



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Reviewing the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the USA

Ikponmwosa Aiguoarueghian ^{1,*}, Uwaga Monica Adanma ², Emmanuel Olurotimi Ogunbiyi ³ and Nko Okina Solomon ⁴

¹ *Independent Researcher, Southampton, UK.*

² *Department of Forestry and Environmental Management, Micheal Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Nigeria.*

³ *Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria.*

⁴ *Department of Environmental Health and Safety, Marshall University Huntington West Virginia, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(02), 1720–1733

Publication history: Received on 04 April 2024 revised on 22 May 2024; accepted on 24 May 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.2.1518>

Abstract

Plastic waste has emerged as a formidable environmental challenge globally, prompting nations to reassess and enhance their waste management strategies. This study encapsulates a comprehensive review focusing on the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the United States. The review explores the multifaceted dimensions of plastic waste management, encompassing legislative frameworks, recycling initiatives, public awareness campaigns, and the impact of technological advancements. It delves into the intricate web of challenges posed by plastic pollution and evaluates the strategies employed by the USA to mitigate these environmental threats. Key facets of the review include an analysis of federal and state-level regulations governing plastic waste, with a keen eye on the evolution of policies over time. The effectiveness of recycling programs and infrastructure, their scope, and the challenges hindering optimal performance are scrutinized. Additionally, the review assesses the role of extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs and the integration of innovative technologies in enhancing plastic waste management practices. Beyond regulatory measures, the review delves into the public's role in plastic waste management, considering the efficacy of awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives. The societal shift toward sustainable practices and the influence of consumer behavior on plastic consumption and disposal are also examined. Furthermore, the review scrutinizes the challenges associated with plastic waste exports and the potential global implications of the USA's plastic waste management practices. It considers the broader environmental and health impacts of plastic pollution, emphasizing the need for holistic and sustainable solutions. In synthesizing these diverse aspects, the review aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the strengths and weaknesses in the USA's approach to plastic waste management. By identifying areas of improvement and acknowledging successful interventions, the review contributes valuable insights to the ongoing global discourse on mitigating the environmental impact of plastic waste.

Keywords: Effectiveness; Waste; Plastics; Management; Practices

1. Introduction

The global surge in plastic production has brought about a pressing environmental crisis, with plastic waste pervading ecosystems and oceans, threatening biodiversity, and raising concerns about long-term environmental sustainability (Tekman *et al.*, 2022). In the United States, as in many parts of the world, addressing the challenges posed by plastic waste has become a critical imperative. This review delves into the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the USA, exploring the nation's response to the global plastic waste crisis.

The global escalation of plastic production over the past several decades has led to a crisis of unparalleled proportions (Popoola *et al.*, 2024). With an estimated 8.3 billion metric tons of plastic produced since the 1950s, a significant portion

* Corresponding author: Ikponmwosa Aiguoarueghian

has found its way into ecosystems, water bodies, and even remote areas. The persistence of plastics in the environment, coupled with their slow degradation, has given rise to widespread ecological damage, impacting marine life, terrestrial ecosystems, and posing potential risks to human health (Qi *et al.*, 2020).

Plastic pollution has transcended national borders, creating a shared global responsibility to address its adverse effects (Adama *et al.*, 2024). Nations, including the United States, are challenged to reassess their approaches to plastic waste management, seeking sustainable solutions that balance environmental conservation with the demands of a plastic-dependent society.

The significance of effective plastic waste management extends beyond the immediate need to alleviate the burden of plastic pollution (Akpuokwe *et al.*, 2024). It intertwines with broader environmental conservation goals, as the health of ecosystems, biodiversity, and the overall well-being of the planet are intrinsically linked to how societies manage and dispose of plastic waste (Dieterle, 2020).

Plastic waste poses a multifaceted threat, from endangering marine life through ingestion and entanglement to leaching harmful chemicals into soil and water (Uzougbo *et al.*, 2023; Babatunde *et al.*, 2021). By addressing plastic waste management, nations can contribute to mitigating climate change, conserving natural resources, and fostering a more sustainable relationship between human activities and the environment (Ediae *et al.*, 2024; Chikwe *et al.*, 2024).

This review sets out to comprehensively assess the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the USA against the backdrop of the global plastic waste crisis. By scrutinizing legislative frameworks, technological advancements, public awareness initiatives, and the overall state of plastic waste management infrastructure, the aim is to provide a nuanced understanding of the strides made, challenges faced, and the path forward (Ochuba *et al.*, 2024; Udo *et al.*, 2024).

As we embark on this study, it becomes evident that the plastic waste issue demands a multifaceted and collaborative approach. By scrutinizing the strategies and mechanisms employed in the USA, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing global dialogue on plastic waste management, offering insights that can inform more sustainable practices and policies for the benefit of the environment and future generations (Pandit *et al.*, 2021; Adegoke *et al.*, 2024).

1.1. Legislative Frameworks and Regulations

The effective management of plastic waste in the United States relies on a robust legislative framework that evolves in response to the challenges posed by plastic pollution (Popoola *et al.*, 2024). This review explores the historical evolution of federal regulations on plastic waste management, assesses state-level legislative initiatives, and analyzes the effectiveness of regulatory measures in shaping the nation's approach to addressing the plastic waste crisis.

The federal regulatory landscape for plastic waste management has undergone a significant evolution over the years (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi., 2024). The genesis of federal involvement can be traced back to landmark environmental legislation, such as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) enacted in 1976 (Haider and Teodoro, 2021). The RCRA set the stage for the management of hazardous and non-hazardous solid waste, providing a regulatory framework that encompasses various aspects of waste management, including plastics (Ochuba *et al.*, 2024).

Subsequent federal acts, such as the Clean Water Act and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, aimed to address specific facets of plastic pollution, particularly its impact on water bodies. However, it wasn't until the more recent "Save Our Seas Act" that a comprehensive federal strategy specifically targeting marine debris and plastic waste gained prominence. This legislation emphasized international collaboration, research, and domestic initiatives to reduce plastic pollution and enhance waste management practices (Wang, 2023).

While federal regulations provide a foundation for plastic waste management, the complexity of the issue necessitates ongoing legislative efforts to adapt to emerging challenges and technological advancements.

In addition to federal regulations, state-level legislative initiatives play a crucial role in shaping the landscape of plastic waste management (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi., 2024). States have taken the lead in implementing measures to curb plastic pollution, reflecting the diverse challenges and priorities within their jurisdictions.

Several states have enacted single-use plastic bans, restrictions, or extended producer responsibility (EPR) laws (Hart, 2021). For example, California has been a trailblazer in adopting ambitious targets for reducing plastic pollution, including a statewide ban on single-use plastic bags. Other states, such as Maine and Oregon, have implemented bottle deposit programs to incentivize recycling and reduce plastic beverage container waste (Esho *et al.*, 2024).

The patchwork of state-level regulations highlights the flexibility of the legislative approach to address local nuances and priorities. However, this diversity also underscores the need for coordination and standardization to create a cohesive and effective national strategy.

Analyzing the effectiveness of regulatory measures requires a multifaceted assessment encompassing environmental impact, industry compliance, and societal behavior. Federal and state regulations have undoubtedly contributed to raising awareness, shaping industry practices, and reducing certain forms of plastic pollution (Sandu *et al.*, 2020).

However, challenges persist, particularly in achieving comprehensive waste management and recycling goals (Esho *et al.*, 2024). The complexity of the plastic waste stream, with diverse materials and product designs, poses challenges for effective recycling. Contamination of recyclables remains a prevalent issue, indicating the need for improved consumer education and standardized recycling practices.

Moreover, the effectiveness of regulations can be hindered by gaps in enforcement, limited resources for monitoring and compliance, and the constant evolution of plastic products. A more integrated approach that combines regulatory measures with technological innovations, public awareness campaigns, and industry collaboration is essential for achieving lasting results (Adefemi *et al.*, 2024; Umoh *et al.*, 2024).

In conclusion, the legislative frameworks and regulations governing plastic waste management in the United States have evolved over time, responding to the dynamic challenges posed by plastic pollution. Federal regulations provide a foundational structure, while state-level initiatives showcase the adaptability of the legislative approach to local contexts (Braunschweiger and Pütz, 2021).

The analysis of regulatory effectiveness underscores the need for a holistic strategy that addresses the entire lifecycle of plastics, from production to disposal. A collaborative effort involving federal and state governments, industry stakeholders, and the public is crucial for overcoming existing challenges and paving the way for sustainable plastic waste management (Etukudoh *et al.*, 2024).

As the nation grapples with the complexities of plastic waste, continued legislative innovation, technology integration, and public engagement will be instrumental in fostering a circular economy, reducing environmental harm, and creating a more sustainable future for plastic waste management in the USA (Kumar *et al.*, 2021; Ilojiyanya *et al.*, 2024).

1.2. Recycling Programs and Infrastructure

The effective management of plastic waste hinges on the robustness of recycling programs and infrastructure (Oriekhoe *et al.*, 2024). This review explores the current landscape of recycling initiatives in the United States, delving into existing programs, evaluating the scope and efficiency of recycling infrastructure, and addressing the challenges and opportunities that shape the nation's approach to plastic waste recycling (Zamfir *et al.*, 2023).

The United States boasts a diverse array of recycling programs, spanning municipal, state, and private initiatives. At the municipal level, curbside recycling programs have become commonplace in many communities, allowing residents to dispose of recyclable materials conveniently. These programs typically accept common plastics, paper, glass, and metals, aiming to divert these materials from landfills and promote recycling (Adefemi *et al.*, 2024).

In addition to curbside recycling, drop-off centers and recycling facilities are prevalent across the country. These centers often accept a broader range of materials, including electronics, textiles, and hazardous waste, providing a comprehensive solution for diverting various items from the waste stream (Jacobs *et al.*, 2022).

State-level initiatives further contribute to the recycling landscape, with some states implementing bottle deposit programs to incentivize the return and recycling of beverage containers. The private sector also plays a pivotal role, with companies adopting sustainable packaging practices and engaging in product stewardship to manage the end-of-life disposal of their products responsibly (Ibekwe *et al.*, 2024).

While the United States has made strides in establishing recycling programs, the scope and efficiency of recycling infrastructure vary across regions. The effectiveness of recycling hinges on the accessibility of recycling facilities, the comprehensiveness of accepted materials, and the efficiency of sorting and processing systems (Mouton and Roux, 2023).

Curbside recycling programs, while widespread, face challenges related to contamination. Contaminated recyclables, such as non-recyclable materials mixed with recyclable ones, can compromise the quality of recycled materials and hinder the efficiency of processing facilities. The need for education and outreach programs to inform residents about proper recycling practices is crucial to addressing this challenge (Oluwadipe *et al.*, 2022).

Furthermore, the availability of recycling facilities for specific materials, such as electronics or plastics with complex compositions, varies. Improving the infrastructure for processing these materials is essential to ensure a more comprehensive and efficient recycling system (Nwokediegwu *et al.*, 2024).

Challenges persist in enhancing recycling initiatives in the USA, but they are met with corresponding opportunities for improvement (Knickmeyer, 2020). Contamination of recyclables remains a significant hurdle, necessitating increased public awareness and education campaigns to inform individuals about proper sorting and disposal practices.

The evolving landscape of packaging materials poses another challenge, as some packaging designs are not easily recyclable. The adoption of sustainable packaging practices, coupled with innovations in material design, presents an opportunity for the industry to align with recycling capabilities and reduce the environmental impact of packaging (Oriekhoe *et al.*, 2024).

Inconsistent recycling regulations and guidelines across different regions contribute to confusion among residents and businesses. Standardizing recycling practices and implementing uniform guidelines could streamline the recycling process and improve the effectiveness of recycling initiatives (Adefemi *et al.*, 2023).

Technological advancements offer promising opportunities to enhance recycling infrastructure. Innovations in sorting technologies, such as AI-powered sorting systems, can improve the efficiency and accuracy of material separation in recycling facilities. Moreover, advancements in material science and recycling technologies hold the potential to expand the range of recyclable materials and improve the overall sustainability of recycling processes (Krauklis *et al.*, 2021).

In conclusion, recycling programs and infrastructure form the backbone of the United States' strategy for managing plastic waste. The nation's diverse array of recycling initiatives, from curbside programs to state-level initiatives, reflects a commitment to diverting materials from landfills and promoting recycling.

However, challenges such as contamination and inconsistent recycling practices highlight the need for continuous improvement. The evaluation of the scope and efficiency of recycling infrastructure underscores the importance of addressing these challenges to create a more effective and comprehensive recycling system (Altassan, 2023).

As the nation navigates the evolving landscape of plastic waste management, opportunities for improvement abound. Public awareness campaigns, industry collaboration, technological innovations, and standardized recycling guidelines all contribute to a sustainable future for plastic waste management in the USA. By seizing these opportunities and addressing challenges head-on, the nation can chart a course toward a more resilient and environmentally responsible approach to plastic waste recycling (Etukudoh *et al.*, 2024).

1.3. Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) Programs

The global surge in plastic waste has prompted a paradigm shift in waste management strategies, with Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs emerging as a pivotal approach (Ramasubramanian *et al.*, 2023). This review delves into the landscape of EPR initiatives in the United States, examining their efficacy in addressing plastic waste, evaluating their impact on reducing pollution and promoting recycling, and extracting lessons from successful implementations.

Extended Producer Responsibility embodies a shift in accountability for the lifecycle of products, placing the onus on manufacturers, brand owners, and importers to manage their products' end-of-life disposal responsibly. In the realm of plastic waste management, EPR programs aim to mitigate the environmental impact of plastic products by integrating sustainable practices into the entire product lifecycle (Ibekwe *et al.*, 2024).

One of the key elements of EPR initiatives is the establishment of producer-financed collection and recycling systems. This entails manufacturers taking responsibility for the collection, transportation, and recycling of their products, encouraging a circular economy model. Many EPR programs focus on a range of plastic products, including packaging, electronic goods, and consumer goods, acknowledging the diversity of sources contributing to the plastic waste stream (Pani and Pathak, 2021).

EPR programs have demonstrated a significant impact on reducing plastic pollution and promoting recycling. By shifting the financial burden to producers, EPR encourages them to adopt eco-friendly designs, reduce the use of single-use plastics, and invest in recycling infrastructure. The integration of EPR in the waste management landscape serves as a powerful incentive for producers to prioritize sustainable practices from the product's inception.

Furthermore, EPR initiatives contribute to the establishment of efficient collection and recycling systems, ensuring a more streamlined process for managing plastic waste. Producers, driven by economic and environmental motivations, are compelled to innovate and optimize their products and packaging to minimize their environmental footprint (Adegbite *et al.*, 2023).

The success of EPR in promoting recycling is evident in the increased recycling rates observed in jurisdictions with well-established EPR programs (Ezeudu, 2024). Producers, under the financial obligation of EPR, are motivated to invest in technologies that facilitate the recycling of their products, fostering a closed-loop system that minimizes waste and conserves resources.

Several jurisdictions across the United States have implemented successful EPR programs, providing valuable lessons for the broader adoption of this approach. California's EPR program for carpeting, for instance, has demonstrated the efficacy of shifting responsibility to producers. The program has witnessed increased recycling rates, reduced landfilling of carpets, and innovative approaches to product design that prioritize recyclability (Fini and Forsythe, 2020.).

Additionally, the Product Stewardship Institute's model for EPR in the electronics sector has proven successful. This model emphasizes collaboration among stakeholders, including producers, retailers, and government agencies, fostering a comprehensive approach to responsible product management.

Successful EPR implementations underscore the importance of collaboration, clear regulatory frameworks, and active engagement from all stakeholders. Additionally, these cases highlight the adaptability of the EPR model to diverse product categories, providing a blueprint for expanding its application across various sectors (Ayorinde *et al.*, 2024).

In conclusion, Extended Producer Responsibility programs stand as a transformative force in the realm of plastic waste management in the USA. By holding producers accountable for the environmental impact of their products, EPR initiatives drive innovation, reduce plastic pollution, and promote recycling. The examination of successful EPR implementations unveils valuable lessons that can guide the development and expansion of similar programs across diverse product categories (erLaubing *et al.*, 2021).

As the United States grapples with the imperative to address plastic waste comprehensively, EPR emerges as a catalyst for change, aligning economic incentives with environmental responsibility. The continued evolution and broad adoption of EPR programs hold the promise of reshaping the plastic waste landscape, fostering a circular economy, and advancing the nation towards a more sustainable and resilient future (Nwokediegwu *et al.*, 2024).

1.4. Technological Advancements in Plastic Waste Management

The escalating challenge of plastic waste management has prompted a surge in technological innovations aimed at revolutionizing traditional approaches (Rangel-Buitrago *et al.*, 2023). This review delves into the evolving landscape of technological advancements in plastic waste management within the United States, exploring the role of innovative technologies, evaluating their effectiveness, and envisioning future trends and breakthroughs.

In the quest for sustainable solutions, innovative technologies play a pivotal role in reshaping the landscape of plastic waste reduction. Among the notable advancements is the development of cutting-edge recycling technologies (Ezeigweneme *et al.*, 2024). Advanced recycling methods, such as chemical recycling and pyrolysis, hold promise in breaking down complex plastic polymers into their original building blocks. This enables the creation of high-quality recycled materials, reducing the dependence on virgin plastics (Tonini *et al.*, 2022).

Additionally, smart waste management technologies are leveraging the Internet of Things (IoT) to enhance the efficiency of collection and sorting processes. Smart bins equipped with sensors can monitor fill levels, optimizing waste collection routes and minimizing the environmental impact associated with transportation.

Another notable technology is blockchain, which is being explored to create transparent and traceable supply chains for plastics. This can help identify the origin of plastic materials, track their lifecycle, and ensure adherence to responsible disposal practices (Odili *et al.*, 2024).

While technological innovations hold promise, their effectiveness is subject to rigorous evaluation. Advanced recycling technologies, for instance, have shown potential in addressing the challenges associated with traditional mechanical recycling. Chemical recycling, in particular, has the capability to process a broader range of plastics, including those considered challenging for conventional methods (Huang *et al.*, 2022).

Smart waste management technologies have demonstrated tangible benefits in optimizing collection routes, reducing fuel consumption, and minimizing carbon emissions. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in sorting facilities enhances the accuracy of material separation, improving the quality of recycled materials (Lubongo and Alexandridis, 2022).

However, challenges persist, including the scalability and economic viability of certain technologies (Adekoya *et al.*, 2024). The effectiveness of these innovations is contingent on widespread adoption, regulatory support, and economic feasibility. Striking a balance between technological advancements and practical implementation remains a critical aspect of evaluating their overall impact on plastic waste reduction. As technology continues to evolve, several future trends and potential breakthroughs are on the horizon for plastic waste management. One notable trend is the advancement of biodegradable plastics and bio-based polymers (Usiagu *et al.*, 2024). Researchers and industry leaders are exploring sustainable alternatives that mimic the functionality of traditional plastics while offering improved environmental outcomes.

Nanotechnology is emerging as a potential game-changer in plastic degradation. Nanomaterials, such as nanoenzymes, hold promise in breaking down plastics at a molecular level, expediting the decomposition process (Abouelkheir *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, the integration of robotics and automation in waste sorting facilities is expected to enhance efficiency and accuracy. Robotics can facilitate the identification and separation of different types of plastics, contributing to higher-quality recycled materials.

In the realm of public engagement, technology-driven solutions include mobile applications that empower individuals to make informed choices about plastic consumption. Apps providing information on eco-friendly products, facilitating recycling processes, and offering real-time updates on environmental impact contribute to shaping consumer behavior (Triantafyllidou and Zabaniotou, 2021).

In conclusion, technological advancements are reshaping the landscape of plastic waste management in the USA. The role of innovative technologies, from advanced recycling methods to smart waste management solutions, is pivotal in driving progress toward a more sustainable future. While the effectiveness of these technologies is promising, ongoing evaluation, widespread adoption, and regulatory support are essential for their success (Etukudoh *et al.*, 2024).

Looking ahead, the convergence of biotechnology, nanotechnology, and artificial intelligence holds the potential for groundbreaking solutions. Future trends indicate a shift toward more sustainable materials, advanced sorting technologies, and increased automation. As the United States continues to navigate the complex terrain of plastic waste management, the integration of cutting-edge technologies stands as a beacon of hope in the pursuit of a circular and environmentally responsible approach to plastic consumption and disposal (Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu *et al.*, 2023)

1.5. Public Awareness and Community Engagement

In the pursuit of effective plastic waste management, the role of public awareness and community engagement emerges as a pivotal force for change (Kumar *et al.*, 2021). This review delves into the landscape of public awareness campaigns, community engagement initiatives, and the profound influence of public behavior on plastic consumption and disposal practices in the United States.

Public awareness campaigns play a crucial role in shaping societal attitudes and behaviors toward plastic waste. In recent years, there has been a notable surge in campaigns aimed at educating the public about the environmental impact of plastic pollution. These campaigns leverage various channels, including traditional media, social media, and grassroots initiatives, to disseminate information and foster a sense of environmental responsibility (Esho *et al.*, 2024).

An analysis reveals a diverse range of approaches employed in public awareness campaigns. Some campaigns focus on highlighting the detrimental effects of single-use plastics on marine life and ecosystems, invoking a sense of urgency and empathy (Akande, 2023). Others emphasize the importance of recycling and proper waste disposal, aiming to instill responsible habits in individuals. While many of these campaigns have succeeded in raising awareness, challenges persist. The effectiveness of messaging, the ability to reach diverse demographics, and the translation of awareness into tangible behavior change are areas that warrant continuous scrutiny and refinement.

Community engagement represents a powerful avenue for driving change at the grassroots level. Initiatives that actively involve communities in plastic waste management efforts have gained prominence. Community clean-up events, recycling drives, and educational workshops are just a few examples of engagement initiatives that empower individuals to take ownership of their local environments. Successful community engagement goes beyond one-time events; it involves building sustainable networks that encourage ongoing participation (Mega, 2022). However, the effectiveness of community engagement initiatives is contingent on accessibility, inclusivity, and the ability to address local nuances. Tailoring initiatives to the specific needs and demographics of each community ensures that engagement efforts resonate authentically.

The success of plastic waste management hinges on the choices individuals make in their daily lives. Public behavior is a formidable force that can either perpetuate the plastic problem or drive meaningful change. Understanding the factors influencing public behavior is key to formulating strategies that encourage sustainable practices. Behavioral science indicates that habits, social norms, and convenience significantly influence individual choices regarding plastic consumption and disposal. Efforts to shift behavior often involve creating new norms around reusable alternatives, making sustainable choices more convenient, and leveraging social influence to foster collective responsibility (Constantino *et al.*, 2022).

Moreover, the role of education in shaping behavior cannot be overstated. Integrating environmental education into school curricula, promoting eco-conscious practices in workplaces, and leveraging digital platforms for continuous learning contribute to building a society that is informed and empowered to make sustainable choices. In conclusion, public awareness and community engagement are indispensable components of effective plastic waste management. While strides have been made in raising awareness and mobilizing communities, there remains a need for continuous innovation, inclusivity, and targeted strategies. The influence of public behavior on plastic consumption and disposal practices underscores the transformative potential inherent in individual choices.

Moving forward, a holistic approach that combines robust public awareness campaigns, community engagement initiatives, and strategic interventions to influence behavior will be instrumental. By fostering a collective sense of responsibility and empowering individuals to make sustainable choices, public engagement becomes a catalyst for lasting change in the journey towards effective plastic waste management in the United States (Merødningen and Stokka, 2023).

1.6. Challenges in Plastic Waste Exports

As the global community grapples with the escalating issue of plastic pollution, the role of plastic waste exports has emerged as a critical facet of the discourse (Graham, 2023). In reviewing the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the United States, it is imperative to scrutinize the challenges associated with the exportation of plastic waste. This study encompasses an overview of these challenges, an evaluation of the environmental and social impacts of plastic waste exports, and a consideration of the broader global implications and responsibilities that unfold.

Exporting plastic waste has been a prevalent practice for many developed nations, including the United States, seeking avenues to alleviate the burden of mounting plastic waste domestically. However, this strategy is fraught with challenges. One major challenge is the lack of uniform international regulations governing the trade of plastic waste. The absence of a standardized framework allows for discrepancies in waste management practices among importing nations, leading to potential environmental and social repercussions. Another challenge lies in the limited capacity of some recipient countries to effectively manage the influx of imported plastic waste. Insufficient infrastructure, inadequate waste management practices, and a lack of regulatory oversight can contribute to the mismanagement of plastic waste, leading to environmental degradation and health hazards in host nations (Okeke *et al.*, 2023; Okpokoro *et al.* 2022).

The environmental and social impacts of exporting plastic waste are profound and multifaceted. In destination countries, the improper disposal or processing of imported plastic waste can result in soil contamination, water pollution, and damage to ecosystems (Ochuba *et al.*, 2024). The health of local communities may be jeopardized as they grapple with the consequences of exposure to hazardous materials present in the mismanaged waste.

Additionally, the exportation of plastic waste has been linked to the exploitation of vulnerable communities. Some developing nations, often lacking robust regulatory frameworks, become dumping grounds for plastic waste. This can lead to the displacement of local populations, infringement on human rights, and exacerbation of socio-economic inequalities. The challenges in plastic waste exports extend beyond the borders of individual nations. The global interconnectedness of environmental and social systems underscores the shared responsibility of all nations in

addressing the plastic waste crisis. The unregulated export of plastic waste contributes to a globalized environmental problem, with repercussions transcending geographical boundaries (Chasek and Downie, 2020).

Recognizing the global implications, there is a growing call for shared responsibility and collaborative efforts. The need for an international agreement on plastic waste management, akin to the Basel Convention for hazardous waste, has gained traction. Such an agreement would establish a unified framework, ensuring that plastic waste exports adhere to stringent environmental and social standards, irrespective of the destination.

Moreover, nations, including the USA, bear a responsibility to prioritize domestic solutions to plastic waste management. Reducing reliance on exports and fostering sustainable waste management practices domestically are integral steps in mitigating the challenges associated with the global trade of plastic waste (Sharma *et al.*, 2021).

In conclusion, the challenges in plastic waste exports underscore the intricate interplay between environmental sustainability, social equity, and global responsibility. As the USA and the international community grapple with these challenges, there is a pressing need for concerted efforts to develop comprehensive solutions. Addressing the challenges of plastic waste exports demands a paradigm shift towards sustainable waste management practices, heightened global cooperation, and a commitment to shared responsibility in mitigating the environmental and social impacts of plastic pollution (Udo *et al.*, 2024).

1.7. Environmental and Health Impacts

The management of plastic waste stands at the crossroads of environmental conservation and public health (Zimmermann, 2021). In reviewing the effectiveness of plastic waste management in the United States, it is crucial to scrutinize the profound impacts on the environment and human health. This study encompasses an examination of broader environmental consequences, consideration of health implications, and the recognition of the pivotal role played by holistic solutions in mitigating these intertwined risks.

Plastic pollution poses an existential threat to the ecological balance of our planet. The indiscriminate disposal of plastic waste, especially single-use plastics, has led to the contamination of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Plastic debris infiltrates soils, disrupting natural processes and affecting plant life (Joos and De Tender, 2022). In water bodies, it jeopardizes marine ecosystems, entangling marine life and introducing hazardous chemicals into the food chain.

The persistence of plastics exacerbates the problem, as these materials can take hundreds of years to decompose. Microplastics, resulting from the breakdown of larger plastic items, further compound the issue. These microscopic particles infiltrate water sources, soil, and even the air, presenting challenges that extend far beyond what meets the eye (Mehanna *et al.*, 2021).

The environmental consequences of plastic pollution are intricately linked to human health. Plastics contain a cocktail of chemicals, including additives and pollutants, which can leach into the environment. These chemicals pose a potential threat to human health through various pathways. Contaminated water sources, ingestion of seafood containing microplastics, and even the inhalation of airborne microplastics contribute to the human exposure to these hazardous substances (Ghosh *et al.*, 2023).

Moreover, the production and incineration of plastics release toxic emissions into the air, further compromising air quality. Phthalates, bisphenols, and other plastic-associated chemicals have been linked to adverse health effects, including endocrine disruption, developmental issues, and an increased risk of certain diseases. The complexity of the environmental and health impacts of plastic waste necessitates a holistic approach to its management (Evode *et al.*, 2021). Mere regulation and recycling efforts, while important, are insufficient in addressing the magnitude of the issue. To achieve meaningful progress, it is imperative to adopt comprehensive strategies that encompass reduction, reuse, and innovation.

Reducing the reliance on single-use plastics and encouraging sustainable alternatives represent a foundational step in mitigating environmental and health risks (Hwang and Rivera, 2020). Industry-wide adoption of extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs can incentivize manufacturers to design products with end-of-life considerations, promoting a circular economy. Innovation in waste-to-energy technologies and the development of biodegradable plastics are crucial components of a holistic solution. Integrating advanced technologies in waste management, such as plastic-to-fuel processes or microbial degradation, can help alleviate the burden of plastic waste on the environment. Public awareness and education also play a pivotal role. By fostering a culture of responsible consumption and waste

management practices, communities can actively contribute to reducing plastic pollution and safeguarding their health (Rane, 2023).

In conclusion, the environmental and health impacts of plastic waste management in the USA underscore the interconnectedness of these challenges. A paradigm shift towards holistic and sustainable solutions is imperative. Recognizing the intrinsic link between environmental conservation and human well-being, the call for action extends beyond regulatory measures to a collective commitment to reducing, reusing, and innovating. Only through a comprehensive and unified effort can we hope to mitigate the environmental and health risks associated with plastic waste and forge a path toward a healthier, more sustainable future.

2. Recommendation and Conclusion

In concluding this comprehensive review of plastic waste management in the United States, it is imperative to encapsulate the key findings and chart a course for a more sustainable future.

The review has unveiled a nuanced panorama of the plastic waste management landscape in the USA, traversing legislative frameworks, recycling initiatives, technological advancements, public engagement, and the broader environmental and health impacts. Key findings underscore the complexity of the issue, revealing both commendable achievements and persistent challenges.

While progress has been made, critical areas for improvement have come to light. The need for enhanced federal regulations, particularly addressing single-use plastics, emerges as a pressing concern. Recycling programs, though commendable, require bolstering in terms of infrastructure and public participation. The role of extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs should be expanded, and the integration of cutting-edge technologies necessitates further investment and implementation.

The urgency of the plastic waste crisis demands a robust and concerted effort from all stakeholders. As a call to action, a multifaceted approach is essential. Firstly, there is a need for the continual evolution and strengthening of legislative frameworks at both federal and state levels. Secondly, the expansion and optimization of recycling infrastructure and programs should be prioritized, coupled with increased public awareness and participation. Thirdly, the adoption of comprehensive EPR programs and the exploration of advanced technologies must be accelerated.

A sustainable future necessitates a paradigm shift in our relationship with plastic. The call to action extends beyond regulatory bodies to industries, businesses, communities, and individuals. Collaborative efforts, innovation, and a commitment to reducing, reusing, and recycling are fundamental to addressing the plastic waste crisis.

In conclusion, this review serves as a stepping stone towards a more informed and proactive approach to plastic waste management in the USA. It is not merely an exploration of the present state but a call for continuous improvement, innovation, and collective responsibility. As the world grapples with the consequences of plastic pollution, the USA has an opportunity to lead by example, forging a path towards a sustainable and resilient future where the impact of plastic on our environment is mitigated, and the health of our planet is safeguarded for generations to come.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Reference

- [1] Abouelkheir, Samia S., Basma A. Elsherbiny, Sabrina Mohamed Moffit, Nairouz H. Mahmoud, Jihan H. Mohamed, Bahaa Abdella, and Mostafa M. El-Sheekh. "Nanobiotechnology: A Sustainable Approach for Marine Environment Bioremediation." In *Industrial Wastewater Reuse: Applications, Prospects and Challenges*, pp. 165-187. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023.
- [2] Adama, H.E., Popoola, O.A., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). Economic Theory and Practical Impacts of Digital Transformation in Supply Chain Optimization. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 6(4), pp.95-107.

- [3] Adefemi, A., Daudu, C.D., Okoli, C.E., Ayorinde, O.B., Adekoya, O.O. and Ibeh, C.V., 2024. Reviewing the impact of LNG technology advancements on global energy markets. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.128-151.
- [4] Adefemi, A., Daudu, C.D., Okoli, C.E., Ayorinde, O.B., Adekoya, O.O., Ibeh, C.V., 2024. Reviewing the development of floating LNG facilities and their global impact. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.152-168.
- [5] Adefemi, A., Ukpoju, E.A., Adekoya, O., Abatan, A., Adegbite, A.O., 2023. Artificial intelligence in environmental health and public safety: A comprehensive review of USA strategies. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 20(3), pp.1420-1434.
- [6] Adegbite, A.O., Nwasike, C.N., Nwaobia, N.K., Gidiagba, J.O., Enabor, O.T., 2023. Modern electric motors: A review of sustainable design and maintenance principles: scrutinizing the latest trends focusing on motor efficiency, sustainability, recyclability. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 20(3), pp.1198-1211.
- [7] Adegoke, T.I., Ofodile, O.C., Ochuba, N.A. and Akinrinola, O., 2024. Data analytics in finance and mortgage: A catalyst for addressing inequities faced by under-reserved populations in the USA. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(2), pp.338-347.
- [8] Adekoya, O.O., Adefemi, A., Tula, O.A., Nwaobia, N.K., Gidiagba, J.O., 2024. Technological innovations in the LNG sector: A review: Assessing recent advancements and their impact on LNG production, transportation, and usage. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), pp.040-057.
- [9] Akande, M., 2023. Sustainable Solution For Plastic Waste Management And Education Campaigns To Mitigate Plastic Consumption And Foster Behavior Change.
- [10] Akpuokwe, C.U., Chikwe, C.F. and Eneh, N.E., 2024. Innovating business practices: The impact of social media on fostering gender equality and empowering women entrepreneurs. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(2), pp.032-043.
- [11] Altassan, A., 2023. Sustainable Integration of Solar Energy, Behavior Change, and Recycling Practices in Educational Institutions: A Holistic Framework for Environmental Conservation and Quality Education. *Sustainability*, 15(20), p.15157.
- [12] Ayorinde, O.B., Daudu, C.D., Etukudoh, E.A., Adefemi, A., Adekoya, O.O., 2024. Climate risk assessment in petroleum operations: A review of CSR practices for sustainable resilience in the United States and Africa. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(2), pp.385-401.
- [13] Babatunde, F.O., Omotayo, A.B., Oluwole, O.I. and Ukoba, K., 2021, April. A Review on Waste-wood Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites for Sustainable Development. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1107, No. 1, p. 012057). IOP Publishing.
- [14] Braunschweiger, D. and Pütz, M., 2021. Climate adaptation in practice: How mainstreaming strategies matter for policy integration. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 31(4), pp.361-373.
- [15] Chasek, P. and Downie, D., 2020. *Global environmental politics*. Routledge.
- [16] Chikwe, C.F., Eneh, N.E. and Akpuokwe, C.U., 2024. Conceptual framework for global protection against technology-enabled violence against women and girls. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(2), pp.279-287.
- [17] Constantino, S.M., Sparkman, G., Kraft-Todd, G.T., Bicchieri, C., Centola, D., Shell-Duncan, B., Vogt, S. and Weber, E.U., 2022. Scaling up change: A critical review and practical guide to harnessing social norms for climate action. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 23(2), pp.50-97.
- [18] Dieterle, C., 2020. The case for environmentally-informed occupational therapy: Clinical and educational applications to promote personal wellness, public health and environmental sustainability. *World Federation of Occupational Therapists Bulletin*, 76(1), pp.32-39.
- [19] Ediae, A.A., Chikwe, C.F. and Kuteesa, K.N., 2024. LEVERAGING AI IN CASE MANAGEMENT FOR VULNERABLE MIGRANTS: A PATH TOWARD ENHANCED RESILIENCE. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(4), pp.985-1007.
- [20] erLaubing, F., Brown, A., Dubois, M. and Börkey, P., 2021. Modulated fees for extended producer responsibility schemes (EPR).

- [21] Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., Igbinenikaro, O. P. (2024). Next-Generation Materials For Space Electronics: A Conceptual Review. *Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 06,(02), 051–062. <https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjet.2024.6.2.0020>.
- [22] Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., Igbinenikaro, O. P. (2024). A Comprehensive Review Of Energy-Efficient Design In Satellite Communication Systems. *International Journal of Engineering Research Updates*. 06,(02), 013–025. <https://doi.org/10.53430/ijeru.2024.6.2.0024>.
- [23] Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., Igbinenikaro, O. P. (2024). Electrical Propulsion Systems For Satellites: A Review Of Current Technologies And Future Prospects. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research*. 06,(02), 035–044. <https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.6.2.0034>.
- [24] Etukudoh, E.A., Adefemi, A., Ilojiyanya, V.I., Umoh, A.A., Ibekwe, K.I., 2024. A Review of sustainable transportation solutions: Innovations, challenges, and future directions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), pp.1440-1452.
- [25] Etukudoh, E.A., Ilojiyanya, V.I., Ayorinde, O.B., Daudu, C.D., Adefemi, A., 2024. Review of climate change impact on water availability in the USA and Africa. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), pp.942-951.
- [26] Etukudoh, E.A., Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Umoh, A.A., Ibekwe, K.I., Ilojiyanya, V.I., 2024. Solar power integration in urban areas: A review of design innovations and efficiency enhancements. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), pp.1383-1394.
- [27] Evode, N., Qamar, S.A., Bilal, M., Barceló, D. and Iqbal, H.M., 2021. Plastic waste and its management strategies for environmental sustainability. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering*, 4, p.100142.
- [28] Ezeigweneme, C.A., Nwasike, C.N., Adefemi, A., Adegbite, A.O., Gidiagba, J.O., 2024. Smart grids in industrial paradigms: A review of progress, benefits, and maintenance implications: analyzing the role of smart grids in predictive maintenance and the. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.1-20.
- [29] Ezeudu, O.B., 2024. Harnessing the Drivers and Barriers to Implementation of Extended Producer Responsibility for Circular Economy in Nigeria. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, pp.1-26.
- [30] Fini, A.A.F. and Forsythe, P., 2020. Barriers to reusing and recycling office fit-out: An exploratory analysis of demolition processes and product features. *Construction Economics and Building*, 20(4), pp.42-62.
- [31] Ghosh, S., Sinha, J.K., Ghosh, S., Vashisth, K., Han, S. and Bhaskar, R., 2023. Microplastics as an emerging threat to the global environment and human health. *Sustainability*, 15(14), p.10821.
- [32] Graham, R.E.D., 2023. Combatting the marine litter crisis in the Windward Islands: examining source-to-sea pathways and fostering multi-scale solutions.
- [33] Haider, M. and Teodoro, M.P., 2021. Environmental Federalism in Indian Country: sovereignty, primacy, and environmental protection. *Policy Studies Journal*, 49(3), pp.887-908.
- [34] Hart, R., 2021. Shifting the Burden of Plastic Bags: A Proposal for a Federal Extended Producer Responsibility Law. *LSU J. Energy L. & Resources*, 9, p.531.
- [35] Huang, J., Veksha, A., Chan, W.P., Giannis, A. and Lisak, G., 2022. Chemical recycling of plastic waste for sustainable material management: A prospective review on catalysts and processes. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 154, p.111866.
- [36] Hwang, J. and Rivera, J., 2020. Leveraging single-use plastic to foster a sustainable community: Empowering Toronto communities to reduce and reuse plastics through the co-creation of pro-environmental initiatives.
- [37] Ibekwe, K.I., Etukudoh, E.A., Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Umoh, A.A., Adefemi, A., 2024. Energy security in the global context: A comprehensive review of geopolitical dynamics and policies. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.152-168.
- [38] Ibekwe, K.I., Umoh, A.A., Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Etukudoh, E.A., Ilojiyanya, V.I., 2024. Energy efficiency in industrial sectors: A review of technologies and policy measures. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.169-184.
- [39] Igbinenikaro, E., & Adewusi, O. A. (2024). Policy Recommendations for Integrating Artificial Intelligence into Global Trade Agreements. *International Journal of Engineering Research Updates*, 06(01), 001-010. <https://doi.org/10.53430/ijeru.2024.6.1.0022>.

- [40] Igbinenikaro, E., and Adewusi, O. A., 2024. Financial Law: Policy Frameworks for Regulating Fintech Innovations: Ensuring Consumer Protection while Fostering Innovation. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, Vol. 6 No. 4 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i4.991>.
- [41] Ilojiana, V.I., Usman, F.O., Ibekwe, K.I., Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Umoh, A.A., 2024. Data-driven energy management: review of practices in Canada, USA, and Africa. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.219-230.
- [42] Jacobs, C., Soulliere, K., Sawyer-Beaulieu, S., Sabzwari, A. and Tam, E., 2022. Challenges to the circular economy: Recovering wastes from simple versus complex products. *Sustainability*, 14(5), p.2576.
- [43] Joos, L. and De Tender, C., 2022. Soil under stress: The importance of soil life and how it is influenced by (micro) plastic pollution. *Computational and structural biotechnology journal*, 20, pp.1554-1566.
- [44] Knickmeyer, D., 2020. Social factors influencing household waste separation: A literature review on good practices to improve the recycling performance of urban areas. *Journal of cleaner production*, 245, p.118605.
- [45] Krauklis, A.E., Karl, C.W., Gagani, A.I. and Jørgensen, J.K., 2021. Composite material recycling technology—state-of-the-art and sustainable development for the 2020s. *Journal of Composites Science*, 5(1), p.28.
- [46] Kumar, R., Verma, A., Shome, A., Sinha, R., Sinha, S., Jha, P.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, P., Shubham, Das, S. and Sharma, P., 2021. Impacts of plastic pollution on ecosystem services, sustainable development goals, and need to focus on circular economy and policy interventions. *Sustainability*, 13(17), p.9963.
- [47] Lubongo, C. and Alexandridis, P., 2022. Assessment of performance and challenges in use of commercial automated sorting technology for plastic waste. *Recycling*, 7(2), p.11.
- [48] Mega, V., 2022. Accountable strategic planning and citizen engagement. In *Human Sustainable Cities: Towards the SDGs and Green, Just, Smart and Inclusive Transitions* (pp. 225-250). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [49] Mehanna, Y.A., Sadler, E., Upton, R.L., Kempchinsky, A.G., Lu, Y. and Crick, C.R., 2021. The challenges, achievements and applications of submersible superhydrophobic materials. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 50(11), pp.6569-6612..
- [50] Merødningen, S.M. and Stokka, H., 2023. *The Value of Management Education-Fostering Sustainability Engagement Among Students* (Master's thesis, Handelshøyskolen BI).
- [51] Mouton, A.J.J. and Roux, A.T., 2023. E-waste recycling and collection in South Africa: views of industry and proposed guidelines to improve the system. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, pp.1-16.
- [52] Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Tula, O.A., Daraojimba, C., Ofonagoro, K.A., Ogunjobi, O.A., Gidiagba, J.O., Egbokhaebho, B.A. and Bansa, A.A., 2023. Exploring innovative material integration in modern manufacturing for advancing us competitiveness in sustainable global economy. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.140-168.
- [53] Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Adefemi, A., Ayorinde, O.B., Ilojiana, V.I., Etukudoh, E.A., 2024. Review of water policy and management: Comparing the USA and Africa. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(2), pp.402-411.
- [54] Nwokediegwu, Z.Q.S., Ilojiana, V.I., Ibekwe, K.I., Adefemi, A., Etukudoh, E.A., 2024. Advanced materials for sustainable construction: A review of innovations and environmental benefits. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.201-218.
- [55] Ochuba, N.A., Adewunmi, A. and Olutimehin, D.O., 2024. THE ROLE OF AI IN FINANCIAL MARKET DEVELOPMENT: ENHANCING EFFICIENCY AND ACCESSIBILITY IN EMERGING ECONOMIES. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(3), pp.421-436.
- [56] Ochuba, N.A., Okafor, E.S., Akinrinola, O., Usman, F.O. and Amoo, O.O., 2024. Strategic partnerships in the satellite and telecommunications sectors: a conceptual review of data analytics-enabled identification and capitalization of synergies. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(3), pp.716-727.
- [57] Ochuba, N.A., Olutimehin, D.O., Odunaiya, O.G. and Soyombo, O.T., 2024. SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS MODELS IN SATELLITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(3), pp.1047-1059.
- [58] Odili, P.O., Daudu, C.D., Adefemi, A., Ekemezie, I.O., Usiagu, G.S., 2024. Integrating advanced technologies in corrosion and inspection management for oil and gas operations. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(2), pp.597-611.
- [59] Okeke, E.S., Enochoghene, A., Ezeudoka, C.B., Kaka, S.D., Chen, Y., Mao, G., Eze, C.T., Feng, W. and Wu, X., 2023. A review of heavy metal risks around e-waste sites and comparable municipal dumpsites in major African cities: Recommendations and future perspectives. *Toxicology*, p.153711.

- [60] Okpokoro, E., Okwor, U., Osa-Afiana, C., Odonoye, G., Bada, F., Igbinomwanhia, V., Adetiba, T., Abdurrahman, S., Nubwa, M., Lesosky, M. and Abimiku, A.L., 2022. Tuberculosis Infection Control Practice among Antiretroviral (ART) Clinics in North Central Nigeria. *Safety and Health at Work*, 13, p.S108.
- [61] Oluwadipe, S., Garelick, H., McCarthy, S. and Purchase, D., 2022. A critical review of household recycling barriers in the United Kingdom. *Waste Management & Research*, 40(7), pp.905-918.
- [62] Oriekhoe, O.I., Omotoye, G.B., Oyeyemi, O.P., Tula, S.T., Daraojimba, A.I., 2024. Blockchain in supply chain management: A systematic review: Evaluating the implementation, challenges, and future prospects of blockchain technology in supply chains. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.128-151.
- [63] Oriekhoe, O.I., Oyeyemi, O.P., Bello, B.G., Omotoye, G.B., Daraojimba, A.I., 2024. Blockchain in supply chain management: A review of efficiency, transparency, and innovation. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.219-230.
- [64] Pandit, A., Nakagawa, Y., Timilsina, R.R., Kotani, K. and Saijo, T., 2021. Taking the perspectives of future generations as an effective method for achieving sustainable waste management. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 27, pp.1526-1536.
- [65] Pani, S.K. and Pathak, A.A., 2021. Managing plastic packaging waste in emerging economies: the case of EPR in India. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 288, p.112405.
- [66] Popoola, O.A., Adama, H.E., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). The Strategic Value of Business Analysts in Enhancing Organizational Efficiency and Operations. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(4), pp.1288-1303.
- [67] Popoola, O.A., Adama, H.E., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). Advancements and Innovations in Requirements Elicitation: Developing a Comprehensive Conceptual Model.
- [68] Popoola, O.A., Adama, H.E., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). Conceptualizing Agile Development in Digital Transformations: Theoretical Foundations and Practical Applications. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(4), pp.1524-1541.
- [69] Qi, R., Jones, D.L., Li, Z., Liu, Q. and Yan, C., 2020. Behavior of microplastics and plastic film residues in the soil environment: A critical review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 703, p.134722.
- [70] Qutb, S.M. and Samer, S., A sustainable solid waste management framework for Egyptian cities based on synthesis the integrated solid waste management approach and urban environmental governance tool.
- [71] Ramasubramanian, B., Tan, J., Chellappan, V. and Ramakrishna, S., 2023. Recent Advances in Extended Producer Responsibility Initiatives for Plastic Waste Management in Germany and UK. *Materials Circular Economy*, 5(1), p.6.
- [72] Rane, N., 2023. Roles and challenges of ChatGPT and similar generative artificial intelligence for achieving the sustainable development goals (SDGs). *Available at SSRN 4603244*.
- [73] Rangel-Buitrago, N., Neal, W.J. and Galgani, F., 2023. Plastics in the Anthropocene: A multifaceted approach to marine pollution management. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 194, p.115359.
- [74] Sandu, C., Takacs, E., Suaria, G., Borgogno, F., Laforsch, C., Löder, M.M., Tweehuysen, G. and Florea, L., 2020. Society role in the reduction of plastic pollution. In *Plastics in the Aquatic Environment-Part II: Stakeholders' Role Against Pollution* (pp. 39-65). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [75] Sharma, H.B., Vanapalli, K.R., Samal, B., Cheela, V.S., Dubey, B.K. and Bhattacharya, J., 2021. Circular economy approach in solid waste management system to achieve UN-SDGs: Solutions for post-COVID recovery. *Science of The Total Environment*, 800, p.149605.
- [76] Tekman, M.B., Walther, B., Peter, C., Gutow, L. and Bergmann, M., 2022. *Impacts of plastic pollution in the oceans on marine species, biodiversity and ecosystems*. WWW Germany.
- [77] Tonini, D., Albizzati, P.F., Caro, D., De Meester, S., Garbarino, E. and Blengini, G.A., 2022. Quality of recycling: Urgent and undefined. *Waste Management*, 146, pp.11-19.
- [78] Triantafyllidou, E. and Zabaniotou, A., 2021. Digital technology and social innovation promoting a green citizenship: development of the “go sustainable living” digital application. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, pp.1-24.

- [79] Udo, W.S., Ochuba, N.A., Akinrinola, O. and Ololade, Y.J., 2024. Theoretical approaches to data analytics and decision-making in finance: Insights from Africa and the United States. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 18(3), pp.343-349.
- [80] Udo, W.S., Ochuba, N.A., Akinrinola, O. and Ololade, Y.J., 2024. The role of theoretical models in IoT-based irrigation systems: A Comparative Study of African and US Agricultural Strategies for Water Scarcity Management. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(2), pp.600-606.
- [81] Umoh, A.A., Adefemi, A., Ibekwe, K.I., Etukudoh, E.A., Ilojiana, V.I., 2024. Green architecture and energy efficiency: a review of innovative design and construction techniques. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(1), pp.185-200.
- [82] Usiagu, G.S., Adefemi, A., Okoli, C.E., Dauda, C.D., Olu-Lawal, K.A., 2024. Simulation techniques in industrial engineering: A USA and African perspective review. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(1), pp.265-272.
- [83] Uzougbo, N.S., Akagha, O.V., Coker, J.O., Bakare, S.S. and Ijiga, A.C., 2023. Effective strategies for resolving labour disputes in the corporate sector: Lessons from Nigeria and the United States.
- [84] Wang, S., 2023. International law-making process of combating plastic pollution: status quo, debates and prospects. *Marine Policy*, 147, p.105376.
- [85] Zamfir, F.E., Lupulescu, G.M.G., Ciuciuc, V.E. and Rusu, M., 2023. Delving into Stakeholders' Perceptions of the Efficient Transition to the Circular Economy. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 14(4), pp.626-657.
- [86] Zimmermann, R., 2021. The World Health Organization as actor in international environmental law? An analysis by example of the global waste challenge. *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, 30(3), pp.363-374