World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

Competitive advantages of transparency: How anti-corruption initiatives can drive innovation and growth in the energy sector

Wasiu Abiola Owoola [1], Babatunde Alaba Olaniyo [2,*], Okwe Daniel Obeka [3] and Sakiru Folarin Bello [4]

[1] *TotalEnergies EP Nigeria; Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *ExxonMobil, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Administration and Management, Rivers State University, Nigeria.*
[4] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 24(01), 1901–1912

Publication history: Received on 08 September 2024; revised on 21 October 2024; accepted on 23 October 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3242

Abstract

This review examines the role of anti-corruption initiatives in driving innovation and growth within the energy sector, with a particular focus on emerging markets. As global demand for energy continues to rise, the imperative for ethical and transparent business practices grows stronger. This study synthesizes current literature on anti-corruption measures, transparency initiatives, and their impacts on competitive advantage in the energy industry. Through a comprehensive analysis of case studies and empirical research, we identify several key mechanisms through which transparency can foster innovation and drive growth. These include enhanced stakeholder trust, improved access to capital, attraction of top talent, and the creation of an ethical corporate culture that encourages innovation. Our findings suggest that successful anti-corruption initiatives in the energy sector require a nuanced understanding of local contexts, strong leadership commitment, and the strategic use of technology. The review also highlights the potential of open data platforms and blockchain technology in facilitating transparency and compliance. By identifying key factors contributing to successful anti-corruption efforts and their subsequent impacts on innovation and growth, this study provides valuable insights for policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers working towards a more transparent and innovative energy sector. Future research directions are proposed to further explore the long-term impacts and scalability of these initiatives across different market contexts.

Keywords: Anti-Corruption; Energy Sector; Transparency; Innovation; Competitive Advantage; Emerging Markets

1. Introduction

The energy sector, a cornerstone of global economic development, has long grappled with the pervasive issue of corruption [1]. From the licensing processes to inflated contract costs and embezzlement of revenues, corruption manifests in various forms across the industry's value chain. This endemic problem not only undermines the sector's efficiency and reliability but also has far-reaching consequences for economic growth, social equity, and environmental sustainability [2].

In response to these challenges, transparency and anti-corruption initiatives have gained significant traction in recent years [3]. These efforts, ranging from global standards like the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) to company-specific disclosure policies, aim to shed light on the often murky dealings within the energy sector [4]. The importance of such initiatives cannot be overstated, as they serve as crucial tools for fostering accountability, promoting fair competition, and ensuring that the benefits of energy resources are equitably distributed among stakeholders [5].

* Corresponding author: Babatunde Alaba Olaniyo

Interestingly, a growing body of evidence suggests that transparency can be more than just an ethical imperative [6]. Companies that embrace transparency often find themselves better positioned to navigate complex regulatory environments, attract investment, and build trust with communities and consumers [7]. This concept of competitive advantage through transparency represents a paradigm shift in how businesses approach anti-corruption efforts, moving from a compliance-driven mindset to one that recognizes the strategic value of ethical practices.

Given the complexity and global significance of this issue, this review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of how anti-corruption initiatives can drive innovation and growth in the energy sector [8]. The objective is to offer insights that can inform policy decisions, corporate strategies, and future research directions in this critical area. As we delve deeper into the multifaceted nature of corruption within the energy sector, we will explore its various manifestations, impacts, and the unique challenges in addressing it across different economic contexts.

2. Overview of Corruption in the Energy Sector

2.1. Types and Prevalence of Corruption in Different Segments of the Energy Industry

Corruption in the energy sector is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon, manifesting differently across various segments of the industry [9]. In the upstream sector, it often takes the form of bribery in licensing processes, manipulation of environmental impact assessments, or kickbacks in the awarding of exploration and production contracts [10]. The midstream sector may see corruption in inflated infrastructure contracts or the misappropriation of funds meant for pipeline maintenance [11]. In downstream operations, common forms include fuel smuggling, meter tampering, and collusion in pricing.

The prevalence and severity of these corrupt practices vary widely across regions and regulatory environments [12]. However, even developed economies with robust regulatory frameworks are not immune to corruption scandals in their energy industries [13]. The globalized nature of the energy sector often means that corrupt practices can have transnational implications, complicating efforts to combat them.

2.2. Economic and Social Impacts of Corruption in the Energy Sector

The economic and social impacts of corruption in the energy sector are profound and far-reaching. Economically, corruption leads to misallocation of resources, inflated project costs, and reduced foreign direct investment [14]. It distorts market dynamics, stifling competition and innovation, which in turn can lead to higher energy prices for consumers and businesses alike. The World Bank estimates that corruption in the power sector costs developing countries about $27 billion annually [15].

Socially, corruption in the energy sector often results in inadequate service provision, with power outages and fuel shortages disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations [16]. It can lead to environmental degradation through lax enforcement of regulations and the bypassing of environmental safeguards. Moreover, corruption exacerbates income inequality by diverting resources that could be used for public services and infrastructure development. In many resource-rich countries, the phenomenon of the "resource curse" can be largely attributed to corruption in the management of energy revenues, where wealth from natural resources fails to translate into broader economic development and social progress [17].

2.3. Challenges in Addressing Corruption in Emerging Markets vs. Developed Economies

Addressing corruption in the energy sector presents unique challenges, which vary significantly between emerging markets and developed economies [18]. In emerging markets, these challenges often stem from weak institutional frameworks, lack of political will, and deeply entrenched vested interests. The energy sector in many of these countries represents a significant portion of national GDP, making it a prime target for rent-seeking behavior [19]. Additionally, the technical complexity of many energy projects can make it difficult for civil society and media to effectively monitor and report on corrupt practices.

Developed economies, while generally benefiting from stronger institutions and regulatory frameworks, face their own set of challenges [20]. These often revolve around more sophisticated forms of corruption, such as regulatory capture or the revolving door between industry and government. The intricate web of lobbying, campaign finance, and policy influence can create subtle but powerful incentives for corruption. Moreover, the globalized nature of many energy companies means that corrupt practices in one jurisdiction can have ripple effects across their global operations, creating challenges in enforcement and accountability [21].

In both contexts, the capital-intensive nature of energy projects, long project lifecycles, and the involvement of multiple stakeholders create opportunities for corruption at various stages [22]. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that combines legal and regulatory reforms, technological solutions, capacity building, and cultural change within organizations and societies.

3. Anti-Corruption Initiatives and Transparency Measures

3.1. Overview of Major Global Anti-Corruption Initiatives

The fight against corruption in the energy sector has gained significant momentum through various global initiatives, reflecting a growing international consensus on the importance of transparency and accountability in this critical industry [23].

The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) stands out as a leading force in promoting open and accountable management of extractive resources [24]. Implemented in over 50 countries, EITI requires participating nations to disclose information along the extractive industry value chain, from licensing to extraction, to how revenues make their way through the government and benefit the public [25].

Another critical global initiative is the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention, which establishes legally binding standards to criminalize bribery of foreign public officials in international business transactions [26]. This convention has been instrumental in creating a level playing field for companies operating in the global energy market. By harmonizing anti-bribery laws across member countries, it has reduced the competitive disadvantage that ethical companies might face when operating in challenging environments.

The United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) also plays a crucial role in the global anti-corruption landscape [27]. As the only legally binding universal anti-corruption instrument, UNCAC provides a comprehensive set of standards, measures, and rules that countries can apply to strengthen their legal and regulatory regimes against corruption. Its provisions on asset recovery are particularly relevant to the energy sector, where illicit enrichment through corrupt practices has been a persistent issue [28].

3.2. Industry-Specific Transparency Measures

Within the energy sector, several industry-specific transparency measures have emerged to address unique challenges. These measures aim to shed light on areas traditionally shrouded in secrecy, thereby reducing opportunities for corruption.

Contract transparency has gained significant traction, with some countries and companies voluntarily publishing their extraction contracts [29]. This practice allows for public scrutiny and helps ensure that the terms of these agreements are fair and beneficial to all parties involved. For instance, countries like Ghana and Liberia have made contract disclosure mandatory, leading to more balanced negotiations and better outcomes for their citizens [30].

Beneficial ownership disclosure is another crucial measure, aimed at revealing the true owners of companies involved in extractive industries [31]. This transparency helps prevent conflicts of interest, tax evasion, and money laundering in the sector. Many countries are now establishing public registers of beneficial owners, particularly for companies involved in high-value government contracts or natural resource extraction [32]. The UK's public register of beneficial owners, launched in 2016, has set a precedent for other countries to follow [33].

Revenue transparency is also gaining prominence, with initiatives like Publish What You Pay (PWYP) encouraging both governments and companies to disclose payments related to resource extraction [34]. This allows citizens to track how much money is being generated from their country's natural resources and how it is being spent.

Project-level reporting is another emerging trend, where companies report financial information on a project-by-project basis rather than aggregated at the country level [35]. This granular reporting provides a clearer picture of the economic impacts of specific energy projects and makes it easier to detect potential discrepancies or irregularities.

3.3. Role of Technology in Enhancing Transparency

Technological advancements are playing an increasingly important role in enhancing transparency in the energy sector [36]. These innovations are not only making it easier to collect and share information but also creating new ways to verify and secure data.

Blockchain technology, with its immutable and decentralized nature, is being explored for applications such as tracking energy production and distribution, as well as managing complex supply chains in the industry [37]. This technology has the potential to create tamper-proof records of transactions, reducing opportunities for corruption [38]. For example, the Energy Web Foundation is developing blockchain-based solutions for renewable energy certificates, which could significantly reduce fraud in the green energy market.

Open data platforms are another technological tool being leveraged to increase transparency [39]. These platforms allow for the publication of large datasets related to energy projects, contracts, and financial flows. By making this information freely accessible to the public, open data initiatives enable greater scrutiny and analysis by civil society organizations, researchers, and citizens [40].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are also being harnessed to detect patterns and anomalies in vast datasets that might indicate corrupt practices [41]. These technologies can analyze complex financial transactions, identify suspicious patterns in procurement processes, and flag potential conflicts of interest at a scale and speed impossible for human auditors.

The Internet of Things (IoT) is another promising technology for enhancing transparency. IoT devices can provide real-time monitoring of energy production, distribution, and consumption, making it much harder to manipulate data or engage in fraudulent activities [42]. For instance, smart meters can provide accurate, tamper-proof readings of energy consumption, reducing opportunities for corruption in billing processes.

3.4. Case Studies of Successful Anti-Corruption Programs

Several energy companies and countries have implemented successful anti-corruption programs that serve as models for the industry. These case studies demonstrate that with commitment and innovative approaches, it is possible to significantly reduce corruption and enhance transparency in the energy sector.

Norway's management of its oil wealth through the Government Pension Fund Global is often cited as a best practice in transparent and responsible resource management [43]. The fund's operations are characterized by a high degree of transparency, with regular public reporting on its investments and returns. Norway's approach ensures that the benefits of its natural resources are preserved for future generations while maintaining a stable economy. The country's commitment to transparency extends to its state-owned oil company, Equinor (formerly Statoil), which has been recognized for its comprehensive anti-corruption program.

Equinor's anti-corruption efforts include detailed due diligence processes, extensive training for employees and partners, and a policy of transparency in its dealings with governments and other stakeholders [44]. The company publishes all its payments to governments on a country-by-country and project-by-project basis, going beyond regulatory requirements in many jurisdictions. This level of disclosure has set a new standard for transparency in the industry.

In the realm of government initiatives, Ghana's Petroleum Revenue Management Act provides an excellent example of how legislation can promote transparency and accountability in the energy sector [45]. The Act established clear rules for the collection, allocation, and management of petroleum revenue, including requirements for regular public reporting and independent oversight. This framework has significantly improved the governance of Ghana's oil and gas resources, reducing opportunities for corruption and ensuring that revenues benefit the broader population.

Colombia's efforts to implement the EITI standard have also yielded positive results [46]. By bringing together government, industry, and civil society stakeholders, Colombia has been able to increase transparency in its extractive sector significantly. The country now publishes detailed reports on production volumes, revenues, and social investments by extractive companies, allowing for greater public scrutiny and accountability.

These case studies demonstrate that successful anti-corruption programs require a multi-faceted approach, combining legal and regulatory measures, technological solutions, stakeholder engagement, and a strong commitment to

transparency at all levels of operation. They also highlight the importance of tailoring anti-corruption efforts to local contexts while adhering to global best practices.

4. Competitive Advantages of Transparency

Building upon the anti-corruption initiatives and transparency measures discussed, it's crucial to understand how these efforts translate into competitive advantages for companies in the energy sector [47].

4.1. Enhanced Reputation and Stakeholder Trust

Transparency in operations and anti-corruption efforts can significantly enhance a company's reputation in the energy sector [48]. As stakeholders increasingly prioritize ethical business practices, companies known for their transparency often enjoy greater trust from investors, regulators, and the public. This trust can translate into tangible benefits, such as smoother project approvals and stronger community support for operations.

For instance, Equinor's commitment to transparency, as mentioned earlier, has not only improved its reputation but also strengthened its relationships with host governments and local communities. This enhanced trust has facilitated easier market entry and smoother operations in challenging environments.

4.2. Improved Access to Capital and Financing

Transparent companies often find it easier to access capital and secure financing. Many institutional investors and lenders now incorporate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into their decision-making processes [49]. Companies with strong anti-corruption track records and transparent operations are viewed as lower-risk investments, potentially leading to better financing terms and a wider pool of potential investors.

The case of Ghana's implementation of the Petroleum Revenue Management Act illustrates how improved transparency at the national level can attract foreign investment. The clear rules and regular reporting established by this act have increased investor confidence in Ghana's oil and gas sector, leading to increased foreign direct investment.

4.3. Attraction and Retention of Talent

A commitment to transparency and ethical business practices can be a powerful tool for attracting and retaining top talent [50]. Many professionals, particularly younger generations, place a high value on working for companies with strong ethical standards. Energy companies known for their transparency and anti-corruption efforts often have an edge in the competitive market for skilled workers [51].

This trend is particularly evident in the renewable energy sector, where companies often attract talent not just based on compensation, but also on their commitment to sustainability and ethical practices.

4.4. Operational Efficiencies and Cost Savings

Transparency can lead to significant operational efficiencies and cost savings. By implementing transparent processes and systems, companies can identify and eliminate inefficiencies, reduce the risk of fraud, and streamline operations [52]. Moreover, the reduced risk of corruption-related scandals and legal issues can result in substantial cost savings in the long run.

For example, the implementation of blockchain technology for supply chain management, as mentioned earlier, not only enhances transparency but can also lead to significant cost savings by reducing intermediaries and streamlining processes.

4.5. Fostering Innovation Through Open Collaboration and Data Sharing

Transparency can foster innovation by enabling open collaboration and data sharing [53]. When companies are more open about their challenges and operations, it creates opportunities for collaborative problem-solving and innovation. This openness can lead to the development of new technologies, processes, and business models that drive the industry forward [54].

The Energy Web Foundation's work on blockchain-based solutions for renewable energy certificates, discussed earlier, is a prime example of how transparency and open collaboration can drive innovation in the energy sector [55].

4.6. Better Risk Management and Regulatory Compliance

Transparent operations contribute to better risk management and regulatory compliance. By maintaining open and ethical business practices, companies can more easily identify and mitigate risks before they become serious issues [56]. Furthermore, transparency often leads to better relationships with regulators, potentially resulting in more favorable regulatory environments and fewer compliance issues.

Norway's approach to managing its oil wealth demonstrates how transparency can lead to better risk management at both the company and national level, ensuring long-term sustainability of resources and economic stability [57].

5. Driving Innovation Through Transparency

The competitive advantages of transparency extend beyond immediate benefits, serving as a catalyst for innovation within the energy sector.

5.1. Creating an Ethical Corporate Culture that Encourages Innovation

Transparency can be a catalyst for creating an ethical corporate culture that encourages innovation [58]. When employees feel that they are working in an open and honest environment, they are more likely to feel empowered to share ideas and take calculated risks [59]. This culture of openness can lead to breakthrough innovations that drive company growth and industry advancement.

5.2. Open Innovation Models Enabled by Increased Transparency

Increased transparency enables the adoption of open innovation models in the energy sector. By sharing non-sensitive data and collaborating with external partners, energy companies can tap into a broader pool of ideas and expertise [60]. This approach can accelerate the development of new technologies and solutions to complex industry challenges.

The use of open data platforms, as discussed in section 3.3, is a prime example of how transparency can enable open innovation. By making data freely accessible, these platforms allow researchers, entrepreneurs, and civil society organizations to develop new insights and solutions for industry challenges [61].

5.3. Leveraging Transparency for Better Decision-Making and Strategic Planning

Transparency provides decision-makers with more comprehensive and accurate information, leading to better decision-making and strategic planning [62]. When all stakeholders have access to relevant data and insights, it becomes easier to align strategies with market realities and stakeholder expectations [63]. This informed approach to decision-making can drive innovation by ensuring that resources are allocated to the most promising and impactful initiatives.

5.4. Case Studies of Innovative Practices Emerging from Anti-Corruption Initiatives

Several case studies demonstrate how anti-corruption initiatives have led to innovative practices in the energy sector [64]. The implementation of digital payment systems for utility bills in some developing countries, initially aimed at reducing corruption, has led to innovations in mobile payment technologies and improved service delivery models [65]. These innovations have not only reduced corruption but also increased access to energy services for underserved populations.

The drive for transparency in the energy sector is not just about combating corruption, it's about creating a more innovative, efficient, and sustainable industry [66]. As companies and countries continue to embrace transparency, we can expect to see further innovations that address both longstanding and emerging challenges in the global energy landscape.

6. Growth Opportunities in Transparent Energy Markets

6.1. Attracting Foreign Direct Investment in Transparent Energy Markets

Transparent energy markets are more attractive to foreign direct investment. Investors are increasingly looking for markets with clear rules, fair competition, and minimal corruption risks [67]. Countries and regions that prioritize transparency in their energy sectors often see increased foreign investment, leading to economic growth and technological advancement [68].

6.2. Expansion Opportunities for Companies with Strong Anti-Corruption Track Records

Companies with strong anti-corruption track records often find it easier to expand into new markets [69]. Their reputation for ethical business practices can help them navigate complex regulatory environments and build trust with local stakeholders more quickly [70]. This advantage can lead to faster market entry and more successful expansion strategies.

6.3. Development of New Products and Services Around Transparency and Compliance

The push for greater transparency has created opportunities for the development of new products and services [71]. These include compliance management software, due diligence services, and transparency reporting tools. Companies that can innovate in this space not only contribute to industry-wide transparency efforts but also create new revenue streams [72].

6.4. Potential for Industry Leadership and Standard-Setting

Companies and countries that embrace transparency have the potential to become industry leaders and standard-setters [73]. [74]. This leadership position can provide a competitive edge and shape the future direction of the energy sector towards more ethical and sustainable practices.

Future Directions

As we look to the future of anti-corruption initiatives and transparency measures in the energy sector, several key areas emerge for further exploration and development, building upon the foundations laid out in this review.

The role of technology in enhancing transparency, touched upon earlier, presents a rich field for future research. While we've discussed the potential of blockchain and open data platforms, there's a need to delve deeper into how these technologies can be practically implemented across different contexts within the energy sector [75]. Future studies should explore the scalability of blockchain solutions for contract transparency and supply chain management, particularly in emerging markets where infrastructure challenges may exist.

Another critical area for future research stems from the discussion of competitive advantages gained through transparency. While we've identified benefits such as enhanced stakeholder trust and improved access to capital, there's a need for more quantitative studies to measure these impacts. Future research should aim to develop robust methodologies for quantifying the return on investment of anti-corruption initiatives for energy companies [76]. This could involve longitudinal studies tracking financial performance, innovation outputs, and market share of companies that have implemented comprehensive transparency measures.

The creation of an ethical corporate culture that encourages innovation, as mentioned in our review, is a concept that warrants further exploration. Future research could focus on identifying best practices for fostering such cultures in the energy sector, particularly in environments where corruption has been endemic. This could involve interdisciplinary studies combining insights from organizational psychology, business ethics, and innovation management.

Our discussion of industry-specific transparency measures highlighted the importance of beneficial ownership disclosure and contract transparency. Future research should assess the long-term impacts of these measures on market dynamics, foreign direct investment, and overall sector performance [77]. There's also a need to explore how these transparency measures can be effectively applied to new and emerging areas of the energy sector, such as renewable energy projects and smart grid systems.

The challenges in addressing corruption in emerging markets versus developed economies, as outlined in our review, point to a need for more nuanced, context-specific anti-corruption strategies. Future research should focus on developing flexible frameworks that can be adapted to different regulatory environments and cultural contexts. This could involve comparative studies across different energy markets to identify transferable best practices and context-specific challenges.

Lastly, as the global energy landscape continues to evolve, particularly in light of climate change and the transition to renewable sources, future research must anticipate new forms of corruption risks that may emerge. Studies should explore how transparency and anti-corruption measures can be proactively designed to address these emerging challenges, ensuring that the shift to cleaner energy sources is not hampered by corruption [78].

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

The energy sector stands at a critical juncture where transparency and anti-corruption efforts have become paramount not only for ethical governance but also as drivers of innovation and competitive advantage. This review has illuminated the multifaceted nature of corruption within the energy industry and the transformative potential of transparency initiatives.

As we've seen, corruption in the energy sector manifests in various forms across different segments of the industry, from opaque licensing processes to inflated contract costs. The economic and social impacts of these practices are profound, leading to misallocation of resources, reduced foreign investment, and exacerbated income inequality. However, the implementation of robust anti-corruption measures and transparency initiatives has shown promise in mitigating these issues.

Global initiatives like the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) and industry-specific measures such as contract transparency and beneficial ownership disclosure have laid a strong foundation for combating corruption. Moreover, technological advancements, particularly in blockchain and open data platforms, are opening new avenues for enhancing transparency across the energy value chain.

Crucially, this review has underscored that transparency is more than just an ethical imperative.It can be a source of significant competitive advantage. Companies embracing transparency have found themselves better positioned to navigate complex regulatory environments, attract investment, and build trust with stakeholders. This paradigm shift from a compliance-driven mindset to one that recognizes the strategic value of ethical practices is reshaping the industry landscape.

Recommendation

For energy companies, integrating transparency and anti-corruption measures into core business strategies should be a priority. This goes beyond mere compliance and involves fostering an ethical corporate culture that encourages innovation. Companies should invest in technological solutions to enhance operational transparency and establish comprehensive training programs for employees at all levels.

Policymakers and regulators should focus on strengthening and harmonizing legal frameworks to support transparency efforts. This includes implementing and enforcing beneficial ownership disclosure requirements and promoting contract transparency. Additionally, there's a need for increased international cooperation to address the transnational nature of corruption in the energy sector.

For emerging markets grappling with entrenched corruption, we recommend a phased approach to implementing transparency measures. This should start with capacity building within regulatory bodies and gradually extend to more comprehensive disclosure requirements. Developed economies, on the other hand, should focus on addressing more sophisticated forms of corruption, such as regulatory capture, through enhanced oversight mechanisms and stricter conflict of interest regulations.

The research community has a crucial role to play in advancing our understanding of the links between transparency, innovation, and competitive advantage in the energy sector. We recommend increased focus on quantitative studies measuring the long-term impacts of anti-corruption initiatives on company performance and sector-wide innovation.

Civil society organizations should continue to play a watchdog role, but also work collaboratively with companies and governments to improve the accessibility and usefulness of disclosed information. Their efforts in data analysis and advocacy are vital for the continuous improvement of transparency standards.

As the energy sector navigates the transition to more sustainable sources, it's imperative that transparency and anti-corruption efforts evolve in tandem. We recommend proactive measures to identify and address potential corruption risks in emerging areas such as renewable energy projects and smart grid systems.

In conclusion, while the challenges of corruption in the energy sector are significant, the potential benefits of enhanced transparency are immense. By fostering innovation, driving sustainable growth, and ensuring more equitable distribution of energy resources, these efforts can contribute to a more just and efficient energy future. The path forward requires concerted effort from all stakeholders, but the rewards—both ethical and economic—make it a journey worth undertaking.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Van Schoor B. Fighting Corruption Collectively. Springer Verlag; 2017.

[2] Ufere N, Perelli S, Boland R, Carlsson B. Merchants of corruption: How entrepreneurs manufacture and supply bribes. World Development. 2012 Dec 1;40(12):2440-53.

[3] Schnell S. Cheap talk or incredible commitment?(Mis) calculating transparency and anti-corruption. Governance. 2018 Jul;31(3):415-30.

[4] Collier P. Facing the global problems of development. InCan the World Be Governed: Possibilities for Effective Multilateralism 2008 Feb 25. Wilfrid Laurier University Press.

[5] Govindarajan HK, Ganesh LS. Integrating energy governance and environmental justice: Role of renewable energy. Renewable Energy Focus. 2022 Dec 1;43:24-36.

[6] Parris DL, Dapko JL, Arnold RW, Arnold D. Exploring transparency: a new framework for responsible business management. Management Decision. 2016 Feb 8;54(1):222-47.

[7] Siebecker MR. Trust & transparency: Promoting efficient corporate disclosure through fiduciary-based discourse. Wash. UL Rev.. 2009;87:115.

[8] Disch A, Vigeland E, Sundet G, Gibson S. Anti-corruption approaches: A literature review. Sida, Oslo. 2009.

[9] McCusker R. Review of anti-corruption strategies. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology; 2006 Apr 1.

[10] Pitman R, Toroskainen K. Beneath the surface: The case for oversight of extractive industry suppliers.

[11] Pitman R, Toroskainen K. Beneath the surface: The case for oversight of extractive industry suppliers.

[12] Goudie AW, Stasavage D. A framework for the analysis of corruption. Crime, Law and Social Change. 1998 Mar;29:113-59.

[13] Fredriksson PG, Vollebergh HR, Dijkgraaf E. Corruption and energy efficiency in OECD countries: theory and evidence. Journal of Environmental Economics and management. 2004 Mar 1;47(2):207-31.

[14] Basavarajappa BC. The Effects of Political Corruption on Economic Development: A Study. International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR). 2020;7(4):1269-2348.

[15] Aghion P, Bloom N, Blundell R, Griffith R, Howitt P. Competition and innovation. An inverted U relationship. 2002.

[16] LAMIN MJ. ENERGY JUSTICE: THE UNFAIR ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION IN SIERRA LEONE.

[17] Menaldo V. The institutions curse: Natural resources, politics, and development. Cambridge University Press; 2016 Aug 25.

[18] Wamukonya N. Power sector reform in developing countries: mismatched agendas. Energy policy. 2003 Sep 1;31(12):1273-89.

[19] Khanna T, Palepu KG, Sinha J. Strategies that fit emerging markets. InInternational business strategy 2015 Feb 20 (pp. 615-631). Routledge.

[20] Ndou V. E-government for developing countries: Opportunities and challenges. Electron. J. Inf. Syst. Dev. Ctries.. 2004 Jun;18(1):1-24.

[21] Makkai T, Braithwaite J. In and out of the revolving door: Making sense of regulatory capture. Journal of Public Policy. 1992 Jan;12(1):61-78.

[22] Adetola A, Goulding J, Liyanage C. Collaborative engagement approaches for delivering sustainable infrastructure projects in the AEC sector: A review. International Journal of Construction supply chain management. 2011 Sep 1;1(1):1-24.

[23] Fjeldstad OH, Isaksen J. Anti-corruption reforms: challenges, effects and limits of World Bank support. IEG Working Paper. 2008 Sep 1.

[24] Simpson A. The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative.

[25] Sovacool BK, Andrews N. Does transparency matter? Evaluating the governance impacts of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) in Azerbaijan and Liberia. Resources Policy. 2015 Sep 1;45:183-92.

[26] Tarullo DK. The limits of institutional design: Implementing the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention. Va. J. Int'l L.. 2003;44:665.

[27] Azamov A. THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND COLLABORATION IN ANTI-CORRUPTION EFFORTS. Академические исследования в современной науке. 2024 May 31;3(22):144-8.

[28] Weilert AK. United nations convention against corruption (UNCAC)–after ten years of being in force. Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law Online. 2016 May 30;19(1):216-40.

[29] Rahman I. Drilling for Disclosure after API v. SEC: Incentivizing Voluntary Payment Transparency in the Resource Extraction Industry through Exemptions to Section 1504 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Sw. J. Int'l L.. 2014;21:479.

[30] Freeman J. Private parties, public functions and the new administrative law. InAdministrative Law 2018 Jun 14 (pp. 421-466). Routledge.

[31] Dam L, Scholtens B. Does ownership type matter for corporate social responsibility?. Corporate Governance: An International Review. 2012 May;20(3):233-52.

[32] Noked N. Tax evasion and incomplete tax transparency. Laws. 2018 Aug 23;7(3):31.

[33] Knobel A. Beneficial ownership verification: ensuring the truthfulness and accuracy of registered ownership information. Available at SSRN 3320600. 2019.

[34] Chatzivgeri E, Chew L, Crawford L, Gordon M, Haslam J. Transparency and accountability for the global good? The UK's implementation of EU law requiring country-by-country reporting of payments to governments by extractives. Critical Perspectives on Accounting. 2020 Mar 1;67:102074.

[35] Sovacool BK. Reviewing, reforming, and rethinking global energy subsidies: towards a political economy research agenda. Ecological Economics. 2017 May 1;135:150-63.

[36] Gielen D, Boshell F, Saygin D, Bazilian MD, Wagner N, Gorini R. The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. Energy strategy reviews. 2019 Apr 1;24:38-50.

[37] Saberi S, Kouhizadeh M, Sarkis J, Shen L. Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International journal of production research. 2019 Apr 3;57(7):2117-35.

[38] Alves Batista D. Enhancing transparency and accountability in public procurement: exploring blockchain technology to mitigate records fraud. Records Management Journal. 2024 Jun 3.

[39] Delardas O, Giannos P. Towards energy transition: Use of blockchain in renewable certificates to support sustainability commitments. Sustainability. 2022 Dec 23;15(1):258.

[40] Ubaldi B. Open government data: Towards empirical analysis of open government data initiatives.

[41] Bello OA, Olufemi K. Artificial intelligence in fraud prevention: Exploring techniques and applications challenges and opportunities. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024;5(6):1505-20.

[42] Cha SC, Hsu TY, Xiang Y, Yeh KH. Privacy enhancing technologies in the Internet of Things: Perspectives and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal. 2018 Oct 30;6(2):2159-87.

[43] Overland I. Norway: Public debate and the management of petroleum resources and revenues. Public brainpower: Civil society and natural resource management. 2018:217-45.

[44] Gottschalk P, Hamerton C. Crisis Recovery by Corporate Investigation. InCorporate Crisis Recovery: Managing Organizational Deviance, Reputation, and Risk 2024 Jun 15 (pp. 211-238). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[45] Graham E, Gyampo RE, Ackah I, Andrews N. An institutional assessment of the public interest and accountability committee (PIAC) in Ghana's oil and gas sector. Journal of Contemporary African Studies. 2019 Oct 2;37(4):316-34.

[46] Arond E, Bebbington A, Dammert JL. NGOs as innovators in extractive industry governance. Insights from the EITI process in Colombia and Peru. The Extractive Industries and Society. 2019 Jul 1;6(3):665-74.

[47] Heeks R, Mathisen H. Understanding success and failure of anti-corruption initiatives. Crime, Law and Social Change. 2012 Dec;58:533-49.

[48] Rimšaitė L. Corruption risk mitigation in energy sector: Issues and challenges. Energy Policy. 2019 Feb 1;125:260-6.

[49] Oncioiu I, Popescu DM, Aviana AE, Şerban A, Rotaru F, Petrescu M, Marin-Pantelescu A. The role of environmental, social, and governance disclosure in financial transparency. Sustainability. 2020 Aug 20;12(17):6757.

[50] Boštjančič E, Slana Z. The role of talent management comparing medium-sized and large companies–major challenges in attracting and retaining talented employees. Frontiers in psychology. 2018 Sep 19;9:1750.

[51] Lu J, Ren L, Qiao J, Yao S, Strielkowski W, Streimikis J. Corporate social responsibility and corruption: Implications for the sustainable energy sector. Sustainability. 2019 Jul 31;11(15):4128.

[52] Olawale O, Ajayi FA, Udeh CA, Odejide OA. RegTech innovations streamlining compliance, reducing costs in the financial sector. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;19(1):114-31.

[53] Minssen T, Rajam N, Bogers M. Clinical trial data transparency and GDPR compliance: Implications for data sharing and open innovation. Science and Public Policy. 2020 Oct 1;47(5):616-26.

[54] Gurca A, Bagherzadeh M, Markovic S, Koporcic N. Managing the challenges of business-to-business open innovation in complex projects: A multi-stage process model. Industrial Marketing Management. 2021 Apr 1;94:202-15.

[55] Andoni M, Robu V, Flynn D, Abram S, Geach D, Jenkins D, McCallum P, Peacock A. Blockchain technology in the energy sector: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities. Renewable and sustainable energy reviews. 2019 Feb 1;100:143-74.

[56] Fung B. The demand and need for transparency and disclosure in corporate governance. Universal Journal of Management. 2014;2(2):72-80.

[57] Frynas JG. Corporate social responsibility and societal governance: Lessons from transparency in the oil and gas sector. Journal of business ethics. 2010 Jun;93:163-79.

[58] Yi X, Tanveer A, Bin L, Xue Y. Unleashing the Influence of Information Sharing, Technological Openness, and Corporate Innovation on Green Corporate Social Responsibility: A Way Toward Environmental Sustainability. Energy & Environment. 2024 Feb;35(1):395-417.

[59] Burchell MJ, Robin J. The great workplace: How to build it, how to keep it, and why it matters. John Wiley & Sons; 2011 Jan 4.

[60] Langlois J, Ben Mahmoud-Jouini S, Servajean-Hilst R. Secrecy in Open Innovation and Open Innovation in Secrecy. HEC Paris Research Paper No. MOSI-2020-1396. 2020 Nov 1.

[61] Zuiderwijk A, Janssen M, Davis C. Innovation with open data: Essential elements of open data ecosystems. Information polity. 2014 Jan 1;19(1-2):17-33.

[62] Grimmelikhuijsen SG. Transparency of public decision-making: Towards trust in local government?. Policy & Internet. 2010 Apr;2(1):5-35.

[63] Brunetti F, Matt DT, Bonfanti A, De Longhi A, Pedrini G, Orzes G. Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. The TQM Journal. 2020 Jul 21;32(4):697-724.

[64] Heeks R, Mathisen H. Understanding success and failure of anti-corruption initiatives. Crime, Law and Social Change. 2012 Dec;58:533-49.

[65] Iman N. Is mobile payment still relevant in the fintech era?. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications. 2018 Jul 1;30:72-82

[66] Lu J, Ren L, Qiao J, Yao S, Strielkowski W, Streimikis J. Corporate social responsibility and corruption: Implications for the sustainable energy sector. Sustainability. 2019 Jul 31;11(15):4128.

[67] Kaminker C, Stewart F. The role of institutional investors in financing clean energy.

[68] Cantarero MM. Of renewable energy, energy democracy, and sustainable development: A roadmap to accelerate the energy transition in developing countries. Energy Research & Social Science. 2020 Dec 1;70:101716.

[69] Kolstad I, Wiig A. Is transparency the key to reducing corruption in resource-rich countries?. World development. 2009 Mar 1;37(3):521-32.

[70] Shankar V, Urban GL, Sultan F. Online trust: a stakeholder perspective, concepts, implications, and future directions. The Journal of strategic information systems. 2002 Dec 1;11(3-4):325-44.

[71] Kolstad I, Wiig A. Is transparency the key to reducing corruption in resource-rich countries?. World development. 2009 Mar 1;37(3):521-32.

[72] Steinfield C, Markus ML, Wigand RT. Through a glass clearly: standards, architecture, and process transparency in global supply chains. Journal of Management Information Systems. 2011 Oct 1;28(2):75-108.

[73] Gray SJ, Kang H. Accounting transparency and international standard-setting. The Oxford handbook of economic and institutional transparency. 2014 Oct 1:456-76.

[74] Ponti B, Cerrillo-i-Martínez A, Di Mascio F. Transparency, digitalization and corruption. InUnderstanding and fighting corruption in Europe: From repression to prevention 2022 Jan 1 (pp. 97-126). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[75] Cucciniello M, Porumbescu GA, Grimmelikhuijsen S. 25 years of transparency research: Evidence and future directions. Public administration review. 2017 Jan;77(1):32-44.

[76] Morgan TR, Gabler CB, Manhart PS. Supply chain transparency: theoretical perspectives for future research. The International Journal of Logistics Management. 2023 Aug 25;34(5):1422-45.

[77] Fernando F, Berkhout MR, editors. Unmasking Control: A Guide to Beneficial Ownership Transparency. International Monetary Fund; 2022 Oct 7.

[78] Sovacool BK, Hess DJ, Amir S, Geels FW, Hirsh R, Medina LR, Miller C, Palavicino CA, Phadke R, Ryghaug M, Schot J. Sociotechnical agendas: Reviewing future directions for energy and climate research. Energy Research & Social Science. 2020 Dec 1;70:101617