



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Ethical considerations in IT Systems Design: A review of principles and best practices

Charles Elachi Apeh ^{1,*}, Chinekwu Somtochukwu Odionu ², Bernadette Bristol-Alagbariya ³, Richard Okon ⁴ and Blessing Austin-Gabriel ⁵

¹ *Independent Researcher, UK.*

² *Independent Researcher, Irving TX, USA.*

³ *Independent Researcher, Bonny Island, Nigeria.*

⁴ *Reeks Corporate Services, Lagos, Nigeria.*

⁵ *Montclair State University, Montclair, New Jersey, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(01), 2023-2031

Publication history: Received on 01 March 2024; revised on 08 April 2024; accepted on 11 April 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1115>

Abstract

In the increasingly interconnected landscape of modern technology, ethical considerations in IT systems design have garnered significant attention. This abstract offers a comprehensive review of the principles and best practices essential for ensuring ethical integrity throughout the design process. Ethical considerations are integral from the conceptualization stage to implementation and beyond, impacting various stakeholders and society at large. The foundation of ethical IT systems design lies in principles rooted in fairness, transparency, accountability, and respect for privacy and human rights. Designers must navigate complex ethical dilemmas arising from the collection, storage, and utilization of user data, balancing innovation with the protection of individual rights and societal welfare. Key principles such as privacy by design, data minimization, and informed consent serve as cornerstones for ethical decision-making. Privacy by design emphasizes embedding privacy protections into the design and architecture of IT systems from the outset, mitigating risks of data breaches and unauthorized access. Data minimization advocates for the collection and retention of only necessary user data, reducing potential harm and promoting user autonomy. Informed consent requires transparent communication regarding data practices, empowering users to make well-informed decisions about their personal information. Moreover, ethical considerations extend beyond individual interactions to encompass broader societal implications. Designers must assess potential biases embedded within algorithms and systems, ensuring equitable outcomes across diverse user groups. Addressing issues of algorithmic fairness, discrimination, and accessibility is paramount to fostering inclusive technology ecosystems. Best practices in ethical IT systems design involve interdisciplinary collaboration, incorporating perspectives from ethics, sociology, law, and technology. Ethical frameworks and guidelines, such as those proposed by professional organizations and regulatory bodies, provide valuable guidance for navigating ethical complexities in IT design projects. The ethical dimension of IT systems design is indispensable for fostering trust, safeguarding user rights, and promoting societal well-being. By adhering to ethical principles and best practices, designers can contribute to the development of responsible and sustainable technology solutions in an increasingly digital world.

Keyword: Ethical; IT; System Design; Best Practices; Principles; Review

1. Introduction

Ethical considerations in IT systems design encompass the complex interplay between technological innovation, societal values, and individual rights (Aizenberg and Van Den Hoven, 2020.). In this digital era, where technology permeates nearly every aspect of human life, ensuring that IT systems are ethically designed is of paramount importance.

* Corresponding author: Charles Elachi Apeh

Ethical considerations in IT systems design refer to the deliberate integration of moral principles and values into the process of conceptualizing, developing, and implementing information technology solutions (Barbosa *et al.*, 2021). It involves making decisions that prioritize fairness, transparency, accountability, privacy, and respect for human rights, among other ethical imperatives.

In an age characterized by rapid technological advancement and digital transformation, the impact of IT systems on individuals, communities, and societies at large is profound (Levin and Mamlok, 2021). From artificial intelligence algorithms shaping decision-making processes to data-driven technologies influencing consumer behavior, the ethical implications of IT systems design are far-reaching (Ntoutsis *et al.*, 2020). Neglecting ethical considerations can lead to a range of detrimental outcomes, including privacy violations, algorithmic biases, social inequalities, and erosion of trust in technology (Christodoulou and Iordanou, 2021). Thus, ethical design practices are essential for mitigating risks and fostering responsible innovation in the digital landscape.

This review will delve into the foundational principles and best practices essential for ethical IT systems design. The outline will explore key ethical principles such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy, elucidating their significance in guiding ethical decision-making throughout the design process. Additionally, it will highlight best practices, including privacy by design, data minimization, informed consent, and strategies for addressing algorithmic biases. Furthermore, the review will examine the broader societal implications of IT systems design and the ethical challenges posed by emerging technologies. Lastly, it will underscore the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and adherence to ethical frameworks in promoting ethical integrity and societal well-being in IT systems design.

1.1. Principles of Ethical IT Systems Design

Ethical considerations form the cornerstone of responsible IT systems design, guiding the development of technology that respects individual rights, fosters societal well-being, and upholds moral values (Adaga *et al.*, 2024). In this section, we explore four fundamental principles essential for ethical IT systems design: Fairness, Transparency, Accountability, and Privacy and Data Protection.

Fairness in IT systems design revolves around ensuring equitable outcomes for all stakeholders, irrespective of their backgrounds, characteristics, or affiliations (Morse *et al.*, 2021). It entails mitigating biases and discrimination to promote equal opportunities and treatment within technological systems. Fairness demands that IT systems do not unfairly favor or disadvantage particular individuals or groups. Designers must strive to implement algorithms and decision-making processes that promote equal opportunities and outcomes for all users. This involves careful consideration of factors such as algorithmic biases, data representativeness, and the potential impact on marginalized communities. By adopting fairness-aware techniques and evaluating the distributional effects of system outputs, designers can minimize disparities and promote social equity (Chen *et al.*, 2023).

Bias in IT systems can arise from various sources, including biased training data, algorithmic design choices, and systemic inequalities embedded in society. Ethical IT systems design requires proactive measures to identify and mitigate biases throughout the development lifecycle (Amugongo *et al.*, 2023). This may involve data preprocessing techniques to remove discriminatory patterns, algorithmic audits to assess fairness, and ongoing monitoring to detect and address unintended consequences. Moreover, designers should prioritize diversity and inclusion in their teams to ensure diverse perspectives are considered during system development, thereby reducing the risk of perpetuating discriminatory practices (Chen, 2023).

Transparency entails open communication of data practices, system behaviors, and decision-making processes to stakeholders, fostering trust, accountability, and user empowerment (Mason, 2020). Transparent IT systems enable users to understand how their data is collected, processed, and utilized, empowering them to make informed decisions and hold system operators accountable.

Transparent IT systems provide clear and accessible information about data collection, storage, sharing, and usage practices (Pushkarna *et al.*, 2022). This includes informing users about the types of data collected, the purposes for which it will be used, the entities with whom it may be shared, and the measures in place to safeguard privacy and security. By promoting transparency, organizations build trust with users, enhance user autonomy, and demonstrate their commitment to ethical data practices. In addition to data practices, transparent IT systems disclose the algorithms and decision-making processes that drive system behavior. This transparency enables users to understand how decisions are made, the factors influencing outcomes, and the potential implications for their rights and interests (Wang *et al.*, 2023). By opening up algorithms to scrutiny, organizations facilitate accountability, encourage algorithmic

literacy, and foster public debate on ethical and social implications. Moreover, transparency can help identify and address biases, errors, or unintended consequences in algorithmic systems, enhancing their fairness and reliability.

Accountability in IT systems design entails taking responsibility for the behavior and outcomes of technological systems, as well as establishing mechanisms for redress and corrective action in the event of harm or wrongdoing (Ulgen, 2021). Ethical IT systems design holds system operators accountable for upholding ethical principles, complying with legal obligations, and addressing the impacts of their actions on individuals and society. Accountability requires that system operators acknowledge their role in designing, deploying, and managing IT systems and accept responsibility for their behavior and impacts. This includes ensuring that systems operate in accordance with ethical principles, legal requirements, and stakeholder expectations, and taking proactive steps to prevent harm or misuse. Designers must anticipate potential risks and consequences associated with system deployment, prioritize safety and well-being, and incorporate safeguards to minimize adverse impacts on users and society (Schiff *et al.*, 2020). In addition to preventing harm, ethical IT systems design involves establishing mechanisms for redress and corrective action in the event of adverse outcomes or wrongdoing. This may include procedures for reporting grievances, avenues for seeking restitution or compensation, and processes for remedying errors, biases, or violations of rights. By providing avenues for accountability and recourse, organizations demonstrate their commitment to ethical conduct, build trust with stakeholders, and mitigate the negative consequences of system failures or misconduct (Chang and Ke, 2023).

Privacy and data protection are fundamental principles of ethical IT systems design, safeguarding individuals' rights to control their personal information, maintain confidentiality, and preserve autonomy. Privacy-enhancing measures ensure that IT systems collect, process, and handle data in a manner that respects privacy rights, minimizes risks of harm, and preserves user trust (Székely, 2022). Privacy by design integrates privacy considerations into the design and architecture of IT systems from the outset, rather than treating privacy as an afterthought or add-on feature. This involves embedding privacy principles and controls into system components, workflows, and interfaces, to ensure that privacy protections are inherent and pervasive throughout the system lifecycle. By adopting a privacy-by-design approach, organizations enhance user privacy, mitigate risks of data breaches or misuse, and comply with legal and regulatory requirements. Data minimization principles advocate for the collection and retention of only necessary data for specified purposes, minimizing the scope and sensitivity of personal information processed by IT systems (Inau *et al.*, 2021). Designers should adopt data minimization strategies to limit the collection, storage, and use of personal data to what is strictly required for achieving legitimate business or functional objectives. By reducing the volume and granularity of data collected, organizations decrease the risk of privacy breaches, unauthorized access, and misuse, while also enhancing user trust and confidence. Informed consent is a cornerstone of ethical data processing, ensuring that individuals have knowledge of and control over the collection, use, and sharing of their personal information. Organizations should obtain explicit and informed consent from users before collecting, processing, or sharing their data, providing clear and accessible information about data practices, purposes, and risks (Rossi and Lenzini, 2020). Informed consent empowers individuals to make autonomous decisions about their privacy preferences, enables them to exercise control over their personal data, and fosters trust and transparency in data-driven interactions.

In conclusion, the principles of fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy and data protection are essential for guiding ethical IT systems design. By adhering to these principles, designers can develop technology that promotes equitable outcomes, fosters trust and accountability, respects privacy rights, and upholds ethical values in the digital age (Najam, 2023). Ethical IT systems design is not only a moral imperative but also a pragmatic necessity for building sustainable and responsible technology solutions that benefit individuals, communities, and society as a whole.

1.2. Best Practices in Ethical IT Systems Design

In the rapidly evolving landscape of technology, ethical considerations are essential to guide the design, development, and deployment of IT systems. By integrating ethical principles into the fabric of technology, organizations can build trust, promote user empowerment, and mitigate potential risks to privacy, fairness, and social well-being (Konda, 2022). In this section, we delve into four key best practices in ethical IT systems design: Privacy by Design, Data Minimization, Informed Consent, and Algorithmic Fairness.

Privacy by Design (PbD) is a proactive approach to privacy protection that advocates for embedding privacy considerations into the design and architecture of IT systems from the outset. By prioritizing privacy from the initial stages of system development, organizations can minimize risks of data breaches, enhance user trust, and ensure compliance with privacy regulations. Privacy by Design emphasizes the integration of privacy-enhancing features, controls, and mechanisms into IT systems throughout their lifecycle. This involves conducting privacy impact assessments, identifying potential privacy risks, and implementing measures to mitigate these risks from the design phase onwards. Designers should incorporate privacy principles such as data minimization, purpose limitation, and

user consent into system architecture and functionality, ensuring that privacy is considered at every stage of system development (Balogun *et al.*, 2024).

Various technologies can facilitate the implementation of Privacy by Design principles in IT systems. Encryption technologies, such as end-to-end encryption and data encryption at rest, can protect sensitive information from unauthorized access or interception. Anonymization and pseudonymization techniques can help de-identify personal data, preserving privacy while still allowing for data analysis and processing (Oh and Lee, 2023). Privacy-enhancing tools, such as privacy-preserving data analysis frameworks and decentralized identity solutions, enable organizations to leverage data for valuable insights while safeguarding individual privacy rights.

Data Minimization is the practice of limiting the collection, retention, and processing of personal data to what is strictly necessary for achieving specific purposes (Biega *et al.*, 2020). By minimizing the amount and scope of data collected, organizations can reduce privacy risks, enhance data security, and demonstrate respect for user privacy rights.

Data Minimization entails adopting a minimalist approach to data collection and retention, focusing only on collecting the information essential for fulfilling defined business or functional requirements (Diaz *et al.*, 2021). Organizations should carefully assess the types of data they collect, the purposes for which it is used, and the potential risks associated with its storage and processing. By limiting data collection to what is strictly necessary, organizations can minimize the risk of data breaches, unauthorized access, and misuse, while also reducing compliance burdens associated with data protection regulations. Anonymization and pseudonymization are techniques used to de-identify personal data, removing or obscuring identifiers that could be used to link data to specific individuals. Anonymization involves irreversibly transforming data in such a way that individual identities cannot be re-identified, while pseudonymization involves replacing identifying information with pseudonyms or codes to prevent direct identification (Finck and Pallas, 2020; Akindote, 2023). These techniques enable organizations to anonymize or pseudonymize personal data before storage or processing, reducing the risk of unauthorized disclosure or misuse while still allowing for data analysis and utilization for legitimate purposes.

Informed Consent is a foundational principle of data protection and privacy, requiring organizations to obtain explicit and informed consent from individuals before collecting, processing, or sharing their personal data (Tauginienė *et al.*, 2021). By ensuring transparent communication of data practices and empowering users to make autonomous decisions about their privacy preferences, organizations can build trust and respect user autonomy. Informed Consent begins with transparent communication of data practices, providing users with clear and accessible information about how their data will be collected, used, shared, and protected. Organizations should provide concise and easy-to-understand privacy notices or policies that outline the purposes of data processing, the categories of data collected, the entities with whom data may be shared, and the measures in place to safeguard data security and privacy (Limba *et al.*, 2020). By promoting transparency, organizations empower users to make informed decisions about their privacy rights and expectations.

In addition to providing information, organizations should adopt user-centric design approaches that prioritize user autonomy and control over personal data. This may involve implementing privacy settings and preferences that allow users to customize their privacy preferences, providing granular consent options that enable users to consent to specific types of data processing, and offering mechanisms for users to access, review, and update their personal data. By putting users in control of their data, organizations demonstrate respect for user autonomy and foster trust and engagement in data-driven interactions (Sailaja *et al.*, 2021).

Algorithmic Fairness is the principle of ensuring that algorithms and automated decision-making systems produce equitable outcomes across diverse user groups, without perpetuating biases or discrimination (Dolata *et al.*, 2022). By mitigating biases in algorithms and promoting fairness in decision-making processes, organizations can uphold principles of equity, justice, and non-discrimination. Biases in algorithms can arise from various sources, including biased training data, algorithmic design choices, and systemic inequalities embedded in society. To mitigate biases, organizations should implement measures such as bias detection and mitigation techniques, fairness-aware algorithms, and algorithmic audits (Akindote *et al.*, 2024). These techniques enable organizations to identify and address biases in algorithmic systems, ensuring that decisions are based on objective criteria and do not disproportionately harm or disadvantage particular individuals or groups. In addition to mitigating biases, organizations should design algorithms and decision-making processes to promote equitable outcomes across diverse user groups. This may involve incorporating fairness metrics and criteria into algorithm design, evaluating the distributional effects of algorithmic outputs on different demographic groups, and implementing algorithmic interventions or adjustments to correct for disparities. By prioritizing fairness and equity, organizations can build trust, enhance user satisfaction, and contribute to a more inclusive and just society (Santos, 2023).

1.3. Societal Implications and Ethical Challenges

The societal implications of IT systems are profound, shaping social, economic, and political dynamics and influencing individual and collective behaviors (Babarinde *et al.*, 2023). However, along with their transformative potential, IT systems also pose ethical challenges and risks that must be addressed to ensure responsible and ethical use of technology.

IT systems have far-reaching impacts on society, influencing various aspects of daily life, from healthcare and education to finance and governance. The social, economic, and political consequences of IT systems can be both beneficial and detrimental, depending on how they are designed, deployed, and utilized, IT systems have the potential to facilitate social connections, enhance communication, and foster community engagement (Pauliuk *et al.*, 2022; Okoro *et al.*, 2024). Social media platforms, for example, enable individuals to connect with friends and family, share information and experiences, and participate in online communities. However, IT systems can also exacerbate social isolation, amplify echo chambers, and facilitate the spread of misinformation and harmful content. By promoting ethical design practices, organizations can mitigate negative social consequences and harness technology to promote positive social outcomes. IT systems play a critical role in driving economic growth, innovation, and productivity across various industries and sectors. E-commerce platforms, for example, enable businesses to reach global markets, streamline operations, and deliver personalized customer experiences (Dhanalakshmi *et al.*, 2020). However, IT systems can also contribute to economic inequalities, disrupt traditional industries and employment patterns, and exacerbate disparities in access to digital resources and opportunities. By addressing these economic challenges through inclusive design and equitable access to technology, organizations can promote economic prosperity and social mobility for all. IT systems have the power to shape political discourse, influence public opinion, and impact democratic processes. Social media platforms, for example, have become key channels for political communication, mobilization, and engagement, allowing individuals to participate in public debates, express their opinions, and hold elected officials accountable (Ayo-Farai *et al.*, 2023). However, IT systems can also be exploited for disinformation campaigns, propaganda, and manipulation of public opinion, undermining trust in democratic institutions and eroding civic discourse. By promoting transparency, accountability, and integrity in political communication and online discourse, organizations can safeguard democratic values and promote informed civic engagement (Ogundairo *et al.*, 2023).

Despite their potential benefits, IT systems pose ethical challenges and dilemmas that must be addressed to ensure responsible and ethical use of technology. From balancing innovation with ethical considerations to addressing emerging ethical issues in emerging technologies, organizations face complex ethical dilemmas that require careful consideration and deliberation (Orieno *et al.*, 2024). One of the key ethical challenges in IT systems design is balancing innovation and progress with ethical considerations and societal values. The rapid pace of technological advancement often outpaces ethical reflection and regulation, leading to the deployment of technology without sufficient consideration of its potential impacts on individuals, communities, and society. To address this challenge, organizations should adopt a precautionary approach to technology development, prioritize ethical design principles, and engage in stakeholder dialogue and consultation to identify and address potential ethical risks and concerns (Ezeigweneme *et al.*, 2024). Emerging technologies present unique ethical challenges and dilemmas that require careful consideration and ethical analysis. Technologies such as artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and robotics raise questions about autonomy, privacy, accountability, and human rights, challenging traditional ethical frameworks and norms. To navigate these ethical dilemmas, organizations should adopt interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from ethics, law, social science, and technology, engage in ongoing dialogue and reflection on ethical implications, and develop ethical guidelines and frameworks to guide responsible innovation and deployment of emerging technologies (Fabian *et al.*, 2023; Abulibdeh *et al.*, 2024).

Ethical considerations are integral to the design, development, and deployment of IT systems, shaping their impacts on individuals, communities, and society at large. By adhering to best practices in ethical IT systems design, organizations can promote trust, transparency, fairness, and respect for user rights and interests, while mitigating potential risks and harms associated with technology use. However, ethical IT systems design also requires ongoing vigilance, reflection, and adaptation to address emerging ethical challenges and dilemmas and ensure that technology serves the common good and promotes human flourishing (Stahl *et al.*, 2021). Through ethical leadership, responsible governance, and stakeholder engagement, organizations can contribute to a more ethical and sustainable digital future.

1.4. Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Ethical Frameworks

In the complex landscape of IT systems design, interdisciplinary collaboration and adherence to ethical frameworks are essential for ensuring responsible and ethical technology development and deployment.

Interdisciplinary collaboration brings together diverse perspectives and expertise from fields such as ethics, law, sociology, and technology, enriching the ethical decision-making process (Smolka *et al.*, 2021). Ethicists contribute moral insights and principles, lawyers provide legal guidance and compliance frameworks, sociologists offer sociocultural context and impact assessments, and technologists bring technical expertise and feasibility assessments. By integrating these diverse perspectives, organizations can develop more comprehensive and contextually appropriate ethical solutions that consider the multifaceted dimensions of technological impacts on individuals, communities, and society (Uchechukwu *et al.*, 2023).

Interdisciplinary collaboration enhances ethical decision-making processes by fostering dialogue, debate, and critical reflection on ethical issues and dilemmas. By engaging stakeholders with different backgrounds and perspectives, organizations can identify blind spots, challenge assumptions, and explore alternative ethical frameworks and solutions. Interdisciplinary collaboration also promotes transparency, accountability, and consensus-building, ensuring that ethical decisions are informed by a broad range of perspectives and considerations (Helbing *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration fosters innovation and creativity, as diverse teams bring a variety of insights and approaches to ethical problem-solving.

Ethical frameworks and guidelines provided by professional organizations and regulatory bodies offer valuable guidance and standards for ethical IT systems design. Professional organizations, such as the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), develop codes of ethics and conduct that outline ethical principles, responsibilities, and best practices for IT professionals. Regulatory bodies, such as data protection authorities and government agencies, establish legal and regulatory requirements that organizations must comply with to protect user rights and privacy (Hartzog and Richards, 2020). By adhering to ethical frameworks and guidelines, organizations can ensure compliance with legal and ethical standards, mitigate risks of legal liability and reputational harm, and demonstrate their commitment to ethical conduct and responsible technology development. Ethical IT systems design requires organizations to comply with legal and ethical standards governing data protection, privacy, security, and human rights. Legal standards, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States, establish requirements for data collection, processing, storage, and sharing, as well as mechanisms for user consent, transparency, and accountability (Carlson *et al.*, 2020). Ethical standards, such as the ACM Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct and the IEEE Code of Ethics, provide principles and guidelines for ethical decision-making and behavior in the field of computing and technology. By aligning with legal and ethical standards, organizations can mitigate legal and ethical risks, build trust with stakeholders, and uphold their obligations to protect user rights and interests.

1.5. Future Outlook

As technology continues to advance and evolve, the ethical considerations surrounding IT systems design will become increasingly complex and nuanced. Emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and blockchain, present new ethical challenges and dilemmas that require innovative approaches and solutions. Interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical frameworks, and guidelines will play a crucial role in addressing these challenges and guiding responsible technology development and deployment (Burr and Leslie, 2023). Moreover, ongoing dialogue, research, and education on ethical issues in IT systems design will be essential for raising awareness, fostering ethical literacy, and promoting a culture of ethical responsibility in the technology industry and beyond.

2. Recommendation

Ethical considerations are integral to IT systems design, shaping their impacts on individuals, communities, and society at large. Interdisciplinary collaboration and adherence to ethical frameworks are essential for ensuring responsible and ethical technology development and deployment. By incorporating diverse perspectives and expertise from ethics, law, sociology, and technology, organizations can enhance ethical decision-making processes and develop comprehensive and contextually appropriate ethical solutions. Compliance with legal and ethical standards is essential for mitigating legal and ethical risks, building trust with stakeholders, and upholding user rights and interests.

Ethical considerations are not only a moral imperative but also a pragmatic necessity for building trust, promoting user empowerment, and mitigating potential risks and harms associated with technology use. By prioritizing ethical principles and best practices in IT systems design, organizations can foster trust, enhance user satisfaction, and contribute to societal well-being. Moreover, ethical considerations enable organizations to navigate complex ethical dilemmas and emerging technologies, ensuring that technology serves the common good and promotes human flourishing.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, I urge designers, developers, and organizations to prioritize ethical principles and best practices in IT systems design. By integrating ethics into the fabric of technology, we can build a more ethical, responsible, and sustainable digital future that respects human dignity, promotes social justice, and upholds the common good. Let us embrace the challenge and opportunity to shape technology in a way that aligns with our values and aspirations for a better world.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Reference

- [1] Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E. and Abulibdeh, R., 2024. Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, p.140527.
- [2] Adaga, E.M., Egieya, Z.E., Ewuga, S.K., Abdul, A.A. and Abrahams, T.O., 2024. Philosophy in business analytics: a review of sustainable and ethical approaches. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(1), pp.69-86.
- [3] Aizenberg, E. and Van Den Hoven, J., 2020. Designing for human rights in AI. *Big Data & Society*, 7(2), p.2053951720949566.
- [4] Akindote, O.J., 2023, May. Digital Era: Navigating Vmi And Supplychain For Sustainable Success. In *CS & IT Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 13, No. 9). CS & IT Conference Proceedings.
- [5] Akindote, O.J., Adegbite, A.O., Omotosho, A., Anyanwu, A. and Maduka, C.P., 2024. Evaluating The Effectiveness Of It Project Management In Healthcare Digitalization: A REVIEW. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 4(1), pp.37-50.
- [6] Amugongo, L.M., Kriebitz, A., Boch, A. and Lütge, C., 2023. Operationalising AI ethics through the agile software development lifecycle: a case study of AI-enabled mobile health applications. *AI and Ethics*, pp.1-18.
- [7] Ayo-Farai, O., Olaide, B.A., Maduka, C.P. and Okongwu, C.C., 2023. Engineering Innovations in Healthcare: A Review Of Developments In The USA. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), pp.381-400.
- [8] Babarinde, A.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C. and Sodamade, O., 2023. Data analytics in public health, A USA perspective: A review.
- [9] Balogun, O.D., Ayo-Farai, O., Ogundairo, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., Babarinde, A.O. and Sodamade, O.T., 2024. The Role Of Pharmacists In Personalised Medicine: A Review Of Integrating Pharmacogenomics Into Clinical Practice. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 4(1), pp.19-36.
- [10] Barbosa, S.D.J., Barbosa, G.D.J., Souza, C.S.D. and Leitão, C.F., 2021, March. A semiotics-based epistemic tool to reason about ethical issues in digital technology design and development. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 363-374).
- [11] Biega, A.J., Potash, P., Daumé, H., Diaz, F. and Finck, M., 2020, July. Operationalizing the legal principle of data minimization for personalization. In *Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval* (pp. 399-408).
- [12] Burr, C. and Leslie, D., 2023. Ethical assurance: a practical approach to the responsible design, development, and deployment of data-driven technologies. *AI and Ethics*, 3(1), pp.73-98.
- [13] Carlson, G., McKinney, J., Slezak, E. and Wilmot, E.S., 2020. General Data Protection Regulation and California Consumer Privacy Act: Background. *Currents: J. Int'l Econ. L.*, 24, p.62.
- [14] Chang, Y.L. and Ke, J., 2023. Socially responsible artificial intelligence empowered people analytics: a novel framework towards sustainability. *Human Resource Development Review*, p.15344843231200930.

- [15] Chen, P., Wu, L. and Wang, L., 2023. AI Fairness in Data Management and Analytics: A Review on Challenges, Methodologies and Applications. *Applied Sciences*, 13(18), p.10258.
- [16] Chen, Z., 2023. Ethics and discrimination in artificial intelligence-enabled recruitment practices. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), pp.1-12.
- [17] Christodoulou, E. and Iordanou, K., 2021. Democracy under attack: challenges of addressing ethical issues of AI and big data for more democratic digital media and societies. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 3, p.682945.
- [18] Dhanalakshmi, A., Hui, X. and Supriya, R., 2020. Technological Advancements in E-Commerce and Customer Relationship Management. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 10(6), pp.9-20.
- [19] Diaz, A., Schöggel, J.P., Reyes, T. and Baumgartner, R.J., 2021. Sustainable product development in a circular economy: Implications for products, actors, decision-making support and lifecycle information management. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 26, pp.1031-1045.
- [20] Dolata, M., Feuerriegel, S. and Schwabe, G., 2022. A sociotechnical view of algorithmic fairness. *Information Systems Journal*, 32(4), pp.754-818.
- [21] Ezeigweneme, C.A., Umoh, A.A., Ilojiyana, V.I. and Adegbite, A.O., 2024. Review Of Telecommunication Regulation and Policy: Comparative Analysis USA AND AFRICA. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(1), pp.81-99.
- [22] Fabian, A.A., Uchechukwu, E.S., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., (2023). Corporate Outsourcing and Organizational Performance in Nigerian Investment Banks. *Sch J Econ Bus Manag*, 2023Apr, 10(3), pp.46-57.
- [23] Finck, M. and Pallas, F., 2020. They who must not be identified—distinguishing personal from non-personal data under the GDPR. *International Data Privacy Law*, 10(1), pp.11-36. Akindote, O.J., Adegbite, A.O., Dawodu, S.O., Omotosho, A., Anyanwu, A. and Maduka, C.P., 2023. Comparative review of big data analytics and GIS in healthcare decision-making.
- [24] Hartzog, W. and Richards, N., 2020. Privacy's constitutional moment and the limits of data protection. *BCL Rev.*, 61, p.1687.
- [25] Helbing, D., Mahajan, S., Fricker, R.H., Musso, A., Hausladen, C.I., Carissimo, C., Carpentras, D., Stockinger, E., Sanchez-Vaquerizo, J.A., Yang, J.C. and Ballandies, M.C., 2023. Democracy by Design: Perspectives for Digitally Assisted, Participatory Upgrades of Society. *Journal of Computational Science*, 71, p.102061.
- [26] Inau, E.T., Sack, J., Waltemath, D. and Zeleke, A.A., 2021. Initiatives, concepts, and implementation practices of FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable) data principles in health data stewardship practice: protocol for a scoping review. *JMIR research protocols*, 10(2), p.e22505.
- [27] Konda, S.R., 2022. Ethical Considerations in the Development and Deployment of AI-Driven Software Systems. *International Journal of Computer Science And Technology*, 6(3), pp.86-101.
- [28] Levin, I. and Mamlok, D., 2021. Culture and society in the digital age. *Information*, 12(2), p.68.
- [29] Limba, T., Driaunys, K., Kiskis, M. and Sidlauskas, A., 2020. Development Of Digital Contents: Privacy Policy Model Under the General Data Protection Regulation and User-Friendly Interface. *Transformations in Business & Economics*, 19(1).
- [30] Mason, M., 2020. Transparency, accountability and empowerment in sustainability governance: a conceptual review. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 22(1), pp.98-111.
- [31] Morse, L., Teodorescu, M.H.M., Awwad, Y. and Kane, G.C., 2021. Do the ends justify the means? Variation in the distributive and procedural fairness of machine learning algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, pp.1-13.
- [32] Najam, A., 2023. Ethical Considerations in Engineering: Balancing Technological Progress with Social Responsibility. *Liberal Journal of Language and Literature Review*, 1(01), pp.61-69.
- [33] Ntoutsis, E., Fafalios, P., Gadiraju, U., Iosifidis, V., Nejdil, W., Vidal, M.E., Ruggieri, S., Turini, F., Papadopoulos, S., Krasanakis, E. and Kompatsiaris, I., 2020. Bias in data-driven artificial intelligence systems—An introductory survey. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 10(3), p.e1356.
- [34] Ogundairo, O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., Babarinde, A.O. and Sodamade, O.T., 2023. Review On MALDI Mass Spectrometry And Its Application In Clinical Research. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(3), pp.108-126.
- [35] Oh, J. and Lee, K., 2023. Data De-identification Framework. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 74(2).

- [36] Okoro, Y.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C. and Sodamade, O.T., 2024. The Role Of Technology In Enhancing Mental Health Advocacy: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), pp.37-50.
- [37] Orieno, O.H., Ndubuisi, N.L., Ilojiana, V.I., Biu, P.W. and Odonkor, B., 2024. The Future of Autonomous Vehicles In The US Urban Landscape: A Review: Analyzing Implications For Traffic, Urban Planning, And The Environment. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.43-64.
- [38] Pauliuk, S., Koslowski, M., Madhu, K., Schulte, S. and Kilchert, S., 2022. Co-design of digital transformation and sustainable development strategies-What socio-metabolic and industrial ecology research can contribute. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 343, p.130997.
- [39] Pushkarna, M., Zaldivar, A. and Kjartansson, O., 2022, June. Data cards: Purposeful and transparent dataset documentation for responsible ai. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 1776-1826).
- [40] Rossi, A. and Lenzi, G., 2020. Transparency by design in data-informed research: A collection of information design patterns. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 37, p.105402.
- [41] Sailaja, N., Jones, R. and McAuley, D., 2021, May. Designing for human data interaction in data-driven media experiences. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-7).
- [42] Santos, E., 2023. From neglect to progress: Assessing social sustainability and decent work in the tourism sector. *Sustainability*, 15(13), p.10329.
- [43] Schiff, D., Ayesh, A., Musikanski, L. and Havens, J.C., 2020, October. Ieee 7010: A new standard for assessing the well-being implications of artificial intelligence. In *2020 IEEE international conference on systems, man, and cybernetics (SMC)* (pp. 2746-2753). Ieee.
- [44] Smolka, M., Fisher, E. and Hausstein, A., 2021. From affect to action: choices in attending to disconcertment in interdisciplinary collaborations. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 46(5), pp.1076-1103.
- [45] Stahl, B.C., Andreou, A., Brey, P., Hatzakis, T., Kirichenko, A., Macnish, K., Shaelou, S.L., Patel, A., Ryan, M. and Wright, D., 2021. Artificial intelligence for human flourishing–Beyond principles for machine learning. *Journal of Business Research*, 124, pp.374-388.
- [46] Székely, B., 2022. Mitigating the Privacy Risks of AI through Privacy-Enhancing Technologies. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Legal Studies*, 11(2), pp.35-64.
- [47] Tauginienė, L., Hummer, P., Albert, A., Cigarini, A. and Vohland, K., 2021. Ethical challenges and dynamic informed consent. *The Science of Citizen Science*, p.397.
- [48] Uchechukwu, E.S., Amechi, A.F., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., 2023. Youth Unemployment and Security Challenges in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Sch J Arts Humanit Soc Sci*, 4, pp.81-91.
- [49] Ulgen, O., 2021. A human-centric and lifecycle approach to legal responsibility for AI. *Communications Law Journal: Journal of Computer, Media and Telecommunications Law*, 26(2).
- [50] Wang, R., Bush-Evans, R., Arden-Close, E., Bolat, E., McAlaney, J., Hodge, S., Thomas, S. and Phalp, K., 2023. Transparency in persuasive technology, immersive technology, and online marketing: Facilitating users' informed decision making and practical implications. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 139, p.107545.