

Timing of surgical debridement in open fractures: Is the six-hour rule still valid? A narrative review

S. El Adaoui *, T. Hrar, A.Habibedine, M. Bouhouche, A. Rajaallah, C. E. Elkassimi, A. Rafaoui, A. Messoudi, M. Rahmi and M. Rafai

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Casablanca, P32, Ibn Rochd University Hospital Center, Casablanca, Morocco.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 29(03), 954-957

Publication history: Received on 02 January 2026; revised on 09 March 2026; accepted on 10 March 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2026.29.3.0595>

Abstract

Background: Open fractures are orthopedic emergencies associated with a high risk of infection and complications. For decades, the management of these injuries has been guided by the traditional “six-hour rule,” recommending surgical debridement within six hours after injury to minimize infection risk. However, recent evidence has questioned the validity of this principle.

Objective: To review the historical origin of the six-hour rule and evaluate contemporary evidence regarding the optimal timing of surgical debridement in open fractures.

Methods: A narrative review of clinical studies, systematic reviews, and international guidelines analyzing the relationship between time to debridement and infection rates in open fractures.

Results: Recent studies suggest that infection rates are not significantly increased when surgical debridement is performed within 12–24 hours, provided that early antibiotic therapy is administered. The severity of soft tissue injury, contamination, and adequacy of surgical debridement appear to play a more critical role than timing alone.

Conclusion: Current evidence does not strongly support the strict six-hour rule. Early antibiotic administration and meticulous surgical debridement remain the key determinants of outcome in open fractures.

Keywords: Open fractures; Surgical debridement; Infection; Orthopaedic trauma; Timing

1. Introduction

Open fractures are severe traumatic injuries characterized by communication between the fracture site and the external environment. These injuries represent a major challenge in orthopedic trauma because of the high risk of infection, nonunion, and soft-tissue complications.

For many decades, surgical management of open fractures has been guided by the “six-hour rule,” suggesting that surgical debridement should be performed within six hours after injury to reduce infection risk. This rule has historically been considered a cornerstone of open fracture management.

* Corresponding author: S. El Adaoui

However, advances in trauma systems, antibiotic therapy, and surgical techniques have prompted a re-evaluation of this traditional principle. Several recent studies suggest that other factors, including early antibiotic administration and the severity of soft-tissue injury, may be more important than the exact timing of debridement.

2. Historical Origin of the Six-Hour Rule

The six-hour rule originates from experimental work conducted by Friedrich in 1898. [1] His study on contaminated wounds demonstrated that bacterial proliferation increased significantly after six to eight hours.

Based on these observations, it was hypothesized that surgical excision of contaminated tissue before this critical time period could prevent infection.

However, these experiments were performed in animal models and did not involve open fractures. Moreover, they were conducted long before the introduction of antibiotics and modern surgical techniques. Despite these limitations, the six-hour rule became widely accepted in orthopedic trauma practice.

3. Pathophysiology of Infection in Open Fractures

The development of infection following open fractures is multifactorial and influenced by several variables. Local factors include:

- Severity of soft-tissue injury
- Degree of contamination
- Vascular compromise
- Presence of foreign bodies

Patient-related factors include:

- Diabetes mellitus
- Smoking
- Immunosuppression
- Advanced age

Treatment-related factors include:

- Timing of antibiotic administration
- Adequacy of surgical debridement
- Fracture stabilization
- Soft-tissue coverage

Among these variables, early administration of antibiotics has consistently been identified as one of the most important determinants in preventing infection.

4. Evidence from Contemporary Studies

Recent clinical studies have challenged the universal application of the six-hour rule.

Several retrospective studies have demonstrated that infection rates are not significantly increased when debridement is performed beyond six hours but within the first 12–24 hours after injury. [3]

Schenker et al. conducted a systematic review evaluating the relationship between time to surgical debridement and infection risk in open fractures. [6] Their analysis found no strong correlation between delayed debridement and increased infection rates.

Similarly, Pollak et al. reported that factors such as the severity of soft-tissue injury and the degree of contamination were more strongly associated with infection than the precise timing of surgical intervention. [5]

These findings suggest that early antibiotic therapy and thorough surgical debridement may be more important than strict adherence to a six-hour time window.

5. Current Recommendations

Modern orthopedic trauma guidelines have gradually moved away from strict adherence to the six-hour rule.

For Gustilo-Anderson type I and II fractures, surgical debridement performed within 12–24 hours is generally considered acceptable.

For Gustilo-Anderson type III fractures, earlier surgical intervention is recommended, ideally within 12 hours, particularly in cases with severe contamination or extensive soft-tissue damage.

Immediate surgical intervention is required in the presence of vascular injury, compartment syndrome, severe contamination, or hemodynamic instability.

6. Discussion

The traditional six-hour rule has been widely accepted for decades in orthopedic trauma practice. However, its scientific basis has increasingly been questioned.

Modern trauma care differs significantly from the conditions in which the rule was originally established. The introduction of early antibiotic therapy, improved surgical techniques, and modern trauma systems has significantly reduced infection rates. [2]

Recent evidence indicates that infection risk is influenced more strongly by the severity of soft-tissue injury, degree of contamination, and quality of surgical debridement rather than strict adherence to a six-hour threshold. [4,7]

Therefore, surgical management should prioritize well-prepared and meticulous debridement rather than rushing to meet an arbitrary time limit.

7. Conclusion

The six-hour rule for surgical debridement of open fractures is largely based on historical observations rather than strong clinical evidence.

Current literature suggests that when early antibiotic therapy is administered, surgical debridement performed within 12–24 hours does not significantly increase the risk of infection in most cases.

Optimal management of open fractures should therefore focus on immediate antibiotic administration, meticulous surgical debridement, stable fracture fixation, and appropriate soft-tissue coverage.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Friedrich PL. Die aseptische Versorgung frischer Wunden. Archiv für Klinische Chirurgie. 1898.
- [2] Patzakis MJ, Wilkins J. Factors influencing infection rate in open fracture wounds. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research. 1989.
- [3] Harley BJ et al. The effect of time to treatment on infection after open fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. 2002.
- [4] Crowley DJ, Kanakaris NK, Giannoudis PV. Debridement and wound closure of open fractures. Injury. 2007.

- [5] Pollak AN et al. Time to debridement and infection after open fractures. *Journal of Trauma*. 2010.
- [6] Schenker ML et al. Does timing to operative debridement affect infection? *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2012.
- [7] Lack WD et al. Early antibiotic therapy and infection prevention in open fractures. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*. 2015.