



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



# Sustainable project management: Integrating environmental responsibility into project practices

Majid Ali Balouch <sup>1</sup>, Alamu Opeyemi Sheu <sup>2,\*</sup> and Zulkiflee Abdul-Samad <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Lincoln University College Malaysia.*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Statistics, Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Ibadan Oyo State, Nigeria.*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Quantity Surveying, Faculty of Built Environment University of Malaya, Malaysia.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(03), 2474–2478

Publication history: Received on 08 August 2024; revised on 22 September 2024; accepted on 25 September 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.3.2925>

## Abstract

This paper explores how previous methodologies of project management have been adapted to include more environmentally and socially friendly practices in a greatly developing concept known as sustainable project management (SPM). This paper seeks to explore the study on how sustainability becomes a micro concern in organizations and its pressure on the micro project managers through balancing the economic development as well as the environmental and social responsibilities. This research assesses some of the key concepts of SPM, the TBL and LCT. It covers the strategies and measures for the application of SPM that are green project management frameworks and sustainability impact assessment. It also discusses more specific issues related to SPM implementation, such as how to manage the resistance to change and how to build sustainability competencies in project teams. This work aims to demonstrate the potential of SPM in generating innovation, value creation, and change for organizations by using advanced literature review and case analysis; therefore, proving its significance in the contemporary project management environment.

**Keywords:** Sustainable Project Management (SPM); Triple Bottom Line; Life Cycle Thinking; Stakeholder Engagement; Green Project Management; Sustainability Impact Assessment; Circular Economy; Artificial Intelligence in Project Management; Multi-organizational Collaboration; Corporate Social Responsibility

## 1. Introduction

The concept of sustainability has gained a lot of traction in recent years across a variety of industries, compelling companies to think about more environmentally friendly ways to conduct business. Project management, as a fundamental tool for implementing organizational change and innovation, has also been affected by this change in epistemology. According to Soares, Fernandes, and Santos (2024), sustainable project management (SPM) is a practice that is focused on addressing the concern for the incorporation of environmental and social factors in the process of project development and implementation.

Traditional PM mainly deals with the triple configuration of time, cost, and scope. However, what SPM adds to this perspective is the fourth dimension that addresses the sustainability aspect to guarantee the achievement of projects' goals and objectives in relation to environmental and social sustainability (Alharithi, 2023). This kind of approach links project goals to the wider sustainability objectives, which may include emissions' minimisation, resource utilisation, and stakeholders' involvement.

\* Corresponding author: Alamu Opeyemi Sheu

This article seeks to look at a study of Project management for sustainable development which will seek to look at the concepts, approaches, and issues. Looking at the recent studies and success stories of companies, we intend to prove that SPM can openness and create values in different industries ever and for society.

---

## 2. Principles of Sustainable Project Management

SPM originated from several postulates which combine project management with environmental and social aspects standard in conventional PM. The fundamental aspect of SPM is the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) that Elkington suggested in 1997, and subsequently expanded on in project management by Silva and Magano (2020) on. It makes it clear that one cannot speak about economic goals and results achieving while neglecting the role of the environment and the society. This approach is to ensure that each and every project not only produces positive revenues and profits to the organization but also those which have sympathy towards earth and its people.

The other important principle in SPM is the life cycle thinking, which deals with the view of the project beyond the project completion with focus on the effects produced by the project. This is about evaluating environmental and social impact chances at every stage of the project from idea to closure. Thus, when applied in project management, this perspective increases the chances of making the right decision regarding the impacts of specific projects and how the sustainability benefits can be maximized.

Engagement of stakeholders and corporate social responsibility are included in SPM due to the realization that projects in today's world are always executed under social responsibility environment. From this understanding, SPM needs to go beyond the conventional model of stakeholder management where communication and management of relationships mostly occur in a reactive manner after stakeholders raise their sustainability issues. This approach of integrating people into the projects not only improves the end-result of the project but also helps in the successful accomplishment of the organization's CSR goals of developing trusting relationships with the stakeholders (Martens & Carvalho, 2017).

---

## 3. Methodologies and Tools for Sustainable Project Management

Consequently, many approaches and technologies that aid in integrating sustainability considerations into project activities promote Sustainable Project Management (SPM). The creation of project management frameworks stems from the recognition that sustainability must be incorporated into every stage of a green project. According to Carboni et al. (2018), frameworks such as GPM Global's P5 Standard for Sustainability in Project Management provide a comprehensive instrument for assessing and reducing the impacts of projects on the environment, social responsibility, and economic sustainability.

Sustainability management assessment reports are essential in SPM since they help a project manager consider the consequences of a decision on the environment and the society. Such assessments are more complex than the conventional environment impact assessments as they include more sustainability measures. Newer trends in SIA methodologies have helped in achieve more elaborate and complex assessments of sustainability of a project (Bond et al. , 2020).

Specific metrics known as Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) can then be utilised in order to monitor the initiatives essential for measuring sustainability in relation to construction projects and to ascertain its effectiveness. These KPIs commonly include environmental and social parameters; for instance, carbon footprint and customer satisfaction indices, as well as economic factors like the efficiency of raw material utilisation. Some of the recent studies have aimed at identifying and developing improved and the more consistent set of standard sustainability KPIs for the projects in the fields of different specialty and type (Huemann & Silvius, 2023).

---

## 4. Challenges in Implementing Sustainable Project Management

Applying the principles of Sustainable Project Management (SPM) is not without its difficulties, which are follows: For instance, change implementation is always likely to be hampered by resistance from the employees affected. It should, however, be noted that the traditional PM practices are widely practiced in most organization and transitioning to the sustainability-oriented PM approach is not welcome by most stakeholders due to resistance to change (Morea & Balzarini, 2022). This can be due to inadequate knowledge concerning the improvements made through sustainability practices or worries about additional difficulty in executing projects.

A measure that is likely to cost an organization plenty in the short run might be more advantageous in the long run, a decision that is not easy to make. Indeed, sustainability entails making several changes that may involve using or adopting different technologies, materials or processes, which may at times be rather expensive in project cost estimating (Aarseth et al., 2021). Project managers bear the responsibility of optimizing the short-term financial results while also recognizing the worth of long-term sustainable solutions. This challenge is more so due to the fact that some sustainability benefits are inamenable to metrication especially the social and environmental benefits.

Development of sustainability competences among project teams is therefore a critical precondition for successful SPM implementation. However, many firms perceive this as a competence shortfall, according to Hemuemann and Silvius (2023). Extensive research has demonstrated that employees and project managers may lack the necessary training to effectively integrate sustainability considerations into project operations. For projects to do this, new roles pertaining to sustainability must be created, and training and education must be strengthened and funded. For the sake of SPM initiatives, it is also essential to build a sustainable culture throughout the entire firm (Martens & Carvalho, 2017).

---

## 5. Case Studies: Successful Implementation of SPM

Examining case studies of successful SPM implementation provides valuable insights into effective practices across various industries. In the construction sector, the renovation of the Empire State Building offers a compelling example of sustainable project management. The project, completed in 2019, achieved LEED Gold certification and resulted in a 38% reduction in energy consumption (Malhotra et al., 2021). Key success factors included comprehensive stakeholder engagement, innovative financing models, and the integration of sustainability goals into every aspect of the project lifecycle.

In the IT industry, Microsoft's ambitious carbon negative commitment demonstrates the application of SPM principles on a corporate scale. The company's project to reduce and ultimately remove its carbon footprint involves a complex portfolio of initiatives, including transitioning to 100% renewable energy, investing in carbon removal technologies, and reimagining its supply chain (Smith, 2020). This case highlights the importance of clear sustainability metrics, executive support, and long-term strategic planning in SPM.

The manufacturing sector provides examples of SPM in product development and process improvement. Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan, which aimed to decouple business growth from environmental impact, involved numerous projects across its global operations (Unilever, 2020). One notable initiative was the redesign of packaging for several product lines, resulting in significant reductions in plastic use and improved recyclability. This case underscores the value of integrating sustainability objectives into core business strategies and the power of setting ambitious, measurable targets.

Lessons learned from these case studies include the importance of early integration of sustainability considerations in project planning, the need for robust measurement and reporting mechanisms, and the value of cross-functional collaboration in driving sustainable innovation. Best practices emerging from successful SPM implementations emphasize the need for flexible and adaptive project management approaches, continuous stakeholder engagement, and a commitment to transparency and accountability in sustainability reporting (Silvius & Schipper, 2020).

---

## 6. Future Trends in Sustainable Project Management

The future of Sustainable Project Management (SPM) is shaped by emerging technologies and evolving business paradigms. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics into SPM practices is a promising trend. AI-powered tools can enhance sustainability assessments by processing vast amounts of environmental and social data, enabling more accurate predictions of project impacts (Cheng et al., 2023). Machine learning algorithms can optimize resource allocation and identify sustainable alternatives in real-time, supporting more informed decision-making throughout the project lifecycle.

Circular economy principles are increasingly being incorporated into project design and execution. This approach aims to minimize waste and maximize resource efficiency by designing products and processes for reuse, repair, and recycling (Schroeder et al., 2019). In the context of SPM, this translates to considering the entire lifecycle of project outputs, from raw material sourcing to end-of-life disposal or repurposing. Projects are now being designed with modularity and adaptability in mind, allowing for easier upgrades and transformations in response to changing sustainability requirements.

Collaborative approaches to sustainability in multi-organizational projects represent another significant trend. As sustainability challenges become more complex and interconnected, there's a growing recognition that effective solutions often require cooperation across organizational boundaries (Kivilä et al., 2017). This has led to the development of new project management methodologies that facilitate cross-sector partnerships and knowledge sharing. Platforms for collaborative sustainability reporting and joint impact assessments are emerging, enabling more comprehensive and transparent sustainability management in large-scale, multi-stakeholder projects.

These trends collectively point towards a future where SPM becomes more data-driven, circular, and collaborative, enhancing its potential to drive significant positive change across industries and societies.

---

## 7. Conclusion

Sustainable Project Management has evolved from a niche concept to a critical approach in modern project execution, reflecting the growing imperative for organizations to address environmental and social challenges alongside economic objectives. This article has explored the key principles, methodologies, challenges, and future trends in SPM, highlighting its potential to drive positive change and long-term value creation across various industries.

The principles of SPM, rooted in the triple bottom line approach and life cycle thinking, provide a robust framework for integrating sustainability into project practices. While challenges such as overcoming resistance to change and balancing short-term costs with long-term benefits persist, the case studies presented demonstrate that successful implementation of SPM is not only possible but can lead to significant benefits for organizations and their stakeholders.

Looking ahead, the integration of AI and data analytics, the adoption of circular economy principles, and the emergence of collaborative approaches to sustainability in multi-organizational projects promise to further enhance the effectiveness and scope of SPM. These developments suggest that SPM will play an increasingly crucial role in addressing global sustainability challenges and driving innovation in project management practices.

As organizations continue to recognize the importance of sustainability in their operations and strategies, the demand for professionals skilled in SPM is likely to grow. This underscores the need for continued research, education, and practical application of SPM principles across diverse project contexts. By embracing SPM, organizations can not only improve their project outcomes but also contribute meaningfully to broader sustainability goals, creating value for both their stakeholders and society at large.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

## References

- [1] Aarseth, W., Ahola, T., Aaltonen, K., Økland, A., & Andersen, B. (2021). Project sustainability strategies: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 39(3), 245-265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.11.006>.
- [2] Alharithi, M. H. M. (2023). An investigation into the integration of sustainability in project management for non-profit organisations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).
- [3] Silva, C. S., & Magano, J. (2024). Sustainable project management. In *Circular Economy and Manufacturing* (pp. 159-196). Woodhead Publishing.
- [4] Soares, I., Fernandes, G., & Santos, J. M. (2024). Sustainability in Project Management Practices. *Sustainability*, 16(10), 4275.
- [5] Kivilä, J., Martinsuo, M., & Vuorinen, L. (2017). Sustainable project management through project control in infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(6), 1167-1183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.02.009>
- [6] Schroeder, P., Anggraeni, K., & Weber, U. (2019). The relevance of circular economy practices to the Sustainable Development Goals. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 23(1), 77-95. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12732>

- [7] Martens, M. L., & Carvalho, M. M. (2017). Key factors of sustainability in project management context: A survey exploring the project managers' perspective. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(6), 1084-1102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.10.003>
- [8] Silvius, A. J. G., & Schipper, R. P. J. (2020). Exploring variety in factors that stimulate project managers to address sustainability issues. *International Journal of Project Management*, 38(6), 353-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.08.001>
- [9] Aarseth, W., Ahola, T., Aaltonen, K., Økland, A., & Andersen, B. (2021). Project sustainability strategies: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 39(3), 245-265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.01.002>
- [10] Morea, J. F., & Balzarini, M. (2022). Sustainability management in project-based organizations: A dynamic capabilities approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 330, 129783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129783>
- [11] Huemann, M., & Silvius, G. (2023). When project management meets sustainability: A systematic review of the literature. *Project Management Journal*, 54(1), 72-93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728221147983>
- [12] Bond, A., Pope, J., Retief, F., & Morrison-Saunders, A. (2020). On legitimacy in impact assessment: An epistemologically-based conceptualisation. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 84, 106432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106432>
- [13] Carboni, J., González, M., & Hodgkinson, J. (2018). PRiSM™ - Projects integrating Sustainable Methods. GPM Global.
- [14] Malhotra, A., Melchiorri, C., & Farnham, R. (2021). Sustainability strategies in the construction industry: Implications on Green Building projects. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 68, 102778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.102778>
- [15] Smith, B. (2020). Microsoft will be carbon negative by 2030. Official Microsoft Blog. <https://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2020/01/16/microsoft-will-be-carbon-negative-by-2030/>
- [16] Unilever. (2020). Unilever Sustainable Living Plan: 10 years on. <https://www.unilever.com/planet-and-society/sustainability-reporting-centre/>
- [17] Silvius, A. J. G., & Schipper, R. P. J. (2020). Exploring variety in factors that stimulate project managers to address sustainability issues. *International Journal of Project Management*, 38(6), 353-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2020.08.001>