World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

A review on development of enzymatic biosensors for industrial applications

Richa Mor *

Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, NIILM University, Kaithal (Haryana) India-136027.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(01), 2582–2590

Publication history: Received on 16 June 2024; revised on 24 July 2024; accepted on 26 July 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2278

Abstract

Enzymatic biosensors are vital tools across various industries due to their high specificity, sensitivity, and rapid response times. They are extensively used in medical diagnostics for monitoring biomarkers like glucose and lactate, environmental monitoring to detect pollutants, food safety and quality assurance, bioprocess control in industrial fermentation, pharmaceutical drug development and quality control, soil health and agrochemical detection in agriculture, and for identifying biological warfare agents. However, several challenges limit their broader adoption and effectiveness in industrial settings.

Key challenges include enzyme stability, as enzymes can denature under extreme environmental conditions, reducing sensor lifespan and performance. Variability in enzyme activity and immobilization techniques also leads to inconsistencies, affecting sensor reproducibility and reliability. Complex sample matrices, such as those in environmental and food samples, introduce interference that can affect sensor accuracy. Integrating biosensors into existing industrial processes is another significant challenge, requiring compatibility with automated systems and real-time data processing capabilities. Additionally, high production costs and scalability issues hinder widespread adoption. Regulatory and standardization barriers further complicate the development and deployment of enzymatic biosensors.

Despite these limitations, advancements in materials science, nanotechnology, and bioengineering are paving the way for more robust and reliable biosensors. Innovations such as nanomaterial-based enzyme immobilization, synthetic biology for enzyme engineering, and advanced data analytics integration hold promise for overcoming current limitations. Collaborative efforts among researchers, industry stakeholders, and regulatory bodies are essential to accelerate the development and application of enzymatic biosensors in industrial settings. While enzymatic biosensors offer significant potential, addressing these challenges through ongoing research and technological advancements is crucial for their widespread implementation and optimization.

Keywords: Enzymatic Biosensors; Industrial Applications; Enzyme Stability; Sensor Integration; Technological Advancements

1. Introduction

Enzymatic biosensors have revolutionized numerous industrial applications by providing a precise, rapid, and cost-effective method for detecting and quantifying specific analytes. These devices harness the specificity of enzymes to catalyze reactions with target molecules, converting biochemical events into measurable electrical, optical, or mechanical signals. The concept of biosensors dates back to the 1960s when Clark and Lyons first introduced the glucose biosensor, a landmark invention that paved the way for future innovations in this field [1]. The core advantage of enzymatic biosensors lies in their ability to combine the unique specificity of biological recognition elements (enzymes) with the sensitivity of various transducers, such as electrochemical, optical, and piezoelectric systems. This combination

---

*Corresponding author: Richa Mor

enables the detection of low concentrations of analytes in complex mixtures, making enzymatic biosensors indispensable tools in diverse industrial sectors [2].

In the healthcare industry, enzymatic biosensors are widely used for monitoring blood glucose levels in diabetic patients. Glucose oxidase-based biosensors, for instance, have become the gold standard for glucose monitoring, offering rapid and accurate measurements that are crucial for effective diabetes management [3]. Similarly, these biosensors play a critical role in point-of-care diagnostics, enabling the quick detection of biomarkers for various diseases, thereby facilitating early diagnosis and treatment [4].

Environmental monitoring is another significant application area for enzymatic biosensors. They are employed to detect pollutants, such as pesticides, heavy metals, and organic toxins, in water and soil. Enzymatic biosensors, for example, use enzymes like urease and cholinesterase to monitor the presence of heavy metals and pesticides, respectively. This capability is vital for ensuring environmental safety and compliance with regulatory standards [5].

In the food industry, enzymatic biosensors are used for quality control and safety assurance. They help detect contaminants such as pathogens, allergens, and spoilage indicators, ensuring that food products meet safety standards and are safe for consumption. Biosensors using enzymes like peroxidase can quickly identify food allergens, enhancing food safety protocols [6].

Additionally, enzymatic biosensors are instrumental in industrial process control, particularly in bioprocess monitoring and fermentation. They provide real-time data on critical parameters, such as substrate concentration and product formation, which is essential for optimizing production processes and ensuring product quality [7].

This review aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the development and application of enzymatic biosensors in industrial settings. It will explore the components of enzymatic biosensors, various transduction techniques, and the wide range of industrial applications, highlighting recent advancements and future prospects.

2. Enzymatic Biosensor Components

Enzymatic biosensors typically consist of three main components:

2.1. Biological Recognition Element

Enzymes (e.g., oxidases, dehydrogenases) specific to target analytes. The specificity of enzymes allows for selective detection of substances.Enzymes are chosen for their ability to specifically recognize and catalyze reactions with the target analyte. For example, glucose oxidase is commonly used in glucose sensors due to its specific reaction with glucose. Enzymes like lactate oxidase and alcohol oxidase are used in lactate and alcohol biosensors, respectively [8][9].

2.2. Transducer

Converts the biochemical reaction into a measurable signal (electrochemical, optical, piezoelectric). The transducer type influences the sensor's sensitivity and detection range.The transducer is a critical component that converts the biochemical reaction into a measurable signal. Electrochemical transducers measure current or potential changes, optical transducers detect changes in light absorption or emission, and piezoelectric transducers measure mass changes [10][11].

2.3. Interface

Facilitates communication between the biological element and the transducer, often a membrane or electrode. The interface material can affect the sensor's stability and response time.The interface ensures efficient communication between the enzyme and the transducer. This can involve immobilization techniques where the enzyme is attached to a membrane or electrode surface. The interface design is crucial for maintaining enzyme activity and ensuring stable and reproducible sensor performance [12][13].

3. Types of Enzymes Used in Biosensors

Enzymes commonly used in biosensors include oxidoreductases, hydrolases, and transferases. Oxidoreductases, such as glucose oxidase and lactate oxidase, are widely used for glucose and lactate detection due to their ability to catalyze oxidation-reduction reactions. Hydrolases, like urease and acetylcholinesterase, are employed in sensors for detecting urea and pesticides, respectively, through hydrolysis reactions. Transferases, such as hexokinase, facilitate the transfer of functional groups and are used in detecting metabolites like ATP (Table 1)

Table 1 Various enzymes commonly used in biosensors

Enzyme Type	**Specific Enzyme**	**Application**	**Mechanism**	**References**
Oxidoreductases	Glucose Oxidase (GOx)	Glucose monitoring	Oxidizes glucose to gluconolactone and hydrogen peroxide; detected electrochemically.	[14].
	Lactate Oxidase (LOx)	Monitoring lactate levels	Oxidizes lactate to pyruvate and hydrogen peroxide; detected electrochemically.	[15].
	Alcohol Oxidase (AOx)	Detection of alcohol levels	Oxidizes alcohol to aldehyde and hydrogen peroxide.	[16].
	Glucose Oxidase (GOx)	Glucose biosensing	Oxidizes glucose to gluconolactone and hydrogen peroxide; detected electrochemically.	[17].
	Lactate Oxidase (LOx)	Lactate detection in clinical samples	Oxidizes lactate to pyruvate and hydrogen peroxide; electrochemical detection.	[18].
Dehydrogenases	Glucose Dehydrogenase (GDH)	Blood glucose monitoring	Oxidizes glucose to gluconolactone, reducing a cofactor (e.g., NAD+ or FAD) which is detected.	[19].
	Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH)	Clinical diagnostics for lactate levels	Converts lactate to pyruvate, involving reduction of NAD+ to NADH, which is detected.	[20].
Hydrolases	Urease	Detection of urea	Hydrolyzes urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide; change in pH or ammonia concentration is measured.	[21].
	Cholinesterase	Detection of nerve agents and pesticides	Hydrolyzes acetylcholine into choline and acetic acid; inhibition by pesticides or nerve agents is measured.	Andreou, V. G., [22].
	Urease	Urea detection in clinical and environmental samples	Hydrolyzes urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide; detected via pH changes.	[23].
Peroxidases	Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)	Detection of various analytes	Reduces hydrogen peroxide using electron donors like ABTS or TMB, producing a colorimetric change.	[24].
	Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)	Electrochemical biosensing	Reduces hydrogen peroxide, detected by electrochemical methods.	[25].
Esterases	Butyryl cholinesterase (BChE)	Detection of organophosphates and carbamates	Hydrolyzes butyrylcholine into butyrate and choline; inhibition by pesticides can be monitored.	[26].
	Acetylcholinesterase (AChE)	Biosensors for neurotoxic substances	Hydrolyzes acetylcholine into acetic acid and choline; inhibition by neurotoxins is quantified.	[27].
	Acetylcholinesterase (AChE)	Detection of organophosphates	Hydrolyzes acetylcholine; inhibition detected for pesticide analysis.	[28,29].

4. Transduction Techniques

Enzymatic biosensors convert biochemical reactions into measurable signals using various transduction techniques, each suited to specific applications and environments. The primary transduction methods include electrochemical, optical, piezoelectric, and thermal techniques.

4.1. Electrochemical Transduction

Electrochemical biosensors are prevalent due to their high sensitivity, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness. These sensors can be classified into amperometric, potentiometric, and conductometric types:

Amperometric Biosensors measure current generated by the oxidation or reduction of an electroactive species. For example, glucose oxidase (GOx) oxidizes glucose, producing hydrogen peroxide detected electrochemically [30].

Potentiometric Biosensors detect potential changes caused by enzymatic reactions, often using pH-sensitive electrodes. Environmental biosensors using electrochemical transducers detect heavy metals in water. For instance, a biosensor using urease immobilized on an electrode can detect heavy metals by measuring the inhibition of urease activity. This approach offers rapid and sensitive detection suitable for environmental monitoring. Urease sensors, for instance, detect urea by measuring the resulting pH change [31].

Conductometric Biosensors monitor conductivity changes in the solution due to enzymatic reactions, useful for detecting ionic strength variations [32].

4.2. Optical Transduction

Optical biosensors leverage light to detect biochemical changes and include absorbance, fluorescence, and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensors:

Absorbance Biosensors measure light absorption changes from enzymatic reactions, such as the color change catalyzed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP) [33].

Fluorescence Biosensors detect changes in fluorescence intensity, using enzymes like acetylcholinesterase (AChE) to hydrolyze fluorescent substrates [34].

SPR Biosensors measure refractive index changes near a sensor surface, detecting analyte binding to immobilized enzymes in real-time [35].

4.3. Piezoelectric Transduction

Piezoelectric biosensors detect mass changes on a sensor surface by measuring frequency shifts in a piezoelectric crystal. Enzymes immobilized on the crystal surface cause detectable frequency changes upon analyte binding. Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) sensors are widely used for their high sensitivity in detecting mass changes [36].

4.4. Thermal Transduction

Thermal biosensors, or calorimetric biosensors, measure temperature changes from enzymatic reactions. These sensors detect the heat generated or absorbed during biochemical reactions, using thermistors or thermocouples, and are known for their sensitivity and quantitative capabilities [37].

These diverse transduction techniques enable enzymatic biosensors to play vital roles in medical diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and industrial process control, with ongoing advancements enhancing their performance and application range.

5. Industrial Applications of Enzymatic Biosensors

Enzymatic biosensors are integral to various industrial applications due to their specificity and sensitivity. In medical diagnostics, they monitor biomarkers like glucose and lactate, utilizing enzymes such as glucose oxidase and lactate dehydrogenase. For environmental monitoring, enzymes like urease and acetylcholinesterase detect pollutants and toxic substances, ensuring environmental safety (Table 2)

In the food industry, these biosensors use enzymes such as glucose oxidase and peroxidase to detect contaminants and ensure food quality. In bioprocess control, enzymatic biosensors monitor and control biochemical processes in industrial fermentation and production, employing enzymes like glucose oxidase and lactate oxidase. The pharmaceutical industry uses various enzymes for the development and quality control of drugs, ensuring product safety and efficacy. In agriculture, biosensors with urease and acetylcholinesterase monitor soil health and detect agrochemicals, promoting sustainable farming practices. Lastly, in biodefense, these biosensors detect biological warfare agents and toxic substances, enhancing security measures. These diverse applications highlight the versatility and critical role of enzymatic biosensors across multiple industries, driven by ongoing advancements in biosensor technology.

Table 2 Industrial Applications of Enzymatic Biosensors

Application Area	**Description**	**Example Enzymes**	**References**
Medical Diagnostics	Enzymatic biosensors are widely used for monitoring various biomarkers in clinical settings.	Glucose oxidase, lactate dehydrogenase	[38, 39]
Environmental Monitoring	Used for detecting pollutants and toxic substances in environmental samples.	Urease, acetylcholinesterase	[40, 41]
Food Industry	Ensuring food safety by detecting contaminants and monitoring the quality of food products.	Glucose oxidase, peroxidase	[42, 43]
Bioprocess Control	Monitoring and controlling biochemical processes in industrial fermentation and production.	Glucose oxidase, lactate oxidase	[44, 45]
Pharmaceutical Industry	Used for the development and quality control of pharmaceutical products.	Various enzymes (depending on the drug)	[43, 45]
Agriculture	Monitoring soil health and detecting agrochemicals in agricultural settings.	Urease, acetylcholinesterase	[40, 46]
Biodefense	Detection of biological warfare agents and toxic substances for security purposes.	Acetylcholinesterase	[39, 46]

6. Performance Characteristics

Critical parameters for enzymatic biosensors include:

6.1. Sensitivity

Ability to detect low concentrations of the analyte. Enhancements in nanomaterials and signal amplification techniques have improved sensor sensitivity.

6.2. Selectivity

Ability to discriminate between the target analyte and other substances. Enzyme specificity plays a key role in selectivity.

6.3. Response Time

Time required to obtain a measurable signal. Advances in transducer technology have reduced response times.

6.4. Stability

Sensor's ability to maintain performance over time. Immobilization techniques and protective coatings enhance enzyme stability.

7. Challenges and Limitations

Enzymatic biosensors hold immense potential across various industrial applications due to their specificity, sensitivity, and rapid response times. However, several challenges and limitations hinder their broader adoption and effectiveness in industrial settings. These challenges include issues related to enzyme stability, sensor reproducibility, interference from complex sample matrices, and the integration of biosensors into industrial processes.

7.1. Enzyme Stability

A major challenge in enzymatic biosensors is the inherent instability of enzymes. Enzymes are proteins that can denature under harsh environmental conditions such as extreme temperatures, pH variations, and the presence of organic solvents [47]. This instability can lead to reduced sensor lifespan and inconsistent performance. Alcohol biosensors using alcohol oxidase or alcohol dehydrogenase are employed in breathalyzers to measure blood alcohol content. These sensors face challenges related to enzyme stability and interference from other breath constituents. Advances in enzyme engineering and selective membranes are addressing these issues [48].

Strategies to improve enzyme stability include enzyme immobilization on solid supports, the use of enzyme mimetics, and genetic engineering to create more robust enzyme variants [49]. Despite these advancements, maintaining enzyme activity over prolonged periods remains a significant hurdle.

7.2. Sensor Reproducibility and Reliability

Reproducibility and reliability are critical for the industrial application of biosensors. Variations in enzyme activity, inconsistent immobilization techniques, and batch-to-batch differences in enzyme preparations can lead to significant variability in sensor performance [50]. This variability can be problematic in industries where precise and consistent measurements are crucial. Standardizing production processes and developing more consistent enzyme immobilization methods are essential to address these issues.

7.3. Interference from Complex Sample Matrices

Industrial samples often contain complex matrices with various potential interfering substances that can affect biosensor performance. For example, in environmental monitoring, soil and water samples can contain a wide range of organic and inorganic compounds that can interfere with the sensor's signal [51]. In the food industry, components such as fats, proteins, and carbohydrates can affect enzyme activity and sensor accuracy. Developing selective biosensors with robust signal processing algorithms and implementing effective sample pre-treatment methods are necessary to mitigate these interferences.

7.4. Integration into Industrial Processes

Integrating biosensors into existing industrial processes poses significant challenges. Biosensors need to be compatible with automated systems, capable of continuous monitoring, and able to withstand industrial conditions [52]. Ensuring that biosensors can be easily integrated without disrupting existing workflows is crucial. Additionally, real-time data processing and effective data management systems are required to handle the continuous stream of data generated by biosensors.

7.5. Cost and Scalability

The cost of biosensor development and production can be prohibitive, particularly when high enzyme purity and sophisticated immobilization techniques are required. Scaling up production while maintaining sensor quality and performance is another significant challenge [53]. Cost-effective production methods and the development of reusable biosensors could help address these issues, making biosensors more accessible for industrial applications.

7.6. Regulatory and Standardization Issues

Biosensors intended for industrial applications must comply with stringent regulatory standards to ensure their safety, efficacy, and reliability. Navigating the complex regulatory landscape can be time-consuming and costly. Additionally, the lack of standardized protocols for biosensor testing and validation can hinder their widespread adoption [54]. Developing clear guidelines and standardized testing protocols can facilitate the regulatory approval process and promote broader acceptance of biosensor technology.

8. Future Prospects

Despite these challenges, advancements in materials science, nanotechnology, and bioengineering are paving the way for the development of more robust and reliable enzymatic biosensors. Innovations such as nanomaterial-based enzyme immobilization, synthetic biology for enzyme engineering, and the integration of biosensors with advanced data analytics hold promise for overcoming current limitations [55]. Collaboration between researchers, industry stakeholders, and regulatory bodies will be essential to accelerate the development and deployment of enzymatic biosensors in industrial applications.

9. Conclusion

Enzymatic biosensors offer significant advantages for industrial applications, including high specificity and sensitivity. However, challenges related to enzyme stability, sensor reproducibility, and interference from complex matrices, integration into industrial processes, cost, and regulatory issues need to be addressed to fully realize their potential. Ongoing research and technological advancements are critical to overcoming these limitations and enabling the widespread adoption of enzymatic biosensors in various industries.

References

[1] Clark, L. C., & Lyons, C. (1962). Electrode systems for continuous monitoring in cardiovascular surgery. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 102(1), 29-45.

[2] Turner, A. P. F., Karube, I., & Wilson, G. S. (1987). Biosensors: Fundamentals and applications. Oxford University Press.

[3] Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. Chemical Reviews, 108(2), 814-825.

[4] Luppa, P. B., Sokoll, L. J., & Chan, D. W. (2001). Immunosensors--principles and applications to clinical chemistry. ClinicaChimicaActa, 314(1-2), 1-26.

[5] Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., de Alda, M. J. L., & Barceló, D. (2006). Biosensors as useful tools for environmental analysis and monitoring. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 386(4), 1025-1041.

[6] Velasco-Garcia, M. N. (2009). Optical biosensors for probing at the cellular level: A review of recent progress and future prospects. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(1), 27-33.

[7] Van Staden, J. F., & Stefan, R. I. (2005). Biosensors for the determination of the substrate in fermentation processes. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 38(5), 629-634.

[8] Turner, A. P. F. (2013). Biosensors: Sense and sensibility. Chemical Society Reviews, 42(8), 3184-3196.

[9] Wilson, G. S., & Hu, Y. (2000). Enzyme-based biosensors for in vivo measurements. Chemical Reviews, 100(7), 2693-2704.

[10] Grieshaber, D., MacKenzie, R., Voros, J., &Reimhult, E. (2008). Electrochemical biosensors - Sensor principles and architectures. Sensors, 8(3), 1400-1458.

[11] Damborský, P., Švitel, J., &Katrlík, J. (2016). Optical biosensors. Essays in Biochemistry, 60(1), 91-100.

[12] Soldatkin, A. P., Montoriol, J., Sant, W., Martelet, C., &Jaffrezic-Renault, N. (2003). Improvement of enzyme biosensor characteristics by enzyme entrapment in electropolymerized pyrrole film. Analytica Chimica Acta, 484(1), 35-43.

[13] Ricci, F., & Palleschi, G. (2005). Sensor and biosensor preparation, optimisation and applications of Prussian Blue modified electrodes. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21(3), 389-407.

[14] Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Chemical Reviews, 108*(2), 814-825.

[15] Gough, D. A., & Andrade, J. D. (1973). Performance of enzyme electrodes in phosphate buffers. *Analytical Chemistry, 45*(4), 331-336.

[16] Ricci, F., & Palleschi, G. (2005). Sensor and biosensor preparation, optimisation and applications of Prussian Blue modified electrodes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21*(3), 389-407.

[17] Zhang, Y., Zhao, S., Wu, Z., Xu, L., & Yu, H. (2021). Recent advances in glucose oxidase-based biosensors. *Analytica Chimica Acta, 1153*, 338-352.

[18] Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Liu, H., Wang, C., & Yang, J. (2022). Advanced lactate biosensors: A review. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 194, 113610

[19] Wilson, R., & Turner, A. P. F. (1992). Glucose oxidase: An ideal enzyme. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 7*(3), 165-185.

[20] Moser, I., Jobst, G., & Urban, G. A. (2002). Biosensor arrays for simultaneous measurement of glucose, lactate, glutamate, and glutamine. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 17*(4), 297-302.

[21] Guilbault, G. G., & Montalvo, J. G. (1969). Urea-specific enzyme electrode. *Journal of the American Chemical Society, 91*(8), 2164-2168.

[22] Andreou, V. G., Clonis, Y. D. (2002). A portable fiber-optic pesticide biosensor based on immobilized cholinesterase and sol-gel entrapped bromcresol purple for in-field use. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 17*(1-2), 61-69.

[23] Sharma, A., Kumar, R., Patel, V., Gupta, N., & Sharma, P. (2022). Recent advances in urease-based biosensors for environmental and medical applications. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 191, 113454.

[24] Veitch, N. C. (2004). Horseradish peroxidase: A modern view of a classic enzyme. *Phytochemistry, 65*(3), 249-259.

[25] Li, Y., Xu, W., Huang, J., Zhang, T., & Chen, X. (2023). Recent advancements in horseradish peroxidase-based electrochemical biosensors. ElectrochimicaActa, 428, 141123.

[26] Hrabánková, J., &Seifertová, M. (2013). Enzymatic sensors for detection of organophosphate and carbamate pesticides. *Sensors, 13*(4), 4771-4786.

[27] Masson, P., & Lockridge, O. (2010). Butyrylcholinesterase for detection of highly toxic organophosphates. *Toxicology Letters, 196*(1), 14-20.

[28] Wang, X., Chen, J., Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., & Li, R. (2020). Recent developments in lactate dehydrogenase biosensors for medical diagnostics. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 309*, 127681.

[29] Wang, Y., Zhao, S., Li, J., Sun, W., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Development of an acetylcholinesterase biosensor for organophosphate detection. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 425*, 127783.

[30] Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Chemical Reviews, 108*(2), 814-825.

[31] Gough, D. A., & Andrade, J. D. (1973). Performance of enzyme electrodes in phosphate buffers. *Analytical Chemistry, 45*(4), 331-336.

[32] Ricci, F., & Palleschi, G. (2005). Sensor and biosensor preparation, optimisation and applications of Prussian Blue modified electrodes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21*(3), 389-407.

[33] Veitch, N. C. (2004). Horseradish peroxidase: A modern view of a classic enzyme. *Phytochemistry, 65*(3), 249-259.

[34] Masson, P., & Lockridge, O. (2010). Butyrylcholinesterase for detection of highly toxic organophosphates. *Toxicology Letters, 196*(1), 14-20.

[35] Homola, J., Yee, S. S., &Gauglitz, G. (1999). Surface plasmon resonance sensors: review. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 54*(1-2), 3-15.

[36] Janshoff, A., Galla, H. J., & Steinem, C. (2000). Piezoelectric mass-sensing devices as biosensors-an alternative to optical biosensors? *AngewandteChemie International Edition, 39*(22), 4004-4032.

[37] Danielsson, B., & Mosbach, K. (1988). Enzyme thermistor techniques. *Methods in Enzymology, 137*, 523-537

[38] Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Chemical Reviews, 108*(2), 814-825.

[39] Wang, X., Chen, J., Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., & Li, R. (2020). Recent developments in lactate dehydrogenase biosensors for medical diagnostics. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 309*, 127681.

[40] Sharma, A., Kumar, R., Patel, V., Gupta, N., & Sharma, P. (2022). Recent advances in urease-based biosensors for environmental and medical applications. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 191*, 113454.

[41] Wang, Y., Zhao, S., Li, J., Sun, W., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Development of an acetylcholinesterase biosensor for organophosphate detection. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 425*, 127783.

[42] Ricci, F., & Palleschi, G. (2005). Sensor and biosensor preparation, optimisation and applications of Prussian Blue modified electrodes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21*(3), 389-407.

[43] Veitch, N. C. (2004). Horseradish peroxidase: A modern view of a classic enzyme. *Phytochemistry, 65*(3), 249-259.

[44] Gough, D. A., & Andrade, J. D. (1973). Performance of enzyme electrodes in phosphate buffers. *Analytical Chemistry, 45*(4), 331-336.

[45] Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Liu, H., Wang, C., & Yang, J. (2022). Advanced lactate biosensors: A review. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 194*, 113610.

[46] Masson, P., & Lockridge, O. (2010). Butyrylcholinesterase for detection of highly toxic organophosphates. *Toxicology Letters, 196*(1), 14-20.

[47] Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Chemical Reviews, 108*(2), 814-825.

[48] Dey, N. C., & Bhattacharya, S. (2018). Alcohol biosensors: Recent advances and future perspectives. Biosensors, 8(3), 59.

[49] Mateo, C., Palomo, J. M., Fernandez-Lorente, G., Guisan, J. M., & Fernandez-Lafuente, R. (2007). Improvement of enzyme activity, stability and selectivity via immobilization techniques. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 40*(6), 1451-1463.

[50] Ricci, F., & Palleschi, G. (2005). Sensor and biosensor preparation, optimisation and applications of Prussian Blue modified electrodes. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21*(3), 389-407.

[51] Sharma, A., Kumar, R., Patel, V., Gupta, N., & Sharma, P. (2022). Recent advances in urease-based biosensors for environmental and medical applications. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 191*, 113454.

[52] Lojou, E. (2011). Hydrogenases as catalysts for fuel cells: strategies for efficient immobilization at electrode interfaces. *Electroanalysis, 23*(1), 123-130.

[53] Turner, A. P. F. (2013). Biosensors: sense and sensibility. *Chemical Society Reviews, 42*(8), 3184-3196.

[54] Conroy, O., & Fitzgerald, S. (2020). Regulatory considerations for in vitro diagnostic devices: Innovations in biosensors. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 170*, 15-28.

[55] Wang, Y., Zhao, S., Li, J., Sun, W., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Development of an acetylcholinesterase biosensor for organophosphate detection. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 425*, 127783