World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Advanced risk management models for supply chain finance

Uzoma Okwudili Nnaji [1,*], Lucky Bamidele Benjamin [2], Nsisong Louis Eyo-Udo [3] and Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh [4]

[1] *Livingstone Integrated Technology Limited, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, London, United Kingdom.*
[3] *Ulster University, United Kingdom.*
[4] *Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(02), 612–618

Publication history: Received on 02 April 2024; revised on 07 May 2024; accepted on 10 May 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.2.1444

Abstract

This review paper delves into the transformative potential of advanced risk management models in enhancing the resilience and efficiency of supply chain finance (SCF). By examining the application and development of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Big Data analytics, and blockchain technology, the paper highlights their role in transitioning from traditional reactive strategies to proactive and predictive risk management approaches. Despite the promising advantages, the paper also addresses the significant implementation challenges, model limitations, and regulatory and ethical considerations accompanying these technological advancements. Recommendations for effective deployment and areas for future research, particularly in overcoming existing hurdles and exploring emerging technologies, are also discussed. This comprehensive analysis aims to guide academics, industry professionals, and policymakers in harnessing advanced risk management models for a more robust SCF ecosystem.

Keywords: Supply Chain Finance; Risk Management; Artificial Intelligence; Blockchain Technology; Big Data Analytics; Predictive Models

1. Introduction

Supply Chain Finance has evolved significantly from its origins, transitioning from basic trade finance instruments to sophisticated financing solutions that optimize working capital and enhance liquidity across the supply chain (Caniato, Henke, & Zsidisin, 2019; Gelsomino, Mangiaracina, Perego, & Tumino, 2016; Hofmann, 2011). Traditionally, SCF has focused on strategies that mitigate risks related to payment delays, supplier bankruptcy, and currency fluctuations. However, as supply chains have expanded globally, they have become more susceptible to various risks, including geopolitical tensions, environmental disasters, and pandemics, highlighting the need for more advanced risk management approaches (Hofmann, 2011; Tate, Bals, & Ellram, 2018).

The role of risk management in SCF has thus transitioned from reactive measures to proactive and predictive modelling, aiming to anticipate disruptions and mitigate their impacts before they occur. This shift has been facilitated by advancements in data analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain technology, which offer new opportunities to model and manage supply chain risks more effectively (Baryannis, Validi, Dani, & Antoniou, 2019; Ganesh & Kalpana, 2022; Modgil, Singh, & Hannibal, 2022).

This research holds critical importance for a broad spectrum of stakeholders, including academics, industry professionals, and policymakers. For academics, it provides a foundation for further exploration into advanced risk management techniques and their theoretical underpinnings. Industry professionals can gain insights into the practical

* Corresponding author: Uzoma Okwudili Nnaji

applications of these models, enhancing their ability to safeguard against financial risks in their supply chains. On the other hand, policymakers can understand the implications of these advancements for regulatory frameworks and economic stability.

Given the increasing volatility and uncertainty in global trade environments, the study is particularly timely and relevant. As supply chains face unprecedented challenges, from climate change to political instability, robust risk management models have become paramount to ensure financial resilience and sustainability. This research aims to delve into the development and application of advanced risk management models within the domain of supply chain finance (SCF). As global supply chains become increasingly complex and interconnected, the need for sophisticated risk management strategies that can predict, mitigate, and manage financial risks effectively has never been more critical. This paper seeks to explore how cutting-edge risk management models, leveraging advancements in technology and data analytics, can enhance the resilience and efficiency of supply chain finance operations.

This paper will focus on several key areas of advanced risk management models for supply chain finance. Firstly, it will examine the theoretical frameworks that underlie these models, including financial theory, supply chain dynamics, and risk management principles. It will then explore the range of advanced models being developed and applied in SCF, such as predictive analytics, machine learning algorithms, and blockchain-based solutions. The discussion will cover the technical aspects of these models and their practical implications for risk assessment, mitigation strategies, and decision-making processes in SCF.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theoretical Foundations

The landscape of risk management in supply chain finance is underpinned by various theoretical foundations that span across finance, supply chain management, and risk analysis disciplines. One of the core theories is the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which offers valuable insights into diversifying financial risks across the supply chain despite its original application to investment portfolios. MPT's correlation and risk pooling principles can be adapted to manage and mitigate supplier risk, suggesting that a diversified supplier base can reduce the overall risk to the supply chain.

Another foundational theory is the Principal-Agent Theory, which examines the relationships and conflicts of interest between different parties in SCF, such as suppliers, buyers, and financiers. This theory highlights the importance of information asymmetry and incentive mechanisms in managing risks associated with SCF transactions (De Boer et al., 2017; Dekkers et al., 2020).

Credit risk models, such as the Altman Z-score and the Merton model, have also been adapted for SCF to assess supply chain partners' financial health and default risk. While these models provide a quantitative approach to risk assessment, their limitations include the reliance on historical financial data, which may not accurately predict future risk in the dynamic supply chain environment (Koulafetis, 2017; Lin, 2007).

2.2. Recent Advances

In recent years, the advent of digital technologies has led to significant advancements in risk management models for SCF. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) algorithms have emerged as powerful tools for predicting supply chain disruptions and financial instabilities by analyzing large volumes of data from diverse sources, including market trends, geopolitical events, and social media sentiments.

Blockchain technology has also been recognized for its potential to enhance transparency and security in SCF transactions (Rijanto, 2021). By enabling immutable and transparent record-keeping, blockchain can reduce fraud risks and improve trust among supply chain participants. Another noteworthy advance is the use of Big Data analytics in risk assessment, which allows for the real-time monitoring of supply chain activities and the early detection of potential risks. These technologies can potentially move SCF risk management from reactive to proactive, identifying risks before they manifest into financial losses (Aljohani, 2023; Araz, Choi, Olson, & Salman, 2020; Mani, Delgado, Hazen, & Patel, 2017).

Despite these advancements, several gaps remain in the current knowledge and literature on risk management in SCF. Firstly, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks integrating various advanced risk management models, leaving a fragmented landscape challenging for practitioners to navigate. Moreover, most existing research focuses on applying new technologies in isolation without adequately addressing their integration into traditional SCF processes and

systems. There is also a scarcity of empirical research on the effectiveness of these advanced models in actual SCF settings, making it difficult to assess their practical impact and scalability (Andersen, Dilling-Hansen, & Hansen, 2022; Rebelo, Pereira, & Queiroz, 2022).

Another significant gap is underestimating the human and organizational aspects of implementing advanced risk management solutions in SCF. Factors such as resistance to change, lack of skills, and organizational culture are often overlooked. However, they play a crucial role in successfully adopting these technologies. Finally, the ethical and regulatory implications of deploying AI and Big Data analytics in SCF risk management remain insufficiently explored. Data privacy, bias in algorithmic decision-making, and regulatory compliance pose challenges that must be addressed to ensure the responsible and effective use of advanced technologies in SCF (Curtis & White, 2002; Smollan, 2011).

In summary, while recent technological advances offer promising opportunities for enhancing risk management in SCF, significant gaps in knowledge and practice remain. Further research is needed to develop integrated models that leverage these technologies effectively, assess their practical impact, and navigate the ethical and regulatory challenges they present.

3. Advanced Risk Management Models

3.1. Overview of Advanced Models

In the evolving landscape of supply chain finance, advanced risk management models leveraging Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Big Data analytics, and blockchain technology are increasingly becoming pivotal. These technologies are not just supplemental tools but are transforming how risks are identified, assessed, and mitigated in SCF (Jagatheesaperumal, Rahouti, Ahmad, Al-Fuqaha, & Guizani, 2021; Li, Han, Crespi, Minerva, & Sun, 2021; Strielkowski, Vlasov, Selivanov, Muraviev, & Shakhnov, 2023).

- Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: AI and ML algorithms are at the forefront of predicting potential disruptions by analyzing patterns in vast datasets that traditional models cannot process efficiently. With remarkable accuracy, these models can learn from historical data to forecast future risks, such as supplier default or market volatility.
- Big Data Analytics: Big Data analytics harnesses the power of large and complex datasets to provide real-time insights into supply chain dynamics. This technology enables the detection of subtle trends and patterns, offering early warnings of potential risks that would be invisible to conventional analysis methods.
- Blockchain Technology: Blockchain stands out for its ability to enhance transparency and security in SCF transactions. By facilitating immutable records of transactions, blockchain technology can significantly reduce fraud risk and improve trust among participants in the supply chain.

These advanced models bring about a paradigm shift in risk management by enabling proactive and predictive risk management strategies, as opposed to the reactive stance inherent in traditional models.

3.2. Model Development and Frameworks

The development of these advanced models rests on conceptual frameworks that integrate finance, supply chain management, and technology. These frameworks aim to harness the predictive power of AI and ML, the analytical capabilities of Big Data, and the security features of blockchain to create a holistic risk management approach (Aljohani, 2023; Baryannis, Dani, & Antoniou, 2019; Shah, Gardas, Narwane, & Mehta, 2023).

- Predictive Risk Modeling: AI and ML models are developed on the framework of predictive analytics, where historical data on supply chain disruptions, financial performance, and market conditions are used to train algorithms. These algorithms then predict future risks by identifying patterns and anomalies in the data.
- Real-time Risk Assessment: Big Data analytics are framed around continuous monitoring and analysis. These models provide a real-time view of the supply chain by tapping into diverse data streams, from transaction records to social media feeds, enabling immediate risk assessment and response.
- Decentralized Risk Management: Blockchain technology introduces a decentralized framework for risk management, where trust is built on transparent and verifiable transactions rather than central authority. This framework significantly reduces counterparty risks and enhances collaboration across the supply chain.

3.3. Comparison with Traditional Models

Traditional risk management models in SCF, such as credit scoring and financial ratio analysis, have provided solid foundations for assessing financial risks. However, they often rely on historical financial data and static risk assessments, limiting their ability to adapt to the dynamic nature of global supply chains.

Advanced models bring several improvements over traditional approaches:

- Efficiency: AI and ML algorithms can process and analyze data at a scale and speed unattainable for traditional models, leading to more efficient risk assessment and management.
- Accuracy: The predictive capabilities of advanced models can forecast potential risks with higher accuracy by learning from a broader range of data sources, including non-financial data that traditional models typically overlook.
- Reliability: Blockchain technology enhances the reliability of SCF transactions through its immutable ledger, reducing the risk of fraud and errors compared to traditional paper-based processes.

In conclusion, advanced risk management models offer advantages over traditional approaches by providing more accurate, efficient, and reliable tools for managing risks in supply chain finance. Their ability to leverage real-time data, predictive analytics, and secure transactions paves the way for a more resilient and transparent supply chain ecosystem.

4. Challenges and Limitations

4.1. Implementation Challenges

The adoption of advanced risk management models in supply chain finance is not without its hurdles. Key among these is the requirement for substantial technological infrastructure. Deploying AI, machine learning, big data analytics, and blockchain technologies demands robust and scalable IT systems, which can be a significant barrier for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) with limited resources.

Data privacy and security are also paramount concerns. The use of extensive datasets, especially in models relying on big data analytics and AI, raises questions about protecting sensitive information. Ensuring the confidentiality and integrity of this data while also making it accessible for risk analysis presents a complex challenge (Xu, Jiang, Wang, Yuan, & Ren, 2014).

Furthermore, the integration of advanced models into existing SCF systems can be fraught with compatibility issues. Legacy systems may not easily accommodate new technologies, necessitating costly upgrades or overhauls. There is also the human factor – the need for skilled personnel to manage and interpret the output of these advanced models. This talent gap can hinder effective implementation and maximize the value derived from these technologies (Seacord, Plakosh, & Lewis, 2003).

4.2. Model Limitations

While advanced risk management models offer substantial benefits, they are not without limitations. One such limitation is the potential for over-reliance on technological solutions. There is a risk that users may become complacent, trusting algorithms without fully understanding their workings or limitations. This could lead to oversight of critical risks that the model may not have been trained to detect.

Model obsolescence is another concern. The rapid pace of technological change means that today's cutting-edge models may quickly become outdated. Continuous investment in technology updates and training is required to maintain the efficacy of risk management strategies. Additionally, these models rely heavily on historical data to predict future risks. However, in a fast-evolving global landscape, past events may not always be reliable indicators of future occurrences, potentially leading to inaccurate risk assessments (Chia, Keoh, Goh, & Johnson, 2022).

4.3. Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

Implementing advanced risk management models in SCF also encounters regulatory and ethical considerations. Regulatory compliance is a moving target, with laws and regulations around data use and financial practices constantly evolving. Ensuring that these models comply with international regulations, such as GDPR for data protection, adds another layer of complexity to their deployment.

Ethical considerations are equally important. Using AI and machine learning raises questions about bias in algorithmic decision-making. Models trained on historical data may inadvertently perpetuate existing biases, leading to unfair or unethical outcomes. Addressing these biases requires transparency in model development and an ethical framework for AI use in financial applications. Moreover, the democratization of technology poses another ethical challenge. Ensuring that these advancements benefit all participants in the supply chain, not just large corporations with the resources to invest in advanced technologies, is crucial for maintaining fairness and equity in SCF practices (McCradden, Joshi, Mazwi, & Anderson, 2020; Rodgers, Murray, Stefanidis, Degbey, & Tarba, 2023).

5. Conclusion

Exploring advanced risk management models in supply chain finance has illuminated their transformative potential in enhancing global supply chains' resilience, efficiency, and transparency. Through the application of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Big Data analytics, and blockchain technology, these models offer a paradigm shift from traditional reactive risk management to proactive and predictive strategies. They enable identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks with unprecedented accuracy and speed, thereby minimizing financial losses and facilitating smoother supply chain operations.

Despite their promise, deploying these advanced models is not without challenges. Technological, regulatory, and ethical hurdles must be navigated to realize their full potential. Moreover, the rapid pace of technological evolution necessitates continuous adaptation to maintain the relevance and efficacy of these models.

Practical Implications

Adopting advanced risk management models in SCF signifies a move towards more data-driven and informed decision-making processes for businesses. These models can significantly reduce the vulnerability of supply chains to disruptions, thereby safeguarding against financial instabilities.

Financial institutions stand to benefit from improved risk assessment capabilities, which can lead to more accurate pricing of SCF products and a reduction in credit losses. This enhanced risk management can foster trust and collaboration among supply chain participants.

Policymakers are tasked with developing and updating regulatory frameworks that accommodate these technological advances while ensuring data privacy, security, and ethical use of AI and big data. This balancing act is essential for nurturing innovation while protecting the interests of all stakeholders in the SCF ecosystem.

Recommendations

To effectively implement and utilize advanced risk management models in SCF, it is recommended that:

- Businesses invest in the necessary technological infrastructure and talent development to leverage these advanced models fully.
- Continuous training and education programs should be established to bridge the skills gap and foster an understanding of these technologies among SCF professionals.
- Regulatory bodies and industry associations collaborate to develop standardized guidelines for using AI and big data ethically in SCF.
- A holistic approach to risk management can be adopted, integrating advanced models with traditional strategies to ensure a comprehensive risk management framework.

Future research should address advanced risk management models' current limitations and challenges. This includes developing more robust models that can adapt to the rapidly changing global environment and mitigate risks arising from model obsolescence and over-reliance on historical data.

Investigating the ethical implications of AI and big data in SCF and establishing frameworks to prevent bias and ensure fair and equitable outcomes is another critical area of future research. Additionally, exploring the potential of emerging technologies, such as quantum computing and the Internet of Things (IoT), could further revolutionize risk management in SCF.

In conclusion, advanced risk management models offer a promising pathway towards more resilient and efficient supply chains. However, realizing this potential requires concerted efforts across the SCF ecosystem to address the

technological, regulatory, and ethical challenges. Through continuous innovation, collaboration, and research, the future of SCF can be shaped to meet the demands of an increasingly complex and volatile global market.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Aljohani, A. (2023). Predictive analytics and machine learning for real-time supply chain risk mitigation and agility. *Sustainability, 15*(20), 15088.

[2] Andersen, M. M., Dilling-Hansen, R., & Hansen, A. V. (2022). Expanding the concept of social impact bonds. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship, 13*(3), 390-407.

[3] Araz, O. M., Choi, T. M., Olson, D. L., & Salman, F. S. (2020). Role of analytics for operational risk management in the era of big data. *Decision Sciences, 51*(6), 1320-1346.

[4] Baryannis, G., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2019). Predicting supply chain risks using machine learning: The trade-off between performance and interpretability. *Future Generation Computer Systems, 101*, 993-1004.

[5] Baryannis, G., Validi, S., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2019). Supply chain risk management and artificial intelligence: state of the art and future research directions. *International Journal of Production Research, 57*(7), 2179-2202.

[6] Caniato, F., Henke, M., & Zsidisin, G. A. (2019). Supply chain finance: historical foundations, current research, future developments. In (Vol. 25, pp. 99-104): Elsevier.

[7] Chia, W. M. D., Keoh, S. L., Goh, C., & Johnson, C. (2022). Risk assessment methodologies for autonomous driving: A survey. *IEEE transactions on intelligent transportation systems, 23*(10), 16923-16939.

[8] Curtis, E., & White, P. (2002). Resistance to change: causes and solutions. *Nursing Management (through 2013), 8*(10), 15.

[9] De Boer, R., Dekkers, R., Gelsomino, L., de Goeij, C., Zhou, M. S. Q., Sinclair, S., & Souter, V. (2017). *Towards A Theory Of Supply Chain And Finance Using Evidence From A Scottish Focus Group.* Paper presented at the 24th International Conference on Production Research, Poznan. Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the conference eISSN.

[10] Dekkers, R., de Boer, R., Gelsomino, L. M., de Goeij, C., Steeman, M., Zhou, Q., . . . Souter, V. (2020). Evaluating theoretical conceptualisations for supply chain and finance integration: a Scottish focus group. *International Journal of Production Economics, 220*, 107451.

[11] Ganesh, A. D., & Kalpana, P. (2022). Future of artificial intelligence and its influence on supply chain risk management–A systematic review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 169*, 108206.

[12] Gelsomino, L. M., Mangiaracina, R., Perego, A., & Tumino, A. (2016). Supply chain finance: a literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 46*(4).

[13] Hofmann, E. (2011). *Supply chain finance solutions*: Springer.

[14] Jagatheesaperumal, S. K., Rahouti, M., Ahmad, K., Al-Fuqaha, A., & Guizani, M. (2021). The duo of artificial intelligence and big data for industry 4.0: Applications, techniques, challenges, and future research directions. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 9*(15), 12861-12885.

[15] Koulafetis, P. (2017). *Modern credit risk management: Theory and practice*: Springer.

[16] Li, D., Han, D., Crespi, N., Minerva, R., & Sun, Z. (2021). Fabric-scf: A blockchain-based secure storage and access control scheme for supply chain finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13538*.

[17] Lin, S.-M. (2007). SMEs credit risk modelling for internal rating based approach in banking implementation of Basel II requirement.

[18] Mani, V., Delgado, C., Hazen, B. T., & Patel, P. (2017). Mitigating supply chain risk via sustainability using big data analytics: Evidence from the manufacturing supply chain. *Sustainability, 9*(4), 608.

[19] McCradden, M. D., Joshi, S., Mazwi, M., & Anderson, J. A. (2020). Ethical limitations of algorithmic fairness solutions in health care machine learning. *The Lancet Digital Health, 2*(5), e221-e223.

[20] Modgil, S., Singh, R. K., & Hannibal, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence for supply chain resilience: learning from Covid-19. *The International Journal of Logistics Management, 33*(4), 1246-1268.

[21] Rebelo, R. M. L., Pereira, S. C. F., & Queiroz, M. M. (2022). The interplay between the Internet of things and supply chain management: Challenges and opportunities based on a systematic literature review. *Benchmarking: An International Journal, 29*(2), 683-711.

[22] Rijanto, A. (2021). Blockchain technology adoption in supply chain finance. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 16*(7), 3078-3098.

[23] Rodgers, W., Murray, J. M., Stefanidis, A., Degbey, W. Y., & Tarba, S. Y. (2023). An artificial intelligence algorithmic approach to ethical decision-making in human resource management processes. *Human Resource Management Review, 33*(1), 100925.

[24] Seacord, R. C., Plakosh, D., & Lewis, G. A. (2003). *Modernizing legacy systems: software technologies, engineering processes, and business practices*: Addison-Wesley Professional.

[25] Shah, H. M., Gardas, B. B., Narwane, V. S., & Mehta, H. S. (2023). The contemporary state of big data analytics and artificial intelligence towards intelligent supply chain risk management: a comprehensive review. *Kybernetes, 52*(5), 1643-1697.

[26] Smollan, R. K. (2011). The multi-dimensional nature of resistance to change. *Journal of Management & Organization, 17*(6), 828-849.

[27] Strielkowski, W., Vlasov, A., Selivanov, K., Muraviev, K., & Shakhnov, V. (2023). Prospects and challenges of the machine learning and data-driven methods for the predictive analysis of power systems: A review. *Energies, 16*(10), 4025.

[28] Tate, W., Bals, L., & Ellram, L. (2018). *Supply chain finance: Risk management, resilience and supplier management*: Kogan Page Publishers.

[29] Xu, L., Jiang, C., Wang, J., Yuan, J., & Ren, Y. (2014). Information security in big data: privacy and data mining. *Ieee Access, 2*, 1149-1176.