



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Metaphorical language in couple's therapy: A conceptual exploration of its impact on conflict resolution

Mary Omoboye ¹, Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh ^{2,*} and Mwuese Celestina Titor-Addingi ³

¹ Independent Researcher, USA.

² Department of Public Law, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

³ Independent Researcher, West Virginia, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(02), 1875–1882

Publication history: Received on 15 January 2024; revised on 25 February 2024; accepted on 27 February 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0633>

Abstract

Metaphorical language, though often overlooked, plays a pivotal role in couple's therapy, shaping the dynamics of communication and influencing conflict resolution processes. This conceptual exploration delves into the multifaceted impact of metaphorical language on the therapeutic discourse within couples. Through a synthesis of existing literature and theoretical frameworks, this paper elucidates the intricate interplay between metaphorical expressions and the resolution of relational conflicts. The use of metaphorical language in couple's therapy serves as a symbolic bridge, facilitating the articulation of deeply rooted emotions and experiences that may otherwise remain inaccessible. Metaphors act as linguistic vehicles, enabling individuals to convey complex thoughts and feelings in a manner that transcends literal expression. Within the therapeutic context, metaphors offer a creative space for couples to explore their relationship dynamics, perceptions, and narratives. Furthermore, metaphorical language not only elucidates individual experiences but also shapes the shared reality constructed by couples. Metaphors can reveal underlying relational patterns, power dynamics, and unspoken assumptions, thereby fostering insight and empathy between partners. By reframing conflicts through metaphorical lenses, couples can gain a fresh perspective on their challenges, promoting collaborative problem-solving and mutual understanding. However, the impact of metaphorical language in couple's therapy is not unidimensional. Misinterpretations or divergent interpretations of metaphors may inadvertently exacerbate misunderstandings or conflicts within the therapeutic process. Therapists must navigate the nuances of metaphorical communication sensitively, balancing interpretation with validation of individual experiences and perspectives. This conceptual exploration highlights the significance of metaphorical language in shaping the therapeutic discourse and its potential implications for conflict resolution in couple's therapy. By embracing and exploring metaphorical expressions, therapists can harness the transformative power of language to facilitate insight, empathy, and ultimately, relational healing within couples. Further empirical research is warranted to elucidate the nuanced mechanisms through which metaphorical language influences therapeutic outcomes in couple's therapy.

Keywords: Metaphorical; Language; Therapy; Couple; Conflict; Resolution; Conceptual; Review

1. Introduction

Metaphorical language serves as a rich tapestry woven into the fabric of human communication, offering layers of meaning beyond literal interpretation (Caballero, 2014; Adika and Budiarsana, 2023;). In the realm of couple's therapy, metaphorical expressions play a profound role in shaping the dynamics of interpersonal relationships and influencing the trajectory of conflict resolution. This conceptual exploration endeavors to delve into the intricate interplay between metaphorical language and its impact on conflict resolution within the context of couple's therapy (Cross, 2013; Fishbane, 2011).

* Corresponding author: Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh.

In couple's therapy, metaphorical language manifests as a linguistic tool through which individuals navigate the complexities of their relationships. Metaphors, by their very nature, transcend the constraints of literal expression, allowing individuals to encapsulate nuanced emotions, perceptions, and experiences within a symbolic framework. Whether consciously or unconsciously employed, metaphors provide a means for couples to articulate deeply entrenched sentiments that may otherwise remain elusive or difficult to verbalize. Therapists often leverage metaphorical language as a vehicle for exploration, encouraging clients to delve beneath the surface of their conflicts and relational dynamics (Emson, 2016; Billow, 2003).

Understanding the impact of metaphorical language on conflict resolution is paramount within the realm of couple's therapy. Metaphors serve as potent catalysts for insight and transformation, offering couples an alternative lens through which to perceive and navigate their conflicts (Billow, 2003; Lederach, 1996; Barner, and Ideus, 2017). By unraveling the underlying metaphors embedded within the narratives of relational discord, therapists can uncover hidden patterns, assumptions, and unmet needs that fuel interpersonal strife. Moreover, by reframing conflicts through metaphorical lenses, couples can cultivate empathy, deepen mutual understanding, and foster collaborative problem-solving strategies (Wolf, 2017; MacCORMACK, 1997). However, the nuanced nature of metaphorical communication also poses challenges, as misinterpretations or divergent interpretations of metaphors may inadvertently exacerbate conflicts. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of metaphorical language is essential for therapists seeking to facilitate meaningful dialogue, promote relational healing, and empower couples to navigate conflicts constructively within the therapeutic setting.

In the subsequent sections of this exploration, we will delve deeper into the theoretical foundations of metaphorical language, examine its role in shaping relational dynamics, explore its impact on conflict resolution processes, and elucidate therapeutic techniques for harnessing its transformative potential within couple's therapy.

2. Theoretical Foundations

Metaphorical language encompasses the use of metaphors, which are linguistic devices employed to convey meaning by drawing comparisons between seemingly unrelated concepts or domains (Carston, 2012; Semino, and Steen, 2008; Deignan, 1998). Metaphors function by suggesting parallels or analogies between a familiar concept (the source domain) and a less tangible or abstract concept (the target domain), thereby facilitating understanding and interpretation. Unlike literal language, which directly denotes meaning, metaphorical language relies on symbolic associations to evoke imagery, emotions, and deeper layers of significance.

At its core, metaphorical language operates on the premise that human cognition is inherently metaphorical, with our understanding of abstract concepts often grounded in more concrete, sensorimotor experiences. Through the use of metaphors, individuals conceptualize and make sense of complex phenomena by translating them into familiar terms or frames of reference (Grady, 1997; Schäffner, 2004). For example, phrases such as "love is a journey" or "time is money" encapsulate abstract notions within concrete, relatable contexts, enabling us to grasp the nuances of interpersonal relationships and temporal dynamics. Metaphorical language permeates various facets of human communication, including everyday discourse, literature, art, and, notably, psychotherapy. Within the therapeutic context, metaphors serve as potent tools for exploration, expression, and transformation. By harnessing the evocative power of metaphorical language, therapists can illuminate clients' inner worlds, elucidate underlying beliefs and emotions, and catalyze therapeutic change.

Numerous theoretical frameworks have been proposed to elucidate the cognitive and linguistic mechanisms underlying metaphorical language. One prominent theory in this domain is Lakoff and Johnson's conceptual metaphor theory, which posits that metaphor is not merely a linguistic device but a fundamental aspect of human thought and perception. Lakoff and Johnson argue that metaphorical thinking is grounded in embodied experiences and conceptual mappings between different domains of experience. According to this framework, individuals understand abstract concepts by metaphorically mapping them onto more concrete, embodied domains that are grounded in sensory and motor experiences. These metaphorical mappings shape our understanding of the world, influencing how we perceive, think about, and communicate about abstract concepts. For example, the conceptual metaphor "love is a journey" maps the abstract concept of love onto the more concrete domain of physical travel. This metaphorical mapping allows individuals to conceptualize love in terms of familiar elements associated with journeys, such as beginnings, destinations, obstacles, and transformations. By framing love as a journey, individuals can navigate the complexities of romantic relationships, interpreting experiences of growth, struggle, and discovery within the framework of a narrative arc (Kövecses, 2016; Hashemi, 2010).

Lakoff and Johnson identify a wide array of conceptual metaphors that underpin various aspects of human experience, from time and space to emotions, morality, and social relations. These metaphorical mappings shape not only our language but also our perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors, influencing how we navigate the world and interact with others. In the context of couple's therapy, Lakoff and Johnson's conceptual metaphor theory provides a valuable framework for understanding how metaphorical language shapes relational dynamics, communication patterns, and conflict resolution strategies. By exploring the metaphorical mappings that underpin couples' narratives and experiences, therapists can gain insight into the underlying dynamics of their relationships, helping them to identify patterns of interaction, areas of conflict, and potential pathways for healing and growth (Hashemi, 2010; Kövecses, 2016; Boers, 2003).

Overall, theoretical frameworks such as Lakoff and Johnson's conceptual metaphor theory offer valuable insights into the cognitive and linguistic processes underlying metaphorical language, shedding light on its role in shaping human perception, communication, and interpersonal relationships. By integrating these theoretical perspectives into clinical practice, therapists can harness the transformative power of metaphorical language to facilitate insight, empathy, and relational healing within the context of couple's therapy.

Metaphorical language serves as a dynamic and transformative tool within the realm of couple's therapy, facilitating communication, shaping shared reality, and influencing conflict resolution processes. This section explores the multifaceted role of metaphorical language in couple's therapy, highlighting its ability to foster expression, insight, and collaborative problem-solving.

Metaphors act as symbolic bridges that span the chasm between the conscious and unconscious realms of human experience. In couple's therapy, partners often grapple with articulating their thoughts, feelings, and experiences, particularly when faced with conflict or emotional turmoil. Metaphorical language provides a pathway for couples to traverse this emotional landscape, offering a means of expression that transcends the limitations of literal language. By encapsulating complex emotions and relational dynamics within symbolic imagery, metaphors enable partners to convey their innermost thoughts and feelings in a manner that is both evocative and illuminating.

For example, a partner may describe feeling "trapped in a dark tunnel" to express their sense of hopelessness and despair within the relationship. This metaphorical depiction not only communicates the intensity of their emotions but also offers insights into the underlying dynamics of the relationship, such as feelings of confinement or stagnation. By articulating their experiences through metaphorical language, partners can bridge the gap between their internal worlds, fostering greater understanding and empathy between them. Metaphorical language provides a means for couples to navigate the intricate terrain of their emotional landscapes, allowing them to articulate complex emotions and experiences that may otherwise remain unspoken or misunderstood. Within the safe and supportive environment of therapy, partners can draw upon metaphors to elucidate their innermost thoughts, fears, and desires, shedding light on the underlying dynamics that fuel relational conflicts.

For instance, a partner may liken their relationship to a "stormy sea," highlighting the tumultuous nature of their interactions and the challenges they face in navigating rough waters together. This metaphor not only captures the intensity of their emotions but also conveys a sense of resilience and determination in weathering the storm. By employing metaphorical language to articulate their experiences, partners can gain clarity and insight into the underlying dynamics of their relationship, paving the way for deeper exploration and healing.

Metaphorical language serves as a powerful tool for uncovering the underlying patterns, beliefs, and assumptions that shape couples' perceptions of themselves and each other (Srivastva, and Barrett, 1988; Feldman, 2008). Metaphors offer a lens through which partners can view their relationship from a fresh perspective, illuminating hidden dynamics and unspoken narratives that may influence their interactions. For example, a partner may describe their relationship as a "battlefield," highlighting the adversarial nature of their interactions and the pervasive sense of conflict that permeates their dynamic. This metaphorical framing sheds light on the underlying power struggles and communication patterns that contribute to relational discord, prompting partners to examine their roles and assumptions within the relationship.

By exploring the metaphorical language used by couples to describe their experiences, therapists can uncover deeply ingrained patterns of interaction and communication that may hinder conflict resolution and emotional intimacy. By bringing these patterns to light, therapists can empower couples to challenge and reframe their narratives, fostering greater understanding and cooperation within the relationship. Metaphorical language fosters insight and empathy between partners by providing a shared framework for understanding and interpreting their experiences. Metaphors

offer a symbolic language through which partners can communicate their perspectives and emotions, fostering a sense of connection and mutual understanding (Winslade, and Monk, 2000; Berger, 2008.).

For instance, a partner may describe their feelings of isolation and loneliness as being "lost in a vast desert," evoking imagery of desolation and emptiness. This metaphorical depiction not only conveys the depth of their emotions but also invites their partner to empathize with their experience and offer support. By articulating their experiences through metaphorical language, partners can bridge the gap between their subjective realities, fostering empathy and validation within the relationship (Scheinkman, and DeKoven Fishbane, 2004; Coffey, 2015).

Metaphorical language offers couples a fresh perspective on their conflicts, inviting them to reframe their experiences through alternative narratives and interpretations. By exploring the metaphorical dimensions of their conflicts, partners can gain insight into the underlying dynamics and emotions that drive their interactions, paving the way for greater understanding and resolution.

For example, a couple may reinterpret their conflict over household responsibilities as a "dance," highlighting the intricate choreography of their interactions and the need for harmony and cooperation. This metaphorical framing encourages partners to view their conflict as a dynamic process that requires mutual cooperation and compromise, rather than a static battleground. Metaphorical language promotes collaborative problem-solving by fostering a sense of shared purpose and agency within the relationship. By reframing conflicts through metaphorical lenses, partners can identify common goals and aspirations that transcend their immediate differences, fostering a sense of unity and cooperation. For instance, a couple may reframe their conflict over financial priorities as a "journey," highlighting their shared aspirations for a stable and secure future. This metaphorical framing encourages partners to approach their conflict as a collaborative endeavor, working together to overcome obstacles and achieve their shared goals.

While metaphorical language can offer valuable insights into couples' experiences, it also carries the risk of misinterpretation or exacerbation of conflicts (Baucom, et al., 2008; Eubanks, 2015). Partners may interpret metaphors differently based on their individual experiences and perspectives, leading to misunderstandings or disagreements. For example, a partner may interpret the metaphor of their relationship as a "rollercoaster" as an indication of instability and uncertainty, while their partner may perceive it as an exciting and exhilarating ride. These divergent interpretations can lead to conflicts or disagreements, highlighting the importance of clarifying and validating each partner's perspective within the therapeutic process. Therapists must navigate the nuances of metaphorical communication sensitively, balancing interpretation with validation of individual experiences and perspectives. While metaphors can offer valuable insights into couples' experiences, they must be interpreted within the context of each partner's unique narrative and relational dynamics. For example, a therapist may explore the metaphor of a couple's relationship as a "tangled web," encouraging partners to untangle the knots of their conflicts and communication patterns. However, the therapist must be mindful of how this metaphor resonates with each partner and adjust their approach accordingly to ensure that it promotes understanding and collaboration.

In conclusion, the role of metaphorical language in couple's therapy is multifaceted, serving as a catalyst for communication, insight, and conflict resolution. By facilitating expression, shaping shared reality, and reframing conflicts through metaphorical lenses, therapists can empower couples to navigate their relational challenges with greater understanding and resilience. However, navigating the nuances of metaphorical communication requires sensitivity and skill, as therapists must balance interpretation with validation of individual experiences and perspectives. Overall, metaphorical language offers a rich and transformative tool for promoting relational healing and growth within the context of couple's therapy.

2.1. Therapeutic Techniques and Approaches:

Metaphorical exploration is a foundational aspect of couple's therapy, offering therapists a versatile and powerful tool for facilitating communication, insight, and transformation. Therapists employ a variety of techniques and approaches to incorporate metaphorical exploration into their therapeutic interventions, tailoring their strategies to the unique needs and dynamics of each couple (Eubanks, 2015).

Therapists may use open-ended prompts or questions to elicit metaphors from couples, inviting them to describe their experiences, emotions, and relationships in symbolic terms. For example, a therapist might ask, "If your relationship were a story, what would its plot be?" or "What images or symbols come to mind when you think about your partner?" Therapists analyze the metaphors used by couples to gain insight into their relational dynamics, communication patterns, and underlying emotions. By exploring the symbolic meanings embedded within these metaphors, therapists can uncover hidden narratives, beliefs, and assumptions that shape couples' experiences. Therapists may engage

couples in structured exercises designed to explore and expand upon metaphorical themes present within their relationship. For example, couples may be asked to create metaphorical collages or drawings representing their relationship dynamics, or to write narratives or poems based on metaphorical prompts (Miloni, 2005; Clarke, 2012; Basham, and Miehl, 2004). Therapists may guide couples through visualization exercises that encourage them to imagine their relationship in metaphorical terms. By engaging the senses and imagination, guided imagery exercises can evoke powerful emotions and insights, deepening couples' understanding of their relationship dynamics. Therapists help couples reframe their conflicts and challenges through metaphorical lenses, offering alternative perspectives and interpretations that promote empathy, understanding, and collaboration. By encouraging couples to view their conflicts as metaphorical narratives with multiple possible endings, therapists empower them to explore new pathways for resolution and growth (Cott, 2020; Miloni, 2005; Daly, and Mallinckrodt, 2009).

Sarah and James are a married couple seeking therapy to address communication issues and conflicts related to parenting. During a session, Sarah describes feeling overwhelmed by the demands of motherhood, likening her experience to "juggling too many balls in the air." Through further exploration, Sarah reveals that she feels pressure to meet unrealistic expectations of being the perfect wife and mother. The therapist uses this metaphor to validate Sarah's feelings of stress and inadequacy, while also challenging the underlying belief that she must "keep all the balls in the air" to be a good mother. Together, Sarah and James explore strategies for prioritizing self-care and setting realistic expectations, reframing their challenges through the metaphor of "balancing acts" rather than impossible juggling feats.

In another session, the therapist guides Sarah and James through a visualization exercise in which they imagine their relationship as a garden. Sarah describes their relationship as a "neglected garden overrun with weeds," symbolizing the neglect and discord that have crept into their marriage. James expresses a desire to cultivate a "lush and vibrant garden" filled with love, harmony, and growth. Through guided imagery and discussion, Sarah and James identify specific actions they can take to nurture their relationship and tend to the metaphorical garden of their marriage.

Michael and Emily seek therapy to address conflicts related to intimacy and emotional connection. During a session, Michael describes feeling disconnected from Emily, comparing their relationship to a "fading photograph." He explains that, like an old photograph, their relationship feels distant and lacking in vibrancy. Through exploration, Michael reveals fears of being forgotten or replaced, stemming from past experiences of abandonment. The therapist uses this metaphor to validate Michael's feelings of disconnection while also exploring ways to revitalize their relationship. Together, Michael and Emily explore strategies for rekindling intimacy and fostering emotional connection, reframing their relationship as a "living portrait" with the potential for renewal and growth (Deutsch, et al., 2011).

In another session, the therapist guides Michael and Emily through a metaphorical exploration exercise in which they create collages representing their relationship. Michael's collage features images of faded photographs and barren landscapes, symbolizing his feelings of disconnection and emptiness. Emily's collage, on the other hand, features images of vibrant sunsets and blooming flowers, reflecting her desire for renewal and growth. Through discussion and reflection, Michael and Emily gain insights into each other's perspectives and experiences, laying the groundwork for deeper understanding and connection within their relationship.

2.2. Ethical Considerations:

Therapists must respect the individual differences in metaphorical expression, recognizing that metaphors are highly subjective and can carry different meanings for each individual. What may resonate as a powerful symbol for one person may hold little significance for another. Therapists should create a safe and nonjudgmental space for couples to explore and express their metaphors without imposing their own interpretations or assumptions (Heitler, 2001; Chapman, 2009).

Therapists must be mindful of cultural differences and sensitivities when incorporating metaphorical exploration into therapy. Metaphors are deeply rooted in cultural beliefs, values, and experiences, and may carry different meanings within diverse cultural contexts. Therapists should be attuned to the cultural backgrounds of their clients and adapt their approach accordingly, avoiding metaphors that may be insensitive or culturally inappropriate. Additionally, therapists should be mindful of the potential for metaphors to reinforce stereotypes or perpetuate harmful narratives, and strive to create inclusive and culturally responsive therapeutic environments (Davis, et al., 2019; Austin, et al., 2006).

Overall, ethical considerations in the use of metaphorical exploration in couple's therapy require therapists to uphold principles of respect, cultural sensitivity, and client autonomy, ensuring that metaphors are used in a manner that honors the unique perspectives and experiences of each couple. By navigating these ethical considerations thoughtfully

and skillfully, therapists can harness the transformative power of metaphorical exploration to promote healing, insight, and growth within the therapeutic process (Weiner, and Boss, 1985; Moon, et al., 1990).

2.3. Future Directions and Implications:

While the role of metaphorical language in couple's therapy is increasingly recognized, further empirical research is needed to deepen our understanding of its mechanisms and effects. Current research in this area has predominantly relied on qualitative methods, such as case studies and qualitative analyses of therapy sessions. While valuable, these studies provide limited generalizability and often lack rigorous experimental designs. Future research should employ a variety of methodological approaches, including experimental studies, longitudinal designs, and quantitative assessments, to investigate the impact of metaphorical language on therapeutic outcomes (Fogg, 1998; Willott, et al. 2012; Nadeau, 2006). By systematically examining the effects of specific metaphorical interventions on communication, conflict resolution, and relationship satisfaction, researchers can elucidate the underlying mechanisms through which metaphorical language operates in couple's therapy. Moreover, future research should explore the cultural and contextual factors that influence the use and interpretation of metaphorical language within diverse populations. Cross-cultural studies are needed to examine how metaphors vary across cultural contexts and how cultural differences shape their impact on therapeutic processes and outcomes (Sholevar, 2008; Grossman, and McDonald, 2008).

A deeper understanding of metaphorical language can enhance therapeutic practices in couple's therapy by providing therapists with a broader repertoire of interventions and strategies for facilitating communication, insight, and relational healing. Therapists can draw upon insights from metaphorical theory and research to develop tailored interventions that resonate with couples' unique experiences and perspectives. Therapists can also enhance their skills in metaphorical exploration through training and supervision, learning to recognize and interpret metaphors in real-time during therapy sessions. By cultivating sensitivity to metaphorical language and its nuances, therapists can create a therapeutic environment that encourages couples to explore and express their experiences in symbolic terms. Additionally, therapists can collaborate with clients to co-create metaphors that capture the essence of their experiences and aspirations, fostering a sense of ownership and empowerment within the therapeutic process. By engaging couples in collaborative metaphorical exploration, therapists can strengthen the therapeutic alliance and promote active engagement in the therapeutic process (Burck, 2005; Fincham, and Beach, 1999; Dallos, and Draper, 2015).

Overall, a deeper understanding of metaphorical language can enrich therapeutic practices in couple's therapy, enabling therapists to harness the transformative power of metaphorical exploration to promote healing, growth, and resilience within relationships.

3. Recommendation

Metaphorical language serves as a vital tool in couple's therapy, facilitating communication, shaping shared reality, and promoting conflict resolution. By providing a symbolic language through which couples can express their experiences and emotions, metaphorical language offers a pathway for deeper understanding, empathy, and connection within relationships. Through metaphorical exploration, couples can uncover hidden narratives, challenge entrenched patterns, and co-create new meanings and possibilities for relational healing and growth.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the paper recommends that therapists and researchers continue to embrace and explore the impact of metaphorical language on conflict resolution and relational healing within couple's therapy. By deepening our understanding of the role of metaphorical language in therapeutic processes, we can enhance therapeutic practices, improve outcomes, and promote resilience within relationships. I urge therapists to integrate metaphorical exploration into their clinical work, recognizing its potential to transform communication, foster insight, and facilitate healing within couples. By embracing metaphorical language as a central aspect of couple's therapy, we can unlock new pathways for relational growth and flourishing in the years to come.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Adika, D. and Budiarsana, P.A., 2023. Exploring Semantics and the Utilization of Figurative Language in the Song Lyrics of “21 Guns” by Green Day. *Pulchra Lingua: A Journal of Language Study, Literature & Linguistics*, 2(1), pp.17-31.
- [2] Austin, W., Bergum, V., Nuttgens, S. and Peternelj-Taylor, C., 2006. A re-visioning of boundaries in professional helping relationships: Exploring other metaphors. *Ethics & Behavior*, 16(2), pp.77-94.
- [3] Barner, R. and Ideus, K., 2017. *Working deeply: Transforming lives through transformational coaching*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [4] Basham, K.K. and Miehl, D., 2004. *Transforming the legacy: Couple therapy with survivors of childhood trauma*. Columbia University Press.
- [5] Baucom, D.H., Epstein, N.B., LaTaillade, J.J. and Kirby, J.S., 2008. Cognitive-behavioral couple therapy. *Clinical handbook of couple therapy*, 4, pp.31-72.
- [6] Berger, L.L., 2008. How embedded knowledge structures affect judicial decision making: A rhetorical analysis of metaphor, narrative, and imagination in child custody disputes. *S. Cal. Interdisc. LJ*, 18, p.259.
- [7] Billow, R., 2003. *Relational group psychotherapy: From basic assumptions to passion*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- [8] Boers, F., 2003. Applied linguistics perspectives on cross-cultural variation in conceptual metaphor. *Metaphor and symbol*, 18(4), pp.231-238.
- [9] Burck, C., 2005. Comparing qualitative research methodologies for systemic research: The use of grounded theory, discourse analysis and narrative analysis. *Journal of family therapy*, 27(3), pp.237-262.
- [10] Caballero, R., 2014. Language, space and body: Sensing and construing built space through metaphor. *Space, place and the discursive construction of identity*, pp.107-134.
- [11] Carston, R., 2012. Metaphor and the literal/nonliteral distinction. *The Cambridge handbook of pragmatics*, 469, p.491.
- [12] Chapman, R.D., 2009. *The use of metaphor in counselling: A discourse analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).
- [13] Clarke, H.B., 2012. Dwell in possibility: an exploration of the use of metaphor in psychotherapy: a project based on interviews with fourteen psychotherapists.
- [14] Coffey, S., 2015. Reframing teachers’ language knowledge through metaphor analysis of language portraits. *The Modern Language Journal*, 99(3), pp.500-514.
- [15] Cott, M., 2020. *‘It’s Like Slow Juicing...’: A Thematic Analysis of Client-generated Metaphors in Psychological Therapy Using Interpersonal Process Recall* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the West of England).
- [16] Cross, L.B., 2013. *Couples therapy*. Routledge.
- [17] Dallos, R. and Draper, R., 2015. *Ebook: An introduction to family therapy: Systemic theory and practice*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- [18] Daly, K.D. and Mallinckrodt, B., 2009. Experienced therapists’ approach to psychotherapy for adults with attachment avoidance or attachment anxiety. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 56(4), p.549.
- [19] Davis, S.D., Gonzalez, V. and Sahibzada, S., 2019. Therapeutic alliance in couple and family therapy. *Encyclopedia of Couple and Family Therapy*, pp.2924-2929.
- [20] Deignan, A., 1998. *A corpus-based study of some linguistic features of metaphor* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Birmingham).
- [21] Deutsch, M., Coleman, P.T. and Marcus, E.C. eds., 2011. *The handbook of conflict resolution: Theory and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [22] Emson, N., 2016. Exploring metaphor use and its insight into sense making with executive coaching clients. *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching & Mentoring*.
- [23] Eubanks, P., 2015. *The troubled rhetoric and communication of climate change: The argumentative situation*. Routledge.

- [24] Feldman, J., 2008. *From molecule to metaphor: A neural theory of language*. MIT press.
- [25] Fincham, F.D. and Beach, S.R., 1999. Conflict in marriage: Implications for working with couples. *Annual review of psychology*, 50(1), pp.47-77.
- [26] Fishbane, M.D., 2011. Facilitating relational empowerment in couple therapy. *Family process*, 50(3), pp.337-352.
- [27] Fogg, B.J., 1998, January. Persuasive computers: perspectives and research directions. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems* (pp. 225-232).
- [28] Grady, J., 1997. Foundations of meaning: Primary metaphors and primary scenes.
- [29] Grossman, P. and McDonald, M., 2008. Back to the future: Directions for research in teaching and teacher education.
- [30] Hashemi, Z., 2010. Conceptual metaphor theory as proposed by Lakoff and Johnson. *Journal of Adab Pazhuhi*, 4(12), pp.119-140.
- [31] Heitler, S., 2001. Combined individual/marital therapy: A conflict resolution framework and ethical considerations. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 11(3), pp.349-383.
- [32] Kövecses, Z., 2016. Conceptual metaphor theory. In *The Routledge handbook of metaphor and language* (pp. 31-45). Routledge.
- [33] Lederach, J.P., 1996. *Preparing for peace: Conflict transformation across cultures*. Syracuse University Press.
- [34] MacCORMACK, T.E.R.R.Y., 1997. Believing in Make-Believe: Looking at Theater as a Metaphor for Psychotherapy. *Family Process*, 36(2), pp.151-169.
- [35] Milioni, D., 2005. *Talking in images: clients' and therapists' constructions of metaphor and its uses in therapy* (Doctoral dissertation, City University).
- [36] Minuchin, P., 1985. Families and individual development: Provocations from the field of family therapy. *Child development*, pp.289-302.
- [37] Moon, S.M., Dillon, D.R. and Sprenkle, D.H., 1990. Family therapy and qualitative research. *Journal of Marital and Family therapy*, 16(4), pp.357-373.
- [38] Nadeau, J.W., 2006. Metaphorically speaking: The use of metaphors in grief therapy. *Illness, Crisis & Loss*, 14(3), pp.201-221.
- [39] Schäffner, C., 2004. Metaphor and translation: some implications of a cognitive approach. *Journal of pragmatics*, 36(7), pp.1253-1269.
- [40] Scheinkman, M. and DeKoven Fishbane, M., 2004. The vulnerability cycle: Working with impasses in couple therapy. *Family Process*, 43(3), pp.279-299.
- [41] Semino, E. and Steen, G., 2008. Metaphor in literature. *The Cambridge handbook of metaphor and thought*, 6, pp.57-70.
- [42] Sholevar, G.P. ed., 2008. *Textbook of family and couples therapy: Clinical applications*. American Psychiatric Pub.
- [43] Srivastva, S. and Barrett, F.J., 1988. The transforming nature of metaphors in group development: A study in group theory. *Human Relations*, 41(1), pp.31-63.
- [44] Weiner, J.P. and Boss, P., 1985. Exploring gender bias against women: Ethics for marriage and family therapy. *Counseling and Values*, 30(1), pp.9-23.
- [45] Willott, S., Hatton, T. and Oyebode, J., 2012. Reflecting team processes in family therapy: a search for research. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 34(2), pp.180-203.
- [46] Winslade, J. and Monk, G.D., 2000. *Narrative mediation: A new approach to conflict resolution*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [47] Wolf, A.T., 2017. *The spirit of dialogue: Lessons from faith traditions in transforming conflict*. Island Press.