World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Understanding and mitigating uncertainties in emission factors for chemical manufacturing

Muhammad Ahsan *

Baker Hughes, Energy Transition and Decarbonization, Houston, United States of America.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(01), 3090–3093

Publication history: Received on 20 June 2024; revised on 28 June 2024; accepted on 10 July 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.1891

Abstract

In the ongoing battle against climate change, accurately assessing and disclosing greenhouse gas emissions is of utmost importance. Emission factors, which quantify the amount of greenhouse gases released per unit of activity or production, are pivotal in this endeavor. However, the often-overlooked factor of uncertainty associated with these emission factors can have a profound impact on determining the emission profile of a product or system. This study will help understand the critical role of uncertainty in emission factors and discuss how neglecting it can lead to "greenwashing" issues for companies – a deceptive practice of appearing environmentally responsible while not meeting sustainability claims.

Keywords: Uncertainty; Emission Factors; Greenhouse gas; Environment; Climate

1. Introduction

Emission factors in chemical manufacturing represent the average emission rate of a given pollutant for a specific process or activity. These factors are crucial for estimating emissions from various sources, but they come with uncertainties. Understanding and mitigating these uncertainties is key to improving the accuracy of emission inventories. Here are the main types of uncertainties and strategies to reduce them:

2. Types of Uncertainty in Emission Factors

2.1. Measurement Uncertainty:

Variability in emission measurements due to limitations in the measurement techniques and equipment.

- **Sources**: Inaccurate instruments, inconsistent sampling methods, and human error.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Use high-precision instruments and regularly calibrate them.
  - Standardize sampling and measurement procedures.
  - Train personnel thoroughly in measurement techniques.

2.2. Estimation Uncertainty:

Variability arising from the estimation methods used to derive emission factors when direct measurements are not available.

* Corresponding author: Muhamamd Ahsan

- **Sources**: Use of outdated or generic emission factors, assumptions in the estimation models.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Update emission factors regularly with the latest data.
  - Use site-specific data where possible instead of generic estimates.
  - Employ advanced modeling techniques that better represent the process.

2.3. Temporal Uncertainty:

Variability in emissions over time due to changes in production rates, raw materials, or technology.

- **Sources**: Variations in operational conditions, changes in production schedules, and equipment maintenance.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Monitor emissions continuously or frequently.
  - Adjust emission factors based on recent operational data.
  - Implement predictive maintenance and real-time monitoring systems.

2.4. Spatial Uncertainty:

Variability in emissions due to differences in geographical or operational conditions across different locations.

- **Sources**: Differences in raw materials, climate, and operational practices between sites.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Use location-specific emission factors.
  - Conduct local studies to refine emission estimates.
  - Adjust for site-specific variables in the emission estimation models.

2.5. Process Uncertainty:

Variability in emissions due to differences in the chemical manufacturing process itself.

- **Sources**: Variations in process parameters, changes in technology, and operational anomalies.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Standardize process parameters where possible.
  - Implement advanced process control and monitoring systems.
  - Regularly review and update emission factors to reflect technological advancements.

2.6. Data Quality Uncertainty:

Variability due to the quality of the underlying data used to develop emission factors.

- **Sources**: Incomplete, outdated, or incorrect data.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Improve data collection and reporting practices.
  - Validate data through cross-referencing with other reliable sources.
  - Encourage transparency and accuracy in data reporting.

2.7. Statistical Uncertainty:

Variability in the statistical methods used to derive and apply emission factors.

- **Sources**: Sampling errors, small sample sizes, and inappropriate statistical models.
- **Reduction Strategies**:
  - Use robust statistical methods and larger sample sizes.
  - Apply uncertainty quantification techniques, such as confidence intervals.
  - Continuously refine statistical models based on new data.

3. Strategies to Reduce Uncertainty

- **Comprehensive Data Collection**: Gather detailed and high-quality data across various dimensions (temporal, spatial, and process-specific).

- **Advanced Monitoring Technologies**: Implement continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS) for real-time data.
- **Regular Updates and Reviews**: Continuously review and update emission factors based on the latest research and technological advancements.
- **Collaboration and Standardization**: Work with industry groups, regulatory bodies, and research institutions to develop standardized methods and share best practices.
- **Uncertainty Quantification**: Apply techniques like Monte Carlo simulations to quantify and better understand the range and impact of uncertainties.

By addressing these uncertainties through a combination of technological, methodological, and collaborative approaches, the accuracy and reliability of emission factors in chemical manufacturing can be significantly improved.

4. Understanding Greenwashing:

Greenwashing occurs when companies or organizations falsely market themselves as environmentally friendly or sustainable without substantiating their claims with concrete actions or accurate data. It involves exaggerating or manipulating environmental credentials to gain a competitive edge or improve public perception. Neglecting the uncertainty in emission factors can inadvertently contribute to greenwashing, as companies may present themselves as more environmentally responsible than they truly are.

5. Case Histories of Greenwashing Due to Ignoring Uncertainty

- **Electric Vehicle (EV) Range Claims:** Several electric vehicle manufacturers have been criticized for overstating the range of their EVs. Range estimates often fail to account for real-world variables such as temperature, driving conditions, and driving habits. By not acknowledging the uncertainty in these range estimates, companies risk misleading consumers into thinking their products are more energy-efficient and sustainable than they are in practice.
- **Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs):** Some organizations purchase Renewable Energy Certificates to offset their electricity consumption and claim they are powered entirely by renewable energy. However, the uncertainty in the REC system arises from issues such as double-counting renewable energy credits or not accurately reflecting the source and impact of the renewable energy purchased. Such practices may mislead stakeholders about a company's true commitment to reducing emissions.
- **Carbon Offset Programs:** Companies often use carbon offset programs to neutralize their emissions by investing in projects that remove or reduce greenhouse gases. However, the effectiveness of these projects can vary, and the emissions reductions achieved are often subject to uncertainty. When companies downplay or disregard this uncertainty, it can lead to overestimating the environmental benefits of their offset programs.
- **Food Product Labeling:** In the food industry, many companies claim their products are "carbon-neutral" or have a "low carbon footprint" without transparently addressing the uncertainty in carbon footprint calculations. Variability in agricultural practices, supply chain emissions, and product transportation are often underestimated, resulting in potentially misleading claims.

6. Consequences of Greenwashing

Greenwashing not only erodes public trust but can also result in legal consequences and financial penalties for companies. Moreover, it hinders the collective effort to combat climate change by fostering skepticism among consumers and stakeholders about the authenticity of sustainability efforts. Greenwashing can ultimately have detrimental effects on a company's reputation and its ability to make a positive environmental impact.

7. Conclusion

The role of uncertainty in emission factors cannot be understated when determining the emission profile of a product or system. Neglecting or downplaying this uncertainty can lead to greenwashing issues for companies, creating a facade of environmental responsibility that is not substantiated by accurate and reliable data. To combat greenwashing and promote genuine sustainability, it is imperative for companies to be transparent about the inherent uncertainties in their emission calculations and to strive for accurate, evidence-based environmental claims.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No Conflict of interest to be disclosed. The paper has been presented at 2024 Low Carbon Technology Conference.

References

[1] IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2019). 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. – This report addresses uncertainties in emission factors across sectors, providing guidelines on managing and estimating uncertainties in greenhouse gas inventories.

[2] Ogle, S. M., Buendia, L., & Smith, P. (2020). "Methodological Approaches to Uncertainty in Emission Factor Estimation." Environmental Science & Policy, 104, 47-58. – This paper explores methodologies for quantifying and minimizing uncertainties in emission factors, specifically in agricultural and industrial applications.

[3] Eggleston, H. S., et al. (2006). 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Volume 1 - General Guidance and Reporting. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. – This volume provides foundational methods for calculating uncertainty in emission factors, widely used for international emissions reporting.

[4] Ramírez, A., & Worrell, E. (2022). "Uncertainty in Industrial Greenhouse Gas Emission Estimates." Journal of Cleaner Production, 349, 131234. – This study discusses uncertainty sources in industrial emission factors and suggests ways to improve accuracy in emissions reporting.

[5] Groot, H. L., & Müller, C. (2021). "Quantifying Emission Factor Uncertainty for Inventory Improvement." Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 90, 106633. – This article examines methods to quantify and reduce emission factor uncertainty for improving the reliability of greenhouse gas inventories.