associations informed national policy during a period when cardiovascular mortality was the dominant health concern. However, limitations in study design, evolving understanding of macronutrient metabolism, and emerging evidence on insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome, indicated that foundational dietary guidance was constructed on an incomplete representation of long-term metabolic health. Contemporary research methodologies now permit a more nuanced evaluation of dietary fat, protein sources, and carbohydrate quality in relation to cardiometabolic risk.

4.3. Where Are We Now?

Contemporary nutrition science reflects a measurable shift away from singular macronutrient restriction toward evaluation of overall dietary patterns and metabolic outcomes. While federal dietary guidance continues to emphasize fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and lean protein, recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have increasingly examined lower-carbohydrate and higher-fat dietary models in relation to cardiometabolic risk markers.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials evaluating the Mediterranean dietary pattern demonstrated significant improvements in fasting glucose, insulin sensitivity, lipid profiles, and body composition when compared with standard low-fat diets (Papadaki et al., 2020). These findings suggest that dietary fat quality, rather than total fat reduction, plays a critical role in cardiovascular and metabolic regulation.

Similarly, a meta-analysis examining very low-calorie ketogenic diets (VLCKD) in adults with overweight and obesity found significant reductions in body weight, visceral adiposity, triglycerides, and markers of insulin resistance alongside improvements in HDL cholesterol (Castellana et al., 2020). These data indicate that carbohydrate restriction, when implemented within a structured and nutrient dense framework, can produce clinically meaningful metabolic improvements.

Research evaluating red meat consumption provides further nuance. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis assessing red meat intake in relation to cardiovascular disease and diabetes distinguished between processed and unprocessed red meat (Shi et al., 2023). While processed meats were associated with increased cardiometabolic risk, moderate unprocessed red meat demonstrated weaker and less consistent associations, particularly when evaluated with broader dietary patterns. These findings underscore the importance of dietary context, food quality, and processing methods rather than isolated macronutrient categorization.

Additionally, controlled trials investigating plant-versus animal-sourced protein substitution demonstrate that macronutrient shifts influence micronutrient status and metabolic markers (Pellinen et al., 2022). While plant-based substitutions may improve certain lipid markers, reductions in vitamin B12 and bioavailable iron have been observed in vulnerable populations, emphasizing that protein source selection has implications beyond cholesterol management.

Collectively contemporary evidence reflects a broader framework in which dietary fat is no longer uniformly categorized as harmful and carbohydrate quality is recognized as central to metabolic health. Emerging dietary models sometimes described as “reverse pyramid” or rebalanced approaches prioritize nutrient-dense fats and adequate protein while incorporating vegetables and minimizing refined carbohydrate intake. These strategies focus on stabilizing postprandial glucose, reducing systemic inflammation, and improving insulin sensitivity, all of which are central mechanisms in cardiometabolic disease progression.

Rather than representing a departure from public health objectives, this evolution reflects expanded scientific understanding of metabolic physiology. Current evidence increasingly supports dietary models that evaluate food quality, macronutrient balance, and long-term metabolic endpoints, aligning nutritional guidance with contemporary epidemiological data on obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease.

4.4. Translating the Guidelines into Daily Life

Practical application of the reverse pyramid includes mindful meal composition, nutrient timing, and food preparation strategies. For example, starting meals with proteins and fats, followed by vegetables, reduces postprandial glucose spikes and enhances satiety. Mindful eating practices, such as observing hunger cues and eating without distractions, further support metabolic balance.

4.5. Re-examining Red Meat

Dietary guidance regarding red meat has historically been shaped by observational associations linking meat consumption with cardiovascular disease. However, recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have introduced greater distinction between processed and unprocessed red meat as well as between dietary context and isolated food exposure.

A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of Shi et al. (2023) evaluated red meat consumption in relation to cardiovascular disease and diabetes risk. The analysis demonstrated stronger and more consistent association between processed meat intake and adverse cardiometabolic outcomes. In contrast, unprocessed red meat showed weaker and less consistent associations, particularly when evaluated within overall dietary patterns rather than as a standalone exposure. These findings suggest that processing methods, sodium content, preservatives, and co-consumption with refined carbohydrates may contribute to observed risk profiles.

Importantly, unprocessed red meat is a concentrated source of bioavailable iron, zinc, vitamin B12, and complete protein. These nutrients play critical roles in oxygen transport, immune regulation, neurological function, and lean body mass maintenance. In metabolic dietary frameworks that reduce refined carbohydrate intake, red meat may contribute to improved satiety, lower total caloric intake, and improved glycemic regulation through its protein and fat composition (Castellana et al., 2020).

Earlier epidemiological models often did not sufficiently adjust for confounding variables such as smoking, sedentary lifestyle, or overall dietary pattern quality. Nor did they consistently differentiate between processed meats and whole food animal products. Modern analyses increasingly emphasize dietary pattern context, suggesting that the metabolic impact of red meat depends on accompanying foods, preparation methods, and total macronutrient distribution.

When incorporated into balanced dietary patterns emphasizing vegetables, fiber, and minimal ultra processed carbohydrates, modern intake of unprocessed red meat does not uniformly demonstrate the magnitude of cardiovascular risk suggested in earlier public health messaging (Shi et al, 2023). These findings highlight the importance of refining risk models to distinguish food quality and processing from generalized macronutrient categorization in future studies.

Figure 1 Representation of unprocessed red meat prepared in a whole-food dietary context. Emerging evidence indicates that associations between red meat consumption and cardiometabolic disease are influenced by processing, dietary pattern, and lifestyle factors, highlighting the importance of evaluating food quality and context rather than isolated macronutrient categories

4.6. The Role of Fat in Metabolic Health

Dietary fat has undergone significant reevaluation in contemporary nutrition science. Early dietary models emphasized total fat restriction to reduce cardiovascular risk; however, modern evidence suggests that fat quality and dietary context are more predictive of metabolic outcomes than total fat quantity alone.

The Mediterranean dietary pattern characterized by higher intake of monounsaturated fats from olive oil, nuts, and fish, has demonstrated improvements in fasting glucose, insulin sensitivity, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol in controlled trials (Papadaki et al., 2020). These findings indicated that dietary fat could support cardiometabolic regulation when sourced from nutrient-dense whole foods.

Similarly, very low-carbohydrate ketogenic interventions have shown reduction in body weight, visceral adiposity, triglycerides, and markers of insulin resistance alongside increases in HDL cholesterol (Castellana et al., 2020). These outcomes suggest that higher-fat dietary models, when paired with carbohydrate reduction and adequate protein intake, may improve metabolic flexibility and glycemic control.

Physiologically, dietary fats contribute to satiety through delayed gastric emptying and modulation of hunger hormones, potentially reducing overall caloric intake. Fats are also essential for absorption of fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E, and K, which influence immune function, bone metabolism, and vascular health. Emerging evidence suggests that adequate fat intake may reduce postprandial glucose variability and oxidative stress by displacing refined carbohydrate intake within meals.

Importantly, contemporary research differentiates between trans fats and highly processed fats which are consistently associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes, and naturally occurring fats from whole foods, which demonstrate more neutral or beneficial associations in controlled settings. This distinction reinforces the need to evaluate fat within the context of overall dietary pattern rather than through total gram restriction.

Current evidence supports a more nuanced framework in which dietary fats, particularly from minimally processed sources, can contribute positively to metabolic health. This shift reflects the limitations of earlier fat-restrictive guidance.

Table 1 Dietary Fat, Metabolic Health, and Evolving Nutritional Framework

Domain	**Earlier Paradigm**	**Contemporary Evidence**	**Implications for Metabolic Health**
Dietary Fat	Emphasis on total fat restriction to reduce cardiovascular risk	Fat quality and dietary context are more predictive than total fat quantity	Supports conclusion of nutrient-dense fats rather than blanket restriction
Mediterranean Diet Evidence	Not central to early U.S. guidance	Improved fasting glucose, insulin sensitivity, triglycerides, and HDL (Papadaki et al., 2020)	Monosaturated fats from whole foods support cardiometabolic regulation
Low-Carbohydrate/Ketogenic Diets	Historically discouraged due to high fat content	Reductions in body weight, visceral adiposity, triglycerides, insulin resistance, increased HDL (Castellana et al., 2020)	Higher-fat, lower-carbohydrate models may improve metabolic flexibility and glycemic control
Physiological Role of Fat	Viewed primarily as energy-dense and potentially harmful	Promotes satiety, supports hormone regulation, enables absorption of vitamins A, D, E, K	May reduce caloric intake, stabilize glucose, and support systemic health
Postprandial Metabolism	Limited focus in early models	Reduced glucose variability and oxidative stress when fats replace refined carbohydrates	Highlights importance of macronutrient balance in glycemic control
Fat Type Distinction	Limited differentiation between fat types	Trans and highly processed fats associated with harm; whole-food fats show neutral/beneficial effects	Reinforces need to distinguish processing and food quality
Dietary Pattern Context	Focus on isolated nutrients (e.g., total fat, cholesterol)	Emphasis on whole dietary patterns, preparation methods, and food combinations	Shifts evaluation from single nutrients to overall diet quality
Public Health Outcomes	Low-fat, grain-forward recommendations widely adopted	Rising obesity, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes	Suggested unintended consequences of fat-restrictive guidance
Research Evolution	Focus on serum cholesterol as primary endpoint	Broader markers: insulin sensitivity, inflammation, visceral adiposity, lipid subfractions	Provides more comprehensive assessment of cardiometabolic risk
Red Meat Context (Integration)	Often grouped broadly with high-fat foods	Distinction between processed and unprocessed; context-dependent effects	Aligns with broader shift toward evaluating food quality and preparation.
Conceptual Framework	Macronutrients categorized as harmful vs beneficial	Evaluation based on metabolic outcomes and nutrient density	Supports individualized flexible dietary models
Emerging Model	Traditional food pyramid emphasizing low fat	Rebalanced or "reversed" pyramid emphasizing whole foods and metabolic health	Reflects adaptation of guidelines to current evidence.

Table 1. Summary of the evolving role of dietary fat in metabolic health and the transition from early fat-restrictive dietary models to contemporary frameworks emphasizing fat quality, dietary pattern, and metabolic outcomes.

Emerging evidence supports the inclusion of minimally processed dietary fats within balanced dietary patterns to improve cardiometabolic markers and overall metabolic health.

4.7. Evolving Framework and Synthesis

The trajectory of U.S. dietary guidance reflects an evolving scientific understanding of cardiometabolic physiology. Early national recommendations were constructed during a period when cardiovascular mortality was the dominant health concern, and serum cholesterol was viewed as the primary modifiable risk marker. As a result, total dietary fat reduction became a central organizing principle of national guidance.

However, longitudinal population data demonstrates that the decades following widespread adoption of low-fat, grain-forward dietary messaging were marked by substantial increases in obesity, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes. These trends suggest that focusing narrowly on total fat reduction without equivalent attention to carbohydrate quality, glycemic load, food processing, and nutrient density may have produced unintended metabolic consequences.

Contemporary systematic reviews and controlled trials now evaluate broader endpoints, including insulin sensitivity, inflammatory markers, visceral adiposity, and lipid subfractions. Evidence from Mediterranean dietary interventions demonstrates improvements in glycemic regulation and lipid profiles when dietary fat quality is prioritized. Similarly, structured low-carbohydrate and ketogenic approaches show clinically meaningful reductions in body weight, triglycerides, and insulin resistance. Modern analyses of red meat further distinguish between processed and unprocessed sources, emphasizing dietary context and preparation methods over blanket macronutrient avoidance.

Collectively, these findings reflect the maturation of nutrition science. Rather than categorizing macronutrients as inherently harmful or beneficial, current evidence supports evaluating dietary patterns based on metabolic outcomes, nutrient density, and long-term cardiometabolic markers. The conceptual shift toward a rebalance or “reversed” pyramid framework represents not a rejection of public health goals, but an adaptation to emerging evidence regarding chronic disease prevention.

5. Conclusion

This review examined the historical development of the 1992 USDA Food Pyramid and evaluated its scientific foundation in light of contemporary nutrition research and metabolic health outcomes. While early dietary guidance emphasized total fat reduction to address cardiovascular risk, current evidence from systematic reviews and controlled trials indicates that dietary patterns emphasizing nutrient-dense fats, high-quality protein, whole foods, and reduced refined carbohydrate intake are associated with improved insulin sensitivity lipid markers, body composition, and inflammatory regulation. These findings suggest that metabolic health is influenced more by overall dietary composition, carbohydrate quality, and food processing than by total fat intake alone, supporting a shift toward a rebalanced dietary framework that prioritizes metabolic stability, satiety, glycemic control, and nutrient density. This study contributes to the ongoing refinement of public health nutrition guidance by supporting evidence-based dietary frameworks that may help reduce chronic disease burden and inform future nutrition policy and research.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to Dr. Sandra Hajj for her guidance, encouragement, and editorial support during the development of this article, as well as providing the academic foundation and mentorship necessary to undertake this work. The author also acknowledges Everglades University for providing the academic environment and opportunities that support research, writing, and dissemination of knowledge for the advancement of student and professional learning.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest. There was no external funding of this paper.

Statement of informed consent

There were no individual cases used in the review of these articles or writing this paper.

References

[1] Angeliki Papadaki, E. N.-D. (2020, October 30). The Effect of the Mediterranean Diet on Metabolic Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials in Adults. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33143083/

[2] Berger, R. (2026, January 1). 7 Best Budgeting Apps (Free, Paid, and Mint Alternatives). Retrieved from Rob Berger: https://robberger.com/best-budgeting-apps/?utm_source=bing&utm_medium=cpc&utm_campaign=521901929&utm_content=&utm_term=financial%20planning%20budgeting%20tools&utm_id={campaignname}&place=&net=o&match=b&adgroupid=1316117875676963&msclkid=1acd24139fe010e3b

[3] Dietary Guidelines for Americans. (2025). Previous Editions of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Retrieved from Dietary Guidelines for Americans: https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/about-dietary-guidelines/previous-editions

[4] Gabrielle Kalisz, K. H. (2026, January 20). The Food Pyramid Gets Flipped: A New Rebase Nutrition Policy. Retrieved from Paragon Health Institute: https://paragoninstitute.org/paragon-prognosis/the-food-pyramid-gets-flipped-a-new-rebase-in-nutrition-policy/

[5] Harvard Health Publishing. (2020, February 1). What's the Beef with Red Meat? Retrieved from Harvard Health Publishing: https://www.health.harvard.edu/staying-healthy/whats-the-beef-with-red-meat

[6] Hello Fresh. (2026). Hello Fresh. Retrieved from Hello Fresh: https://robberger.com/best-budgeting-apps/?utm_source=bing&utm_medium=cpc&utm_campaign=521901929&utm_content=&utm_term=financial%20planning%20budgeting%20tools&utm_id={campaignname}&place=&net=o&match=b&adgroupid=1316117875676963&msclkid=1acd24139fe010e3b

[7] Julie Obbagy, R. R. (2022, August 9). Strengthening Research that Answers Nutrition Questions of Public Health Importance: Leveraging the Experience of the USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review Team. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35704675/

[8] Katherine Pett, W. C. (2017, November 7). The Seven Countries Study. Retrieved from Oxford Academic: https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-abstract/38/42/3119/4600167

[9] Keys, A. (2025, January). Launching the Seven Countries Study. Retrieved from Seven Country Studies: https://www.sevencountriesstudy.com/about-the-study/investigators/ancel-keys/

[10] Laura Chiavaroli, S. K.-S. (2018, May/June). Portfolio Dietary Pattern and Cardiovascular Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Controlled Trials. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29807048/

[11] Marco Castellana, E. C. (2020, March 21). Efficacy and Safety of Very Low-Calorie Ketogenic Diet (VLCKD) in Patients with Overweight and Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31705259/

[12] Maureen K Spill, L. K. (2022, August 1). Perspective: USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review Methodology: Grading the Strength of Evidence in Nutrition- and Public Health-Related Systematic Reviews. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34918032/

[13] National Agricultural Library. (2008, September). Dietary Guidelines for Americans: A Historical Overview. Retrieved from National Agricultural Library: https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GOVPUB-A17-PURL-gpo62432/pdf/GOVPUB-A17-PURL-gpo62432.pdf

[14] Tina Pellinen, E. P.-M. (2022, April). Replacing Dietary Animal-Source Proteins with Plant-Source Proteins Changes Dietary Intake and Status of Vitamins and Minerals in Healthy Adults: A 12-Week Randomized Controlled Trial. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34837522/

[15] Wenming Shi, X. H. (2023, July 21). Red meat consumption, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Retrieved from National Library of Medicine: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37264855/