World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Utilizing Predictive Analytics to Improve Healthcare Access in the United States (U.S.)

Kemisola Kasali [1,*], Balikis Y. Alarape[2], Ifiala Agwu Ifiala[3], Peter O. Alawiye[4], Chukwudike Eric Enem[5], Ifechukwu Jeffrey Enem[6], and Rasaq Oladapo[7]

[1] *College of Business, Health, and Human Services, Department of Management, Marketing, and Technology, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, USA.*
[2] *Department of Business Administration and Management, Monroe University, New Rochelle, New York, USA*
[3] *Department of Life Science Laboratories. IALS, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts, USA*
[4] *Department of Business Administration, New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, New Mexico, USA*
[5] *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas, USA*
[6] *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Western Kentucky University, Kentucky, USA*
[7] *Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, UK.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 25(03), 1465-1470

Publication history: Received on 11 February 2025; revised on 15 March 2025; accepted on 17 March 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.3.0899

Abstract

The United States (U.S.) healthcare system faces persistent disparities in access, affordability, and quality, driven by systemic barriers, provider shortages, and rising costs. Despite federal interventions such as the Affordable Care Act (ACA), Medicaid expansion, and value-based care models, millions remain uninsured, and inefficiencies continue to burden the system. Predictive analytics, a data-driven approach leveraging machine learning and statistical models, offers a transformative method to enhance healthcare accessibility, optimize resource allocation, and reduce inefficiencies. By analyzing historical and real-time patient data, predictive analytics can anticipate access failures, forecast provider shortages, and improve care coordination. Studies show its ability to reduce hospital readmissions, shorten hospital stays, and generate significant cost savings. However, regulatory gaps, funding constraints, and integration challenges limit widespread adoption. Also, concerns about algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the need for significant infrastructure investment present notable implementation challenges(1,2). Addressing the concerns around bias and equity in AI-driven models is essential to ensure fair and ethical implementation. To fully leverage predictive analytics, policymakers must establish real-time AI-driven monitoring systems, regulatory frameworks for algorithmic transparency, and AI-driven provider incentives. A federal AI oversight board and AI infrastructure grant program should support ethical and equitable implementation that ensures data interoperability, risk-based Medicaid expansion, and optimized telehealth services. Ethical governance frameworks must be developed alongside technical solutions to ensure predictive models don't perpetuate existing healthcare disparities(3). By embedding predictive analytics into national healthcare policies, the U.S. can transition to a proactive, cost-efficient, and equitable healthcare system that prioritizes preventive care and long-term sustainability.

Keywords: Healthcare access and disparities; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Predictive Analytics; Algorithmic Bias; Healthcare Policy

1. Introduction

The U.S. healthcare system faces significant challenges to equitable access to quality medical services, which in turn fuels healthcare disparities(4). Healthcare disparities, defined as differences in health insurance coverage, access to care, and quality of care across populations, persist nationally(5). These disparities arise independently of variations in health needs, patient preferences, or treatment recommendations and are largely driven in part by social determinants of health (SDOH), the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age, which include economic instability,

* Corresponding author: Kemisola Kasali

education, and healthcare access(5). Systemic barriers such as provider biases, geographic disparities, and healthcare infrastructure limitations further exacerbate these inequities, disproportionately affecting populations with low socioeconomic status(6), thus limiting equitable healthcare access.

The U.S. healthcare system continues to grapple with rising costs and persistent access disparities. In 2023, national health expenditure rose by 7.5% to $4.867 trillion or $14,570 per person accounting for 17.6% of GDP, driven by increased demand for medical services and rising labor costs(7). Despite these growing expenditures, 8% of the U.S. population (about 26.4 million people) remained uninsured, leading to delayed treatments, higher emergency care utilization, and increased financial burdens(8). Rural communities continue to face provider shortages and hospital closures, which further worsen access to timely and preventive care(9). Furthermore, the increasing reliance on AI in healthcare raises concerns about potential algorithmic bias, which could inadvertently reinforce existing healthcare disparities if not properly regulated. Long wait times for specialists, inadequate mental health services, and limited preventive care further contribute to worsening health outcomes and rising healthcare costs(10).

The consequences of these disparities are severe. Delayed diagnoses and untreated conditions result in worsened health outcomes, with uninsured individuals experiencing 40% higher rates of avoidable hospitalizations and 25% greater mortality risk(11). Emergency department utilization rates are 28% higher in areas with limited healthcare infrastructure, while preventable hospital readmissions in the U.S. remain 15% above the OECD average(12,13). Healthcare inefficiencies in the U.S. account for 25% of total spending, resulting in $760 billion to $935 billion in waste annually, driven by administrative costs, and inefficient care delivery(14). In addition, administrative costs have increased over the past five years, further straining affordability and resource allocation(14). The economic burden of these inefficiencies is estimated at $93 billion in excess medical expenses and $42 billion in lost productivity annually(11). Recent studies highlight the complex interplay between socioeconomic factors and health outcomes that emphasize the need for comprehensive interventions that address not only the symptoms but also the root causes of these inequities(15). Addressing these challenges requires evidence-based strategies to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and improve patient outcomes.

2. Government Intervention

The U.S. government has implemented multiple interventions to address healthcare disparities, improve access, and mitigate systemic inefficiencies(16). The Affordable Care Act (ACA) significantly expanded Medicaid coverage to 21.3 million previously uninsured Americans and established health insurance marketplaces, and has helped reduce the uninsured rate by 43% among low-income adults(17). However, 25 million people remain uninsured, with Medicaid eligibility restrictions and non-expansion states contributing to persistent coverage gaps(8,17). The unwinding of COVID-19 pandemic-era continuous enrollment policies has further increased the risk of coverage loss for millions(17). To address provider shortages, the National Health Service Corps (NHSC) offers loan repayment incentives to healthcare professionals in high-need areas. However, rural regions still face a shortage of nearly 15,000 primary care providers, severely limiting healthcare access(18). Federal telehealth expansion policies have increased remote care accessibility, but broadband limitations continue to restrict adoption in underserved communities(19).

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has introduced value-based care models, such as Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs), which saved Medicare $2.1 billion in 2023, marking the largest savings in its history while improving care quality(20). The CMS Innovation Center has also integrated predictive analytics into healthcare management to enhance early intervention strategies and resource allocation(21). However, the federal sector still lacks comprehensive empirical studies on AI-driven workforce management that limit the available evidence supporting its large-scale implementation in government healthcare programs(22). While Medicaid non-expansion states continue to face significant healthcare access gaps which leave many low-income individuals without coverage(23).

3. Predictive Analytics and Healthcare

Predictive analytics - the application of machine learning and statistical models to analyze large datasets - offers a promising, data-driven approach to reducing disparities in healthcare access and service delivery. By leveraging historical and real-time patient data, predictive analytics can anticipate access failures, optimize resource distribution, and improve healthcare efficiency. Studies demonstrate that predictive analytics has reduced hospital readmission rates, shortened hospital stays by an average of 0.67 days per patient, and generated financial benefits between $55 and $72 million annually(24). Additionally, 61% of healthcare executives report using predictive analytics, with 42% citing cost reductions and 39% noting improved patient satisfaction(25). Real-world implementations have demonstrated

significant success. Kaiser Permanente's National Implant Registries utilized predictive analytics to reduce emergency department visits by 50%, shorten hospital stays, and lower readmission rates, demonstrating its role in enhancing care coordination and patient outcomes(26). The Veterans Health Administration utilizes predictive analytics to identify veterans at high suicide risk which enable proactive interventions and resource allocation(27).

Predictive analytics offers transformative potential for healthcare workforce management which addresses critical provider shortages and distribution challenges that plague the U.S. healthcare system. Advanced AI models analyze historical workforce data to identify patterns in provider recruitment, retention, and performance across different healthcare settings and geographic regions to enable more strategic human resource planning in healthcare organizations(36). These sophisticated tools enable health systems and government agencies to forecast staffing needs with greater precision, optimize provider schedules based on anticipated patient volumes, and design targeted incentives for recruitment in underserved areas. A recent study demonstrated that healthcare systems implementing AI-driven workforce analytics reduced staff turnover by 17% and decreased recruitment costs by 22% while simultaneously improving provider satisfaction scores(37). Besides, predictive models can identify burnout risk factors among providers and recommend interventions before performance deteriorates, which is particularly valuable given healthcare's ongoing staffing crisis that threatens patient access to quality care. A direct connection between improved workforce management and healthcare access is evident, as AI-driven optimization of staffing patterns has been shown to reduce patient wait times and enhance care continuity, ultimately improving healthcare access and patient outcomes, particularly for those with chronic conditions(28,29).

However, a balanced perspective requires acknowledging potential challenges associated with implementing predictive analytics in healthcare. While technology promises significant benefits, implementation faces several barriers that must be addressed systematically. Furthermore, it is crucial to address the ethical considerations of AI in healthcare, ensuring that its deployment enhances rather than undermines employee experience and job security (30). Algorithmic bias remains a critical concern, as datasets reflecting historical disparities can perpetuate inequities in care allocation (31). A study revealed that widely used algorithms systematically discriminated against Black patients by using healthcare costs as proxies for medical needs, demonstrating how the uncritical application of predictive tools can exacerbate disparities(32). Data privacy and security concerns present additional barriers, as healthcare organizations must balance analytical capabilities with strict HIPAA compliance and patient consent requirements(33). Unlike previous technological innovations in healthcare that focused primarily on clinical tools or administrative efficiency, predictive analytics represents a paradigm shift by interconnecting clinical, operational, and workforce domains through unified data platforms(34). This distinctive approach allows for comprehensive system optimization that previous technological interventions could not achieve. The unique contribution of this paper lies in presenting a framework that bridges policy development and technological implementation, specifically addressing the intersection of predictive analytics with healthcare access challenges in the U.S. context.

While predictive analytics has been introduced in federal initiatives like the CMS Innovation Center, its implementation remains limited due to regulatory gaps, funding constraints, and integration challenges. Also, significant infrastructure investments are required, with healthcare systems needing robust data management systems, skilled personnel, and organizational adaptation to effectively implement predictive solutions(35,36). Smaller healthcare providers and rural facilities often lack these resources that widen the technological divide in healthcare delivery(37). Strengthening policy support and expanding infrastructure are essential to fully leverage AI-driven models for optimizing resource allocation, predicting access barriers, and enhancing early interventions.

4. Way forward

To effectively address healthcare disparities and enhance accessibility, predictive analytics must be fully integrated into federal and state-level policies to enable proactive resource allocation, risk-based care prioritization, and dynamic policy adjustments. A real-time AI-driven monitoring system should be established to track healthcare disparities across racial, socioeconomic, and geographic lines, issuing automated, data-backed policy recommendations to guide Medicaid expansion, provider distribution, and telehealth incentives. However, AI-driven predictive analytics systems must undergo regular fairness audits to prevent biased decision-making and ensure equitable access to healthcare resources. Moreover, as AI transforms healthcare delivery models, policymakers must anticipate workforce transitions and develop robust retraining programs that equip healthcare professionals with skills to effectively collaborate with AI systems to ensure that technology complements rather than replaces human expertise. Regulatory frameworks must be implemented to enforce algorithmic transparency, fairness audits, and interoperability mandates, ensuring AI models do not reinforce existing disparities.

Predictive analytics should drive proactive workforce planning, guide Medicaid expansion through dynamic eligibility adjustments, AI-driven provider incentives, and optimized telehealth reimbursement to enhance accessibility. AI models must forecast physician shortages, hospital overcapacity risks, and emergency delays, enabling automated provider redistribution, mobile health deployment, and pre-positioning of medical supplies to prevent care disruptions. Moreover, AI-driven hospital load-balancing systems should be integrated to preemptively identify emergency room congestion, ensuring timely patient care while reforming Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement structures to support risk-adaptive AI models that incentivize preventive care and cost efficiency. Ethical frameworks must parallel technical development to address concerns about algorithmic bias, patient privacy, and equity. Implementing regular algorithmic audits, diverse representation in development teams, and community engagement in system design can help mitigate potential harm from predictive models. Healthcare systems must also consider the human element in AI implementation which ensures that technology augments rather than replaces the provider-patient relationship, particularly for vulnerable populations who may experience technology access barriers.

A phased approach is recommended for meaningful implementation at scale that acknowledges the varying technological readiness across healthcare systems. Beginning with demonstration projects in well-resourced health systems while simultaneously building capacity in underserved areas can prevent the widening of the digital divide in healthcare. Strategic public-private partnerships could accelerate adoption by combining federal funding with private sector technological expertise. Also, educational institutions should incorporate predictive analytics and AI ethics into healthcare professional training programs to prepare the next generation of providers for this technological transition. A federal AI oversight board must regulate AI-driven healthcare applications, enforcing equity-focused AI development and cross-system data interoperability to enhance care coordination and prevent bias in predictive modeling. Furthermore, a national AI-driven telehealth optimization initiative should integrate predictive analytics into remote healthcare services, which forecast patient deterioration risks, optimize telehealth prioritization, and expand home-based AI-driven chronic disease monitoring. Looking ahead, the study anticipates four key trends that will shape the future of predictive analytics in healthcare: (1) Integration of social determinants of health data into predictive models to provide holistic patient risk profiles; (2) Development of explainable AI models that increase provider trust and adoption(38); (3) Patient-centered predictive tools that empower individuals in managing their health; and (4) Collaborative cross-sector predictive platforms that coordinate care across multiple providers and social services(39,40).

Finally, a federal AI infrastructure grant program should be introduced to support healthcare systems in upgrading predictive analytics capabilities, ensuring AI-driven models are embedded into national healthcare policies. Future research should explore real-time Medicaid eligibility models, AI-driven reimbursement frameworks, and ethical AI governance structures, embedding predictive analytics as a core mechanism for eliminating disparities before they escalate. This research should include longitudinal studies that examine long-term impacts of predictive analytics on healthcare disparities, economic analyses of return on investment across various implementation contexts, and patient experience studies to ensure technological solutions enhance rather than detract from care quality. By aligning AI-driven predictive analytics with policy-driven healthcare reforms, the U.S. can transition toward a more equitable, proactive, and cost-efficient healthcare system.

5. Conclusion

Predictive analytics offers a scalable solution to healthcare access challenges through enhanced early risk detection, optimized resource allocation, and reduced inefficiencies. Strengthening regulatory frameworks, data infrastructure, and AI oversight is essential to ensure responsible deployment. While promising, successful implementation requires addressing significant challenges that include data privacy concerns, potential algorithmic bias, infrastructure requirements, and workforce training needs. The ethical implications of automated decision-making in healthcare must be continually evaluated to ensure technology serves as a force for equity rather than perpetuating existing disparities. By integrating predictive analytics into healthcare policy, the U.S. can advance efficiency, equity, and preventive care for long-term sustainability.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

First, my deep gratitude to God, and sincere thanks to Rasaq Oladapo, who inspired and wholeheartedly supported this work, believing in its vision and impact.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this study.

References

[1] Panch T, Mattie H, Celi LA. The "inconvenient truth" about AI in healthcare. Npj Digit Med. 2019 Aug 16;2(1):1–3.

[2] Price, W. N. (2019). Medical AI and contextual bias. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology, 33(1), 65–117. https://jolt.law.harvard.edu/assets/articlePDFs/v33/02-Price.pdf

[3] Rajkomar A, Hardt M, Howell MD, Corrado G, Chin MH. Ensuring Fairness in Machine Learning to Advance Health Equity. Ann Intern Med. 2018 Dec 18;169(12):866–72.

[4] Access to Health Services - Healthy People 2030 | odphp.health.gov [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 11]. Available from: https://odphp.health.gov/healthypeople/priority-areas/social-determinants-health/literature-summaries/access-health-services

[5] Artiga S, Orgera K, Pham O. Disparities in Health and Health Care: Five Key Questions and Answers.

[6] Chen AM. Barriers to health equity in the United States of America: can they be overcome? Int J Equity Health. 2025 Feb 7;24(1):39.

[7] Martin AB, Hartman M, Washington B, Catlin A, The National Health Expenditure Accounts Team. National Health Expenditures In 2023: Faster Growth as Insurance Coverage and Utilization Increased: Article examines National Health Expenditures in 2023. Health Aff (Millwood). 2025 Jan 1;44(1):12–22.

[8] Bureau UC. Census.gov. [cited 2025 Feb 7]. Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2023. Available from: https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2024/demo/p60-284.html

[9] U.S. Rural Hospital Care Quality and the Effects of Hospital Closures on the Health Status of Rural Vulnerable Populations: An Integrative Literature Review - Stansberry - 2023 - Nursing Forum - Wiley Online Library [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 13]. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2023/3928966

[10] Reichert A, Jacobs R. The impact of waiting time on patient outcomes: Evidence from early intervention in psychosis services in England. Health Econ. 2018 Nov;27(11):1772–87.

[11] Wilper AP, Woolhandler S, Lasser KE, McCormick D, Bor DH, Himmelstein DU. Health Insurance and Mortality in US Adults. Am J Public Health. 2009 Dec;99(12):2289–95.

[12] Greenwood-Ericksen MB, Kocher K. Trends in Emergency Department Use by Rural and Urban Populations in the United States. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Apr 12;2(4):e191919.

[13] OECD. Health at a Glance 2023: OECD Indicators [Internet]. OECD; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 12]. (Health at a Glance). Available from: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/health-at-a-glance-2023_7a7afb35-en.html

[14] Waste in the US Health Care System: Estimated Costs and Potential for Savings | Health Care Quality | JAMA | JAMA Network [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/2752664?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[15] Sharmake A. Healthcare Disparities among Socioeconomic Groups. Int J Humanity Soc Sci. 2024 May 7;3(1):1–13.

[16] Akindahunsi T, Azai JS, Dada S, Adesina OB. Policy interventions to address systemic barriers in surgical care access for minority populations in the United States. Magna Sci Adv Biol Pharm. 2023;8(1):017–27.

[17] Advancing Racial Equity in U.S. Health Care [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2024/apr/advancing-racial-equity-us-health-care

[18] Healthcare Access in Rural Communities Overview - Rural Health Information Hub [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/topics/healthcare-access

[19] Connecting Americans to Health Care | Federal Communications Commission [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: https://www.fcc.gov/connecting-americans-health-care

[20] Medicare Shared Savings Program Continues to Deliver Meaningful Savings and High-Quality Health Care | CMS [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/press-releases/medicare-shared-savings-program-continues-deliver-meaningful-savings-and-high-quality-health-care?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[21] The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation 2022 REPORT TO CONGRESS. 2022;

[22] OECD [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Mar 17]. Artificial Intelligence and the health workforce. Available from: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/artificial-intelligence-and-the-health-workforce_9a31d8af-en.html

[23] Izguttinov A, Trogdon JG. Can Medicaid be a Solution to the Problem? Underinsurance in Medicaid Expansion Versus Non-Expansion States. Inq J Med Care Organ Provis Financ. 2023 Sep 30;60:00469580231202640.

[24] Na L, Carballo KV, Pauphilet J, Haddad-Sisakht A, Kombert D, Boisjoli-Langlois M, et al. Patient Outcome Predictions Improve Operations at a Large Hospital Network [Internet]. arXiv; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 12]. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.15629

[25] ahenry. HealthAxis. 2021 [cited 2025 Feb 7]. Predictive Analytics Reduces Healthcare Costs and Improves Care. Available from: https://healthaxis.com/predictive-analytics-reduces-healthcare-costs-and-improves-quality-of-care/

[26] Dacones I, Isaacs R. PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS.

[27] McCarthy, J. F., Bossarte, R. M., Katz, I. R., Thompson, C., Kemp, J., Hannemann, C. M., Nielson, C., & Schoenbaum, M. (2015). Predictive modeling and concentration of the risk of suicide: Implications for preventive interventions in the US Department of Veterans Affairs. American Journal of Public Health, 105(9), 1935–1942. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302737

[28] Ahmadzadeh B, Patey C, Hurley O, Knight J, Norman P, Farrell A, et al. Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Emergency Departments to Improve Wait Times: Protocol for an Integrative Living Review. JMIR Res Protoc. 2024 Apr 12;13:e52612.

[29] Cook LL, Golonka RP, Cook CM, Walker RL, Faris P, Spenceley S, et al. Association between continuity and access in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. CMAJ Open. 2020;8(4):E722–30.

[30] Health Equity and Ethical Considerations in Using Artificial Intelligence in Public Health and Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2025 Mar 17]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2024/24_0245.htm

[31] Gianfrancesco MA, Tamang S, Yazdany J, Schmajuk G. Potential Biases in Machine Learning Algorithms Using Electronic Health Record Data. JAMA Intern Med. 2018 Nov 1;178(11):1544–7.

[32] Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science. 2019 Oct 25;366(6464):447–53.

[33] Cohen IG, Mello MM. HIPAA and Protecting Health Information in the 21st Century. JAMA. 2018 Jul 17;320(3):231–2.

[34] Ojo OO, Kiobel B, Ojo OO, Kiobel B. Integrating predictive analytics in clinical trials: A paradigm shift in personalized medicine. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2024;19(3):308–20.

[35] Amarasingham R, Patzer RE, Huesch M, Nguyen NQ, Xie B. Implementing electronic health care predictive analytics: considerations and challenges. Health Aff Proj Hope. 2014 Jul;33(7):1148–54.

[36] Sendak MP, Gao M, Brajer N, Balu S. Presenting machine learning model information to clinical end users with model facts labels. Npj Digit Med. 2020 Mar 23;3(1):1–4.

[37] Crowe J, Nikolic-Khatatbeh J, Li R. The digital health divide: Understanding telehealth adoption across racial lines in rural Illinois. SSM - Popul Health. 2024 Mar 26;26:101665.

[38] Bamigbade O, Adeshina YT, Kemisola K. Ethical and Explainable AI in Data Science for Transparent Decision-Making Across Critical Business Operations. Int J Eng Technol Res Manag IJETRM. 2024 Nov 21;08(11):734–53. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15671481

[39] Matheny M, Israni ST, Ahmed M, Whicher D. Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: The Hope, the Hype, the Promise, the Peril.

[40] Davenport T, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthc J. 2019 Jun;6(2):94–8.