World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

A critical review of machine learning applications in supply chain risk management

Michelle Chibogu Nezianya [1] *, Ahmed Olanrewaju Adebayo [2] and Paschal Ezeliora [3]

[1] *Johns Hopkins Carey Business School, Baltimore, MD, USA.*
[2] *Stephen M. Ross School of Business, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.*
[3] *USC Marshall School of Business, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(03), 1554–1567

Publication history: Received 31 July 2024; revised on 08 September 2024; accepted on 10 September 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.3.2760

Abstract

This article examines the transformative role of Machine Learning (ML) in Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM), emphasizing its ability to enhance risk prediction and mitigation through real-time, data-driven insights. It demonstrates how ML applications like demand forecasting, inventory optimization, supplier risk assessment, and fraud detection improve supply chain resilience by analyzing large datasets to identify patterns, predict risks, and recommend proactive actions. However, the article also highlights key challenges, including data quality, availability, and privacy issues, which limit the effectiveness of ML models. Integrating ML with legacy systems poses additional technical and financial difficulties, particularly for smaller businesses. High implementation costs and scalability constraints further hinder widespread adoption. Ethical concerns, such as data bias and privacy, still need to be explored, raising questions about responsible ML use in supply chains. Future research should address these gaps by improving data governance frameworks for accuracy and privacy, developing scalable ML models suited to various supply chain environments, and exploring synergies with technologies like blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT). These advancements will help realize ML's full potential, fostering more agile, transparent, and resilient supply chains capable of navigating complex global risks. By tackling these challenges, ML can shift SCRM from reactive to proactive, ensuring long-term operational continuity and a competitive edge in the evolving global market.

Keywords: Supply Chain Risk Management; Supply Chain Resilience; Machine Learning; Process Optimization.

1. Introduction

The globalization and digitalization of supply chains have introduced unprecedented opportunities and significant risks. As supply chains become more interconnected, the potential for disruptions increases, making effective risk management more critical than ever. Traditional risk management approaches, often reliant on historical data and human judgment, need to be revised in the face of modern challenges such as geopolitical instability, natural disasters, and rapidly changing consumer demands (Christopher & Peck, 2004; Sodhi & Tang, 2012). In response, businesses are turning to advanced technologies, particularly Machine Learning (ML), to enhance their supply chain resilience. ML-driven solutions can analyze vast and complex data sets, providing insights that can preempt disruptions and optimize supply chain operations in real time. This paper explores the nature of supply chain risks and the role of ML in transforming traditional supply chain risk management, emphasizing its significance, current applications, and the challenges businesses face in implementing these technologies.

1.1. Significance of ML-Driven Supply Chain Risk Management

Machine Learning (ML) has emerged as a cornerstone technology to enhance supply chain risk management. The significance of ML lies in its ability to process and analyze large volumes of data from diverse sources, enabling businesses to predict and mitigate risks more effectively than traditional methods (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021). By

* Corresponding author: Michelle Chibogu Nezianya

leveraging ML, organizations can gain deeper insights into their supply chains, identify potential vulnerabilities, and respond proactively to prevent disruptions. For instance, ML algorithms can detect patterns and anomalies in data that might indicate impending risks, such as supply delays, quality issues, or demand fluctuations (Wang et al., 2016; Umeorah et al., 2024). This predictive capability is crucial in a globalized market where even minor disruptions can have significant ripple effects. Moreover, ML enhances decision-making by providing real-time visibility across the entire supply chain, allowing businesses to adjust operations dynamically in response to emerging threats (Dubey et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the integration of ML in supply chain management supports the development of more resilient and adaptive supply chains. ML technologies enable companies to simulate various scenarios and evaluate the potential impact of different risks, thus improving their preparedness for future disruptions. This ability to foresee and adapt to changes in the supply chain environment is critical for maintaining a competitive advantage in today's fast-paced market (Kshetri, 2021).

1.2. Current risk mitigation measures for businesses

Traditional supply chain risk management methods have long been the foundation of businesses' efforts to mitigate potential disruptions and maintain operational continuity. While effective to an extent, these methods rely heavily on historical data, linear forecasting, and human judgment, which can be insufficient in today's dynamic and complex global supply chain environment (Christopher & Peck, 2004).

1.2.1. Inventory buffering

Inventory buffering is a widely used traditional risk mitigation strategy where businesses maintain surplus inventory to cushion against supply chain disruptions. This approach helps prevent stockouts during unexpected delays by ensuring enough stock is on hand to meet customer demand. However, it comes with significant drawbacks, such as tying up substantial capital that could be used for other growth initiatives. Additionally, excess inventory hurts financial performance and increases the risk of obsolescence, particularly in industries with fast-moving product cycles, leading to potential financial losses from unsellable stock (Chopra & Sodhi, 2004; Christopher & Lee, 2004).

Beyond the financial implications, inventory buffering also presents operational challenges. Managing extensive inventories requires more storage space and robust inventory management systems, which can increase costs and create inefficiencies. Furthermore, excessive inventory can reduce a company's agility, making it harder to respond quickly to changing market conditions or consumer demands. While this strategy is essential in sectors where stockouts can have severe consequences, businesses are increasingly exploring alternative approaches to balance security with efficiency and flexibility in their supply chains (Cachon & Terwiesch, 2009; Tomlin, 2006).

1.2.2. Supplier diversification

Supplier diversification is a widely recognized strategy for mitigating supply chain risks by reducing dependency on any single supplier. The core idea is that by distributing orders across multiple suppliers, companies can lower the risk of disruptions caused by unforeseen issues such as supplier failures, geopolitical conflicts, or natural disasters. This approach is especially valuable in global supply chains, where reliance on a single supplier can be perilous due to varying regional stability, economic conditions, and regulatory environments. By diversifying their supplier base, companies can build more resilient supply chains better equipped to withstand localized disruptions (Shan et al., 2023).

Supplier diversification also offers the benefit of increasing supply chain flexibility. With multiple suppliers, companies can more easily adapt to changes in demand, shift orders based on supplier performance and explore new markets without being tied to a single source. This adaptability allows businesses to respond more quickly to changes in the market or operational environment, helping to maintain continuity and competitiveness. Additionally, multiple sourcing across multiple suppliers can foster innovation, as companies are exposed to a broader range of ideas, technologies, and production methods, ultimately enhancing overall supply chain performance (Jüttner, Peck, & Christopher, 2003; Zsidisin et al., 2004).

1.2.3. Contractual risk-sharing

Contractual risk-sharing mechanisms, such as penalty clauses for late deliveries or price adjustments in response to fluctuating input costs, are traditional approaches widely used to mitigate supply chain risks. These agreements provide financial protection by holding suppliers accountable for meeting agreed-upon timelines and quality standards, thus ensuring timely shipments and allowing businesses to adapt to changes in input costs without bearing the full impact. Beyond financial protection, these mechanisms also strengthen partnerships by clearly defining expectations and responsibilities, fostering long-term, collaborative relationships, and providing a framework for amicable dispute resolution, which ensures continuity in supply chain operations (Williamson, 2008; Ghadge et al., 2017).

1.2.4. Scenario planning and stress testing

Scenario planning and stress testing are critical traditional methods employed by businesses to enhance supply chain resilience. These approaches involve creating detailed simulations of potential disruption scenarios—such as natural disasters, geopolitical events, or sudden shifts in market demand—and evaluating their potential impact on supply chain operations. By envisioning a range of possible future events, companies can identify vulnerabilities within their supply chains and develop strategies to mitigate these risks. This proactive approach provides businesses with a sense of control, enabling them to build contingency plans and ensuring they are better equipped to maintain operational continuity in the face of unexpected challenges (Sheffi, 2005). For example, through scenario planning, a company might identify a critical supplier located in a region prone to natural disasters and subsequently develop alternative sourcing strategies to avoid disruptions.

Stress testing furthers this concept by subjecting supply chains to extreme but plausible scenarios to assess their robustness under pressure. This method helps businesses evaluate how well their supply chains can withstand severe shocks, such as a sudden increase in raw material prices or a sharp drop in demand. The insights gained from stress testing can guide companies in refining their risk management strategies, improving their preparedness for worst-case scenarios. However, the effectiveness of both scenario planning and stress testing heavily depends on the quality of the data and the assumptions underlying the simulations. Modern supply chains are highly complex and interconnected, making it challenging to predict all possible outcomes accurately. Despite these challenges, these methods remain invaluable tools for companies seeking to anticipate and navigate the uncertainties of the global marketplace (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021; Tang, 2006).

While these traditional risk mitigation measures have been essential tools for businesses, they often fall short in providing the agility and foresight needed in today's rapidly changing environment. As supply chains grow more complex and interconnected, the limitations of these methods become more pronounced, prompting a shift towards more advanced, data-driven approaches, such as those enabled by Machine Learning and other emerging technologies.

1.3. Risk mitigation challenges

Despite the widespread adoption of various risk mitigation strategies, businesses continue to face significant challenges in effectively managing supply chain risks. These challenges stem from the inherent limitations of traditional risk management approaches, as well as the increasing complexity of global supply chains.

1.3.1. Data Limitations and Uncertainty

One of the most pervasive challenges in supply chain risk mitigation is the reliance on incomplete or outdated data. Traditional risk management approaches often depend on historical data, which may not accurately reflect current or future conditions as global supply chains become more dynamic and susceptible to rapid changes—whether due to geopolitical events, natural disasters, or market shifts—the limitations of historical data become more apparent (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). This reliance on static data hampers the ability to effectively predict and respond to emerging risks.

1.3.2. Complexity of Supply Chain Networks

The complexity and interconnectivity of modern supply chains present another significant challenge. Many businesses operate in global networks with multiple tiers of suppliers, each with its own set of risks. Managing these extended networks requires a level of visibility and coordination that traditional approaches often struggle to provide (Kleindorfer & Saad, 2005). The lack of transparency across the supply chain can lead to blind spots, where risks go unnoticed until they manifest as disruptions.

1.3.3. High Costs of Risk Mitigation

Traditional risk mitigation strategies, such as inventory buffering and supplier diversification, can be expensive to implement and maintain. These approaches often involve significant capital investments and ongoing costs, which can strain financial resources, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). For instance, maintaining high inventory levels to guard against supply disruptions ties up capital that could otherwise be used for growth and innovation. The financial burden of these strategies may also limit the ability of businesses to invest in more advanced risk management technologies (Chopra & Sodhi, 2004).

1.3.4. Human Decision-Making and Bias

Another challenge is the reliance on human judgment in risk assessment and decision-making processes. Traditional approaches often involve subjective evaluations by supply chain managers, which can introduce biases and inconsistencies into risk management practices. Cognitive biases, such as overconfidence or anchoring, can lead to underestimation or misjudgment of risks, resulting in inadequate preparedness. This human element can reduce the effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies, particularly in high-pressure situations where quick decisions are needed (Abikoye et al., 2024).

1.3.5. Regulatory and Compliance Challenges

Global supply chains are subject to various regulations and compliance requirements, which vary by region and industry. Navigating these regulatory landscapes can be challenging, especially for businesses that operate across multiple jurisdictions. Compliance-related risks, such as changes in trade policies or environmental regulations, can significantly impact supply chains, yet they are often difficult to predict and manage with traditional risk mitigation strategies (Gereffi & Lee, 2016; Carter & Rogers, 2008). Ensuring compliance while maintaining operational efficiency adds another layer of complexity to risk management.

1.3.6. Adaptability to Emerging Risks

Traditional risk mitigation approaches often need more flexibility to adapt to emerging risks. As new threats arise—such as cybersecurity attacks, pandemics, or climate-related events—businesses must be able to adjust their risk management strategies quickly. However, the rigidity of traditional methods can impede rapid response, leaving supply chains vulnerable to disruptions (Tang, 2006). The inability to swiftly adapt to new challenges highlights the need for more dynamic and responsive risk management solutions.

1.4. Current Applications of ML in Supply Chain Risk Management

Machine Learning (ML) is currently applied across various dimensions of supply chain risk management, with significant impacts on efficiency, cost reduction, and resilience enhancement. One of the most prominent applications is in demand forecasting. Traditional forecasting methods often need help with the volatility and complexity of modern markets. In contrast, ML models can analyze a broader range of data inputs—such as historical sales data, economic indicators, weather patterns, and social media trends—to generate more accurate demand predictions (Choi et al., 2018). This precision allows companies to align inventory levels with anticipated demand better, reducing the risks of overstocking or stockouts and improving overall supply chain efficiency.

Another critical ML application in supply chain risk management is inventory optimization. By continuously analyzing data on inventory levels, lead times, and market demand, ML algorithms can recommend optimal reorder points and quantities, minimizing the risk of stockouts while avoiding excess inventory (Wang et al., 2016). This capability is particularly beneficial in industries with perishable goods or rapidly changing product lines, where inventory mismanagement can lead to significant financial losses.

ML is also revolutionizing supplier risk assessment and management. Traditionally, assessing supplier risk involved evaluating a limited set of factors, often based on historical performance or financial stability. However, ML models can incorporate various variables, including real-time data on geopolitical events, natural disasters, and supplier-specific risks (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021). By providing a more comprehensive risk profile, ML enables businesses to make more informed decisions about supplier selection and management, reducing the likelihood of supply chain disruptions.

Additionally, ML-driven risk management solutions offer real-time monitoring and early warning systems. These systems can detect early signs of financial distress, production delays, or logistical bottlenecks, allowing businesses to take proactive measures such as adjusting orders, rerouting shipments, or renegotiating contracts before minor issues escalate into significant disruptions (Kshetri, 2021). This proactive approach mitigates risks and enhances the supply chain's overall agility and responsiveness.

1.5. Objectives and Scope of Review

This review provides a comprehensive analysis of ML-driven transformation of the traditional risk mitigation strategies within supply chains by examining their significance in a comprehensive manner.

1.5.1. Objectives

- To identify and highlight the potential benefits of integrating ML into supply chain risk management.

- Explore the challenges inherent in both traditional and ML-driven risk management, including data limitations, the complexity of supply chain networks, and the costs associated with implementation.
- To identify the opportunities for future research.

By achieving these objectives, this research will offer valuable insights for businesses, investors, and researchers seeking to adopt ML methods in optimizing supply chain risk management. It will not only guide strategic decision-making but also help identify opportunities for innovation, improve resilience in supply chain operations, and inform the development of policies that support the integration of AI technologies into risk management practices.

2. Review Methodology

2.1. Approach to Literature Review

The review methodology employed in this study adopts a systematic approach to comprehensively analyze the existing literature on machine learning (ML) applications in supply chain risk management (SCRM). This method ensures a structured and replicable process, enhancing the reliability of the findings (Tranfield et al., 2003). The initial phase involved identifying and selecting relevant academic papers, industry reports, and case studies published over the past two decades to capture the most recent advancements in ML technologies and their applications in SCRM. A comprehensive search strategy was implemented using keywords such as "machine learning," "supply chain risk management," "artificial intelligence," "risk mitigation," and "predictive analytics." These keywords were systematically applied across multiple databases, including IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and specialized industry journals, to ensure a broad and inclusive collection of relevant studies (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006).

2.2. Selection Criteria and Data Extraction

Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were meticulously established to maintain the relevance and quality of the sources included in this review (Moher et al., 2009). Studies were selected based on their explicit focus on ML applications within the context of SCRM, prioritizing empirical research, case studies, and papers that offer practical insights into implementing ML techniques (Liberati et al., 2009). Articles that were purely theoretical or did not directly address ML in supply chains were excluded to maintain the review’s focus (Tranfield et al., 2003). Additionally, studies exploring the integration of ML with other advanced technologies, such as blockchain or the Internet of Things (IoT) in SCRM, were included to reflect the multidisciplinary nature of modern supply chain solutions (Wamba et al., 2015). Data extraction involved systematically summarizing key findings, methodologies employed, and the identified benefits and challenges associated with ML-driven SCRM, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the current landscape.

2.3. Analysis and Synthesis of Findings

The final stage of the review methodology encompassed the analysis and synthesis of the collected data, enabling a cohesive interpretation of the findings. The selected studies were categorized based on specific ML applications they addressed, such as demand forecasting, supplier risk assessment, and fraud detection, facilitating an organized examination of the diverse applications within SCRM. A thematic analysis was conducted to identify common trends, challenges, and gaps in the literature, providing a nuanced understanding of the current state of ML in SCRM (Nowell et al., 2017). This process enabled a critical evaluation of the potential and limitations of ML in enhancing supply chain resilience, highlighting areas where further research is necessary. The synthesized findings were subsequently organized into the results section, aligning them with the review's objectives and offering insights into the future directions of ML applications in SCRM.

3. Results of Review

3.1. Applications of AI and ML in risk management

The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into supply chain risk management (SCRM) has gained significant traction in recent years. These technologies offer innovative solutions to mitigate risks in increasingly complex and dynamic supply chains, leading to enhanced decision-making processes, improved operational efficiency, and reduced the overall risk exposure of supply chains. Examining the integration of these applications in SCRM will provide insights into the effectiveness of AI and ML in improving supply chain resilience and reliability.

3.1.1. Demand forecasting and inventory optimization

One of the primary applications of AI and ML in SCRM is demand forecasting and inventory optimization. Accurate demand forecasting is crucial for maintaining optimal inventory levels, reducing costs, and avoiding stockouts or overstock situations. Traditional demand forecasting methods often rely on historical data and linear models, which may not capture the complexities of modern supply chains. In contrast, ML algorithms can analyze vast amounts of data from various sources, including market trends, customer behavior, and external factors such as economic indicators and weather patterns, to generate more accurate demand forecasts (Fildes & Goodwin, 2007; Bertsimas & Kallus, 2014).

ML-driven demand forecasting models, such as neural networks and decision trees, have been shown to outperform traditional methods, particularly in environments characterized by high demand variability. These models can adapt to changes in demand patterns in real time, allowing businesses to make more informed decisions regarding inventory levels. Furthermore, AI and ML can optimize inventory management by predicting lead times, identifying potential disruptions, and recommending optimal reorder points. This predictive capability reduces the risk of stockouts and excess inventory, minimizes the associated costs, and enhances supply chain efficiency (Albayrak Ünal et al., 2023; Rai et al., 2006).

The integration of ML with inventory optimization techniques, such as Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) and Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory systems, further enhances the ability of businesses to respond to demand fluctuations effectively. By continuously learning from new data, ML models can dynamically adjust inventory levels, ensuring supply meets demand in the most cost-effective manner. The adoption of these technologies has been particularly beneficial in industries with high levels of demand uncertainty, such as retail and consumer goods, where accurate demand forecasting and inventory optimization are critical to maintaining competitive advantage (Dhaliwal et al., 2023; Sathish et al., 2024; Mishra & Prakash, 2020).

Umeorah et al. (2024) demonstrate that integrating machine learning into traditional inventory forecasting methods significantly enhances the precision of demand forecasts, leading to more informed decision-making. This increased accuracy allows companies to minimize operational costs by optimizing stock levels, reducing stockouts and overstock situations risks, and improving overall supply chain efficiency. Furthermore, the study emphasizes that a thorough evaluation of the financial, technical, and operational implications is crucial for businesses to adopt AI-driven forecasting successfully. Companies must carefully assess the feasibility of implementation and identify the specific areas within their operations where machine learning can generate the most substantial impact. This includes addressing complex challenges like fluctuating demand patterns, unpredictable market conditions, and the need for real-time adaptability. By doing so, businesses can unlock significant value, enhancing their competitiveness and long-term profitability.

3.1.2. Supplier risk assessment

AI and ML also play a critical role in supplier risk assessment, an essential component of SCRM that involves evaluating the reliability and performance of suppliers to mitigate potential disruptions. Traditional supplier risk assessment methods often rely on manual processes and limited data, which can lead to incomplete or outdated risk evaluations. AI and ML address these limitations by enabling continuous monitoring and analysis of supplier-related data, including financial stability, compliance records, and geopolitical risks (Chae et al., 2013; Wagner & Bode, 2006).

ML algorithms can analyze large datasets to identify patterns and correlations that may indicate potential risks, such as delays in delivery, quality issues, or financial instability. This allows businesses to proactively address supplier-related risks before they materialize into significant disruptions. Moreover, AI-powered tools provide a comprehensive view of potential vulnerabilities, including the impact of supplier risks on the broader supply chain. This comprehensive view empowers businesses with a deeper understanding of their supply chain, making them feel more informed and in control. By leveraging AI and ML in supplier risk assessment, companies can enhance their ability to select and manage suppliers, ultimately improving the resilience of their supply chains.

3.1.3. Real-time visibility of supply chain operations

AI and ML technologies are playing a transformative role in supply chain management, enhancing supply chain resilience and responsiveness. These technologies have significantly advanced the ability to monitor and manage supply chain activities in real-time, enabling businesses to detect and address potential disruptions as they occur. By leveraging data from various sources, including IoT devices, GPS, and RFID tags, ML algorithms can offer a comprehensive view of the supply chain, tracking the movement of goods, monitoring inventory levels, and identifying bottlenecks or delays.

This real-time data allows supply chain managers to make informed decisions quickly, reducing lead times and improving overall operational efficiency.

Moreover, AI-driven real-time visibility tools can predict and preempt disruptions by analyzing patterns in the supply chain data. For instance, machine learning models can anticipate delays due to weather conditions, traffic, or supplier issues, allowing companies to implement contingency plans proactively. This predictive capability minimizes the impact of disruptions and enhances the agility of the supply chain, enabling businesses to adapt to changes in demand or supply conditions rapidly. As a result, integrating AI and ML in real-time visibility has become a cornerstone of modern supply chain risk management, providing a competitive advantage in today's fast-paced and uncertain business environment (Anozie et al., 2024).

3.1.4. Scenario analysis and supply chain simulation

Scenario analysis and supply chain simulation are critical components of risk management that enable businesses to anticipate and prepare for potential disruptions. Ivanov (2020) emphasizes the importance of integrating agility, resilience, and sustainability into these models, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. AI and ML have transformed these practices by allowing for more sophisticated and accurate simulations incorporating various variables and potential outcomes. Unlike traditional scenario analysis, which often relies on static models and may fall short in capturing the complexity and dynamism of real-world supply chains, ML algorithms can process extensive datasets to simulate various scenarios, including worst-case, best-case, and most likely outcomes. This approach fosters a more viable and adaptable supply chain, as businesses can more precisely and dynamically assess and respond to potential disruptions (Miller & Waller, 2003).

AI-driven simulations, a product of the AI and ML revolution, offer businesses a practical way to test the resilience of their supply chains under various conditions, such as demand spikes, supplier failures, or transportation disruptions. By exploring the impact of these scenarios on supply chain performance, companies can identify vulnerabilities and develop strategies to mitigate potential risks. Furthermore, scenario analysis powered by ML can optimize decision-making by evaluating the trade-offs between different risk mitigation strategies, such as inventory buffering versus supplier diversification. The ability to simulate complex scenarios and analyze their implications makes AI and ML indispensable tools for enhancing supply chain resilience and ensuring continuity in the face of uncertainty.

3.1.5. Fraud detection

Fraud detection is another critical area where AI and ML have demonstrated significant potential in enhancing supply chain risk management. Traditional fraud detection methods often rely on manual audits and rule-based systems, which can be time-consuming and prone to human error. In contrast, ML algorithms can automatically detect anomalies and suspicious patterns in large datasets, enabling real-time fraud detection and prevention. These algorithms can be trained on historical data to recognize the indicators of fraudulent activities, such as unusual transaction patterns, financial record discrepancies, or supplier documentation inconsistencies.

AI-driven fraud detection systems not only improve the accuracy and speed of identifying fraudulent activities but also significantly reduce the cost of fraud management. By minimizing the need for extensive manual checks, these systems prove to be a cost-effective solution. Moreover, they can continuously learn and adapt to new fraud tactics, staying ahead of evolving threats. This adaptability is particularly crucial in complex global supply chains, where fraud schemes can vary widely across different regions and industries. By integrating AI and ML into fraud detection processes, businesses can enhance the security and integrity of their supply chains, reducing the risk of financial losses and reputational damage associated with fraud (Seify, 2022; Ngai et al., 2011).

3.2. ML-driven supply chain risk management challenges and complexities

While the adoption of AI and ML in supply chain risk management offers substantial benefits, it is not without its challenges. The implementation of these advanced technologies introduces a set of complexities that can hinder their effectiveness and limit their widespread adoption.

3.2.1. Data quality, availability and privacy concerns

One of the most significant challenges in implementing ML in supply chain risk management is the quality and availability of data. ML models rely on large, high-quality datasets to make accurate predictions and informed decisions. However, supply chains often suffer from fragmented data sources, inconsistent data formats, and incomplete datasets, which can impair the performance of ML algorithms. The lack of standardized data across different supply chain nodes further exacerbates this issue, leading to discrepancies in the information used for decision-making. As a result, businesses may struggle to leverage the full potential of ML, as poor data quality can lead to inaccurate predictions and suboptimal risk management outcomes. The potential risks of poor data quality underscore the importance of effective data management in supply chain risk management.

In addition to data quality, data availability and privacy concerns pose significant challenges. Supply chains generate vast amounts of sensitive data, including customer information, supplier details, and financial transactions, which must be protected from unauthorized access. Implementing ML systems requires access to this data, raising concerns about data privacy and compliance with regulations such as GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation). Ensuring that ML models have access to the necessary data while maintaining compliance with privacy regulations is a delicate balance that businesses must navigate to avoid potential legal and reputational risks (Roden et al., 2017; Waller & Fawcett, 2013; Voigt & Von dem Bussche, 2017).

3.2.2. Integration with existing systems

Integrating ML technologies with existing supply chain management systems presents another significant challenge. Many organizations have legacy systems not designed to support the advanced computational requirements of AI and ML models. These systems may need more infrastructure to handle large volumes of data in real time or to support the iterative nature of ML algorithms. The incompatibility between old and new systems can lead to integration issues, where ML models fail to deliver the expected results due to limitations in the underlying technology. Businesses may need to upgrade their IT infrastructure to ensure seamless integration, which can be costly and time-consuming (Odonkor et al., 2024; Olson & Wu, 2011).

Moreover, the integration process requires significant technical expertise and a deep understanding of the existing systems and the implemented ML models. Organizations may face a steep learning curve as they attempt to integrate ML into their supply chain operations, necessitating extensive staff training and potential workflow restructuring. The complexity of this integration can delay the deployment of ML-driven solutions, reducing their immediate impact on supply chain risk management (Bowersox et al., 2002).

Finally, the integration challenge is not merely technical; it's a team effort that involves aligning the strategic objectives of the organization with the capabilities of ML technologies (Sanders, 2008). ML systems need to be tailored to the specific needs of the business, which requires close collaboration between data scientists, supply chain managers, and IT professionals. Each role is crucial in this process, and failure to achieve this alignment can result in miscommunication, unrealistic expectations, and underutilization of the ML tools, ultimately diminishing the value derived from these investments.

3.2.3. High implementation costs

Another significant barrier to adoption is the financial investment required to implement AI and ML in supply chain risk management. Developing and deploying ML models involves substantial costs related to data acquisition, technology infrastructure, and specialized talent. These costs can be particularly prohibitive for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which may need more financial resources to invest in cutting-edge technologies. Moreover, the ongoing maintenance and updating of ML systems add to the overall cost, as these models require continuous monitoring and refinement to remain effective in the dynamic environment of supply chains (Sila, 2013; McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017).

Understanding the potential benefits of ML adoption is crucial for justifying the initial investment. The implementation of ML-driven SCRM solutions involves significant opportunity costs, as organizations must allocate time and resources away from other critical areas to focus on the deployment of these technologies. This diversion of resources can impact the short-term performance of the business, especially if the benefits of ML adoption are not immediately realized. However, the long payback period associated with ML investments can further deter companies from pursuing these technologies, particularly in industries where profit margins are tight (Pagell & Wu, 2009; Nidumolu, Prahalad, & Rangaswami, 2009; Kaufmann, Carter, & Buhrmann, 2010).

3.2.4. Scalability

Scalability presents a significant challenge in deploying ML technologies in supply chain risk management. While ML models may perform well in controlled environments or pilot projects, scaling them across entire supply chains can be complex and resource-intensive due to the diverse technological maturity levels among suppliers and regions. Businesses must ensure that ML models are flexible and adaptable enough to be effective across different segments of the supply chain (Rai et al., 2006). Additionally, scaling often requires organizational and cultural changes, as supply chain managers need to shift from traditional decision-making approaches to data-driven methods relying on ML insights. This transition can face resistance from employees accustomed to existing workflows and skeptical of new technologies. To overcome these hurdles and achieve successful scalability, effective change management strategies, including training and clear communication of ML's benefits, are essential (Ahi & Searcy, 2013).

3.2.5. Change management and cultural resistance

Implementing ML-driven solutions in supply chain risk management often requires substantial organizational change, which can encounter cultural resistance. Employees unfamiliar with AI and ML technologies may hesitate to adopt new tools and processes, particularly if they perceive these technologies as threatening their job security or established work practices. Overcoming this resistance requires a comprehensive change management strategy that includes clear communication, employee involvement, and continuous support throughout the transition process. By addressing cultural resistance head-on, businesses can foster a more supportive environment for adopting ML-driven solutions, ultimately leading to more effective and resilient supply chain operations.

4. Discussion of review results

The review of current literature provides a detailed understanding of how AI and machine learning are transforming SCRM. These advanced technologies offer significant advantages, but they also face several challenges that could hinder their widespread and effective adoption in SCRM. It is crucial to address these challenges to fully realize the potential of AI and machine learning in this field, highlighting the need for further research and development.

4.1. Summarizing the potential of ML in supply chain risk management

The results of this review underscore the transformative potential of AI and ML in SCRM, particularly in areas such as demand forecasting, inventory optimization, supplier risk assessment, real-time visibility, scenario analysis, and fraud detection. ML-driven demand forecasting, for instance, offers unparalleled accuracy by leveraging vast datasets, including external factors like economic indicators and weather patterns. This allows businesses to better align inventory levels with actual demand. This capability significantly reduces the risks of overstocking or stockouts, contributing to more efficient supply chain operations.

ML's role in supplier risk assessment is particularly noteworthy, as it enhances the ability to continuously monitor and evaluate supplier performance, thereby identifying potential disruptions before they occur. By integrating real-time data and predictive analytics, businesses can effectively mitigate risks associated with supplier failures, thereby enhancing overall supply chain resilience. Furthermore, real-time visibility enabled by AI and ML technologies allows for dynamic monitoring of supply chain operations, facilitating quicker response times to emerging risks and improving operational efficiency.

Powered by ML, scenario analysis and supply chain simulation offer businesses a sophisticated tool for anticipating potential disruptions and testing the robustness of their supply chains under various conditions. This proactive approach not only identifies vulnerabilities but also aids in optimizing decision-making processes to enhance supply chain resilience. ML-driven fraud detection systems also provide a robust solution for safeguarding supply chains against fraudulent activities by detecting real-time anomalies and adapting to new fraud tactics.

4.2. Navigating the challenges identified

While the benefits of machine learning (ML) in supply chain risk management (SCRM) are clear, successfully navigating the challenges associated with its implementation is crucial to maximizing its potential. The foundation of effective ML models lies in data quality and availability. Poor-quality, incomplete, or outdated data can compromise the accuracy of predictions, leading to flawed risk assessments and ineffective management strategies. Therefore, investing in robust data governance frameworks is critical. These frameworks should ensure the standardization, accuracy, and security of supply chain data and establish clear protocols for data collection, storage, and access. Moreover, compliance with data privacy regulations, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), must be prioritized. This includes implementing secure data handling practices that safeguard sensitive information while ensuring ML models have access to the data necessary for accurate predictions.

Another critical challenge is the integration of ML with existing systems, particularly legacy infrastructure. Organizations can mitigate this challenge by gradually upgrading their infrastructure and implementing hybrid systems that bridge the gap between old and new technologies. This phased approach allows businesses to adapt over time, reducing disruption while benefiting from ML advancements. Cross-functional collaboration between IT professionals, data scientists, and supply chain managers is essential for aligning ML capabilities with broader business objectives. Effective communication between these groups ensures that ML initiatives are strategically implemented, enhancing overall supply chain resilience.

Another significant hurdle is the financial costs associated with ML implementation, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Careful financial planning is essential to address this issue, and companies should explore scalable ML solutions that balance cost and benefit. By adopting cloud-based platforms or subscription-based services, businesses can scale their ML capabilities incrementally, thus avoiding the significant upfront investments typically required for on-premises solutions.

To tackle scalability issues, businesses should adopt flexible, modular ML solutions tailored to the unique needs of various segments within the supply chain. These modular approaches allow for customization, ensuring that ML solutions are adaptable to different operational contexts. At the same time, successful implementation requires robust change management strategies. Resistance to ML adoption often stems from employees accustomed to traditional workflows, and it is essential to foster a culture of innovation and continuous learning within the organization. Training programs and clear communication around the benefits of ML can reduce resistance and accelerate the transition to data-driven decision-making.

4.3. A glimpse into the future of supply chain risk management

Looking ahead, the future of supply chain risk management (SCRM) will be profoundly influenced by the ongoing advancements in AI and ML technologies, coupled with their integration with other emerging technologies such as blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and advanced analytics. These technologies, when used in concert, have the potential to create a more connected, transparent, and responsive supply chain ecosystem. For instance, blockchain can enhance the traceability and transparency of transactions across the supply chain, while IoT devices can provide real-time data on the condition and location of goods. When combined with ML's predictive capabilities, these technologies can offer a more holistic approach to risk management, enabling businesses to anticipate and respond to disruptions with greater precision and speed (Saberi et al., 2019).

The challenges identified in the current literature, particularly concerning data quality, integration, and scalability, highlight critical areas where future research and development should focus. As supply chains become more digitized, high-quality, standardized data will become even more paramount. Research should prioritize the development of robust data governance frameworks that ensure the accuracy, consistency, and security of data across all supply chain nodes. Additionally, integrating AI and ML with existing supply chain systems remains a significant challenge, especially for organizations with legacy infrastructure. Future research should explore innovative solutions for seamless integration, such as developing hybrid systems that bridge the gap between traditional and modern technologies.

Furthermore, scalability is a critical issue that needs to be addressed to ensure that ML-driven SCRM solutions can be effectively deployed across global supply chains with diverse operational environments. Research should focus on creating scalable ML models that can be customized to fit the specific needs of different industries and regions while maintaining their effectiveness. This includes exploring modular AI and ML solutions that can be easily adapted to varying levels of technological maturity within supply chain networks.

In addition to these technical challenges, the future of SCRM must also consider the ethical implications of ML adoption. As ML systems become more pervasive in decision-making processes, data privacy, security, and bias concerns will become increasingly prominent. Businesses and researchers must work together to establish ethical guidelines and best practices that ensure the responsible use of AI and ML in supply chains. This includes developing transparent algorithms that can be audited and explained and ensuring that data used in ML models is free from biases that could lead to unfair or discriminatory outcomes (Floridi et al., 2018).

Finally, as the global business environment evolves, so must the strategies and technologies employed in SCRM. The increasing frequency and severity of disruptions—whether due to geopolitical tensions, climate change, or pandemics—underscores the need for supply chains that are resilient and adaptable to a wide range of unforeseen challenges (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021). The future of SCRM will likely see a shift from reactive to proactive risk management, where AI and ML enable businesses to anticipate risks before they materialize and take preemptive action to mitigate their impact. This proactive approach, supported by continuous innovation and research, will be vital to maintaining the resilience and competitiveness of global supply chains in an increasingly uncertain world.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, integrating ML in supply chain risk management (SCRM) can revolutionize how businesses anticipate, manage, and mitigate risks. ML-driven solutions provide significant advantages in key areas such as demand forecasting, supplier risk assessment, and real-time operational monitoring. By analyzing vast and complex datasets from diverse sources, ML enables businesses to gain deeper insights into potential risks, anticipate disruptions, and respond with greater precision and speed. This shift from reactive to proactive risk management is critical as global supply chains grow increasingly complex and interconnected. However, while the benefits are clear, businesses must overcome several challenges to unlock their full potential.

Successful implementation of ML in SCRM hinges on addressing issues related to data quality, system integration, and high costs. Data quality is foundational to ML's effectiveness, and organizations must invest in comprehensive data governance frameworks that ensure the accuracy, consistency, and security of their supply chain data. Equally important is integrating ML with existing legacy systems, which can be complex and costly. Gradual infrastructure upgrades and close collaboration between IT professionals, data scientists, and supply chain managers are essential to ensure seamless integration. Furthermore, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) may face financial constraints when adopting ML technologies. Companies should consider scalable, cloud-based ML solutions that offer flexibility and reduce upfront investments to mitigate these costs. Strong change management strategies are also required to address cultural resistance and facilitate the transition from traditional workflows to data-driven decision-making.

Looking toward the future, the role of ML in SCRM will continue to evolve as it integrates with other emerging technologies, such as blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and advanced analytics. These technologies will create more transparent, interconnected, responsive supply chain ecosystems. Blockchain can enhance traceability and security, while IoT devices provide real-time data on goods and operations. When combined with ML's predictive capabilities, these technologies offer a holistic approach to risk management, allowing businesses to anticipate and mitigate disruptions with greater agility. However, the challenges of data standardization, system scalability, and ethical considerations—such as privacy and bias—will require ongoing research and innovation. By addressing these challenges, businesses can maximize the potential of ML-driven SCRM, creating supply chains that are resilient and adaptable to an ever-changing global environment. Ultimately, the future of SCRM lies in harnessing the power of AI and ML to shift from a reactive to a predictive risk management model, ensuring long-term operational continuity and competitiveness in an increasingly volatile world.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abikoye, B. E., Adelusi, W., Umeorah, S. C., Adelaja, A. O., & Agorbia-Atta, C. (2024). Integrating risk management in fintech and traditional financial institutions through AI and machine learning. Journal of Economics, Management and Trade, 30(8), 90-102. https://doi.org/10.9734/JEMT/2024/120954.

[2] Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions for green and sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 52, 329-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.02.018.

[3] Albayrak Ünal, Ö., Erkayman, B., & Usanmaz, B. (2023). 'Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Inventory Management: A Systematic Review of the Literature'. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 30(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-022-09879-5.

[4] Anozie, U. C., Obafunsho, O., Toromade, R. O., & Adewumi, G. (2024). Harnessing big data for Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM): Strategies to reduce carbon footprint. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 12(2), 1099-1104. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.12.2.1344.

[5] Bertsimas, D., & Kallus, N. (2014). From predictive to prescriptive analytics. Management Science, 66, 1025-1044.

[6] Bowersox, D. J., Closs, D. J., & Cooper, M. B. (2002). Supply Chain Logistics Management. McGraw-Hill.

[7] Cachon, G. P., & Terwiesch, C. (2009). Matching Supply with Demand: An Introduction to Operations Management. McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

[8] Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 38(5), 360-387. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810882816.

[9] Chae, B. (Kevin), Olson, D., & Sheu, C. (2013). The impact of supply chain analytics on operational performance: a resource-based view. International Journal of Production Research, 52(16), 4695–4710. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2013.861616.

[10] Choi, T. Y., Wallace, S. W., & Wang, Y. (2017). Big data analytics in operations management: Applications, opportunities, and challenges. Production and Operations Management, 27(10), 1868-1883. https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12838.

[11] Chopra, S., & Sodhi, M. S. (2004). Managing risk to avoid supply-chain breakdown. MIT Sloan Management Review, 46(1), 53-61.

[12] Christopher, M., & Lee, H. (2004). Mitigating supply chain risk through improved confidence. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 34(5), 388-396. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030410545436.

[13] Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 15(2), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090410700275.

[14] Dhaliwal, N., Tomar, P. K., Joshi, A., Reddy, G. S., Hussein, A., & Alazzam, M. B. (2023). 'A detailed Analysis of Use of AI in Inventory Management for technically better management'. In 2023 3rd International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE) (pp. 197-201). Greater Noida, India. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACITE57410.2023.10183082.

[15] Dignum, V. (2018). Ethics in artificial intelligence: Introduction to the special issue. Ethics and Information Technology, 20(1), 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-018-9450-z.

[16] Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Hazen, B. T., & Roubaud, D. (2021). Big data and predictive analytics and manufacturing performance: Integrating institutional theory, resource-based view and big data culture. British Journal of Management, 30(2), 341-361. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12355..

[17] Fildes, R., & Goodwin, P. (2007). Good and bad judgment in forecasting: Lessons from four companies. Foresight: The International Journal of Forecasting, 23(3), 463-473.

[18] Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5.

[19] Gereffi, G., Lee, J. (2016). Economic and Social Upgrading in Global Value Chains and Industrial Clusters: Why Governance Matters. Journal of Business Ethics 133, 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2373-7.

[20] Ghadge, A., Dani, S., Ojha, R., & Caldwell, N. (2017). Using risk sharing contracts for supply chain risk mitigation: A buyer-supplier power and dependence perspective. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 103, 262-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.11.034.

[21] Ivanov, D. (2020). Viable supply chain model: Integrating agility, resilience and sustainability perspectives—lessons from and thinking beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Annals of Operations Research, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03640-6.

[22] Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2021). Stress testing supply chains and creating viable ecosystems. Operations Management Research, 15(14), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-021-00194-z.

[23] Jüttner, U., Peck, H., & Christopher, M. (2003). Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 6(4), 197-210. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560310001627016.

[24] Kaufmann, L., Carter, C.R. and Buhrmann, C. (2010), "Debiasing the supplier selection decision: a taxonomy and conceptualization", International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Vol. 40 No. 10, pp. 792-821. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031011093214.

[25] Kleindorfer, P. R., & Saad, G. H. (2005). Managing disruption risks in supply chains. Production and Operations Management, 14(1), 53-68. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2005.tb00009.x.

[26] Kshetri, N. (2021). Blockchain and supply chain management: Key emerging challenges and solutions. Business Horizons, 64(5), 647-656.

[27] Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., ... & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ, 339, b2700. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700.

[28] McAfee, A., & Brynjolfsson, E. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W.W. Norton & Company.

[29] Miller, K. D., & Waller, H. G. (2003). Scenarios, real options, and integrated risk management. Long Range Planning, 36(1), 93-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301(02)00205-4.

[30] Mishra, D., & Prakash, A. (2020). Exploring the nexus between industry 4.0, digital twins, and supply chain resilience. International Journal of Production Research, 58(12), 3551-3574.

[31] Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 151(4), 264-269.

[32] Ngai, E. W., Hu, Y., Wong, Y. H., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2011). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review of literature. Decision Support Systems, 50(3), 559-569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.08.006.

[33] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2009). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. IEEE Engineering Management Review, 41(2), 30-37. DOI: 10.1109/EMR.2013.6601104.

[34] Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16(1), 1609406917733847.

[35] Odonkor, B., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P. U., Hassan, A. O., & Farayola, O. A. (2024). 'The impact of AI on accounting practices: A review: Exploring how artificial intelligence is transforming traditional accounting methods andfinancial reporting'. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(01), 172–188.https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.2721.

[36] Olson, D. L., & Wu, D. D. (2011). Risk management models for supply chain: A scenario analysis of outsourcing to China. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 16(6), 401-408. https://doi.org//10.1108/13598541111171110.

[37] Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). Building a more complete theory of sustainable supply chain management using case studies of 10 exemplars. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 45(2), 37-56.

[38] Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide. Blackwell Publishing.

[39] Rai, A., Patnayakuni, R., & Seth, N. (2006). Firm performance impacts of digitally enabled supply chain integration capabilities. MIS Quarterly, 30(2), 225-246. https://doi.org/10.2307/25148729.

[40] Roden, S., Nucciarelli, A., Li, F., & Graham, G. (2017). Big data and the transformation of operations models: A framework and a new research agenda. Production Planning & Control, 28(11-12), 929-944. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2017.1336792.

[41] Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 57(7), 2117-2135.

[42] Sanders, N. R. (2008). Pattern discovery in business analytics: Unleashing the power of optimization. Supply Chain Management Review, 12(5), 64-71.

[43] Sathish, T., SaiKumar, D., Patil, S., & Saravanan, R. (2024). 'Exponential smoothing method against the gradient boosting machine learning algorithm-based model for materials forecasting to minimize inventory'. AIP Advances, 14(6). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0208491.

[44] Seify, M., Sepehri, M., Hosseinian-far, A., & Darvish, A. (2022). Fraud detection in supply chain with machine learning. IFAC-Papers OnLine, 55(10), 406-411.

[45] Shan, X., Xiong, S., & Zhang, C. (2023). Mitigating supply disruption risks by diversifying competing suppliers and using sales effort. International Journal of Production Economics, 255, 108637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108637.

[46] Sheffi, Y. (2005). The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage. MIT Press.

[47] Sila, I. (2013). Examining the effects of contextual factors on TQM and performance through the lens of organizational theories: An empirical study. Journal of Operations Management, 31(1-2), 101-120.

[48] Sodhi, M. S., & Tang, C. S. (2012). "Managing supply chain risk." International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, 172, 67-82.

[49] Syntetos, A. A., Boylan, J. E., & Disney, S. M. (2009). Forecasting for inventory planning: A 50-year review. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 60(1), S2-S16. https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.2008.173.

[50] Tang, C. S. (2006). Perspectives in supply chain risk management. International Journal of Production Economics, 103(2), 451-488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.12.006.

[51] Tomlin, B. (2006). On the value of mitigation and contingency strategies for managing supply chain disruption risks. Management Science, 52(5), 639-657. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0515.

[52] Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207-222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375.

[53] Umeorah, S. C., Abikoye, E., Adelaja, A. O., & Ayodele, O. F. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) in working capital management: Practices and future potential. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 23(01), 1436-1451. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2141.

[54] Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017). The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide. Springer International Publishing.

[55] Wagner, S. M., & Bode, C. (2006). An empirical investigation into supply chain vulnerability. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 12(6), 301-312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2007.01.004.

[56] Waller, M. A., & Fawcett, S. E. (2013). Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: A revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. Journal of Business Logistics, 34(2), 77-84. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12010.

[57] Wamba, S. F., Akter, S., Edwards, A., Chopin, G., & Gnanzou, D. (2015). How 'big data' can make big impact: Findings from a systematic review and a longitudinal case study. International Journal of Production Economics, 165, 234-246.

[58] Wang, Gang & Gunasekaran, Angappa & Ngai, Eric W.T. & Papadopoulos, Thanos, 2016. "Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications," International Journal of Production Economics, Elsevier, 176(C), 98-110. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.014.

[59] Williamson, O. E. (2008). Outsourcing: Transaction cost economics and supply chain management. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 44(2), 5-16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2008.00051.x.

[60] Zsidisin, G. A., Ellram, L. M., Carter, J. R., & Cavinato, J. L. (2004). An analysis of supply risk assessment techniques. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 34(5), 397-413.