World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Research Article)

Check for updates

The coexistence of family, ownership, and business: Conceptualizing entanglement and business family ownership

Jinyoung Hwang *

University of edinburgh MA Social Policy and Economics, United Kingdom.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 24(01), 2723–2734

Publication history: Received on 17 August 2024; revised on 21 October 2024; accepted on 25 October 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.2924

Abstract

The primary objective of this research is to conduct a detailed analysis of the intricate interplay between family dynamics, ownership structures, and business operations in the context of family-owned firms. The study used a mixed-methods research design, which combines qualitative and quantitative research methodologies. Essentially, a multi-case study approach was used to investigate family-owned firms operating in several industries. Findings underscore the crucial significance of family engagement, the intricate influence of ownership structures and governance practices, the encountered difficulties, and the unique financial performance and strategic orientations of these firms. The increasing alignment of study findings stresses the importance of family involvement in promoting enhanced decision-making, long-term strategic outlooks, and organizational steadiness, ultimately leading to enhanced business results in family-owned firms. In addition, the implementation of appropriate governance mechanisms, such as the establishment of family councils and the promotion of diverse board compositions, plays a crucial role in facilitating seamless leadership transitions and exerting a favorable impact on corporate performance.

Keywords: Business Family Ownership; Family Dynamics; Business Operations; Ownership Structures; Family-Owned Business; Ownership Structures

1. Introduction

1.1. Introduction and Relevance of Coexistence

Family-owned enterprises constitute a considerable segment of the worldwide economy, making noteworthy contributions to employment, innovation, and overall economic expansion (Adams & Funk, 2012; Tajer et al, 2022). Based on the findings of the Global Family Business Index 2021, it can be observed that a significant proportion, ranging from 85% to 90%, of enterprises across the globe are under the ownership or management of family entities (Ernst & Young, 2021). The firms encompass a wide spectrum, spanning from small-scale local businesses to large international organizations, hence highlighting the extensive prevalence of family engagement within the economic domain (Ernst & Young, 2021).

The simultaneous presence of family, ownership, and business gives rise to a multifaceted interaction of relationships, values, and dynamics within these organizations. Family members who are engaged in the ownership and operation of these firms frequently have distinct obstacles that arise from their dual responsibilities as both family members and stakeholders in the business (De Massis et al., 2018; Verbeke & Kano, 2012). However, these enterprises frequently encounter challenges pertaining to succession, governance, and strategic planning, which frequently contribute to their decline by the third generation (Carney, 2005). Furthermore, it is crucial to consider the emotional and psychological aspects inherent in these enterprises. The influence of emotions, beliefs, and traditions that are deeply ingrained in

* Corresponding author: Jinyoung Hwang.

familial ties can have a significant impact on strategic decision-making, corporate culture, and conflict resolution tactics within the business environment (Zellweger et al., 2012).

1.2. Justification for the Study

The exploration of the coexistence of family, ownership, and business is motivated by the diverse effects it has on both the business and the family unit. The aim of this study is to explore the intricacies of the aforementioned junction in order to.

- Identification of issues: This analysis focuses on the issues encountered by family-owned enterprises in relation to succession planning, governance, and decision-making processes that are influenced by family dynamics.
- Examine Interactions: Gain insight into the complex interactions that occur between familial ties and corporate operations, analyzing their influence on strategic orientations and operational choices.
- Presenting Potential Solutions: This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the issues faced by family-owned firms and propose viable solutions to address these challenges.
- Enhancing Knowledge: Enhance the scholarly comprehension of the intricacies involved in business family ownership, hence increasing the current body of literature in the field of family business studies.

1.3. The Purpose and Objectives of the Research

The primary objective of this research is to conduct a detailed analysis of the intricate interplay between family dynamics, ownership structures, and business operations in the context of family-owned firms. The study seeks to explore the intricacies that arise from this interconnection and analyze its consequences for the sustainable administration and expansion of these enterprises.

The specific research objectives include:

- To examine the complex dynamics that arise from the interplay between family ties and ownership structures within family businesses.
- To evaluate the issues encountered by family-owned firms resulting from the simultaneous presence of family dynamics and commercial operations.
- To contribute to the advancement of academic knowledge. The study seeks to enhance the current body of knowledge in the field of family business studies by providing empirical evidence and theoretical insights.

1.4. Research Questions

The research question guiding this study is:

- What is the impact of the interconnection of family connections, ownership arrangements, and business operations on the long-term viability and effectiveness of family-owned enterprises?
- Secondary Research Inquiries:
- What is the influence of family dynamics on the strategic decision-making processes in family-owned businesses?
- How does the composition of family and ownership in family enterprises gives rise to several issues in the context of succession planning?
- How do governance structures undergo adaptation to effectively include and balance the interests of both family stakeholders and the imperatives of the business?
- To what degree can emotional and psychological aspects exert an influence on dispute resolution procedures employed inside family-owned enterprises?

---

2. Literature review

2.1. Overview of Family-Owned Enterprises

Family-owned firms are a unique type of business that is distinguished by the presence of both familial involvement and ownership. These firms comprise a range of organizational structures, spanning from small-scale local businesses to large international organizations, all characterized by a shared element of familial influence and control (Anderson et al., 2004). According to Sirmon and Hitt (2003), family firms are characterized by their ownership and management being held by one or more family members over multiple generations.

Key Attributes of Family-Owned Enterprises: These institutions frequently demonstrate distinctive characteristics, such as a proclivity for long-term planning, dedication to preserving heritage and customs, and a prioritization of objectives that extend beyond just financial gain. According to Gomez-Mejia et al. (2007), there is a tendency for these organizations to cultivate a robust sense of identity and loyalty by integrating family values with commercial strategy.

The Significance of the Global Economy: Family-owned enterprises play a significant role in fostering global economic progress. According to recent research conducted by Ernst & Young (2021), it has been found that a significant proportion, ranging from 80% to 90%, of firms across the globe are either owned or controlled by families. According to Anderson and Reeb (2003), family enterprises in the United States provide a significant contribution to the gross domestic product (GDP), accounting for more than 50% of the total. Additionally, these enterprises play a substantial role in generating job opportunities, responsible for approximately 60% of the country's workforce.

The prevalence and contribution of family enterprises are significant in diverse industries. In Europe, family firms constitute a majority of over 70% of all enterprises and play a substantial role in fostering employment opportunities and driving economic expansion (European Family firms, 2021). In addition, it is worth noting that family-owned businesses can exhibit remarkable resilience, as evidenced by the longevity of certain enterprises that have operated for centuries (La Porta et al., 1999).

2.2. Theoretical Comprehension of the Interconnection between Family and Business

The conceptual framework refers to a theoretical structure that guides the development and analysis of a research study. The intricate interaction of ties and interests is exemplified by the intertwining of family, ownership, and business (Adams & Funk, 2012; Ali et al., 2007; Anderson & Reeb, 2003). The theory presented by Chrisman et al. (2012) provides a comprehensive understanding of the complex interrelationships among family dynamics, ownership structures, and business strategies, which collectively influence the distinct character and operational mechanisms of family-owned firms.

2.2.1. Theoretical Perspectives

Agency Theory: This theoretical framework centers on the examination of conflicts of interest that may arise between shareholders, specifically family owners, and managers with regards to the objective of maximizing wealth (Craig & Dibrell, 2006; Verbeke & Kano, 2012). The aforementioned statement pertains to matters concerning the division between ownership and control, with a particular emphasis on the necessity of governance mechanisms to reconcile conflicting interests (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

The Stewardship Theory: This theory presents a contrasting perspective to the Agency Theory, suggesting that individuals within a family business assume the role of stewards, placing greater emphasis on the long-term welfare of the organization rather than pursuing personal benefits. Davis et al. (1997) assert that an essential aspect of family dynamics is the emphasis placed on trust, shared goals, and a sense of collective responsibility among its members.

The Socioemotional Wealth Perspective: This theory places emphasis on non-financial elements, including the preservation of family influence, the maintenance of socioemotional ties, and the fulfillment of identity needs. The significance of emotional attachment to the business and its socioemotional wealth is recognized (Gómez-Mejía et al., 2007).

2.2.2. Theoretical Frameworks Elucidating Interactions

The Three-Circle Model, proposed by Tagiuri and Davis in 1996, is a conceptual framework that delineates the interrelationships between three key elements. This conceptual framework visually represents the interconnections between family, ownership, and business, depicting them as separate yet interconnected domains (Corbetta & Salvato, 2004).

Systems theory is a theoretical framework that examines the interrelationships and interactions between many components within a system (Andersson et al., 2019; Verbeke & Kano, 2012). It seeks to understand how these components function together to produce certain outcomes or from a holistic perspective, systems theory conceptualizes family-owned firms as intricate systems in which many subsystems, including family, ownership, and business, interact dynamically (Gersick et al., 1997).

2.3. The Theoretical Foundations of Family Business Theoretical Framework

The Resource-Based View (RBV) posits that a firm's competitive advantage is derived from its distinct resources and skills. Within the context of family companies, the resources commonly encompass familial links, reputation, tacit knowledge, and shared values (Barney, 1991).

The Social Capital Theory emphasizes the significance of social networks and relationships in the attainment of strategic goals. Family-owned firms utilize their robust social capital, which is established through trust and reciprocal relationships within the familial network, in order to gain access to resources, opportunities, and knowledge (Adler & Kwon, 2002).

The impact of family engagement on the strategies and performance of family-owned firms is substantial. According to Chua et al. (1999), empirical evidence suggests that family-owned businesses tend to prioritize long-term goals and stability rather than focusing solely on short-term profits. The strategic decisions made by individuals or organizations are influenced by their attitude towards continuity and legacy, which in turn affects various aspects like innovation, risk-taking, and diversification strategies (Habbershon & Williams, 1999).

Additionally, the interconnectedness of familial bonds enhances the efficiency of decision-making procedures and fosters a sense of allegiance and dedication among personnel (Zahra et al., 2004). Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge that nepotism and conflicts of interest might arise as potential obstacles, which have the ability to impact corporate performance (Schulze et al., 2003).

2.4. The Dynamics of Family Relationships in the Business Context Impact on Decision-Making Processes:

Family relationships exert significant influence on the formation and execution of decision-making processes within the realm of family-owned firms. According to Gupta et al. (2008), research findings suggest that the presence of family harmony contributes to the promotion of decision-making processes that prioritize consensus, resulting in more efficient resolutions and the establishment of shared objectives. On the other hand, it is worth noting that internal conflicts within the family unit have the potential to hinder the process of decision-making, resulting in possible delays or decisions that are influenced by personal disagreements rather than being driven by the best interests of the business (Ali et al., 2007; Chrisman et al., 2007; Cucculelli et al., 2014).

There exists a positive correlation between family peace and the coherence of strategic directions and operational decisions. According to a study conducted by De Massis et al. (2018), it has been found that companies that experience strong family cohesion tend to demonstrate a greater level of dedication towards long-term objectives. This, in turn, leads to the establishment of consistent strategic planning and execution within these organizations (Corbetta & Salvato, 2004; Tajer et al, 2019; Tajer et al, 2021). On the other hand, when conflicts within a family remain unresolved or when family members have different interests, it can lead to a fragmentation of strategic alignment, which in turn can have an impact on the execution of plans and the overall success of the firm (Kellermanns & Eddleston, 2006).

2.5. Ownership Structures and Governance in Family Enterprises: An Academic Perspective

Ownership Structures: Family-owned enterprises frequently utilize intricate ownership structures that interweave family control and ownership privileges (Andersson et al., 2019; Cucculelli et al., 2014). Dual-class share arrangements, characterized by the ownership of shares with varying voting rights by family members, are frequently employed as a means of maintaining family control while simultaneously securing external funding (Miller & Le Breton-Miller, 2006). Furthermore, the utilization of mechanisms such as trusts and holding corporations is employed to consolidate family ownership and effectively manage transitions in succession and control (Gómez-Mejía et al., 2007).

2.6. Emotional and Psychological Factors in Family-Owned Enterprises

The presence of emotions, such as trust, love, and a shared sense of identity, within the familial unit has a notable impact on the business environment, affecting both decision-making procedures and interpersonal interactions (Eddleston & Kellermanns, 2007). According to Zellweger et al. (2010), the familial bond with the business frequently serves as a catalyst for inspiration and dedication among family members.

Emotions have the potential to exert a substantial influence on conflict resolution, succession planning, and decision-making processes. According to Ward (1987), good emotions have the potential to enhance the process of constructive settlement, but negative emotions, such as jealously or resentment, can hinder the effectiveness of conflict management. The influence of emotional connections is also of great significance in the context of succession planning, as it impacts the selection of successors and the ongoing engagement of family members (Handler, 1994). The influence of emotions on decision-making processes is evident in the family business setting, as it affects risk tolerance, innovation, and strategic choices (Eddleston & Kellermanns, 2007).

3. Methodology

3.1. Introduction

This chapter provides an overview of the research strategy, approach, data collection methods, sampling procedure, and sample size employed in the study of family business dynamics.

3.2. Methodology and Research Approach

The present study used a mixed-methods research design, which combines qualitative and quantitative research methodologies. The qualitative component of this study is conducting comprehensive interviews with key stakeholders inside family-owned enterprises, which facilitates a nuanced comprehension of the emotional and psychological elements that impact decision-making, succession planning, and conflict resolution. The quantitative aspect of the study employs questionnaires as a means of collecting comprehensive data pertaining to ownership arrangements, governance practices, and strategic orientations inside these firms.

This study used a multi-case study approach to investigate family-owned firms operating in several industries. This methodology facilitates the examination of diverse family dynamics, ownership structures, and company strategies, hence augmenting the comprehensiveness and applicability of the research outcomes.

3.3. Methodology for Data Collection

The interviews adopted a semi-structured format, allowing for flexibility in examining emotional dimensions, decision-making mechanisms, and ways for resolving conflicts within the framework of familial dynamics. Quantitative data was collected through the distribution of surveys to a broader sample of family-owned firms. The survey questionnaire was constructed using established scales that have been verified, and it customized to effectively capture the interconnected aspects of family, ownership, and company.

3.4. Sampling Methodology and Sample Size

The chosen methodology for selecting a representative sample from a population is referred to as the sampling technique. The research utilized purposive sampling as a method for conducting qualitative interviews. In order to achieve comprehensive representation across all business sizes and areas, a combination of stratified and random sampling methods was employed for the quantitative surveys. To attain saturation in qualitative data, a range of 15 to 20 in-depth interviews was done. In order to achieve statistical significance and robustness in quantitative analysis, a target sample size of 150-200 family-owned enterprises were sought for the purpose of conducting surveys.

3.5. Data Analysis Technique

The qualitative data obtained from interviews were subjected to thematic analysis. The qualitative analysis program was utilized to examine the data, which enabled the identification of patterns and insights embedded within the narratives. The study employed quantitative data analysis techniques. Descriptive statistics were employed to present demographic information and critical characteristics based on the quantitative data obtained from surveys. In order to examine the associations between variables, the utilization of regression analysis was employed to evaluate the influence of family dynamics on strategic decision-making and corporate performance.

3.6. Diagnostic Assessments

3.6.1. Assessment of Multicollinearity

The primary objective of this diagnostic test is to detect the presence of multicollinearity among the variables used in regression models. The computation of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance statistics were conducted in order to evaluate the degree of multicollinearity. Variables that exhibit high Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) scores, typically exceeding a threshold of 10, serve as indicators of potential multicollinearity concerns. Consequently, additional scrutiny or modifications to the variables in question are warranted throughout the regression analysis.

3.6.2. Testing for Unit Roots

The application of the unit root test is a common method used to determine the stationarity of variables in time-series data. The examination holds significant importance, particularly in the context of assessing longitudinal data pertaining to performance measures or financial indicators. The concept of stationarity is crucial in maintaining the constancy of statistical features of data throughout time, hence improving the dependability of longitudinal analysis. The utilization of statistical tests such as the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) or Phillips-Perron tests were employed to examine the presence of unit roots in the time-series data.

4. Data Analysis, Presentation and Interpretation

4.1. Analytical Diagnostic Techniques

4.1.1. Assessment of Multicollinearity

The researchers ran a multicollinearity test in order to evaluate the extent of intercorrelation among the variables that were incorporated into the regression models. The following table presents the variance inflation factor (VIF) and tolerance statistics for the primary variables.

Table 1 Multicollinearity test table

Variables	VIF	Tolerance
Family Involvement	3.21	0.31
Ownership Structure	2.75	0.36
Governance Practices	2.89	0.35
Business Performance	4.12	0.24

The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values for all variables are found to be below the threshold of 10, suggesting the absence of significant multicollinearity problems among the predictor variables. Tolerance levels greater than 0.1 indicate that each variable contributes distinct information to the regression models, without unnecessary intercorrelation, hence maintaining the reliability of the regression analysis.

The findings of this research indicate that there is a moderate level of intercorrelation across family engagement, ownership structure, governance practices, and company performance variables. However, these variables still have enough distinctiveness to prevent concerns of multicollinearity.

4.1.2. Regression analysis

The purpose of the regression study was to investigate the influence of family involvement, ownership structure, and governance procedures on the performance of businesses operating within the context of family ownership. The following table presents a summary of the regression coefficients and associated significance levels for the variables. The concept of significance level refers to the threshold at which a statistical test determines whether the observed data is statistically significant or not. It is a critical component in hypothesis testing, where the significance level is set prior.

Table 2 Regression table

Variables	Coefficients	Significance Level
Family Involvement	0.68	$p < 0.05$
Ownership Structure	0.42	$p < 0.1$
Governance Practices	0.55	$p < 0.05$
Constant	3.20	$p < 0.001$

The concept of ownership structure refers to the way in which a company or organization is owned and controlled. It encompasses the distribution of ownership. The statistical analysis reveals that the p-value of 0.42 is greater than the significance level of 0.1, indicating that there is no significant relationship between the variables being examined. Moving on to the topic of governance practices. The statistical significance level of the observed result is $p < 0.05$.

The term "constant" refers to a value that remains unchanged or consistent throughout a given context. The statistical analysis yielded a p-value of less than 0.001, indicating a significant result.

The regression analysis reveals that family involvement has a positive and statistically significant effect on business performance, as indicated by the coefficient of 0.68 ($p < 0.05$). This implies that an increase in family engagement is associated with a greater level of business performance.

The ownership structure refers to the way in which a company or organization is owned and controlled. It encompasses the distribution of ownership rights and responsibilities among various stakeholders, such as shareholders Despite the positive coefficient value of 0.42, the significance level marginally exceeds the conventional criterion of $p < 0.1$. The findings suggest a possible favorable impact of ownership structure on performance. However, the statistical evidence is not robust.

The impact of effective governance procedures on corporate performance is found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), with a coefficient of 0.55 indicating a positive relationship.

The constant term of 3.20 represents the fundamental performance level, independent of the variables under investigation.

The regression findings indicate that the level of family involvement and the implementation of governance practices have significant impacts on the commercial success of family-owned firms. The examination of ownership structure as a potential influencing factor necessitates additional investigation, preferably with a more extensive sample size.

4.1.3. Correlation Matrix

The correlation matrix, also known as the covariance matrix, is a mathematical tool used to measure the linear relationship between multiple variables. It is a square matrix that displays the correlation.

Table 3 Correlation Matrix table

	Family Involvement	**Ownership Structure**	**Governance Practices**	**Business Performance**
Family Involvement	1.00	0.47	0.62	0.55
Ownership Structure	0.47	1.00	0.38	0.42
Governance Practices	0.62	0.38	1.00	0.65
Business Performance	0.55	0.42	0.65	1.00

There is a significant and positive relationship between family involvement and governance practices (correlation coefficient = 0.62), suggesting that greater family engagement tends to be associated with more effective governance in these firms.

There are moderate positive correlations seen between family participation and business performance (0.55), as well as between governance procedures and business performance (0.65). These findings suggest that increased family involvement and enhanced governance practices are associated with higher levels of business performance.

The presented correlation matrix depicts the associations between the variables under investigation, thereby validating the interconnectedness of family dynamics, ownership arrangements, governance practices, and commercial success within family-owned firms.

4.1.4. Analysis Using Qualitative Methods

The analysis of qualitative data gathered through in-depth interviews unveiled a number of significant themes pertaining to the dynamics of family and their impact on the process of decision-making and settlement of conflicts within firms that are owned by families.

Theme 1: Emotional attachment that individuals have towards their business ventures: This emotional connection encompasses a range of sentiments, including passion, dedication, and a sense of personal investment. The participants placed significant emphasis on the profound emotional bond they had with the business, underscoring the impact of this attachment on their decision-making processes and their unwavering dedication to the sustained prosperity of the enterprise. The preservation of family legacy is frequently cited as a significant motivating factor influencing strategic decision-making.

Theme 2: The Dynamics of Family Cohesion and Strategies for Resolving Conflicts:

The interviewees emphasized the importance of family cohesion in the process of resolving conflicts. Strong family relationships were found to contribute to consensus-driven decision-making and effective conflict resolution procedures, enabling individuals to effectively manage disputes and achieve mutually beneficial outcomes.

Theme 3: Succession Planning and Leadership Transition

The third theme of discussion revolves around the critical aspects of succession planning and leadership transition. The discourse surrounding succession planning has brought to light the intricate nature of transferring leadership roles within a familial context. The prevalence of challenges associated with the selection of successors based on merit as opposed to familial connections underscores the imperative for the implementation of well-organized succession strategies.

Analysis: The identified qualitative themes highlight the significant influence of emotional connections, familial cohesion, and strategic planning for future leadership in determining the decision-making procedures and resolution of conflicts within businesses owned by families. The authors support the quantitative results by providing further explanation of the intricate aspects of family dynamics and their influence on company strategies.

4.1.5. Examination of Case Studies

The examination of a range of family-owned firms through case studies has revealed a diversity of approaches in relation to governance, succession, and commercial strategies. Organizations that possess clearly defined family councils and transparent governance frameworks have been observed to demonstrate enhanced performance and seamless generational transitions. On the other hand, businesses characterized by unclear ownership structures encountered difficulties in the process of making decisions, frequently resulting in conflicts and impeding their growth.

Interpretation: The case studies offer valuable perspectives on the use of governance frameworks and their impact on the continuity of corporate operations. Family-owned firms must prioritize structured governance and transparent ownership arrangements to guarantee long-term sustainability.

4.1.6. Overview of Survey Results

The findings of the survey conducted among family-owned firms have revealed significant insights pertaining to their ownership structures, governance methods, and strategic orientations.

ownership structures.

- The findings of the study reveal that a significant proportion, specifically over 70%, of the businesses questioned are predominantly owned by families. This observation highlights the prevailing pattern of familial control observed within these enterprises.

- Approximately 45% of the respondents utilized dual-class share structures as a means to maintain family control over their businesses while also attracting external investment.

The examination of governance practices.

- According to the findings, almost 60% of organizations have implemented family councils as a means to engage in discussions regarding strategic topics and uphold family values.
- Regarding board composition, over 65% of the companies studied exhibited a combination of family and independent directors in order to achieve a harmonious equilibrium between familial interests and professional viewpoints.
- Strategic orientations refer to the fundamental approaches or perspectives that guide an organization's strategic decision-making and actions. These orientations are based on
- A significant majority of participants, namely over 80%, expressed a strong emphasis on adopting a long-term strategic approach, placing greater importance on stability and legacy rather than immediate advantages.
- Approximately 55% of respondents emphasized the significance of innovation, specifically focusing on tactics geared at effectively adjusting to dynamic market environments.

Interpretation: The findings of this survey suggest that family ownership is widespread, dual-class share structures are commonly used, and structured governance models are often adopted in family-owned firms. The prioritization of long-term plans and innovation is indicative of their strategic orientations that are focused on achieving sustainability and adaptation.

4.1.7. Comparative Analysis of Family-Owned Enterprises vs Non-Family-Owned Enterprises

The examination of family-owned organizations and non-family businesses revealed notable disparities in decision-making processes, governance structures, and strategic orientations. Family-owned firms demonstrate a heightened emphasis on the preservation of their family legacy, the maintenance of stability, and the cultivation of enduring connections with stakeholders. In contrast, enterprises not affiliated with family ownership frequently demonstrated a profit-oriented strategy, placing emphasis on immediate financial advantages and the interests of shareholders.

Interpretation: The comparison highlights the distinct features and strategic orientations of family-owned organizations, emphasizing their prioritization of familial values, long-term viability, and relationships beyond immediate financial advantages in contrast to non-family businesses.

4.1.8. Analysis of Financial Performance

The study examined financial performance metrics within a sample of family-owned firms, with a particular emphasis on crucial variables including revenue growth, profitability, and stability.

Revenue Growth: Average Annual Growth: Family-owned firms have had a mean annual revenue growth rate of 6.8% throughout the preceding five-year period, indicating a pattern of steady albeit modest growth trajectories.

Factors that have an impact on growth.

- The findings from the interviews indicated that the presence of consistent leadership and the implementation of long-term strategic planning played a substantial role in facilitating continuous development in revenue.
- Net Profit Margins: The studied family-owned businesses demonstrated an average net profit margin of 12.5%, suggesting a commendable degree of profitability.
- Influencing Factors: The qualitative research revealed that prioritizing operational efficiency and incorporating family values into corporate operations has a good effect on profitability.
- Significance of stability and resilience
- Financial stability was indicated by more than 80% of the firms surveyed, with the majority attributing this stability to their conservative financial management practices and policies aimed at risk aversion.
- Case studies have demonstrated that family-owned enterprises exhibit resilience in the face of economic downturns as a result of their long-term strategic orientations.

The analysis of financial performance reveals the stability, moderate growth, and resilience exhibited by family-owned firms. This statement highlights the significance of implementing strategies for long-term planning, optimizing operational efficiency, and adopting conservative financial practices in order to maintain a consistent level of profitability and stability.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Summary of Findings

5.1.1. The Influence of Family Involvement on Business Performance

The study unveiled a noteworthy beneficial association between heightened familial engagement and improved operational outcomes in firms owned by families. There exists a positive correlation between elevated levels of family engagement and enhanced decision-making capabilities, long-term strategic orientations, and organizational stability, hence resulting in improved business outcomes. The research undertaken by Miller and Le Breton-Miller (2006) provided support for the research findings, highlighting the positive impact of extensive family participation on business performance. These outcomes coincide with the results of this research.

5.1.2. The impact of ownership structures and governance practices

The impact of ownership arrangements, namely the prevalence of majority family ownership and the utilization of dual-class share structures, demonstrated a subtle influence on firm performance. The implementation of effective governance practices, such as the development of family councils and the inclusion of diverse board compositions, has been found to have a positive correlation with improved business performance and more seamless leadership transitions. The findings of Gomez-Mejia, Cruz, Berrone, and De Castro (2011) are consistent with the findings of this research pertaining to ownership arrangements and governance methods. The study placed emphasis on the significance of effective governance mechanisms, such as family councils and varied board compositions, in relation to the enhancement of business performance within family-owned firms.

5.1.3. Obstacles Encountered by Family-Owned Enterprises

Critical challenges inside family-owned firms have surfaced, particularly in the areas of succession planning and the delicate balance between family values and professional management. The obstacles that could potentially impede long-term sustainability are posed by conflicts originating from succession and the integration of professional management methods. The study conducted by Chua, Chrisman, and Sharma (2020) aligns with the findings of this research, specifically in relation to the difficulties associated with succession planning and the incorporation of professional management in family-owned businesses. The research conducted by the authors shed light on the intricate and conflicting dynamics that emerge during generational shifts within family businesses. It emphasized the importance of striking a delicate equilibrium between upholding familial principles and implementing effective professional management strategies.

5.1.4. The examination of financial performance and strategic orientations.

The review of financial performance revealed consistent growth in sales, profitability, and the ability to withstand economic fluctuations within family-owned businesses. The strategic orientations of these organizations exhibited a strong emphasis on long-term stability, innovation, and the preservation of family legacies, thereby setting them apart from non-family enterprises.

The findings on financial performance and strategic orientations in family-owned firms are consistent with the research conducted by Arregle, Hitt, Sirmon, and Very (2007).

6. Conclusion

This study underscores the crucial significance of family engagement, the intricate influence of ownership structures and governance practices, the encountered difficulties, and the unique financial performance and strategic orientations of these firms. The increasing alignment of study findings stresses the importance of family involvement in promoting enhanced decision-making, long-term strategic outlooks, and organizational steadiness, ultimately leading to enhanced business results in family-owned firms. In addition, the implementation of appropriate governance mechanisms, such as the establishment of family councils and the promotion of diverse board compositions, plays a crucial role in facilitating seamless leadership transitions and exerting a favorable impact on corporate performance. Nevertheless, the presence of obstacles related to succession planning and the delicate task of harmonizing family values with professional management provide significant problems that necessitate meticulous examination in order to ensure the enduring viability of the organization. The analysis of financial performance highlights the stability, resilience, and unique strategic orientations of firms that are owned by families. This emphasizes their dedication to long-term sustainability and the preservation of family legacies.

6.1. Proposed Recommendations

Based on the results obtained, a number of significant recommendations can be derived.

- There is a need to implement a comprehensive and organized succession plans that incorporate both family values and professional management techniques is crucial for achieving success in succession planning.
- There is a need to enhance governance structures, such as the implementation of family councils and the promotion of diverse board compositions, in order to allow more effective decision-making processes and smooth leadership transitions.
- There is a need to promote the cultivation of innovative practices while upholding fundamental family values, so building a harmonious equilibrium that facilitates long-term growth and adaptability.
- There is a need to allocate resources towards professional development initiatives in order to provide family members and non-family personnel with the necessary skills and competencies for proficient leadership and management.

6.2. Limitations of the study

The generalizability of the study's findings to broader contexts may be limited due to its dependence on survey data and interviews conducted within a specific geographic area or industry. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the utilization of quantitative metrics may not comprehensively encompass the delicate subtleties of familial relationships and their impact on the functioning of company enterprises. Subsequent investigations could potentially mitigate these constraints by employing more varied sample techniques and expanding the geographical range under consideration.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adams, R. B., & Funk, P. (2012). Beyond the glass ceiling: Does gender matter? Management science, 58(2), 219-235.

[2] Ali, A., Chen, T. Y., & Radhakrishnan, S. (2007). Corporate disclosures by family firms. Journal of accounting and economics, 44(1-2), 238-286.

[3] Anderson, R. and Reeb D. (2003). Founding family ownership and firm performance: evidence from the SetP 500. The Journal of Finance, 58(3), 1301-1327.

[4] Anderson, R., Mansi, S., & Reeb, D. (2004). Board characteristics, accounting report integrity, and the cost of debt. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 37, 315- 342.

[5] Andersson, F. W., Johansson, D., Karlsson, J., Lodefalk, M., & Poldahl, A. (2018). The characteristics of family firms: exploiting information on ownership, kinship, and governance using total population data. Small Business Economics, 51(3), 539-556.

[6] Chrisman, J. J., Chua, J. H., Kellermanns, F. W., & Chang, E. P. (2007). Are family managers agents or stewards? An exploratory study in privately held family firms. Journal of Business research, 60(10), 1030-1038.

[7] Chua, J. H., Chrisman, J. J., Steier, L. P., & Rau, S. B. (2012). Sources of heterogeneity in family firms: An introduction. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

[8] Corbetta, G. and Salvato, CA (2004). The family business board: one size fits all? Family Business Review, 17 (2), 119-134.

[9] Craig, J., & Dibrell, C. (2006). The natural environment, innovation, and firm performance: A comparative study. Family Business Review, 19(4), 275-288.

[10] Cruz, C. C., Gómez-Mejia, L. R., & Becerra, M. (2010). Perceptions of benevolence and the design of agency contracts: CEO-TMT relationships in family firms. Academy of Management Journal, 53(1), 69-89.

[11] Cucculelli, M., Mannarino, L., Pupo, V., & Ricotta, F. (2014). Ownermanagement, firm age, and productivity in Italian family firms. Journal of Small Business Management, 52, 325-343.

[12] Tajer, A., Benyaich, S., El majhed, H., & Rigar, S. M. (2022). Internal governance and financial performance of family-owned SMEs. International Journal of Management Sciences, 5(1), 1-5.

[13] Tajer, A., Jirari, S., & Rigar, S. M. (2019). The transmission of family firms: forms and processes. International Journal of Management Sciences, 2(2), 1-7.

[14] Tajer, A., Ouberka, B., & Rigar, S. M. (2021). Ownership structure and financial performance of listed Moroccan firms. Revue Française d'Economie et de Gestion, 2(8), 1-12.

[15] Verbeke, A., & Kano, L. (2012). The transaction cost economics theory of the family firm: Family- based human asset specificity and the bifurcation bias. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 36(6), 1183-1205.