



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Critical analysis of four leadership theories and principles

David Oshame <sup>1,\*</sup> and Omeoga Eziaku Maureen <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Business Administration, Saint Mary's University, Minnesota, United States.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Strategic Human Resources Management, University of Denver, Colorado, United States.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 17(01), 1387-1395

Publication history: Received on 21 December 2022; revised on 27 January 2023; accepted on 30 January 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.17.1.0187>

### Abstract

Leadership has long been a cornerstone of organizational success, evolving through various theoretical perspectives that seek to explain and enhance its effectiveness. This research critically examines leadership theories and principles, emphasizing their evolution, applicability, and effectiveness in diverse organizational settings. Using a qualitative research approach, the study explores existing literature to analyze key leadership theories such as transformational, transactional, servant, and situational leadership. It also engages in comparative analysis to highlight their strengths, limitations, and adaptability across various contexts. The research underscores the significance of leadership principles, including vision, integrity, emotional intelligence, and ethical decision-making, in shaping effective leadership. Additionally, it evaluates contemporary challenges such as globalization, technological advancements, and organizational change, assessing how leadership theories can be adapted to address these dynamics. By synthesizing scholarly discussions, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of leadership's role in fostering organizational success and societal progress. The findings highlight the need for leaders to adopt a flexible, context-driven approach that integrates multiple leadership styles to navigate complex environments. This research contributes to the broader discourse on leadership by offering insights into the practical application of theories while acknowledging the need for continuous evolution in leadership paradigms.

**Keywords:** Leadership Theories; Transformational Leadership; Transactional Leadership; Servant Leader; Situational Leader; Organizational Effectiveness

### 1. Introduction

Leadership has long been a subject of scholarly inquiry and practical relevance, shaping the way individuals, organizations, and societies function. The ability to lead effectively has been recognized as a crucial determinant of success in various fields, including business, politics, military strategy, and social movements. Over time, leadership theories have emerged to explain how leaders influence followers, navigate challenges, and drive change.

These theories provide structured frameworks that define leadership styles, behaviors, and processes, offering insights into the qualities that make leaders effective. However, despite the vast body of research on leadership, there remains an ongoing debate about the best approaches to leadership and the applicability of different leadership models across diverse contexts. Leadership is not a one-size-fits-all phenomenon, and what works in one setting may not necessarily succeed in another [1]. This makes the critical analysis of leadership theories and principles not only relevant but necessary in understanding the strengths, weaknesses, and practical implications of different leadership models.

Throughout history, leadership thought has evolved significantly, transitioning from early trait-based theories, which suggested that leaders are born with inherent qualities, to more contemporary models that emphasize situational, relational, and ethical dimensions of leadership [2]. Classical leadership theories often viewed leadership as a

\* Corresponding author: David Oshame.

hierarchical function, where power and authority were concentrated in the hands of a few [3]. However, modern perspectives acknowledge that leadership is more dynamic and context-dependent, influenced by environmental factors, organizational culture, and interpersonal relationships [4]. The increasing complexity of leadership challenges in the 21st century, driven by globalization, technological advancements, and shifting workforce expectations, demands a deeper understanding of leadership effectiveness. With the rise of knowledge-based economies, digital transformation, and decentralized decision-making structures, leaders must exhibit flexibility, adaptability, and emotional intelligence to inspire and guide their teams.

This research aims to critically analyze four leadership theories—Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, Servant Leadership, and Situational Leadership by examining their conceptual foundations, theoretical frameworks, practical applications, and comparative significance. These theories have been chosen because they represent different paradigms of leadership, from transformational and ethical leadership to relationship-driven and situational leadership. While these leadership theories offer valuable insights, they are not without limitations.

Leadership effectiveness is influenced by multiple factors, including organizational culture, industry-specific demands, and individual personalities [5]. Some leadership theories prioritize the role of the leader while underestimating the agency of followers, whereas others place a greater emphasis on collective leadership and shared decision-making [6]. Additionally, ethical considerations in leadership remain a crucial topic of discussion, particularly in relation to power dynamics, trust, and accountability. It is important to examine whether leadership principles derived from these theories are universally applicable or whether cultural and contextual factors shape the way leadership should be practiced. This research will explore these questions by conducting an in-depth analysis of each leadership theory, identifying their conceptual origins, strengths, and weaknesses, and evaluating their practical applications in real-world settings.

This research is not only important for scholars and practitioners in leadership studies but also for policymakers, business executives, and organizational leaders who seek to enhance leadership effectiveness within their domains. Understanding the nuances of different leadership theories allows individuals and institutions to make informed decisions about leadership development, succession planning, and strategic management. Through critical analysis of these theories, this research will contribute to the ongoing discourse on leadership by identifying gaps in existing models and proposing areas for further research. In a rapidly changing world where leadership is required to be more agile, inclusive, and responsive, a critical assessment of leadership theories is essential to shaping the future of leadership thought and practice.

---

## 2. Conceptual framework

Leadership is a composite concept that has been defined and redefined over time. At its core, leadership involves the ability to influence, guide, and inspire individuals or groups toward the achievement of goals. Warren Bennis, An American Scholar defines leadership as the capacity to translate vision into reality [7]. He also maintained the view that leadership is a process of social influence, emphasizing the leader's ability to inspire and motivate others to achieve a common goal [7]. In similar vein, Jacob and Jaques defines leadership as "a process of giving purpose or meaningful direction to collective effort and causing willing effort to be expended to achieve purpose [8].

It is pertinent to note that early definitions emphasized inherent traits and qualities possessed by individuals in positions of authority. However, contemporary perspectives recognize leadership as a dynamic process that encompasses relationships, situational contexts, and the capacity to adapt to changing environments.

The evolution of leadership thought reflects shifts in societal values, organizational needs, and academic inquiry. Initially, the "Great Man" theory posited that leaders were born with specific traits that predestined them to lead [9]. This perspective gave way to trait theories, which sought to identify characteristics common among effective leaders [10]. As understanding deepened, behavioral theories emerged, focusing on leaders' actions rather than their innate qualities. Subsequent situational and contingency theories highlighted the influence of context on leadership effectiveness, suggesting that no single style is universally optimal [11]. More recently, transformational and servant leadership models have emphasized the importance of vision, inspiration, and serving others [12].

Leadership plays a significant role in shaping organizations and societies. Effective leadership drives organizational success by setting strategic direction, fostering a positive culture, and motivating employees to perform at their best. In a societal context, leaders influence social movements, policy decisions, and cultural norms, thereby steering the course of communities and nations [13]. The impact of leadership is evident in historical transformations, where visionary leaders have mobilized people toward significant change.

Distinguishing between leadership theories and leadership principles is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the field. Leadership theories are frameworks developed to explain and predict leaders' behaviors and effectiveness. They provide structured explanations of how and why certain individuals become leaders and how they exert influence. In contrast, leadership principles are fundamental truths or propositions that serve as the foundation for leadership behavior [14]. They offer guidance on the ethical and effective exercise of leadership, often emphasizing values such as integrity, accountability, and empathy [15].

The concept of leadership is dynamic and evolving, and is integral to the functioning of organizations and societies. Its study encompasses various theories that explain leadership phenomena and principles that guide effective practice.

---

### **3. Review of four leadership theories**

#### **3.1. Transformational Leadership**

Transformational leadership is a style that emphasizes inspiring and motivating followers to achieve exceptional outcomes while fostering their personal and professional development [16]. The concept was first introduced by sociologist James V. Downton in 1973 and later expanded by historian and political scientist James MacGregor Burns in 1978. Burns described transformational leadership as a process where "leaders and followers make each other advance to a higher level of morality and motivation" [17]. Bernard M. Bass further developed the theory in the 1990s, introducing mechanisms to measure transformational leadership and exploring its impact on follower performance and satisfaction [18].

At its core, transformational leadership involves four key components: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration [19]. Idealized influence refers to leaders acting as role models, earning the trust and respect of their followers. Inspirational motivation involves articulating a compelling vision that inspires and motivates followers. Intellectual stimulation encourages creativity and innovation by challenging assumptions and soliciting new ideas. Individualized consideration entails providing personalized support and mentorship to followers, addressing their unique needs and fostering their development [19]. This leadership style is prevalent in organizations aiming for significant change, as it promotes a culture of continuous improvement and adaptability.

The strengths of transformational leadership include its ability to foster high levels of employee engagement, satisfaction, and performance [20]. By focusing on the needs and development of followers, transformational leaders can cultivate a committed and innovative workforce. However, this approach also has its weaknesses. It may not be suitable in situations requiring quick decision-making or in highly structured environments where adherence to established procedures is critical. Additionally, the effectiveness of transformational leadership can be influenced by cultural factors, as some cultures may not align with the emphasis on individual empowerment and innovation [21].

#### **3.2. Transactional Leadership**

Transactional leadership is based on a system of rewards and punishments to manage followers, emphasizing structured policies and procedures [22]. The roots of this leadership style can be traced back to the early 20th century when sociologist Max Weber introduced bureaucratic leadership concepts [23]. In the mid-20th century, leadership experts Bernard Bass and James MacGregor Burns refined this approach, highlighting the transactional nature of the leader-follower relationship [24].

The core principles of transactional leadership involve clear structures, defined roles, and the use of rewards and punishments to motivate followers [22]. Leaders set specific goals and provide explicit instructions, ensuring that followers understand their tasks and the consequences of their performance. This approach is particularly effective in organizations where tasks are routine and require a high degree of consistency and efficiency. For example, in manufacturing settings, transactional leadership can ensure that production processes are followed meticulously to maintain product quality [25].

The strengths of transactional leadership lie in its clarity and predictability. By establishing well-defined expectations and a clear system of rewards and punishments, leaders can effectively manage performance and maintain organizational stability. However, this leadership style also has its weaknesses. It may stifle creativity and innovation, as the emphasis on routine and adherence to procedures can discourage employees from exploring new ideas. Additionally, transactional leadership may not be effective in dynamic environments where adaptability and flexibility are crucial for success [26].

### 3.3. Servant Leadership

Servant leadership is a philosophy that emphasizes the leader's role as a servant first, prioritizing the needs of followers and encouraging their development and well-being [27]. The concept was popularized by Robert K. Greenleaf in his 1970 essay "The Servant as Leader," where he articulated that the servant-leader is servant first, focusing on the growth and well-being of people and the communities to which they belong [28]. Greenleaf's ideas were influenced by his experiences in corporate management and his readings of various philosophical and religious texts [28].

The core principles of servant leadership include humility, empathy, stewardship, and commitment to the growth of others [29]. Servant leaders actively listen to their followers, demonstrate genuine concern for their needs, and work to build a sense of community within the organization. This approach fosters a supportive environment where employees feel valued and are encouraged to reach their full potential [28, 29]. Servant leadership has been applied successfully in various sectors, including business, education, and non-profit organizations, where leaders seek to create a culture of trust and collaboration [30].

The strengths of servant leadership lie in its focus on ethical behavior and the development of people. By prioritizing the needs of followers, servant leaders can build strong, cohesive teams and promote a positive organizational culture. However, this leadership style also has its weaknesses. It may be perceived as a lack of authority or decisiveness, particularly in situations that require strong, directive leadership [30]. Implementing servant leadership can as well be challenging in competitive environments where aggressive strategies are often rewarded.

### 3.4. Situational Leadership

Situational leadership is a flexible approach that proposes leaders should adapt their style based on the maturity and competence of their followers and the specific demands of the situation [30]. Developed by Paul Hersey and Kenneth Blanchard in the late 1960s, this theory suggests that there is no single best style of leadership. Instead, effective leadership is contingent upon various factors, including the task at hand and the readiness level of the individuals or group being led [31].

The core principles of situational leadership involve diagnosing the needs of followers and adjusting leadership behavior accordingly [32]. Hersey and Blanchard identified four leadership styles: directing, coaching, supporting, and delegating [33]. The directing style is characterized by high directive and low supportive behavior, suitable for followers who lack competence but are committed. The coaching style involves high directive and high supportive behavior, ideal for followers who have some competence but lack commitment. The supporting style features low directive and high supportive behavior, appropriate for followers who are competent but lack confidence or motivation. Finally, the delegating style entails low directive and low supportive behavior, best suited for followers who are both competent and committed [33].

The strengths of situational leadership include its adaptability and emphasis on the leader's responsiveness to the needs of followers [34]. By tailoring their approach, leaders can effectively address diverse situations and promote follower development. However, this leadership style also has its limitations. One of the primary challenges is that situational leadership requires leaders to accurately assess the competence and commitment levels of their followers, which may not always be straightforward [35]. Misjudgements can lead to inappropriate leadership responses, reducing effectiveness. The flexibility demanded by this approach can be taxing for leaders who may struggle to transition between different styles fluidly. In dynamic or crisis situations where quick decisions are required, situational leadership might appear overly complex or impractical. Nonetheless, its adaptability makes it a widely accepted approach in diverse organizational contexts where team dynamics frequently change [34].

---

## 4. Comparative analysis of the four theories

Transformational, transactional, servant, and situational leadership theories each offer distinct frameworks for understanding leadership dynamics, yet they share certain similarities and exhibit notable differences. Transformational and servant leadership both emphasize the leader's role in inspiring and elevating followers. Transformational leaders focus on motivating followers to transcend self-interest for organizational goals, fostering innovation and change. Servant leaders prioritize the needs and development of their followers, aiming to enhance their well-being and personal growth [36]. In contrast, transactional leadership centers on structured tasks, clear roles, and a system of rewards and punishments to achieve compliance and maintain the status quo [37]. Situational leadership diverges by advocating adaptability, suggesting that effective leaders adjust their style based on the competence and commitment levels of their followers and the specific context [37].

The effectiveness of these leadership styles varies across organizational and socio-political contexts. Transformational leadership is particularly effective in environments undergoing change or requiring innovation, as it fosters a culture of creativity and forward-thinking [19]. Servant leadership thrives in organizations that value ethical behavior and community, promoting a supportive culture that enhances employee satisfaction and trust [28]. Transactional leadership is well-suited for stable, routine operations where efficiency and consistency are paramount, providing clear directives and expectations. Situational leadership is advantageous in dynamic settings, allowing leaders to tailor their approach to meet the evolving needs of their team members and the challenges at hand [34].

Practically, understanding these theories enables leaders to adopt a more flexible and effective approach to leadership. By recognizing the strengths and limitations of each style, leaders can assess their organizational context and the needs of their followers to determine the most appropriate approach. For instance, in times of organizational transformation, employing transformational leadership can galvanize employees towards a shared vision. In contrast, during periods requiring stability and adherence to established procedures, a transactional approach may be more effective. Integrating elements from multiple theories, such as combining the inspirational aspects of transformational leadership with the adaptability of situational leadership, can enhance a leader's ability to navigate complex organizational landscapes.

Summarily, while these four leadership theories present different perspectives, they collectively offer a comprehensive understanding of effective leadership. These theories, when critically analyzed and applied, leaders can better navigate the complexities of their roles, fostering environments that are responsive to both organizational objectives and the needs of their followers.

---

## 5. Leadership principles: a critical examination

Effective leadership is underpinned by a set of fundamental principles that guide leaders in inspiring and directing their teams toward achieving common goals. Among these principles, integrity stands paramount; it entails unwavering adherence to ethical standards and honesty, fostering trust and credibility within the organization [38]. Communication is another critical principle, involving the clear and transparent exchange of information, which ensures that team members are aligned with the organization's vision and objectives [39]. Additionally, adaptability is another principle that enables leaders to navigate the ever-changing business landscape by embracing innovation and responding effectively to unforeseen challenges [40]. Empathy, the ability to understand and share the feelings of others, allows leaders to connect with their team members on a personal level, promoting a supportive and collaborative work environment [41]. Furthermore, accountability requires leaders to take responsibility for their actions and decisions, setting a standard for organizational behavior and performance.

The universality of these leadership principles is a subject of considerable debate. While the core tenets of effective leadership are widely recognized, their expression and prioritization can vary significantly across different cultures and industries. For instance, in high-context cultures, where communication relies heavily on implicit understanding and non-verbal cues, the principle of communication may manifest through indirect methods, emphasizing harmony and group cohesion [42]. Conversely, low-context cultures may prioritize direct and explicit communication styles. Similarly, industries characterized by rapid innovation, such as technology, may place a higher emphasis on adaptability, whereas sectors with stringent regulatory frameworks, like finance, might prioritize accountability and risk management [43]. These variations underscore the importance of cultural intelligence in leadership, enabling leaders to tailor their approaches to align with the specific values and expectations of their organizational and cultural contexts.

The intersection of leadership principles with ethical considerations is intrinsic and profound. Ethical leadership involves the application of moral principles in decision-making and behavior, ensuring that actions not only comply with legal standards but also promote fairness, respect, and social responsibility [44]. For example, a leader demonstrating integrity will not only adhere to honesty in communications but also actively discourage unethical practices within the organization. Empathy in leadership translates to a genuine concern for the well-being of employees, leading to practices that support work-life balance and mental health [41]. Accountability on its part ensures that leaders are answerable for their actions, fostering a culture of transparency and trust [45]. In essence, ethical considerations are not separate from leadership principles but are embedded within them, guiding leaders to make decisions that are just and beneficial to all stakeholders.

While certain leadership principles are broadly acknowledged as foundational to effective leadership, their application is defined by cultural and industrial contexts. A deep understanding of these principles, coupled with ethical mindfulness and cultural intelligence, equips leaders to navigate the complexities of their roles effectively, fostering environments where both individuals and organizations can thrive.

## **6. Challenges and criticisms of leadership theories**

Traditional leadership theories, including transformational, transactional, servant, and situational models, have significantly shaped our understanding of effective leadership. However, as the global landscape evolves, these frameworks face critiques regarding their applicability to contemporary challenges.

One notable limitation is the rigidity inherent in many traditional theories. For instance, transactional leadership, which emphasizes structured tasks and reward systems, may lack the flexibility required in today's dynamic environments where innovation and rapid adaptation are crucial [22]. Similarly, transformational leadership, while promoting change and vision, often centers on the leader's charisma and may not sufficiently address collaborative or decentralized decision-making processes essential in modern organizations [46]. These theories often overlook the influence of cultural diversity, assuming a one-size-fits-all approach that may not resonate across different cultural or industrial contexts [1].

The advent of globalization, technological advancements, and artificial intelligence (AI) has further complicated the leadership paradigm. Globalization demands leaders who can navigate cross-cultural complexities and manage geographically dispersed teams, challenges that traditional theories may not adequately prepare leaders for [47]. Technological innovations, particularly AI, are reshaping organizational structures and decision-making processes. AI's ability to process vast amounts of data and provide predictive analytics is altering the traditional role of leaders, necessitating a shift towards more data-driven and collaborative approaches [48]. As noted in recent discussions, AI serves as a significant amplifier of human intelligence, urging leaders to integrate these tools to remain competitive in the evolving landscape.

In response to these shifts, emerging perspectives in leadership research are gaining traction. Contemporary models emphasize adaptability, emotional intelligence, and ethical considerations. For example, the coaching leadership style focuses on supporting and challenging colleagues, prioritizing personal and professional development over traditional authoritative approaches [33]. The integration of AI in leadership practices is fostering more adaptable, data-driven, and customized approaches to managing teams and organizations [49].

Looking ahead, the future of leadership research is poised to explore the synergy between human intuition and technological capabilities. This includes examining how AI can enhance decision-making without compromising ethical standards and human values. As organizations become more global and diverse, leadership theories will need to account for varying cultural norms and expectations, moving towards more inclusive and flexible frameworks.

---

## **7. Key findings, recommendations and conclusion**

### **7.1. Summary of Key Insights**

Leadership is a dynamic concept that continues to evolve in response to societal changes, organizational needs, and global challenges. This research critically analyzed four leadership theories viz; transformational, transactional, servant, and situational leadership with each offering unique perspectives on effective leadership. Transformational leadership emphasizes vision and inspiration, transactional leadership focuses on structure and rewards, servant leadership prioritizes humility and follower well-being, and situational leadership advocates for flexibility based on follower readiness.

The analysis revealed that no single theory is universally applicable; rather, each theory has strengths and weaknesses that make it suitable for specific contexts. Transformational leadership thrives in innovation-driven environments but may lack structure. Transactional leadership supports stability but may stifle creativity. Servant leadership nurtures commitment but may delay decisive actions, while situational leadership adapts well to diverse follower capabilities but risks inconsistency. Consequently, a blended approach, combining elements of these theories, offers a more holistic framework for modern leadership.

### **7.2. Implications for Leadership Practice**

In the contemporary leadership landscape, organizations face unprecedented challenges due to globalization, technological advancement, and socio-political shifts. Leaders today, must not only motivate and inspire but also demonstrate adaptability and ethical integrity. Integrating transformational vision with transactional accountability can foster a balanced approach to leadership. Embedding servant leadership principles into organizational culture can enhance morale and loyalty, while situational adaptability ensures responsiveness to changing circumstances.

Leadership development programs should be comprehensive, incorporating both traditional and modern approaches. Emphasizing emotional intelligence, cultural competence, and technological literacy is essential to develop leaders who can navigate complexities effectively. Organizations should also prioritize continuous learning, allowing leaders to adapt as global trends evolve.

### 7.3. Recommendations for Future Research

Future research should focus on integrating traditional leadership theories with modern perspectives, especially considering the rapid advancements in technology and AI. Developing hybrid models that combine transformational, transactional, servant, and situational elements would address the complex demands of contemporary leadership. Additionally, empirical studies should explore how these hybrid approaches perform in diverse industries and cultural settings.

Research should also examine the intersection between leadership and digital transformation. As AI becomes integral to decision-making, understanding how to balance human-centric values with data-driven leadership will be crucial. Exploring leadership efficacy in virtual teams and remote work environments, which have become prevalent post COVID-19 pandemic, would also provide practical insights into adapting leadership practices to new realities.

### 7.4. Policy Considerations

Policymakers and educational institutions should collaborate to develop leadership competency frameworks that reflect modern challenges. These frameworks should integrate digital skills, cross-cultural communication, and ethical leadership practices. Investing in leadership training for digital transformation and AI management will ensure that emerging leaders are equipped for the future.

Policies should as well encourage inclusive leadership practices, to foster diversity within leadership roles. This will not only improve organizational effectiveness but also enhance representation in decision-making processes, aligning leadership practices with societal progress.

---

## 8. Conclusion

Leadership remains a cornerstone of organizational success and societal progress. However, the static application of traditional theories may not suffice in addressing the challenges of modern times. By synthesizing insights from transformational, transactional, servant, and situational theories, leaders can develop more adaptive and inclusive leadership styles. As organizations become increasingly global and technologically driven, the ability to integrate diverse leadership principles will be crucial.

To remain relevant, leadership practices must evolve, incorporating the best of both traditional and emerging perspectives. Ultimately, fostering leadership that is adaptable, ethical, and grounded in practical realities will better prepare organizations to thrive amid change and uncertainty.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

## References

- [1] Peotter E. One size doesn't fit all. *The Journal for Quality and Participation*. 2015 Oct 1;38(3):39.
- [2] Roundtree BM. Leadership traits that inspire Millennials to followership. *The Chicago School of Professional Psychology*; 2019.
- [3] Ionela S. Leadership: from classic theories to the 21st century's challenges. In *SHS Web of Conferences 2021 (Vol. 120, p. 02023)*. EDP Sciences.
- [4] Tripathi N. Reverse the lens, set focus on the followers: a theoretical framework of resource dependence, upward influence, and leadership. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021 Sep 24; 12:699340.

- [5] Hoover J. Factors that determine the adaptation of a leadership style: A case study in the 21st century manufacturing industry. Baker College (Michigan); 2020.
- [6] Ni Y, Yan R, Pounder D. Collective leadership: Principals' decision influence and the supportive or inhibiting decision influence of other stakeholders. *Educational Administration Quarterly*. 2018 Apr;54(2):216-48.
- [7] Bennis W, Sample SB, Asghar R. The art and adventure of leadership: Understanding failure, resilience and success. John Wiley & Sons; 2015 Mar 18. Retrieved from <<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=pwAxBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&ots=5mgP9-Gr4P&sig=FEdj6Rpaxhx0pHV5xRCclg3nfMs>> Accessed 11 November, 2022.
- [8] Jacobs TO, Jaques E. Military executive leadership. 1990. Available at <<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1991-97354-012>> Accessed 11 November, 2022.
- [9] Jekelle HE. Leadership styles dimensions and organizational commitment Nexus: Evidence from a public sector in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Business*. 2021 Mar 17;4(1).
- [10] Kelly R. Introductory Chapter: Towards Leadership 4.0. In *Constructing Leadership 4.0: Swarm Leadership and the Fourth Industrial Revolution* 2018 Nov 28 (pp. 1-22). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [11] Stanley D. Leadership theories and styles. *Clinical Leadership in Nursing and Healthcare*. 2022 Oct 24:31-60.
- [12] Andersen JA. Servant leadership and transformational leadership: From comparisons to farewells. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 2018 Jul 24;39(6):762-74.
- [13] Oberschall A. *Social movements: ideologies, interest, and identities*. Routledge; 2017 Oct 23.
- [14] Jain V, Gupta SS, Shankar KT, Bagaria KR. A study on leadership management, principles, theories, and educational management. *World Journal of English Language*. 2022;12(3):203-11.
- [15] Egger C, Macario A. Leadership principles. *Operating Room Leadership and Perioperative Practice Management*. 2018 Dec 6:1.
- [16] Reza MH. Components of transformational leadership behavior. *EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*. 2019 Mar;5(3):119-24.
- [17] Burns, J.M, (1978), *Leadership*, N.Y, Harper and Row.
- [18] Bass BM, Stogdill RM. *Bass & Stogdill's handbook of leadership: Theory, research, and managerial applications*. Simon and Schuster; 1990.
- [19] Pawar A. Transformational leadership: inspirational, intellectual and motivational stimulation in business. *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications*. 2016;5(5):14-21.
- [20] Rafia R, Achmad Sudiro S. The effect of transformational leadership on employee performance mediated by job satisfaction and employee engagement. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*. 2020;21(5):119-25.
- [21] Mokhber M, bin Wan Ismail WK, Vakilbashi A. Effect of transformational leadership and its components on organizational innovation. *Iranian Journal of Management Studies*. 2015 Apr 1;8(2):221-41.
- [22] Jaqua E, Jaqua T. Transactional leadership. *American Journal of Biomedical Science and Research*. 2021 Oct 28;14(5):399-400.
- [23] Byrkjeflot H. The impact and interpretation of Weber's bureaucratic ideal type in organisation theory and public administration. In *Bureaucracy and society in transition: Comparative perspectives* 2018 Oct 8 (pp. 13-35). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [24] Martin J. Personal relationships and professional results: The positive impact of transformational leaders on academic librarians. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*. 2017 Mar 1;43(2):108-15.
- [25] Naeem M, Nawaz MA. The Impact of Transformational and Transactional Leadership Styles on Employee's Performance. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review*. 2017 Dec 30;1(2):119-35.
- [26] Schulze JH, Pinkow F. Leadership for organisational adaptability: How enabling leaders create adaptive space. *Administrative Sciences*. 2020 Jun 28;10(3):37.
- [27] Panaccio A, Donia M, Saint-Michel S, Liden RC. Servant leadership and wellbeing. In *Flourishing in life, work and careers* 2015 Mar 27 (pp. 334-358). Edward Elgar Publishing.

- [28] Marothia P, Vyas P. Servant-Leadership through Bhagavad Gita: a review and synthesis of servant leadership characteristics and a conceptual model. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*. 2020;24(10).
- [29] Roberts G. Servant leadership and change: A review of the literature. *New Horizons in Positive Leadership and Change: A Practical Guide for Workplace Transformation*. 2020 Mar 12:33-64.
- [30] Palumbo R. Challenging servant leadership in the nonprofit sector: The side effects of servant leadership. *The Journal of Nonprofit Education and Leadership*. 2016;6(2).
- [31] Kaitonen J. Definition of situational leadership roles in a modern expert organization: the leadership style. 2016. Retrieved from: < <https://www.theseus.fi/handle/10024/105458>> Accessed 16 November, 2022.
- [32] Mirčetić V, Vukotić S. The analysis of situational leadership models: origin, divergence and development. *MEFkon 2020 INNOVATION AS AN INITIATOR OF THE DEVELOPMENT "INNOVATIONS IN THE FUNCTION OF DEVELOPMENT"*. 2020:93.
- [33] Mahmood K, Rifaqat M, Amin S. Head Teacher AS A Leader: An Analysis of Head Teachers Practices Based on Hersey Blanchard Model in Azad Jammu & Kashmir. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*. 2021 Dec 31;2(3):35-43.
- [34] Reina CS. *Adapting leader behaviors to achieve follower effectiveness: A mindful approach to situational leadership*. Arizona State University; 2015.
- [35] Thompson G, Glasø L. Situational leadership theory: a test from a leader-follower congruence approach. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 2018 Jun 21;39(5):574-91.
- [36] Brown S, Marinan J, Partridge MA. The moderating effect of servant leadership on transformational, transactional, authentic, and charismatic leadership. *Journal of International Business Disciplines*. 2020 Nov 1;15(2):67-86.
- [37] Khan IU, Nawaz A. A comparative analysis of leadership theories: a review. *Gomal University Journal of Research*. 2016 Dec 31;32(2):20-31.
- [38] Fry LW, Egel E. Global leadership for sustainability. *Sustainability*. 2021 Jun 3;13(11):6360.
- [39] Rachmad YE. *Communication Leadership Theory*. Setúbal Sardinhas Publicações Internacionais, Edição Especial 2022; 2022 Jan 11.
- [40] Castillo EA, Trinh MP. Catalyzing capacity: absorptive, adaptive, and generative leadership. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. 2019 Aug 8;32(3):356-76.
- [41] Tzouramani E. Leadership and empathy. *Leadership today: Practices for personal and professional performance*. 2017:197-216.
- [42] Kajzar P, Chylková M. Intercultural communication. Available at: [https://is.slu.cz/publication/38040/kajzar\\_chylkova-intercultural\\_communication\\_2019.pdf](https://is.slu.cz/publication/38040/kajzar_chylkova-intercultural_communication_2019.pdf) Accessed 20 November, 2022.
- [43] Oyeniyi LD, Igwe AN, Ofodile OC, Paul-Mikki C. Optimizing risk management frameworks in banking: Strategies to enhance compliance and profitability amid regulatory challenges. *Journal Name Missing*. 2021.
- [44] Voegtlin C. What does it mean to be responsible? Addressing the missing responsibility dimension in ethical leadership research. *Leadership*. 2016 Nov;12(5):581-608.
- [45] Ghanem KA, Castelli PA. Accountability and moral competence promote ethical leadership. *The Journal of Values-Based Leadership*. 2019;12(1):11.
- [46] Sun R, Henderson AC. Transformational leadership and organizational processes: Influencing public performance. *Public Administration Review*. 2017 Jul;77(4):554-65.
- [47] Mäkelä K, Luring J, Butler CL, Lee HJ, Lücke G, Miska C, Pahlberg C, Stahl GK. Meeting the challenges of globalization in order to make a difference: Implications for teams and team leadership. In *Research Handbook of Global Leadership 2020* Apr 3 (pp. 91-107). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [48] Brock JK, Von Wangenheim F. Demystifying AI: What digital transformation leaders can teach you about realistic artificial intelligence. *California management review*. 2019 Aug;61(4):110-34.
- [49] Almarzooqi A. Towards an artificial intelligence (AI)-driven government in the United Arab Emirates (UAE): a framework for transforming and augmenting leadership capabilities. *Pepperdine University*; 2019.