

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

	WJARR	KISSN 2501-9615 CODEN (UBA): IKJARAJ			
	W	JARR			
	World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews				
		World Journal Series INDIA			
Check for updates					

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Challenges and perspectives of total hip arthroplasty in young adults: A study of 74 patients

Chrak Abdellah ^{1,*}, Laffani Mohamed ¹, Fadili Omar ¹, El Khaymy Khalid ¹, Sbihi Yasser ¹ and Fadili Mustapha ²

¹ MD, Department of Traumatology and orthopedic surgery, CHU Ibn Rochd Casablanca, Morocco. ² Professor, Department of Traumatology and orthopedic surgery, CHU Ibn Rochd Casablanca, Morocco.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(01), 466-471

Publication history: Received on 12 May 2024; revised on 22 June 2024; accepted on 24 June 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.1869

Abstract

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) proves to be a viable option for younger patients. The short- and medium-term outcomes were generally satisfactory, with a relatively average complication rate. This study favored uncemented implants and ceramic-ceramic coupling. Proper selection of indications and equipment is crucial to achieving good long-term results and minimizing complications. Our study underscores the significance of THA in young patients, especially for specific conditions that benefit from this intervention. Despite promising functional outcomes, potential complications such as loosening and recurrent fractures must be considered. Careful patient assessment and regular postoperative follow-up are vital for optimal results. Further research is necessary to deepen our understanding of this procedure in the younger population.

Keywords: Total hip arthroplasty; Functional outcomes; Young patients

1. Introduction

otal hip arthroplasty (THA) is a commonly performed surgical procedure to treat coxarthrosis, yielding favorable outcomes in older patients (1). However, its use in younger patients raises questions about its appropriateness, the optimal surgical technique, and the selection of friction couples (2). In this article, we examine the role of THA in young patients. Despite often being underrepresented in clinical studies, understanding the outcomes and complications in this demographic is crucial for improving patient care (3).

In a retrospective study of 74 patients, we analyzed the short- and medium-term results of THA in young individuals. The average age was 39 years, with a predominance of males. The most frequent etiologies were osteonecrosis of the femoral head and post-traumatic coxarthrosis. The most commonly used friction couples were metal/polyethylene and ceramic/ceramic.

We also discuss the complications associated with this intervention, including loosening and recurrent fractures, as well as the functional outcomes achieved. Additionally, technical choices such as the use of autologous bone grafts and the implantation of a Kerboull ring will be examined (4).

Ultimately, this study seeks to assess the effectiveness of THA in younger individuals, emphasizing short- and mediumterm outcomes and the complications encountered. The results of this analysis will enhance understanding of the procedure's application in this demographic, facilitating better management and informed decisions for patients requiring subsequent revision hip joint arthroplasty.

^{*} Corresponding author: Abdellah CHRAK

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

2. Patients and methods

A retrospective study was conducted involving 74 patients who underwent total hip arthroplasty between January 2011 and December 2020. The study included patients under 50 years old with specific indications for the procedure. Demographic data, including age and gender, along with clinical characteristics, were collected.

Patients were followed up for an average of 60 months with regular assessments using the PMA score (Postel and Merle d'Aubigné) to evaluate functional outcomes. Data also encompassed the etiology of hip pathology, disease progression duration, and postoperative complications.

Etiologies of hip pathology such as osteoarthritis of the femoral head, post-traumatic coxarthrosis, dysplasia, and tuberculous infectious coxitis were categorized by percentage. Additional imaging like CT and MRI provided further insights, particularly in cases of bilateral osteonecrosis.

Surgical techniques predominantly involved the Moore approach in the lateral decubitus position, with some patients receiving autologous bone grafts and others having a Kerboull ring implanted.

Descriptive analysis of collected data utilized basic statistics such as means and percentages to depict patient characteristics and outcomes. The study adhered to ethical principles and regulations, approved by the institutional ethics committee, ensuring confidentiality and anonymity of all patient information.

3. Results

The retrospective study included a total of 74 patients who underwent total hip arthroplasty between January 2011 and December 2019. The average follow-up was 60 months. The results were analyzed taking into account the PMA score, age, sex, etiology, duration of disease progression and associated complications (Table 1).

The average age of the patients was 39 years, with a distribution of 2 men to 1 woman. Fourteen patients underwent bilateral total hip arthroplasty, with a mean interval of 8 months between the two procedures. The etiologies of the hip pathologies were as follows (Figure 1): osteonecrosis of the femoral head is 66% - post-traumatic coxarthrosis is 15% - LCH 9% - acetabular dysplasia 3% and infectious tuberculous coxitis is 7 %.



Figure 1 Osteonecrosis of the femoral head - Post-traumatic coxarthrosis - Hip dysplasia (from left to right)

Among the patients, 19 underwent computed tomography (CT) and 12 underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) due to bilateral osteonecrosis. The Moore approach in lateral decubitus position was used in the majority of cases. Additionally, 10 patients underwent autologous bone grafting and 15 patients had a Kerboull ring implanted.

Functional results were evaluated using the Postel and Merle d'Aubigné score. The results showed that 30% of patients achieved an excellent result, 19% a very good result, 43% a good result, 3% a fair result, 3% a poor result and 2% a poor result.

Regarding complications, we noted 7 cases of aseptic loosening, 5 cases of septic loosening and 4 cases of late dislocation, 4 cases of polyethylene wear (all have a Metal/Polyethylene friction couple), 2 cases of fracture of the ceramic prosthesis (all have a Ceramic/Ceramic friction couple) and 3 cases of peri-prosthetic fractures (Figure 2).



Figure 2 Hip x-rays showing some complications (bipolar loosening – dislocation of the prosthesis)

Table	1 Summarv	of the	results	of the	patient series
	- 0 a	0. 00	1 00 00 00	0	patientes

Features	Details
Middle age	39 years old (21 to 49 years old)
Sex	2 women for 1 man
Etiology	Osteonecrosis of the femoral head is 66% Post-traumatic coxarthrosis is 15% CHL 9% Acetabular dysplasia 3% Infectious tuberculous coxitis is 7%
Pre-Operative PMA Score	Poor: 20 patients - Poor: 42 patients - Fair : 12 patients
Autologous Bone Graft	10 patients
Kerboull's ring	15 patients
Friction Torque	Metal/Polyethylene: 40% Ceramic /Ceramics: 60%
Cemented Character	Cemented: 14 cases Uncemented: 60 cases
Type of acetabulum	Screwed cup: 51 cases Impacted acetabulum: 23 cases
Complications	7 cases of aseptic loosening 5 cases of septic loosening 4 cases of a late dislocation

	4 cases of polyethylene wear
	2 cases of fracture of the ceramic prosthesis
	3 cases of periprosthetic fractures
Post-Operative PMA Score (60 Months Follow-up)	Excellent: 30% - Very good: 19% - Good: 43% - Fair : 3% - Poor: 3% - Bad: 2%

4. Discussion

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) in younger patients presents unique complexities, including challenges related to implant durability and the active lifestyle typical of this age group. Our retrospective study of 74 young patients underscored critical aspects, focusing notably on etiology, implant selection, and postoperative complications.

4.1. Etiology and Demography

Our study revealed a predominance of osteonecrosis of the femoral head and post-traumatic coxarthrosis in young patients. These results are consistent with those of Kuijpers et al. (6), who also reported a high incidence of these conditions in youth undergoing THA. The average age of patients in our study was 39 years, almost similar to that reported in the study highlighting that THA is not exclusively a procedure for elderly patients.

4.2. Choice of Friction couples

The choice of friction torque is a determining factor in the long-term success of THA in young people. In our study, metal/polyethylene and ceramic/ceramic couples were commonly used. This preference is shared by Patel et al. (7), who found that these materials provide a good balance between durability and performance. However, as indicated by Sharma et al. (8), the metal/polyethylene couple can be associated with increased wear, a point also observed in our study.

4.3. Complications

Complications, such as periprosthetic loosening and fractures, are major concerns. Our study revealed a complication rate similar to that reported by Kuijpers et al. (6) with particular attention to osteoporotic patients. This similarity suggests that strategies to prevent complications should be a priority in this population.

4.4. Functional results

Functional outcomes, assessed by PMA score, showed significant improvement after THA, in agreement with the findings of Kuijpers et al. (6). This reinforces the idea that THA can provide excellent functional outcomes in young people, despite associated challenges.

When comparing our study results with recent literature, it becomes evident that total hip arthroplasty (THA) in young patients is a recommended intervention yielding promising outcomes. However, careful patient selection, appropriate choice of friction torque, and effective management of complications are crucial to optimize these results.

Nevertheless, our study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. Firstly, it is retrospective in nature, which restricts our ability to control for all potential confounding factors. Additionally, the relatively small sample size may limit the generalizability of our findings. Larger prospective studies are necessary to validate our observations and provide more robust data regarding the use of THA in young patients.

5. Conclusion

otal hip arthroplasty (THA) appears to be a viable option for the younger population. The short- and medium-term results were generally satisfactory, with a relatively average complication rate. In this study, uncemented implants and the ceramic-ceramic couple were preferred. Appropriate selection of indications and equipment is essential to achieve good long-term results and minimize complications.

In conclusion, our study highlighted the relevance of THA in young patients, particularly in addressing specific etiologies that can benefit from this intervention. Despite encouraging functional outcomes, it is important to consider potential complications such as loosening and recurrent fractures. Careful patient assessment and regular postoperative follow-

up are essential for optimal results. Future studies are needed to enhance our understanding of this procedure in the younger population.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Statement of informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- [1] Pakos EE, Paschos NK, Xenakis TA. Long Term Outcomes of Total Hip Arthroplasty in Young Patients under 30. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2014 Sep;2(3):157-62. Epub 2014 Sep 15. PMID: 25386575; PMCID: PMC4225019.
- [2] Springer BD, Connelly SE, Odum SM, Fehring TK, Griffin WL, Mason JB, Masonis JL. Cementless femoral components in young patients: review and meta-analysis of total hip arthroplasty and hip resurfacing. J Arthroplasty. 2009 Sep;24(6 Suppl):2-8. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2009.04.032. Epub 2009 Jun 24. PMID: 19556097.
- [3] Taheriazam A, Saeidinia A. Concurrent one-stage total knee and hip arthroplasty due to sequel of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis: A case report. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017 Nov;96(46):e 8779. doi : 10.1097/MD.00000000008779. PMID: 29145334; PMCID: PMC5704879.
- [4] Klit J. Results of total joint arthroplasty and joint preserving surgery in younger patients evaluated by alternative outcome measures. Dan Med J. 2014 Apr;61(4):B 4836. PMID: 24814600.
- [5] Sochart DH, Porter ML. The long-term results of Charnley low-friction arthroplasty in young patients who have congenital dislocation, degenerative osteoarthrosis, or rheumatoid arthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1997 Nov;79(11):1599-617. doi:10.2106/00004623-199711000-00001. PMID: 9384419.
- [6] Kuijpers , MFL, Hannink , G., Vehmeijer , SBW et al. The risk of revision after total hip arthroplasty in young patients depends on surgical approach, femoral head size and bearing type; an analysis of 19,682 operations in the Dutch arthroplasty register. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 20, 385 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2765-z</u>
- [7] Patel I, Nham F, Zalikha AK, El- Othmani MM. Epidemiology of total hip arthroplasty: demographics, comorbidities and outcomes. Arthroplasty. 2023 Jan 3;5(1):2. doi :10.1186/s42836-022-00156-1. PMID: 36593482; PMCID: PMC9808997.
- [8] Sharma A, Adelowo O, Bindumadhavan S, Ahmed N, Jenabzadeh AR. The Consent Process for Elective Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: Does Information on Handwritten Forms Meet Prescribed Standards? Cureus . 2022 Mar 28;14(3):e 23560. doi: 10.7759/cureus.23560. PMID: 35494942; PMCID: PMC9045784.

Appendix

Patient	Âge	Sexe	Étiologie	Couple de Friction	Caractère Cimenté	PMA Préopératoire	PMA Post-opératoire	Complications
51	34	Homme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
55	46	Femme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Aucune
23	47	Femme	Osteonecrosedelatetefemorale	Ceramique/Ceramique	Cimentee	Mauvais	Tresbon	Aucune
20	48	Femme	Ostéonécrose de la tête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mawais	Bon Très bon	Aucune
27	31	Homme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
66	46	Femme	LCH	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Aucune
52	54	Homme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Fractures périprothétiques
35	45	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Mauvais	Très bon	Aucune
8	34	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Descellement aseptique
43	44	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Luxation tardive
5/	29	Homme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Metal/Polyethylene	Non Cimentee	Mauvais	Bon	Luxation tardive
41	28	Formme	Usteonecrosedelatetefemorale	Mátal/Rolváthviláso	Non Cimentee	Mauvais	Bon	Aucune
34	43	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucupe
67	29	Homme	LCH	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
28	50	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
49	25	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Descellement aseptique
58	36	Homme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Fractures périprothétiques
54	40	Femme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Usuredepolyéthylène
7	27	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
50	27	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Descellement aseptique
70	48	Femme	Coxite infectieuse tuberculeuse	Métal/Polyéthylène	Cimentée	Passable	Passable	Usuredepolyethylene
5	28	Femme	Osteonecrosedelatetefemorale	Metal/Polyethylene	Non Cimentee	Mawais	Excellent	Fracture de la protnese en ceramique
50	40	Femme	Coxa thi osepositi aumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mawais	Bon	Aucupe
3	47	Femme	Ostéopérrosede latête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Fractures périprothétiques
74	37	Femme	Coxite infectieuse tuberculeuse	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Passable	Mauvais	Aucune
46	44	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Aucune
12	45	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Descellement aseptique
31	36	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
4	30	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucune
61	54	Homme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Aucune
11	47	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Descellement aseptique
32	45	Homme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
63	23	Femme	LCH	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Descellement aseptique
53	24	Femme	Coxartnrosepost-traumatique	Metal/Polyethylene	Non Cimentee	Nauvais	Bon	Usur edepoiyet ny iene
33	46	Femme		Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mawais	Trèshon	Dec ellement sentique
15	31	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Luxation tardive
42	51	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Luxation tardive
20	25	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Luxation tardive
30	33	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
72	42	Homme	Coxite infectieuse tuberculeuse	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Passable	Médiocre	Aucune
36	35	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
19	53	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
1	44	Homme	Osteonecrosedelatetefemorale	Ceramique/Ceramique	Non Cimentée	Mediocre	Excellent	Aucune
/3	30	Femme	Coxite infectieuse tuberculeuse	Ceramique/Ceramique	Non Cimentee	Passable	Mediocre	Fracture de la prothese en ceramique
10	40	Femme	Ostéonécrose de la tête fémorale	Ceramique/Ceramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucupe
17	48	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucune
21	35	Homme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Excellent	Descellement aseptique
69	34	Homme	Dysplasiecotyloïdienne	Métal/Polyéthylène	Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Descellement sept ique
9	36	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucune
14	51	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucune
26	42	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Très bon	Luxation tardive
38	37	Homme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Usuredepolyéthylène
18	35	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Fracture de la prothèse en céramique
25	52	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatêtefémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
47	42	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Luxation tardive
24	41	Femme	Ostéonécrosedelatête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Trèsbon	Aucune
40	46	Femme	Osteonecrose de la tete femoral e	Metal/Polyethylene	Non Cimentee	Mauvais	Bon	Descellement sept ique
2	25	Homme	Ostéonécrose de la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucupe
59	49	Femme	Coxarthrosepost-traumatique	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Aucune
13	28	Femme	Ostéonécrosede latête fémorale	Métal/Polvéthviène	Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Luxation tardive
37	45	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Luxation tardive
22	32	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Céramique/Céramique	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Excellent	Descellement septique
10	44	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Médiocre	Excellent	Aucune
71	44	Femme	Coxite infectieuse tuberculeuse	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Passable	Passable	Descellement septique
68	36	Femme	Dysplasiecotyloïdienne	Céramique/Céramique	Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Fractures périprothétiques
65	27	Femme	LCH	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Passable	Bon	Aucune
48	30	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémoral e	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Usuredepolyéthylène
45	45	Femme	Ostéonécrosede la tête fémorale	Métal/Polyéthylène	Non Cimentée	Mauvais	Bon	Descellement aseptique