World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Artificial intelligence and active learning methodologies in the training of future general surgeons: A comprehensive review

Amália Cinthia Meneses do Rêgo [1] and Irami Araújo-Filho [1, 2, *]

[1] Institute of Teaching, Research, and Innovation, Liga Contra o Câncer – Natal – Brazil.
[2] Postgraduate Program in Biotechnology at Potiguar University, Potiguar University (UnP) – Natal/RN - Brazil.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(01), 2870–2881

Publication history: Received on 17 June 2024; revised on 28 July 2024; accepted on 30 July 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2252

Abstract

This review explores the merging of Artificial Intelligence (AI) with active learning methods like Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Team-Based Learning (TBL) in the training of future general surgeons. AI technologies, including virtual and augmented reality, offer realistic simulations and interactive environments that can improve surgical training in general surgery residencies. These technologies support PBL and TBL by providing real-time data processing, facilitating problem-solving scenarios, and creating collaborative learning environments that enhance decision-making skills and clinical judgment. AI-powered tools personalize the learning process, adapt to various learner competencies, and optimize educational outcomes. This integration prepares residents with advanced technical skills and cultivates essential soft skills such as teamwork and problem-solving, which are critical in the multidisciplinary nature of surgical care. The implementation of AI in surgical education faces some challenges, such as high initial costs, potential resistance from traditional educators, and ethical concerns regarding data privacy and the dehumanization of education. However, the potential long-term benefits, such as increased efficiency, reduced training costs, and enhanced procedural proficiency, strongly argue for incorporating AI in surgical education. This synthesis promises to significantly reshape how surgical education is delivered, making it more efficient, scalable, and effective in preparing surgeons for the demands of contemporary healthcare environments.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Education; Medical; Problem Based Learning; Team Based Learning; Medical Residency; General Surgery.

1. Introduction

The landscape of surgical education is undergoing a profound transformation, influenced significantly by advancements in technology and pedagogy[1]. Artificial Intelligence (AI) emerges as a pivotal innovation, offering novel approaches to enhance the training of future general surgeons[2-4].

This article examines the integration of AI with active learning methodologies in general surgery residency programs, aiming to elucidate the current state, benefits, challenges, and future directions of AI applications in surgical training[5-7].

Active learning methodologies, which engage learners directly and involve more interaction than traditional passive listen-and-learn approaches, have shown effectiveness in various educational fields, including medicine[8-10].

* Corresponding author: Irami Araújo-Filho

Problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based training, and collaborative projects are crucial in surgical education. These techniques improve knowledge retention and critical thinking skills and enhance procedural and technical competencies[11-13].

Problem-based learning, in particular, is a student-centered pedagogy in which students learn about a subject through the experience of solving an open-ended problem presented in trigger material. It develops problem-solving skills focusing on knowledge retention, encouraging self-directed learning and immediate application of knowledge[14-16].

The advent of AI has introduced capabilities ranging from virtual and augmented reality simulations to data-driven personalized learning paths, offering unprecedented tools for educators and learners alike[17-19].

AI-driven simulations, for instance, allow for replicating complex surgical procedures in a controlled, risk-free environment, providing immediate feedback and enabling iterative practice without the ethical concerns associated with traditional training on live patients[20-22].

AI's role extends into personalized learning journeys through its ability to analyze large datasets, thereby tailoring educational content to the needs of individual residents. This optimizes learning outcomes and efficiently bridges individual knowledge gaps[23-25].

Moreover, augmented reality (AR) in surgery integrates digital information with the real world, offering visual overlays to guide surgical procedures, which enhances surgical precision and safety[26-28].

Automated performance feedback systems in AI provide instant feedback on surgical techniques by comparing them against best practices and standardized metrics. This is crucial for iterative learning and continuous skill improvement without constant human oversight[29-31].

Furthermore, AI enhances collaborative learning through platforms that support interactive learning, peer reviews, and mentorship, streamlining the learning process and improving the efficiency of educational programs through enhanced communication technologies[32-35].

Using big data analytics in surgical training assists in the predictive analysis of learning outcomes and proactive management of educational pathways, examining trends and patterns from extensive datasets to forecast potential difficulties and provide corrective measures[36-38].

However, integrating AI into existing educational frameworks brings challenges, including technical requirements and resistance among faculty, which are compounded by ethical and practical concerns such as data privacy and the potential dehumanization of education[39-41].

Future directions for AI in surgical training include innovations like machine learning-enhanced robotic surgery, which could revolutionize how procedures are taught and performed, offering greater precision and control. Regulatory bodies must also adapt, updating guidelines to accommodate new technologies and developing new certification criteria that reflect AI-enhanced competencies[42-44].

Implementing AI technologies comes with significant development, maintenance, and training costs, which the benefits of improved educational outcomes and patient care must justify. The effectiveness of these AI applications depends primarily on the adaptability of residents and educators to new technologies, necessitating ongoing professional development and technological adaptation[45-47].

AI supports team-based learning approaches, facilitating the coordination and analysis of team interactions and performance, improving individual competencies, and enhancing team dynamics and operational efficiency in surgical settings[48-50]. This technology also presents an opportunity to address global disparities in medical education, potentially equalizing the quality of surgical training worldwide[51-53].

This comprehensive review aims to dissect the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within the framework of active learning methodologies employed in training general surgery residents[54-56]. The primary focus is to elucidate how AI integrates with traditional and innovative teaching methods, such as problem-based learning, simulation-based training, and collaborative projects, to enhance the educational landscape[57-59].

By examining the intersection of AI and active learning, this review intends to uncover the multifaceted benefits these technologies bring to surgical education, including personalized learning experiences, enhanced procedural simulations, and improved surgical outcomes through predictive analytics and real-time feedback mechanisms[60-61].

Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into existing educational frameworks for surgical education encompasses a broad range of technical, ethical, and regulatory challenges[62-64]. Ensuring a robust computational infrastructure is critical; this includes not only powerful servers capable of processing large datasets but also reliable high-speed internet connections and substantial data storage solutions. These elements are fundamental for the seamless operation of AI applications that are increasingly sophisticated and data-intensive[65-67].

To effectively integrate AI, it is essential to utilize advanced software tailored for medical applications, which can model complex surgical procedures and predict outcomes accurately. These tools should be integrated with existing Learning Management Systems (LMS) or be capable of operating as standalone platforms that maintain compatibility with existing digital infrastructures[68-70].

Ensuring interoperability allows these AI tools to communicate efficiently with databases containing medical records and educational content, thereby facilitating a seamless educational experience[71-73].

Moreover, scalability of the AI systems cannot be overlooked. As the number of users grows and as data inputs increase, the systems should maintain performance without degradation[75-77]. Continuous technical support and periodic updates are necessary to adapt to new educational challenges and technological advancements, ensuring that AI tools remain effective and relevant[78-80].

Addressing potential resistance from educators is crucial for the successful adoption of AI in surgical training. Providing inclusive training that encompasses both the operational aspects of AI tools and their educational benefits can help mitigate resistance due to unfamiliarity[81]. Involving teachers in the development and implementation phases can foster acceptance and ensure the tools are optimally tailored to meet educational needs[82].

Transparency about the capabilities and limitations of AI will set realistic expectations and highlight the benefits, such as reducing administrative burdens and offering personalized feedback. Ensuring ethical use of AI, especially regarding data privacy, is crucial[83].

Teachers and students need assurance that AI tools will adhere to stringent ethical standards, with robust data encryption methods and compliance with health and data protection regulations such as HIPAA and GDPR[84].

Furthermore, the review will address the challenges and ethical considerations that come with the integration of AI in surgical training. These include issues related to data privacy, the potential dehumanization of education, and the resistance from traditional educational frameworks[85].

The discussion will extend to the technological, practical, and regulatory adjustments necessary to foster an environment conducive to AI applications in surgery. By providing a detailed analysis of current innovations and forecasting future trends, the review seeks to offer actionable recommendations for educators, technologists, and policymakers to advance the incorporation of AI in surgical education[86].

This effort is geared towards optimizing educational outcomes, improving operational efficiencies in surgical practices, and ultimately elevating the standards of patient care and safety in the field of general surgery[48-52].

Through these endeavors, the review will contribute to the global conversation on medical education reform, aiming to reduce disparities and promote equal opportunities for surgical training worldwide[53-55].

It will highlight how AI can serve as a pivotal tool in revolutionizing educational methodologies, making the training of future general surgeons more comprehensive, efficient, and aligned with the evolving demands of healthcare.

2. Methods

The research methodology involved a comprehensive search of multiple reputable databases to ensure the inclusion of relevant studies while minimizing the risk of bias. PubMed, Scopus, Scielo, Embase, and Web of Science were chosen due to their comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed literature in the medical field. Additionally, Google Scholar was utilized to access gray literature, which often includes valuable insights not found in traditional peer-reviewed articles. The study's selection criteria were centered on the focus: Artificial Intelligence and Active Learning Methodologies in the Training of Future General Surgeons: A comprehensive review. To refine the search and capture relevant studies, a combination of keywords was used, including "artificial intelligence"; "education, medical"; "problem based learning"; "team based learning"; "medical residency"; "general surgery". This approach ensured that the selected studies were directly related to the topic of interest. The inclusion criteria encompassed various studies, such as systematic reviews, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies, case series, review articles, and editorial studies. This broad inclusion criteria aimed to gather a comprehensive range of evidence and perspectives on the subject matter. The process of analysis, review, and selection of materials was conducted rigorously to maintain the quality and relevance of the chosen studies. It involved a systematic and blinded approach, with pairs of reviewers independently assessing the title and abstract of each study. In cases of disagreement between the two reviewers, a third reviewer was involved to reach a consensus and ensure the final selection of studies was based on well-founded criteria. This meticulous research methodology guarantees that the findings and conclusions drawn in the article are rooted in a robust and diverse body of evidence, enhancing the credibility and reliability of the study's outcomes.

3. Results and Discussion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the curriculum of general surgery residency programs represents a pivotal shift towards more sophisticated and effective training methodologies[87-91].

By combining AI with active teaching methodologies such as Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Team-Based Learning (TBL), residency programs are poised to significantly enhance the educational landscape, preparing residents not only to meet but exceed the demands of modern surgical practices[12-15].

AI's role in these integrations primarily involves the utilization of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) to create immersive, realistic training environments. These technologies enable 3D visualizations of the human body and surgical processes, providing residents with the opportunity to practice complex procedures in a risk-free setting[23-25].

The real-time feedback offered by AI-driven systems assesses residents' performance meticulously, facilitating immediate and personalized responses that are crucial for effective learning and improvement[34-36].

The synergy between AI and PBL is particularly transformative. PBL's approach to learning, which involves solving complex, real-world problems, is enhanced by AI's computational power[20]. AI algorithms can generate and simulate intricate patient scenarios that challenge residents' critical thinking, diagnostic skills, and decision-making processes[17-19].

This not only improves their problem-solving capabilities but also prepares them for unpredictable clinical environments. Moreover, AI can analyze the outcomes of these problem-solving sessions to identify weaknesses and suggest targeted learning strategies[22].

Similarly, AI complements TBL where the focus is on teamwork and collaborative problem solving. AI systems can facilitate these sessions by dynamically adjusting the complexity of cases based on the team's performance, ensuring that all members are adequately challenged[29-31].

Additionally, AI can serve as an analytical tool that assesses team interactions and provides feedback on communication, cooperation, and collective problem management. This is essential in the context of surgical training, where effective team collaboration can significantly influence clinical outcomes[75-77].

Residents trained under these integrated methodologies tend to demonstrate higher levels of precision and efficiency during surgeries. The use of AI not only shortens the learning curve associated with acquiring new surgical skills but also enhances residents' ability to perform under pressure[84-86].

The realistic simulations and problem-solving exercises ensure that residents are well-prepared for the high-stakes environment of the operating room[10].

The AI-enhanced PBL and TBL methodologies profoundly impact the residents' engagement and learning outcomes by promoting a deep, reflective learning process. This process is crucial in the complex field of surgery where theoretical knowledge must be adeptly applied in practical, often critical situations[60-64].

The continuous interaction with simulated environments and problem scenarios enables residents to repeatedly practice their skills, fostering a level of skill acquisition that traditional methods would take much longer to achieve[4-7].

In addition to technical skills, AI-driven programs can instill essential soft skills in residents. These include decision-making under pressure, leadership within a team context, and effective communication strategies. Such soft skills are often undervalued in traditional training paradigms but are critical for a successful surgical career[48-52].

Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into surgical training programs necessitates meticulous attention to technical, financial, and infrastructural details to ensure efficacy and sustainability[37-40]. Essential hardware for AI implementation includes high-performance servers for quick and efficient large dataset processing which is crucial for machine learning applications and complex simulations[63-66].

Robust workstations equipped with high-grade GPUs and CPUs are required at user endpoints to operate sophisticated simulation software, alongside extensive, secure data storage systems to manage large databases of surgical procedures, patient data, and real-time surgical metrics[67-69].

Software requirements encompass the development and integration of bespoke AI algorithms that support complex decision-making in surgical training. Advanced virtual reality (VR) or augmented reality (AR) platforms are needed to simulate surgical environments and procedures with high fidelity[70-73].

Additionally, data analytics tools are crucial for analyzing training outcomes and optimizing learning paths based on performance data[88]. Connectivity enhancements such as high-speed internet connections are vital for supporting live data feeds, cloud computing resources, and remote collaborations between medical professionals and trainees. Systems should include APIs and SDKs to facilitate seamless integration with existing hospital information systems (HIS) and learning management systems (LMS)[89-91].

From a compliance and security standpoint, it is imperative to adhere to HIPAA, GDPR, or other relevant data protection regulations to secure patient and institutional data. Systems must receive regular updates and patches to safeguard against cybersecurity threats and ensure the reliability of AI applications[51-54].

The architecture must be scalable to accommodate growing data amounts and user numbers without performance losses, supported by continuous technical support for troubleshooting, updates, and system enhancements[36-39].

The long-term cost benefits of implementing AI in surgical education are significant. AI can streamline training processes, providing real-time feedback and personalized learning experiences that reduce the time required to train proficient surgeons. AI-driven resource management can optimize allocation, minimizing resource waste and operational costs[18-21].

Consistent training quality is assured through standardized AI simulations, ensuring all trainees receive uniform education quality and assessment. Predictive analytics improve the efficiency and effectiveness of surgical training by forecasting learning outcomes and customizing educational paths[4-7].

Financially, AI reduces reliance on physical cadavers and materials as VR and AR simulations can replace or supplement traditional resources, leading to considerable savings in material costs. Digital resources, once developed, endure without the physical wear and tear associated with traditional materials[43-47].

AI tools enhance pre-operative planning by providing simulations and predictive analytics, which help surgeons plan operations more effectively, potentially reducing complication rates and the need for costly corrective procedures[33]. Ongoing learning opportunities facilitated by AI help maintain high surgical standards and reduce the likelihood of errors[44].

Scalability and replicability are further financial advantages. Once AI systems are established, expanding to accommodate more trainees or to replicate the system in other institutions becomes feasible and cost-effective compared to traditional methods[56-59].

This strategic investment in AI technology promises not only to revolutionize the delivery and consumption of surgical education but also aligns with strategies aimed at optimizing costs while enhancing educational and clinical outcomes, paving the way for significant long-term savings and improvements in the quality of surgical care[72-75].

Moreover, the data-driven nature of AI can bring a significant paradigm shift in how resident performance is evaluated. Unlike traditional assessments, which are often subjective, AI can provide objective, quantifiable data on a resident's performance[22-26]. This data can be used to tailor educational pathways for individual residents, enhancing their strengths and addressing their weaknesses more systematically[40-43].

Challenges such as data privacy concerns, the risk of dependency on technology, and the need for substantial investment in AI infrastructure are non-trivial. Still, they can be mitigated through thoughtful policies, continuous monitoring, and strategic planning[30-33]. Furthermore, the potential for AI to inadvertently introduce biases necessitates rigorous testing and validation of AI algorithms to ensure they are fair and equitable[66-68].

Integrating AI with PBL and TBL within general surgery residencies offers a scalable model that could potentially standardize high-quality surgical training across different geographic locations[74-78]. This model benefits residents by providing comprehensive, hands-on, and intellectually rigorous training and promises to improve patient care through more refined and practiced surgical techniques[81-83].

The ethical dimensions of AI integration into medical training also warrant careful consideration. The confidentiality of patient data used in training modules, the implications of algorithmic decisions, and the long-term impact of AI on residents' professional autonomy are critical issues that need to be addressed alongside technological advancements[90,91].

The design and implementation of AI systems must avoid inherent biases that could perpetuate unfair treatment. Regular audits and updates should be conducted to ensure decisions made by AI are equitable[5-8]. Concerns about AI dehumanizing the educational process must be addressed by maintaining a balanced approach where AI complements rather than replaces traditional teaching methodologies[13-18].

Regulatory bodies play a pivotal role in adapting to the integration of AI in surgical education. They must develop new certification criteria that reflect the evolving competencies required by modern surgical practices, including proficiency in using AI tools[26-29].

Continuous monitoring and evaluation frameworks are necessary to ensure AI tools in educational settings meet safety, effectiveness, and current medical and educational standards[39-42].

Collaborative efforts should be made to develop standards and frameworks that guide the use of AI in education. These should involve technological experts, educational institutions, and healthcare professionals to ensure comprehensive and practical regulations. Additionally, specific ethical standards designed for AI use in education will guide manufacturers and users in responsibly developing, deploying, and utilizing AI[54-57].

Educational institutions, technology developers, and healthcare organizations are crucial to enrich this training model further. These collaborations can facilitate the sharing of best practices, the development of standardized AI training modules, and the continuous improvement of simulation technologies[88-91].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, applying AI in conjunction with PBL and TBL methodologies in general surgery residency programs is a compelling approach that leverages technological advancements and educational theories. The resultant training environment is highly conducive to developing skilled, adaptive, and proficient general surgeons capable of navigating the complexities of contemporary healthcare landscapes.

As we advance, all stakeholders in the medical education sector must work collaboratively to ensure that the integration of AI into surgical training is done ethically, thoughtfully, and effectively, with a constant focus on enhancing both the quality of medical training and patient care outcomes. The future of surgical training is here, and it is inherently digital, collaborative, and problem-oriented. This future promises not only to transform the capabilities of new surgeons but also to revolutionize the procedural standards of surgery itself.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Potiguar University, and Liga Contra o Cancer for supporting this study.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Kang W, Pineda Hernández S, Wang J, Malvaso A. Instruction-based learning: A review. Neuropsychologia. 2022 Feb 10;166:108142. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2022.108142.

[2] Allaume P, Rabilloud N, Turlin B, Bardou-Jacquet E, Loréal O, Calderaro J, Khene ZE, Acosta O, De Crevoisier R, Rioux-Leclercq N, Pecot T, Kammerer-Jacquet SF. Artificial Intelligence-Based Opportunities in Liver Pathology-A Systematic Review. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023 May 19;13(10):1799. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13101799.

[3] Patel M, Chahal J, Simpson AIF. Teaching Advocacy Through Community-Based Service Learning: A Scoping Review. Acad Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;46(2):238-247. doi: 10.1007/s40596-021-01411-4.

[4] French V, Steinauer J. Sexual and reproductive health teaching in undergraduate medical education: A narrative review. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2023 Oct;163(1):23-30. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.14759.

[5] Joshi T, Budhathoki P, Adhikari A, Poudel A, Raut S, Shrestha DB. Team-Based Learning Among Health Care Professionals: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2022 Jan 14;14(1):e21252. doi: 10.7759/cureus.21252.

[6] Harvey PD, Depp CA, Rizzo AA, Strauss GP, Spelber D, Carpenter LL, Kalin NH, Krystal JH, McDonald WM, Nemeroff CB, Rodriguez CI, Widge AS, Torous J. Technology and Mental Health: State of the Art for Assessment and Treatment. Am J Psychiatry. 2022 Dec 1;179(12):897-914. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.21121254.

[7] Chytas D, Noussios G, Paraskevas G, Demesticha T, Protogerou V, Salmas M. Incorporation of team-based learning in the cadaveric anatomy laboratory: An overview. Morphologie. 2023 Jun;107(357):176-181. doi: 10.1016/j.morpho.2022.09.001.

[8] Bramhe S, Pathak SS. Robotic Surgery: A Narrative Review. Cureus. 2022 Sep 15;14(9):e29179. doi: 10.7759/cureus.29179.

[9] Briceño J, Calleja R, Hervás C. Artificial intelligence and liver transplantation: Looking for the best donor-recipient pairing. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2022 Aug;21(4):347-353. doi: 10.1016/j.hbpd.2022.03.001.

[10] Lareyre F, Yeung KK, Guzzi L, Di Lorenzo G, Chaudhuri A, Behrendt CA, Spanos K, Raffort J. Artificial intelligence in vascular surgical decision making. Semin Vasc Surg. 2023 Sep;36(3):448-453. doi: 10.1053/j.semvascsurg.2023.05.004.

[11] Sharma SC, Ramchandani JP, Thakker A, Lahiri A. ChatGPT in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. Indian J Plast Surg. 2023 Aug 2;56(4):320-325. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-1771514.

[12] Helms J, Frankart L, Bradner M, Ebersole J, Regan B, Crouch T. Interprofessional Active Learning for Chronic Pain: Transforming Student Learning From Recall to Application. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2023 Dec 25;10:23821205231221950. doi: 10.1177/23821205231221950.

[13] Maulahela H, Annisa NG, Konstantin T, Syam AF, Soetikno R. Simulation-based mastery learning in gastrointestinal endoscopy training. World J Gastrointest Endosc. 2022 Sep 16;14(9):512-523. doi: 10.4253/wjge.v14.i9.512.

[14] Davids K, Rothwell M, Hydes S, Robinson T, Davids C. Enriching Athlete-Environment Interactions in Youth Sport: The Role of a Department of Methodology. Children (Basel). 2023 Apr 20;10(4):752. doi: 10.3390/children10040752.

[15] Hill ME, Aliaga SR, Foglia EE. Learning with digital recording and video review of delivery room resuscitation. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2022 Oct;27(5):101396. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2022.101396.

[16] Melguizo-Garín A, Ruiz-Rodríguez I, Peláez-Fernández MA, Salas-Rodríguez J, Serrano-Ibáñez ER. Relationship Between Group Work Competencies and Satisfaction With Project-Based Learning Among University Students. Front Psychol. 2022 Feb 9;13:811864. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.811864.

[17] Yasser NBM, Tan AJQ, Harder N, Ashokka B, Chua WL, Liaw SY. Telesimulation in healthcare education: A scoping review. Nurse Educ Today. 2023 Jul;126:105805. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2023.105805.

[18] Wong SW, Crowe P. Factors affecting the learning curve in robotic colorectal surgery. J Robot Surg. 2022 Dec;16(6):1249-1256. doi: 10.1007/s11701-022-01373-1.

[19] Delawala F, Heymans Y, Christmals CD. Conceptualisation, development and implementation of Interprofessional Education programmes: A qualitative document analysis. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2022 Dec 21;18(3):639-651. doi: 10.1016/j.jtumed.2022.12.006.

[20] Sterpu I, Herling L, Nordquist J, Rotgans J, Acharya G. Team-based learning (TBL) in clinical disciplines for undergraduate medical students-a scoping review. BMC Med Educ. 2024 Jan 3;24(1):18. doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04975-x.

[21] Chen LYC, Quach TTT, Dayan R, Giustini D, Teunissen PW. Academic half days, noon conferences and classroom-based education in postgraduate medical education: a scoping review. CMAJ Open. 2023 May 9;11(3):E411-E425. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20210203.

[22] Mara M. Interdisciplinary Education and Health Care in Geriatric Dental Medicine. Clin Geriatr Med. 2023 May;39(2):327-341. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2023.01.006.

[23] Stockheim J, Perrakis A, Sabel BA, Waschipky R, Croner RS. RoCS: Robotic Curriculum for young Surgeons. J Robot Surg. 2023 Apr;17(2):495-507. doi: 10.1007/s11701-022-01444-3.

[24] Goldhamer MEJ, Martinez-Lage M, Black-Schaffer WS, Huang JT, Co JPT, Weinstein DF, Pusic MV. Reimagining the Clinical Competency Committee to Enhance Education and Prepare for Competency-Based Time-Variable Advancement. J Gen Intern Med. 2022 Jul;37(9):2280-2290. doi: 10.1007/s11606-022-07515-3.

[25] McDonald PL, Phillips J, Harwood K, Maring J, van der Wees PJ. Identifying requisite learning health system competencies: a scoping review. BMJ Open. 2022 Aug 23;12(8):e061124. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061124.

[26] Wong SW, Crowe P. Cognitive ergonomics and robotic surgery. J Robot Surg. 2024 Mar 5;18(1):110. doi: 10.1007/s11701-024-01852-7.

[27] Teixeira MJC, Khouri M, Martinez E, Bench S. Implementing a discharge process for patients undergoing elective surgery: Rapid review. Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs. 2023 Feb;48:101001. doi: 10.1016/j.ijotn.2023.101001.

[28] Cadeddu SBM, Dare LO, Denis JL. Employee-Driven Innovation in Health Organizations: Insights From a Scoping Review. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2023;12:6734. doi: 10.34172/ijhpm.2023.6734.

[29] Nuamah JK, Adapa K, Mazur LM. State of the evidence on simulation-based electronic health records training: A scoping review. Health Informatics J. 2022 Jul-Sep;28(3):14604582221113439. doi: 10.1177/14604582221113439.

[30] Kricheldorff C, Heimbach B, Himmelsbach I, Schumann H. Interprofessionelle Teambildung – ein hochschulübergreifendes Qualifizierungsprogramm [Interprofessional team building-An interuniversity qualification program]. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2022 May;55(3):197-203. German. doi: 10.1007/s00391-022-02021-x.

[31] Ho JT, See MTA, Tan AJQ, Levett-Jones T, Lau TC, Zhou W, Liaw SY. Healthcare professionals' experiences of interprofessional collaboration in patient education: A systematic review. Patient Educ Couns. 2023 Nov;116:107965. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2023.107965.

[32] Fasinu PS, Wilborn TW. Pharmacology education in the medical curriculum: Challenges and opportunities for improvement. Pharmacol Res Perspect. 2024 Feb;12(1):e1178. doi: 10.1002/prp2.1178.

[33] Song SJ, Park CH. Learning curve for robot-assisted knee arthroplasty; optimizing the learning curve to improve efficiency. Biomed Eng Lett. 2023 Aug 21;13(4):515-521. doi: 10.1007/s13534-023-00311-w.

[34] Barbosa Detoni K, Lopes André A, Rezende CP, Furtado BT, de Araújo Medina Mendonça S, Ramalho-de-Oliveira D. Interprofessional education for shared decision making in drug therapy: a scoping review. J Interprof Care. 2023 May-Jun;37(3):491-503. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2022.2039598.

[35] Sapeta P, Centeno C, Belar A, Arantzamendi M. Adaptation and continuous learning: integrative review of coping strategies of palliative care professionals. Palliat Med. 2022 Jan;36(1):15-29. doi: 10.1177/02692163211047149.

[36] Summerside N, Abu-Rish Blakeney E, Brashers V, Dyer C, Hall LW, Owen JA, Ottis E, Odegard P, Haizlip J, Liner D, Moore A, Zierler BK. Early outcomes from a national Train-the-Trainer Interprofessional Team Development Program. J Interprof Care. 2023 Sep 1;37(sup1):S41-S44. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2018.1538115.

[37] Terkamo-Moisio A, Karki S, Kangasniemi M, Lammintakanen J, Häggman-Laitila A. Towards remote leadership in health care: Lessons learned from an integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2022 Mar;78(3):595-608. doi: 10.1111/jan.15028.

[38] Jahandideh S, Ozavci G, Sahle BW, Kouzani AZ, Magrabi F, Bucknall T. Evaluation of machine learning-based models for prediction of clinical deterioration: A systematic literature review. Int J Med Inform. 2023 Jul;175:105084. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105084.

[39] Selva-Pareja L, Ramos-Pla A, Mercadé-Melé P, Espart A. Evolution of Scientific Production on Health Literacy and Health Education-A Bibliometric Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Apr 5;19(7):4356. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19074356.

[40] Webb TL, McGahee J, Brown MR. A Scoping Review of Medical Laboratory Science and Simulation: Promoting a Path Forward with Best Practices. Lab Med. 2022 May 5;53(3):e51-e58. doi: 10.1093/labmed/lmab087.

[41] Steinert Y, Fontes K, Mortaz-Hejri S, Quaiattini A, Yousefi Nooraie R. Social Network Analysis in Undergraduate and Postgraduate Medical Education: A Scoping Review. Acad Med. 2024 Apr 1;99(4):452-465. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000005620.

[42] Burton R, Kellett U, Mansah M, Sriram D. A systematic review of online team based learning approaches in health professional education. Nurse Educ Today. 2024 Mar 15;138:106156. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2024.106156.

[43] El Kaid A, Baïna K. A Systematic Review of Recent Deep Learning Approaches for 3D Human Pose Estimation. J Imaging. 2023 Dec 12;9(12):275. doi: 10.3390/jimaging9120275.

[44] Johnson BR, Logan LD, Darley A, Stone RH, Smith SE, Osae SP, Thomas IS, Watts MT, Welch LH. A Scoping Review for Debate-Style Journal Clubs in Health Professional Education. Am J Pharm Educ. 2023 Jun;87(6):100064. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpe.2023.100064.

[45] Yuan JH, Li QS, Shen Y. Visual analysis of image-guided radiation therapy based on bibliometrics: A review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2023 Feb 22;102(8):e32989. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000032989.

[46] Taoufik N, Boumya W, Achak M, Chennouk H, Dewil R, Barka N. The state of art on the prediction of efficiency and modeling of the processes of pollutants removal based on machine learning. Sci Total Environ. 2022 Feb 10;807(Pt 1):150554. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150554.

[47] Glisic M, Raguindin PF, Gemperli A, Taneri PE, Salvador DJ, Voortman T, Marques Vidal P, Papatheodorou SI, Kunutsor SK, Bano A, Ioannidis JPA, Muka T. A 7-Step Guideline for Qualitative Synthesis and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Health Sciences. Public Health Rev. 2023 May 16;44:1605454. doi: 10.3389/phrs.2023.1605454.

[48] de Kok K, van der Scheer W, Ketelaars C, Leistikow I. Organizational attributes that contribute to the learning & improvement capabilities of healthcare organizations: a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2023 Jun 7;23(1):585. doi: 10.1186/s12913-023-09562-w.

[49] Quek LH, Tan AJQ, Sim MJJ, Ignacio J, Harder N, Lamb A, Chua WL, Lau ST, Liaw SY. Educational escape rooms for healthcare students: A systematic review. Nurse Educ Today. 2024 Jan;132:106004. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2023.106004.

[50] Ortega P, Hardin K, Pérez-Cordón C, Cox AO, Kim KC, Truesdale D, Chang R, Martínez GA, Miller De Rutté AM, Pérez-Muñoz C, Rolón L, Shin TM. An Overview of Online Resources for Medical Spanish Education for Effective Communication with Spanish-Speaking Patients. Teach Learn Med. 2022 Oct-Dec;34(5):481-493. doi: 10.1080/10401334.2021.1959335.

[51] Carroll P, Dervan A, Maher A, McCarthy C, Woods I, Kavanagh R, Beirne C, Harte G, O'Flynn D, O'Connor C, McGuire T, Leahy LM, Gonzalez JG, Stasiewicz M, Maughan J, Gouveia PJ, Murphy PJ, Quinlan J, Casey S, Holton A, Smith É, Moriarty F, O'Brien FJ, Flood M. Applying Patient and Public Involvement in preclinical research: A co-created scoping review. Health Expect. 2022 Dec;25(6):2680-2699. doi: 10.1111/hex.13615.

[52] Jimenez YA, Punch A, Lewis SJ, Reed W. Teaching evidence-based practice: Case study of an integrated assessment task for diagnostic radiography students. J Med Imaging Radiat Sci. 2022 Sep;53(3):341-346. doi: 10.1016/j.jmir.2022.05.005.

[53] Evans A, Priestly J, Suthern M, Whelan M, Robertson S, Kelly O, Omar SH, Preddy J. Practical recommendations for planning and running live online education workshops for the rural health workforce. Aust J Rural Health. 2023 Feb;31(1):144-151. doi: 10.1111/ajr.12863.

[54] Vaagan A, Haaland-Øverby M, Eriksen AA, Fredriksen K, Stenov V, Varsi C, Ingadóttir B, Cleal BR, Alvheim AR, Westermann KF, Strømme H, Kristjansdottir OB. Group-based patient education via videoconference: A scoping review. Patient Educ Couns. 2024 Jan;118:108026. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2023.108026.

[55] Oeding JF, Williams RJ, Nwachukwu BU, Martin RK, Kelly BT, Karlsson J, Camp CL, Pearle AD, Ranawat AS, Pareek A. A practical guide to the development and deployment of deep learning models for the Orthopedic surgeon: part I. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2023 Feb;31(2):382-389. doi: 10.1007/s00167-022-07239-1.

[56] Cooper S, Connell C, Cant R. Review article: Use of the Team Emergency Assessment Measure in the rating of emergency teams' non-technical skills: A mapping review. Emerg Med Australas. 2023 Jun;35(3):375-383. doi: 10.1111/1742-6723.14184.

[57] DiGiovine CP, Donahue M, Bahr P, Bresler M, Klaesner J, Pagadala R, Burkhardt B, Grott R. Rehabilitation engineers, technologists, and technicians: Vital members of the assistive technology team. Assist Technol. 2023 Jan 2;35(1):23-34. doi: 10.1080/10400435.2018.1454713.

[58] Rohrig L, Burlingame S, Dickerson MB, Harter EA, Justice S. Interprofessional Simulation in a Digital World: Teaching Collaborative Practice in Web-Based Environments. Nurs Clin North Am. 2022 Dec;57(4):639-652. doi: 10.1016/j.cnur.2022.06.011.

[59] Harris KM, Stankovic A, Thoolen S, Strangman G, Caldwell B, Robinson SK. Team Dynamics and Collaborative Problem-Solving for Lunar Construction: Lessons From Complex Construction Scenarios on Earth. Hum Factors. 2024 May;66(5):1616-1632. doi: 10.1177/00187208221148610.

[60] Encandela JA, Shaull L, Jayas A, Amiel JM, Brown DR, Obeso VT, Ryan MS, Andriole DA; Core Entrustable Professional Activities for Entering Residency Pilot. Entrustable professional activities as a training and assessment framework in undergraduate medical education: A case study of a multi-institutional pilot. Med Educ Online. 2023 Dec;28(1):2175405. doi: 10.1080/10872981.2023.2175405.

[61] Toy S, Huh DD, Materi J, Nanavati J, Schwengel DA. Use of neuroimaging to measure neurocognitive engagement in health professions education: a scoping review. Med Educ Online. 2022 Dec;27(1):2016357. doi: 10.1080/10872981.2021.2016357.

[62] Baxendale B, Evans K, Cowley A, Bramley L, Miles G, Ross A, Dring E, Cooper J. GENESISS 1-Generating Standards for In-Situ Simulation project: a scoping review and conceptual model. BMC Med Educ. 2022 Jun 20;22(1):479. doi: 10.1186/s12909-022-03490-9.

[63] Oeding JF, Williams RJ 3rd, Camp CL, Sanchez-Sotelo J, Kelly BT, Nawabi DH, Karlsson J, Pearle AD, Martin RK, Jang SJ, Pareek A. A practical guide to the development and deployment of deep learning models for the orthopedic surgeon: part II. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2023 May;31(5):1635-1643. doi: 10.1007/s00167-023-07338-7

[64] Reed S, Treinen C, Shah N, Ranalli M, Olshefski R. Utilizing a Quality Improvement Strategy to Increase Faculty Engagement With Resident Learning Goals. Acad Pediatr. 2023 Jan-Feb;23(1):201-208. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2022.09.007.

[65] Padilla BI. Interprofessional Education and Essential Approach to Health care. Nurs Clin North Am. 2024 Mar;59(1):37-47. doi: 10.1016/j.cnur.2023.11.005.

[66] Barth U, Meyer F, Halloul Z. Spezifika der Lehre in der Gefäßchirurgie im interdisziplinär-chirurgischen Setting [Specifics of teaching in vascular surgery in an interdisciplinary surgical setting]. Chirurgie (Heidelb). 2022 Oct;93(10):966-975. German. doi: 10.1007/s00104-022-01636-5.

[67] Bucalon B, Shaw T, Brown K, Kay J. State-of-the-art Dashboards on Clinical Indicator Data to Support Reflection on Practice: Scoping Review. JMIR Med Inform. 2022 Feb 14;10(2):e32695. doi: 10.2196/32695.

[68] Malandraki GA. Advances for Dysphagia in the Digital Age: Integrative Imaging and Wearable Technologies. Folia Phoniatr Logop. 2023;75(4):208-218. doi: 10.1159/000531265.

[69] Khan M, Botelho F, Pinkham L, Guadagno E, Poenaru D. Technology-enhanced trauma training in low-resource settings: A scoping review and feasibility analysis of educational technologies. J Pediatr Surg. 2023 May;58(5):955-963. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2023.01.039.

[70] Macey A, O'Reilly G, Williams G, Cameron P. Critical care nursing role in low and lower middle-income settings: a scoping review. BMJ Open. 2022 Jan 4;12(1):e055585. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055585.

[71] Baur D, Gehlen T, Scherer J, Back DA, Tsitsilonis S, Kabir K, Osterhoff G. Decision support by machine learning systems for acute management of severely injured patients: A systematic review. Front Surg. 2022 Oct 10;9:924810. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.924810.

[72] Davis MV, Ishiwata E, Sethi J, Sobral B. The Hows of Resident-Driven Community Empowerment toward Health Equity. Prog Community Health Partnersh. 2023;17(4):583-593.

[73] Gao X, Yan D, Zhang Y, Ruan X, Kang T, Wang R, Zheng Q, Chen S, Zhai J. Comparison of the impact of team-based learning and lecture-based learning on nursing students' core competencies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurse Educ Pract. 2024 Mar;76:103945. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2024.103945.

[74] Meystre SM, Heider PM, Cates A, Bastian G, Pittman T, Gentilin S, Kelechi TJ. Piloting an automated clinical trial eligibility surveillance and provider alert system based on artificial intelligence and standard data models. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2023 Apr 11;23(1):88. doi: 10.1186/s12874-023-01916-6.

[75] Flato UAP, Nemer P, Donega CR, Vieira AAC, Rubira C, Serva FM, Buchaim RL, Serva RLO. Awareness of interprofessional learning as a tool to improve a Brazilian university curriculum. J Interprof Care. 2022 Sep-Oct;36(5):670-675. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2021.1965559.

[76] Song M, Bessette HC, Hayman LL, Lyons KS, Winters-Stone KM, Roberts Davis MC, Musil CM. Using a Virtual Platform for Conducting Grandfamily Research. Nurs Res. 2022 Mar-Apr 01;71(2):138-146. doi: 10.1097/NNR.0000000000000575.

[77] Imam M, Baïna K, Tabii Y, Ressami EM, Adlaoui Y, Benzakour I, Abdelwahed EH. The Future of Mine Safety: A Comprehensive Review of Anti-Collision Systems Based on Computer Vision in Underground Mines. Sensors (Basel). 2023 Apr 26;23(9):4294. doi: 10.3390/s23094294.

[78] Horácio ECA, de Carvalho LM, Pereira GG, Abrahim MC, Coelho MP, De Jesus DA, García GJY, de Melo-Minardi RC, Nagamatsu ST. Know-how of holding a Bioinformatics competition: Structure, model, overview, and perspectives. PLoS Comput Biol. 2023 Dec 21;19(12):e1011679. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011679.

[79] Greisman JD, Olmsted ZT, Crorkin PJ, Dallimore CA, Zhigin V, Shlifer A, Bedi AD, Kim JK, Nelson P, Sy HL, Patel KV, Ellis JA, Boockvar J, Langer DJ, D'Amico RS. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) for Cranial Tumor Resection: A Review. World Neurosurg. 2022 Jul;163:104-122.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2022.03.118.

[80] Gauly J, Court R, Currie G, Seers K, Clarke A, Metcalfe A, Wilson A, Hazell M, Grove AL. Advancing leadership in surgery: a realist review of interventions and strategies to promote evidence-based leadership in healthcare. Implement Sci. 2023 May 13;18(1):15. doi: 10.1186/s13012-023-01274-3.

[81] Lackie K, Hayward K, Ayn C, Stilwell P, Lane J, Andrews C, Dutton T, Ferkol D, Harris J, Houk S, Pendergast N, Persaud D, Thillaye J, Mills J, Grant S, Munroe A. Creating psychological safety in interprofessional simulation for health professional learners: a scoping review of the barriers and enablers. J Interprof Care. 2023 Mar-Apr;37(2):187-202. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2022.2052269.

[82] Pozzi M, Mariani S, Scanziani M, Passolunghi D, Bruni A, Finazzi A, Lettino M, Foti G, Bellelli G, Marchetto G. The frail patient undergoing cardiac surgery: lessons learned and future perspectives. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2023 Dec 6;10:1295108. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1295108.

[83] Ni C, Wan Z, Yan C, Liu Y, Clayton EW, Malin B, Yin Z. The Public Perception of the #GeneEditedBabies Event Across Multiple Social Media Platforms: Observational Study. J Med Internet Res. 2022 Mar 11;24(3):e31687. doi: 10.2196/31687.

[84] Lin Y, Lockey A, Greif R, Cheng A; Education Implementation Team Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation ILCOR. The effect of scripted debriefing in resuscitation training: A scoping review. Resusc Plus. 2024 Feb 20;18:100581. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100581.

[85] Conzo G, Patrone R, Flagiello L, Catauro A, Conzo A, Cacciatore C, Mongardini FM, Cozzolino G, Esposito R, Pasquali D, Bellastella G, Esposito K, Docimo L. Impact of Current Technology in Laparoscopic Adrenalectomy: 20 Years of Experience in the Treatment of 254 Consecutive Clinical Cases. J Clin Med. 2023 Jun 29;12(13):4384. doi: 10.3390/jcm12134384.

[86] Badge A, Chandankhede M, Gajbe U, Bankar NJ, Bandre GR. Employment of Small-Group Discussions to Ensure the Effective Delivery of Medical Education. Cureus. 2024 Jan 21;16(1):e52655. doi: 10.7759/cureus.52655.

[87] Grey C. Can a high-fidelity simulation tutorial improve written examination results? Review of a change in teaching practice. Br J Nurs. 2022 Jul 7;31(13):704-708. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2022.31.13.704.

[88] Korb-Savoldelli V, Tran Y, Perrin G, Touchard J, Pastre J, Borowik A, Schwartz C, Chastel A, Thervet E, Azizi M, Amar L, Kably B, Arnoux A, Sabatier B. Psychometric Properties of a Machine Learning-Based Patient-Reported Outcome Measure on Medication Adherence: Single-Center, Cross-Sectional, Observational Study. J Med Internet Res. 2023 Oct 16;25:e42384. doi: 10.2196/42384.

[89] Naing C, Whittaker MA, Aung HH, Chellappan DK, Riegelman A. The effects of flipped classrooms to improve learning outcomes in undergraduate health professional education: A systematic review. Campbell Syst Rev. 2023 Jul 7;19(3):e1339. doi: 10.1002/cl2.1339.

[90] Guo Y, Wang X, Gao Y, Yin H, Ma Q, Chen T. Flipped online teaching of histology and embryology with design thinking: design, practice and reflection. BMC Med Educ. 2024 Apr 9;24(1):388. doi: 10.1186/s12909-024-05373-7.

[91] McDonagh MS, Chou R, Wagner J, Ahmed AY, Morasco BJ, Iyer S, Kansagara D. Living Systematic Reviews: Practical Considerations for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Evidence-based Practice Center Program. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2022 Mar. Report No.: 22-EHC018.