World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr

Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Predictive maintenance of induction motors using machine learning: A random forest based fault prediction approach

Swati Sucharita Barik [1, *], Anjali Gaur [1], Amruta Kulkarni [1], Rasika Pardeshi [2] and Saniya Satpute [2]

[1] *Department of CSE-AI and AIML, G H Raisoni College of Engineering and Management, Pune.*

[2] *Department of CSE-AI, G H Raisoni College of Engineering and Management, Pune.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 30(01), 016-024

Publication history: Received on 19 February 2026; revised on 28 March 2026; accepted on 31 March 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2026.30.1.0757

Abstract

Predictive maintenance has emerged as a critical approach for improving reliability and availability of industrial and electrical equipment. Traditional maintenance strategies often lead to unexpected failures, increased downtime, and high operational costs. With the advancement of machine learning techniques, data-driven predictive maintenance has become an effective solution for early fault detection and failure prediction. This paper presents a comprehensive review of machine learning based predictive maintenance techniques, focusing on commonly used algorithms such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and XGBoost. The review discusses sensor data utilization, preprocessing techniques, model performance, applications in industry and defence systems, challenges, and future research directions.

Keywords: Machine Learning; Predictive Maintenance; Random Forest; Support Vector Machine; XGBoost

1. Introduction

Industrial and Defence Infrastructures rely heavily on critical equipment such as engines, turbines, motors, generators, radar systems, and communication units. Failure of such equipment can result in production loss, increased operational costs, safety hazards, and reduced mission readiness in defence scenarios. Traditionally, maintenance strategies have been based on either reactive maintenance, where repairs are performed after failure, or preventive maintenance, where maintenance is scheduled at fixed intervals. While preventive maintenance reduces failure risk, it often leads to unnecessary servicing and increased costs.

Predictive maintenance (PdM) has emerged as an effective solution that leverages real-time or historical condition monitoring data to predict equipment failure in advance. With the availability of sensor data and improvements in computational power, machine learning techniques have become central to modern predictive maintenance systems. These techniques enable automated fault detection, failure classification, and remaining useful life estimation.

2. Literature Survey

The following represents a concise summary of the fifteen research papers reviewed in this study. It highlights each paper's main focus and key findings related to artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning techniques applied to fault diagnosis and predictive maintenance in industrial and electrical systems. This comparative overview helps to identify major contributions, common trends and emerging directions in AI-based predictive maintenance research.

* Corresponding author: Swati Sucharita Barik

The primary focus of this research is the application of artificial intelligence techniques to identify, categorize, and forecast electrical machine faults. It examines various AI algorithms and compares their efficacy, advantages, and disadvantages in enhancing predictive maintenance and machine dependency. [1]

Table 1 AI Techniques their Strengths, Limitations and Types of Faults Detected

AI Technique	Strengths	Limitations	Types of Faults Detected
Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	High Accuracy and Learns Nonlinear Patterns	Requires Large Amount of Data	Stator, Rotor and Bearing Faults
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	Strong Classification Ability	Limited Scalability	Imbalance and Rotor Bar Issues
Fuzzy Logic (FL)	Interpretable Rules and handles uncertainty efficiently.	Lower Accuracy	Vibration-Based Faults
Deep Learning (CNN & RNN)	Accurate automatic feature extraction	High Computational Cost	Mechanical and Electrical Faults
Hybrid Models	Best performance and Reliability	Complex Implementation	Multiple Simultaneous Machine Faults

This provides an extensive literature review of predictive maintenance approaches and technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and sensor-based monitoring are used in maintenance and predictive strategies. This paper examines current approaches, technologies, difficulties, and trends to find research gaps and future prospects in industrial predictive maintenance applications.[2]

Table 2 AI Techniques their Advantages, Approaches, Limitations and Technologies Used

Maintenance Type	Approach	Technologies Used	Advantages	Limitations
Reactive Maintenance	Fix after failure	No monitoring required	Low upfront cost	High downtime
Preventive Maintenance	Scheduled at fixed intervals	Manual inspections	Reduces failures	Costly over time
Condition-Based Maintenance	Maintenance based on real-time machine condition	Sensors, vibration analysis	Reduces unnecessary Maintenance	Requires monitoring infrastructure
Predictive Maintenance	Predict faults before they occur	AI, ML, digital twins, big data analytics	Reduces time and optimizes Maintenance	High data requirements

This paper discusses the evolution of maintenance strategies, and how predictive maintenance is being transformed into intelligent, autonomous maintenance systems by the use Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT). It suggests an innovative approach that combines automation, big data platforms, wireless sensors, and machine learning that can enable advanced fault prediction and faster decision-making.[3]

Table 3 Various Technology and their Purpose in Maintenance with Examples

Technology	Purpose in Maintenance	Examples
AI/ML	Predict failures and remaining useful life (RUL)	Anomaly detection, classification models
IIoT Sensors	Collect real-time equipment condition data	Vibration, temperature, pressure monitoring
Big Data Platforms	Store, process, and analyze large industrial dataset	Distributed storage, fast analytics
CI/CD Pipelines	Continuously update models and deploy improvement	Automated model retraining and deployment
Mobile/AR-VR Interfaces	Provide technicians real-time instructions and visualization	Remote guidance, digital twin overlay
Edge Computing	Perform processing close to equipment to reduce latency	Local anomaly detection, offline prediction

This paper focuses on AI-based predictive maintenance strategies for electrical equipment and power networks and explains how machine learning, edge computing, digital twins, and the Internet of Things can help prevent failures before they occur. Below table summarizes AI technique, their applications, examples and advantages that were explored in the research paper. [4]

Table 4 AI Techniques and their Applications with Example and Advantages

AI Technique	Application Area	Example Output	Benefit
Machine Learning	Fault detection & health scoring	Probability of component failure	Faster and more accurate decisions
Deep Learning	Time-series vibration/thermal analysis	Remaining useful life prediction	Detects complex hidden patterns
Natural Language Processing	Maintenance logs & report	Automatic fault categorization	Reduces manual reporting effort

The research paper describes how Artificial Intelligence analyzes sensor and equipment data to predict failures before time and it studies about various technologies, data preprocessing techniques, machine learning and deep learning models, real-world case studies, advantages, disadvantages, and future trends in industrial PdM.[5]

Table 5 Summary of Research Paper

Category	Details
Maintenance Approaches	Reactive, Preventive, Predictive Maintenance
Technologies Used	Sensors, Big Data Systems
Data Preprocessing Methods	Cleaning, Normalization, Imputation, Feature engineering
Machine Learning Models	Decision Tree, Random Forest, SVM, Neural Networks
Deep Learning Models	ANN, CNN, RNN, LSTM
Industries Covered in Case Studies	Automative, Aerospace, Energy, Healthcare, Transport
Future Trends	Explainable AI and Autonomous Maintenance Systems

The study describes how sensor data such as vibration and current signals can be used by deep learning models to automatically identify and categorize faults in induction motors. It also covers types of faults, data collection strategies, deep learning approaches, model architecture, performance comparison, and the advantages of AI-based fault diagnosis. Below table summarizes the key points discussed in the research paper.[6]

Table 6 Summary of Research Paper

Category	Details
Motor Fault Types Studied	Bearing faults, Rotor faults, Stator faults, Eccentricity
Data Sources	Vibration signal, Motor current signature analysis
Deep Learning Models Used	CNN, RNN, LSTM, Hybrid DL Model
Preprocessing Techniques	Signal filtering, FFT, Normalization
Model Outputs	Fault detection, Fault classification, Fault severity prediction
Evaluation Metrics	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, Confusion, Matrix
Industrial Benefits	Reduce downtime, Early fault prediction, Improved motor reliability

This research focuses on using machine learning algorithms for analyzing electrical data patterns to identify problems or faults with induction machine power connections. It describes the various kinds of errors or problems that occur in traditional techniques and how machine learning improves accuracy. Below table emphasizes on the AI models, their use cases, strengths and weaknesses that were explored in the paper.

Table 7 AI Techniques/ Models their Strengths, Weaknesses and Best Use Cases

Technique/Model	Strengths	Weaknesses	Best Use Case
SVM	High accuracy and effective for non-linear boundaries	Computationally expensive for large datasets	Classifying power connection faults with limited training data
Decision Tree	Easy to interpret, fast, work well with mixed-type data	Can overfit and is less stable	Quick rule-based fault prediction in real-time monitoring
KNN	Simple, no training time, good with clean data	Slow for large datasets, sensitive to noise	Early-stage prototyping and low-complexity fault detection
ANN	Learns complex patterns, high detection accuracy	Requires more data, longer training time	High-accuracy classification of connection fault with rich datasets
Traditional Threshold-Based Method	Simple, low-cost, easy to implement	Poor accuracy, cannot detect subtle faults	Basic monitoring systems with limited computing resources

It explains machine learning-based method for identifying and diagnosing problems with induction machine's power connections, which are frequently utilized in industrial settings. The study describes how electrical parameters are collected, preprocessed, and fed into machine learning models based on accuracy and dependability, models such as SVM, Decision Trees, KNN, and Neural Networks. It demonstrates that machine learning greatly improves fault detection performance by identifying patterns that are difficult to detect using conventional manual or rule-based techniques.[7]

The paper proposes a machine learning-based method for predicting the Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of induction motor bearings using Motor Current Signature Analysis (MCSA). It compares various algorithms for accuracy and dependability and also describes techniques for the dataset, feature extraction, ML model development, and RUL prediction.[8]

Key Points in Research Paper:

- RUL prediction aids in scheduling maintenance before bearing failure occurs.
- This technique eliminates the need for vibration sensors by using motor current signals.

- Friction and electrical imbalance cause bearing wear, which is reflected in current signals.
- Features like RMS, kurtosis, FFT-based frequency components, and statistical metrics are extracted.

Table 8 AI Techniques their Strengths, Limitations and Best Use Case

Technique/Model	Strengths	Weaknesses	Best Use Case
Linear Regression	Simple, interpretable, works for linear degradation trends	Poor for complex nonlinear patterns	Basic RUL estimation when behavior is predictable
Random Forest	Handles nonlinear relationship, robust to noise, good accuracy	Can be slower, less interpretable	Predicting RUL from mixed and noisy current features
Support Vector Regression	Strong performance on small datasets, captures complex boundaries	Sensitive to parameter tuning	Situations where data is limited but patterns are complex
Neural Networks	Learns deep degradation patterns high accuracy	Requires more data, risk of overfitting	High-accuracy RUL prediction with rich signal features
Traditional Threshold-Based Method	Simple implementation	Cannot predict RUL, only flag faults	Basic health monitoring without prediction capability

This research focuses on using machine learning algorithms to analyze electrical data patterns to identify faults in induction machine.[9]

Table 9 AI Techniques their Strengths, Limitations and Best Use Case

Model / Technique	Strengths	Weaknesses	Best Use Case
Naïve Bayes	Fast, simple, good baseline	Assumes feature independence	Quick initial classification with simple features
SVN-Linear	Good for linearly separable data	Poor for complex relationships	Basic electrical datasets with clear boundaries
SVM-Polynomial	Handles moderate non-linearity	Can overfit, slower	Medium-complexity decision boundaries
SVM-Sigmoid	Neural network like behavior	Performs poorly on many datasets	Rarely the best choice, only for specific patterns
SVM-RBF	Excellent for nonlinear patterns	Requires tunning	Complex and noisy motor signals
Logistic Regression	Interpretable, stable	Limited for nonlinear data	Simple motor diagnostics
KNN	Easy, non-parametric	Slow on large data, sensitive to noise	Well-separated feature clusters
Random Forest	Robust, handles non-linearity, avoids overfitting	Less interpretable, large model size	Industrial motor datasets with mixed features
XGBoost	Very accurate, handles missing data	Longer training time, tuning needed	Highly optimized predictive maintenance
LightGBM	Very fast, memory efficient	Can overfit small datasets	Large, high-dimensional industrial datasets
CatBoost	Best accuracy, handles categorical features, avoids overfitting	Slightly longer training	high-accuracy industrial diagnostics

From this research paper, we will be reviewing a study on the ANN surrogate model.

In this, we are reviewing an ANN surrogate model which was developed for predicting transient electromagnetic behavior of an induction machines that can potentially replace the traditionally used and computationally expensive finite-element (FE) simulations.

2.1. Dataset

- Data from various finite analysis simulations of three-phase induction machines with the different operating conditions.
- Voltages, currents from the stator and rotor and resulted torque and flux.
- Various combinations of inputs for figuring out three best combinations for ANN inputs.

2.2. Results

- The ANN model successfully generated the torque and stator current waveforms accurately and more feasibly than that of high-fidelity FE simulations.
- In the result, the ANN model showed very low prediction errors and were faster than the FE simulations.
- ANN surrogate model kept strong accuracy even when we disturbed the input measurements. Therefore, it is most suitable for real-time digital twins and controller-in-the-loop systems.

2.3. Impact

- Finite Element simulations were made faster by the integration of artificial neural networks.
- The process of induction machine testing, virtual prototyping, and control design has been significantly affected by the utilization of artificial intelligence.
- The use of digital twin-based monitoring, has helped in the enhancement of enhances predictive diagnostics and in the minimization the need of hardware testing.[10]

Table 10 Comparison of Traditional and the ANN Method

Parameter	Traditional FE Simulation	The ANN Model
Speed	FE simulations are slow (min/sec).	ANN is faster than FE simulations (ms).
Input	It needs geometry, meshing and material data.	ANN only needs voltage and speed data.
Output	Full electromagnetic field details are provided by FE.	ANN only predict torque and current waveforms.
Accuracy	It provides the exact output data.	It provides close accuracy and low error.
Scalability	Less scalable as it takes higher computational time.	More scalable than the FE as it handles many cases parallelly.
Real-Time Use	It cannot be used in real-time.	Using the digital twin, ANN can handle real-time application.
Engineering Effort	High modeling and machine efforts is required for the setup.	ANN requires only model training and data generation.
Cost	High computational cost.	Lower computational cost.
Applications	Final validation and detailed physics analysis.	Prototyping, optimization and digital twin.

Li et. al studies how deep learning models use vibration and motor current signals to automatically detect and classify faults in induction motors. It focuses on fault types, data collection, preprocessing, deep learning architectures and performance comparison.

- Bearing, rotor, stator and eccentricity faults are analyzed.
- Both vibration signals and motor current signals are used.
- Deep learning models automatically extract fault features.
- The system performs fault detection, classification and severity estimation.

- High accuracy and reliable performance are reported.[11]

O. Serradilla et. al focuses on machine learning based diagnosis of induction machine power-connection faults using electrical data patterns and compares ML methods with traditional techniques.

- Electrical parameters are collected from induction machines.
- ML models detect power connection related faults.
- ML significantly improves accuracy over rule-based techniques.
- Both simple and advanced classifiers are analyzed.[12]

D. Gonzalez-Jimenez et. al focuses on AI-based predictive maintenance strategies for electrical equipment and power networks using machine learning, deep learning, NLP, IoT and digital twins.

- AI models are applied for fault detection and health scoring.
- Deep learning models analyze vibration and thermal time-series data.
- NLP is used for maintenance log analysis.
- Edge and IoT systems support real-time maintenance decisions.[13]

J. Hurtado et al reviews how artificial intelligence analyses sensor and equipment data for industrial predictive maintenance. It discusses data preprocessing, ML and DL models, case studies and future trends.

- Reactive, preventive and predictive maintenance approaches are discussed.
- Cleaning, normalization, imputation and feature engineering are applied.
- Both ML and DL models are evaluated.
- Case studies from multiple industries are analyzed.
- Explainable AI and autonomous maintenance are future directions.[14]

U. Dereci et. Al focuses on machine learning algorithms for analyzing electrical data patterns to detect faults in induction machines and compares multiple classifiers.

- Electrical sensor data is used for fault diagnosis.[16]
- Linear and non-linear classifiers are compared.
- Ensemble and boosting methods achieve high performance.
- The study shows ML significantly improves traditional diagnosis accuracy.[15]

With the literature survey we have gained knowledge in the field of electrical engineering design optimization and the AI/ML algorithms that can be used in that.[17][18]

3. Methodology

- Data Acquisition: Collect historical data logs.
- Data Transport & Storage: Transfer and store time-series logs using cloud servers.
- Data Preprocessing: Clean, synchronize, denoise, resample, handle missing values, and label data.
- Feature Extraction: Extract key features using technique like RMS for analysis.
- Model Training: Use supervised, unsupervised, or hybrid models for fault detection, classification, and RUL prediction.
- Decision Support and Maintenance Scheduling: Convert model outputs into maintenance tasks (work orders, spare allocation).
- Visualization & Explainability: Provide visual explanations for maintenance teams.

4. Conclusion

The project concludes the importance of Artificial Intelligence in the maintenance of electrical systems. With the help of a detailed study of maintenance types specifically predictive maintenance and how AI/ML algorithms can be employed for this maintenance strategies we understood that the AI-based PdM accurately detects early faults, reduces downtime, optimizes maintenance schedules, and significantly reduces operational costs for electrical systems.

Future Scope

In this research-based project, we have studied various Artificial Intelligence techniques and how they can be integrated in Product Development Lifecycle, during the study we analyzed how AI can benefit the predictive maintenance phase of a product but there are many aspects of Artificial Intelligence that can be employed for more effectiveness and optimization of systems such as:

Integration of Digital Twins: Digital Twins are the virtual replicas of systems; they can help in data generation for AIML models for various real-time environments of electrical systems, that will further help in efficient design of real systems.

Use of Edge AI: In the future using edge AI we can directly deploy AIML models on embedded microcontrollers that can help in onboard fault diagnosis and low-latency decision making.

Sensor Optimization and Smart Materials: Future electrical systems will employ self-sensing models, embedded fiber optic sensors and multi parameter sensors than can enhance data availability for Artificial Intelligence systems.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] A Review of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Predicting Faults in Electrical Machines, Mathew Habyarimana and Abayomi A. Adebiyi. Energies, MDPI (2025).

[2] A Systematic Literature Review of Predictive Maintenance for Defence Fixed-Wing Aircraft Sustainment and Operations Michael J. Scott, Wim J. C. Verhagen, Marie T. Bieber and Pier Marzocca. Sensors, MDPI (2022).

[3] Advancing from Predictive Maintenance to Intelligent Maintenance with AI and IIoT Haining Zheng, Antonio R. Paiva, Chris S. Gurciullo. KDD'20, San Diego, California USA, August (2020).

[4] AI-Based Predictive Maintenance Strategies for Electrical Equipment and Power Dr. G. Arunkumar. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Electrical Engineering (IJAIEE), IAEME Publication (2024).

[5] AI for Predictive Maintenance in Industrial Systems Research Gate (2023).

[6] Fault Detection and Identification Using Deep Learning Algorithms in Induction Motors Majid Hussain, Tayab Din Memon, Imtiaz Hussain, Zubair Ahmed Memon and Dileep Kumar. Computer Modelling in Engineering & Sciences, Tech Science Press (2022).

[7] Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection and Diagnosis of Faulty Power Connections of Induction Machines David Gonzalez-Jimenez, Jon del-Olmo, Javier Poza, Fernando Garramiola and Izaskun Sarasola. Energies, MDPI (2021).

[8] Predicting Remaining Useful Life of Induction Motor Bearings from Motor Current Signatures Using Machine Learning Nurul Zahirah Zulkifli, Bhukya Ramadevi, Kishore Bingi, Rosdiazli Ibrahim and Madiah Omar. Machines, MDPI (2025).

[9] Predictive Maintenance of Electric Motors Using Supervised Learning Models: A Comparative Analysis Amir Hossein Baradaran.

[10] Transient Modeling of Induction Machine Using Artificial Neural Network, Surrogate Models Mikko Tahkola, Victor Mukherjee, and Janne Keränen. Research Gate.

[11] Z. Li, Q. He and J. Li, A survey of deep learning-driven architecture for predictive maintenance, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 133, Art. no. 108285, 2024.

[12] O. Serradilla, E. Zugasti and U. Zurutuza, Deep learning models for predictive maintenance: A survey, comparison, challenges and prospect, ACM Computing Surveys, Oct. 2020.

[13] D. Gonzalez-Jimenez, J. del-Olmo, J. Poza, F. Garramiola and P. Madina, Data-driven fault diagnosis for electric drives: A review, Sensors, vol. 21, no. 12, Art. no. 4024, 2021.

[14] J. Hurtado, D. Salvati, R. Semola, M. Bosio and V. Lomonaco, Continual learning for predictive maintenance: Overview and challenges, Intelligent Systems with Applications, vol. 19, Art. no. 200251, 2023.

[15] U. Dereci and G. Tuzkaya, An explainable artificial intelligence model for predictive maintenance and spare parts optimization, Expert Systems with Applications, 2022.

[16] C. Nayak, D. Ajalkar, J. P. Shinde and S. S. Barik, Machine Learning Thyroid Model for Prediction System, 2023 International Conference on Device Intelligence, Computing and Communication Technologies, (DICCT), Dehradun, India, 2023, pp. 602-607, doi: 10.1109/DICCT56244.2023.10110065.

[17] JENA, T. R., BARIK, S. S., & NAYAK, S. K. (2021). ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION & PREDICTION USING MACHINE LEARNING MODELS. *Acta Technica Corviniensis-Bulletin of Engineering*, *14*(1).

[18] Swain, Sripada, Sasmita Kumari Nayak, and Swati Sucharita Barik. A review on plant leaf diseases detection and classification based on machine learning models. Mukt shabd 9.6 (2020): 5195-5205.