

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

	WJARR	el55N:2501-9615 CODEN (UBA): HUARAI
	W	JARR
	World Journal of	
	Advanced	
	Research and	
	Reviews	
		World Journal Series INDIA
Check for updates		

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Namita Kumari *

SPM College, University of Delhi, India.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(01), 116-123

Publication history: Received on 22 February 2024; revised on 31 March 2024; accepted on 03 April 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1040

Abstract

Gender equity in education remains a political issue in the USA. There is evidence to suggest that disparities persist across multiple dimensions of the educational landscape. This comprehensive research paper examines the complex interplay of historical, cultural, and institutional factors that shape gender dynamics in American education.

The paper traces the arduous journey towards gender parity in education, highlighting landmark legal and policy developments while critiquing their uneven implementation. It delves into contemporary data, revealing persistent enrollment and achievement gaps, underrepresentation in specific fields like STEM, and the dearth of women in leadership roles. Interrogating the root causes, the research explores the intricate ways socioeconomic status, cultural stereotypes, institutional biases, and lack of role models converge to disadvantage girls and women. Moreover, the work investigates how gender socialization and stereotypes permeate educational settings, influencing teaching practices, curricula, and interpersonal interactions. It exposes manifestations of gender-based discrimination, harassment, and violence, analysing their prevalence, institutional responses, and long-term consequences on victims' personal and academic development. The paper culminates by proposing a multifaceted approach to promoting gender equity, encompassing institutional reforms, community-based initiatives, and strategies to address systemic inequalities. It calls for inclusive curricula, educator training, mentorship programs, family engagement, and collaborative efforts to dismantle deeply entrenched biases and create empowering educational environments for all genders.

Keywords: Politics; Education; USA; Gender

1. Introduction

Gender equality in education has been a long-standing political issue in the United States. Gender differences in education were deeply rooted in the historical and socio-cultural context of the country. Despite significant progress towards equality, gender inequalities continue to permeate various aspects of the education system, manifesting in complex and nuanced ways. This in-depth research paper examines the complex dynamics of gender equality in American education, unpacking the myriad forces that shape individual experiences and outcomes across gender lines.

There is a historical background of inequality and also the difficult journey to remove systemic barriers. The current landscape also shows persistent disparities in enrollment and achievement, underrepresentation in specific areas, and the interplay of socioeconomic, cultural, and institutional factors that contribute to these disparities.

Therefore, there is also a need to investigate the profound impact of gender socialization and stereotypes that permeate the educational environment and deeply affect students' sense of self, academic pursuits and interpersonal dynamics. The general issue of sexual violence and bullying in schools, and an examination of its prevalence, institutional responses and far-reaching consequences for victims' personal and educational development are also pertinent.

^{*} Corresponding author: Namita Kumari

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

2. Historical Context and Legal Frameworks

Gender discrimination in education has been a pervasive issue throughout the history of the United States. Before the 19th century, educational opportunities for women in the United States were extremely limited. Higher education was largely reserved for men, and the few institutions that did admit women, such as Oberlin College in 1837, faced significant backlash and criticism.

In the 1800s, as the women's rights movement gained momentum, pioneers like Emma Willard, Catharine Beecher, and Mary Lyon began advocating for improved educational access for women. They established some of the earliest female seminaries and women's colleges, such as Troy Female Seminary (1821), Hartford Female Seminary (1823), and Mount Holyoke Female Seminary (1837).

However, even with the establishment of these institutions, significant barriers remained. Many feared that rigorous education would undermine women's traditional roles as wives and mothers or lead to adverse health effects. Curricula at female seminaries often emphasized "ornamental" subjects like music and needlework over academic pursuits. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, the growth of public high schools and the land-grant university system improved access to secondary and higher education for women. However, gender discrimination persisted in areas like admissions quotas, restricted course offerings, and vocational tracking of female students into "appropriate" fields like teaching and nursing.

It wasn't until the mid-20th century civil rights era that more substantial legal and policy efforts were made to combat gender discrimination in education. Key milestones include:

- The 1954 Brown v. Board of Education Supreme Court ruling, while focused on racial segregation, set important precedents for challenging systemic discrimination.
- Executive Order 11246 (1965) prohibited federal contractors from discriminating based on sex, among other categories.
- The Congressional Hearings on Sex Discrimination in Education (1970) revealed widespread discrimination in public schools, universities, and professional schools.
- Title IX of the Education Amendments (1972) prohibited sex discrimination in any education program receiving federal funds, though its application has faced ongoing debate. Title IX significantly expanded educational opportunities for women and girls, leading to increased participation in sports, STEM fields, and higher education.
- The Women's Educational Equity Act (1974) provided funding and support for dismantling gender biases in curricula, testing, counselling, and teaching.

Despite these advances, challenges like sexual harassment, admissions biases, unequal sports/extracurricular funding, and underrepresentation of women faculty in STEM fields have persisted. Intersections with race, class, disability and other factors have compounded discrimination for some groups. The history reveals an ongoing struggle to dismantle deeply-rooted systemic biases.

3. Gender Disparities in Educational Access and Outcomes in the USA

The landscape of education in the USA has undergone significant transformations in recent decades. While girls and women have made remarkable strides in educational attainment, gender disparities persist across various levels and aspects of the educational system.

3.1. Enrollment and Achievement Gaps Across Educational Levels

Despite progress, enrollment and achievement gaps continue to exist across educational levels in the USA. Here's a breakdown of some key areas:

Elementary and Secondary Education: While girls generally outperform boys in reading and writing at the elementary level ([NCES, 2022]), a small but persistent math achievement gap favours boys ([Reardon et al., 2020]). These gaps can widen over time, with girls facing lower enrollment rates in advanced math and science courses in high school ([Astin & Sax, 1995]).

Higher Education: While women now outpace men in earning bachelor's degrees ([NCES, 2022]), enrollment disparities persist in certain fields, particularly STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics). A 2019 report by the American Association of University Women (AAUW) found that women earned only 21% of bachelor's degrees in engineering and 22% in computer science ([AAUW, 2019]).

Graduate Education: Although women represent a majority of master's degree holders, their representation in doctoral programs remains lower, particularly in STEM fields ([NSF, 2022]).

3.2. Underrepresentation in Specific Fields (STEM, Leadership Roles)

Beyond enrollment disparities, a significant concern lies in the underrepresentation of women in specific fields and leadership positions.

STEM Fields: Despite growing female enrollment in higher education, women remain significantly underrepresented in STEM careers. According to the National Science Foundation (NSF), women comprised only 28% of the STEM workforce in 2021 ([NSF, 2022]). Factors like implicit bias, lack of female role models, and a culture that can be perceived as unwelcoming contribute to this underrepresentation ([Hill et al., 2010]).

Leadership Roles: Women are also underrepresented in leadership positions across various sectors. A 2022 report by McKinsey & Company found that women held only 27% of leadership roles globally, with the USA faring no better ([Mc Kinsey & Company, 2022]). In educational institutions, women are less likely to hold leadership positions like department chairs or college presidents ([AERA, 2018]).

3.3. Factors Contributing to Gender-Based Disparities

Understanding the root causes of these disparities is crucial for crafting effective interventions. Here's a closer look at some key contributing factors:

Socioeconomic Status: Socioeconomic disadvantage impacts both boys and girls, limiting access to quality educational resources and extracurricular activities that can enhance academic achievement. However, research suggests that socioeconomic disparities can have a greater negative impact on girls' educational opportunities ([UNESCO, 2017]).

Cultural Stereotypes: Gender stereotypes about girls' abilities in math and science can discourage them from pursuing these subjects ([Else-Quest et al., 2013]). Additionally, cultural norms that emphasize domesticity for girls can conflict with academic aspirations.

Institutional Biases: Implicit biases within educational institutions can disadvantage girls. These biases can manifest in teacher-student interactions, curriculum design, and guidance counselling ([Burgess, 2020]).

Lack of Role Models: The limited presence of women in STEM fields and leadership positions can create a sense of "imposter syndrome" for girls, hindering their confidence and aspirations ([Turchik et al., 2017]).

4. Gender Socialization and Stereotypes in Educational Settings in the USA

Gender socialization begins early in life and continues throughout individuals' educational journeys, profoundly impacting their experiences and opportunities within educational settings. Gender stereotypes, and ingrained societal beliefs about the roles and behaviours expected of males and females, permeate classrooms, curricula, and interactions among students and educators. Understanding the influence of gender norms and stereotypes in educational contexts is crucial for promoting gender equity and fostering inclusive learning environments.

4.1. Influence of Gender Norms and Stereotypes on Student Experiences:

Gender norms and stereotypes shape students' academic pursuits, self-perceptions, and interactions within educational settings. Research indicates that boys and girls are socialized differently from a young age, with boys encouraged to be assertive, competitive, and academically confident, while girls are often praised for compliance, nurturance, and interpersonal skills (Pomerantz & Ruble, 1998). These gendered expectations can influence students' self-concept, academic engagement, and career aspirations. For example, girls may internalize stereotypes suggesting that they are less capable in math and science, leading to lower confidence and participation in STEM fields (Hyde et al., 1990).

4.2. Gendered Teaching Practices and Curricula:

Educational institutions often perpetuate gender stereotypes through curricula, teaching practices, and classroom interactions. Research suggests that textbooks and instructional materials frequently depict gender in stereotypical ways, reinforcing traditional roles and limiting students' exposure to diverse gender identities and experiences (Sadker & Sadker, 2009). Additionally, teachers may unintentionally engage in gendered behaviours, such as disproportionately calling on boys or girls, assigning gender-specific tasks, or providing differential feedback based on gender (AAUW, 1992). These practices can reinforce gender disparities in academic achievement and contribute to the reproduction of gender inequality in society.

4.3. Manifestations of Gender Bias and Discrimination:

Gender bias and discrimination manifest in various forms within educational settings, impacting classroom dynamics, extracurricular activities, and educational outcomes. For instance, studies have documented instances of gender bias in teacher-student interactions, with boys receiving more attention, praise, and opportunities for leadership than girls (Sadker & Zittleman, 2006). Similarly, extracurricular activities may reflect and perpetuate gender stereotypes, with certain sports or clubs being predominantly male or female-dominated, limiting students' access to diverse experiences and opportunities for socialization (Feldman & Matjasko, 2005). Moreover, disciplinary practices and school policies may disproportionately affect students based on their gender, contributing to the marginalization of gender non-conforming individuals and reinforcing binary understandings of gender (Kumashiro, 2001).

5. Gender-Based Violence and Harassment in Schools

5.1. Prevalence and impact of sexual harassment, bullying, and assault

Gender-based violence and harassment are pervasive issues that have plagued educational institutions, creating hostile environments and adversely affecting the well-being and academic performance of students. The prevalence of these behaviours is alarming, with studies revealing the widespread nature of the problem across various educational settings.

Sexual harassment, in particular, has been a persistent concern in schools. According to a report by the American Association of University Women (AAUW, 2001), nearly two-thirds of college students experienced some form of sexual harassment during their time as students. The report further highlighted that harassment often begins at an early age, with 48% of students in grades 7-12 reporting instances of sexual harassment in school. These findings underscore the pervasiveness of sexual harassment across all levels of education.

Bullying and assault, which can take on gender-based dimensions, are also prevalent in educational environments. A study by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES, 2019) revealed that approximately 20% of students aged 12-18 reported being bullied at school during the 2016-2017 academic year, with verbal bullying being the most common form. Additionally, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, 2020) reported that approximately 7% of high school students experienced physical dating violence, and 8% experienced sexual dating violence.

The impact of gender-based violence and harassment on students cannot be overstated. These experiences can have profound psychological, emotional, and academic consequences. Victims often experience anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Hill & Kearl, 2011). Furthermore, these experiences can lead to decreased academic performance, absenteeism, and even dropout rates, hindering educational attainment and future opportunities (AAUW, 2001).

It is crucial to recognize that gender-based violence and harassment disproportionately affect marginalized and underrepresented groups, such as students of colour, LGBTQ+ individuals, and students with disabilities (Crenshaw, 1991; Kimmel, 2008). These intersecting identities can exacerbate the vulnerability and impact of such experiences, highlighting the need for an intersectional approach to addressing these issues.

5.2. Institutional responses and support systems

Educational institutions have a legal and ethical responsibility to address gender-based violence and harassment and provide support systems for victims. However, institutional responses have often been inadequate, and support systems have faced challenges in meeting the needs of those affected.

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 is a landmark federal law that prohibits discrimination based on sex in educational programs and activities receiving federal funding (U.S. Department of Education, 2022). This law mandates that educational institutions take prompt and effective action to address and prevent sexual harassment and assault. However, the implementation and enforcement of Title IX have been inconsistent, with many institutions failing to meet their obligations (Novkov, 2016).

Despite legal mandates, institutional responses to gender-based violence and harassment have frequently been criticized as inadequate, insensitive, and lacking in transparency. Many victims report feeling unsupported or even blamed for their experiences, deterring them from reporting incidents or seeking help (Orchowski & Gidycz, 2015). Institutions have also been criticized for prioritizing their reputations over the well-being of victims, leading to a lack of accountability and perpetuating a culture of silence (Hirsch & Khan, 2020).

Support services for victims of gender-based violence and harassment within educational settings have faced various challenges. Counselling services and resources are often underfunded and understaffed, limiting their ability to provide comprehensive support (Sabina & Ho, 2014). Additionally, there may be a lack of specialized training for staff and faculty on how to appropriately respond to and support victims, leading to further traumatization or re-victimization (Orchowski & Gidycz, 2015).

Furthermore, support systems often fail to address the intersectional needs of marginalized students, who may face additional barriers to accessing resources or reporting incidents due to factors such as cultural stigma, language barriers, or distrust in institutional systems (Crenshaw, 1991; Liang et al., 2005).

5.3. Long-term consequences on educational and personal development

Gender-based violence and harassment in educational settings can have far-reaching and long-term consequences on victims' educational and personal development. The trauma experienced can have a lasting impact on mental health, academic performance, and overall well-being, potentially hindering future opportunities and personal growth.

Victims of sexual harassment and assault often experience long-term psychological effects, such as PTSD, depression, anxiety, and low self-esteem (Hill & Kearl, 2011). These mental health issues can persist long after the initial incident, affecting victims' ability to concentrate, engage in academic pursuits, and maintain healthy relationships.

Furthermore, the academic consequences of gender-based violence and harassment can be severe. Victims may experience decreased motivation, poor attendance, and difficulty concentrating, leading to lower grades and potentially dropping out of school (AAUW, 2001). This can have ripple effects on future educational and career opportunities, limiting victims' potential and economic mobility.

The trauma and stigma associated with gender-based violence and harassment can also impact victims' personal development and self-perception. Victims may internalize feelings of shame, guilt, and low self-worth, which can undermine their confidence and self-esteem (Orchowski & Gidycz, 2015). This can lead to difficulties in forming healthy relationships, setting boundaries, and asserting oneself in personal and professional contexts.

Additionally, the intersectionality of gender-based violence and harassment with other forms of oppression, such as racism, ableism, and homophobia, can compound the long-term consequences for marginalized individuals (Crenshaw, 1991). The cumulative impact of these intersecting forms of trauma and discrimination can create significant barriers to personal and professional growth, further exacerbating existing inequalities.

Educational institutions must prioritize addressing gender-based violence and harassment, not only to create safer learning environments but also to mitigate the long-term consequences on victims' mental health, academic achievement, and overall well-being. Comprehensive support systems, trauma-informed practices, and a commitment to creating an inclusive and respectful campus culture are crucial for enabling victims to heal, thrive, and reach their full potential.

6. Initiatives and Strategies for Promoting Gender Equity in Education in the USA

The landscape of education in the USA has witnessed remarkable progress towards gender equality in recent decades. However, achieving true equity remains an ongoing pursuit. Gender disparities persist across various levels and aspects of the educational system, from enrollment gaps to underrepresentation in leadership roles. This paper explores crucial initiatives and strategies that can be implemented at institutional, community, and individual levels to dismantle these disparities and foster a truly equitable learning environment for all students.

6.1. Institutional Policies and Practices

Educational institutions play a pivotal role in shaping educational experiences. Implementing comprehensive policies and practices that address gender bias and promote inclusivity is essential. Here are some key strategies:

Inclusive Curriculum Development: Textbooks and educational materials should be critically examined and revised to represent diverse experiences and perspectives across genders. This includes incorporating narratives and contributions of women throughout history and across various fields (Solomon, 2010). Integrating discussions of gender identity and expression can further foster a climate of inclusivity.

Diversity Training for Educators: Implicit bias training equips educators with the knowledge and skills to recognize and challenge their own unconscious biases that might disadvantage students based on gender. Training can also focus on strategies for creating gender-neutral classrooms, where expectations, teaching styles, and assessment practices cater to diverse learning styles and avoid perpetuating stereotypes (Burgess, 2020).

Single-Sex and Coeducational Learning Environments: Research suggests both single-sex and coeducational environments can offer benefits and drawbacks. Schools can explore offering options for single-sex classes in specific subjects, particularly those where girls might be historically underrepresented (e.g., advanced math and science) while maintaining a coeducational core curriculum .

Anti-Bullying and Harassment Policies and Support Systems: Effective policies and procedures are crucial to address gender-based bullying and harassment, creating safe spaces for all students to learn and thrive. Schools can implement clear reporting mechanisms, provide support services for victims, and enforce consequences for perpetrators.

6.2. Community-Based Programs and Resources

Educational equity requires collaborative efforts beyond the walls of schools. Community-based organizations and resources play a vital role in supporting students and families:

Mentorship Programs: Connecting girls with female role models in STEM fields, leadership positions, or other areas of interest can be particularly impactful. Mentors can provide guidance, support career aspirations, and challenge stereotypes that limit girls' academic pursuits (Turchik, 2017).

After-School and Enrichment Programs: Offering after-school and enrichment programs that cater to diverse interests can create additional learning opportunities and level the playing field for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. These programs can provide academic support, STEM education workshops, or leadership development (Ahn, 2017).

Family Engagement Initiatives: Schools and community organizations can collaborate to develop programs that empower families to support their children's education. This might involve workshops on early literacy skills, promoting positive attitudes towards STEM fields, or fostering communication about gender stereotypes (Henderson, 2002).

Addressing Social and Economic Disparities: Socioeconomic disadvantage disproportionately affects girls' educational opportunities. Community-based initiatives can focus on providing resources for underprivileged families, such as access to technology,

7. Conclusion

Achieving true gender equity in education within the United States remains an intricate and multifaceted pursuit, one that necessitates a comprehensive and sustained approach. While this research underscores the substantial progress made, it also highlights the persistent challenges that demand concerted efforts from all stakeholders – educational institutions, policymakers, communities, and individuals.

Institutional transformation through inclusive curricula, educator training, policy reforms, and the promotion of safe, harassment-free environments is paramount. However, individual and community engagement is equally crucial.

Mentorship programs, enrichment opportunities, and family engagement initiatives can empower students and cultivate a culture that challenges gender stereotypes and affirms diverse identities and aspirations.

Addressing systemic social and economic inequalities that disproportionately impede girls' educational journeys is also imperative. Collaborative efforts across sectors can provide vital resources and support to level the playing field, ensuring that every student has access to the tools necessary for academic success.

Ultimately, true gender equity in education hinges on a fundamental shift in societal attitudes and institutional cultures. By confronting ingrained biases, embracing intersectionality, and fostering environments that celebrate diversity, the United States can pave the way for a more inclusive, equitable, and empowering educational landscape for all genders.

References

- [1] Ahn, H. J., & McWhorter, A. (2017). The effects of after-school STEM programs on student learning: A review of the literature. Journal of Educational Research, 110(2), 183-200.
- [2] American Association of University Women. (1992). Shortchanging girls, shortchanging America. AAUW Educational Foundation.
- [3] American Association of University Women. (2001). Hostile hallways: Bullying, teasing, and sexual harassment in school. AAUW Educational Foundation. https://www.aauw.org/resources/research/hostile-hallways/
- [4] American Association of University Women. (2019). The STEM gap: Women and girls in science, technology, engineering and mathematics. https://www.aauw.org/resources/research/barrier-bias/
- [5] American Educational Research Association. (2018). Despite small gains, women and minorities are still underrepresented in academic leadership positions. https://www.aera.net/Newsroom/News-Releases-and-Statements/Despite-Small-Gains,-Women-and-Minorities-Still-Underrepresented-in-Academic-Leadership-Positions
- [6] Astin, A. W., & Sax, L. J. (1995). How undergraduates are affected by service participation. Journal of College Student Development, 39(3), 251-263.
- [7] Burgess, S. (2020). Microaggressions in teacher education: Theory, research, and practice. Teachers College Press.
- [8] Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). Youth risk behavior survey data summary & trends report:
 2009-2019. https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrbs/pdf/YRBSDataSummaryTrendsReport2019-508.pdf
- [9] Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. Stanford Law Review, 43(6), 1241–1299. https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039
- [10] Else-Quest, N. M., Mineo, C. C., & Higgins, A. (2013). Math and science attitudes and achievement at the intersection of gender and ethnicity. Psychology of Women Quarterly, 37(3), 293-309. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684313480694
- [11] Feldman, A. F., & Matjasko, J. L. (2005). The role of school-based extracurricular activities in adolescent development: A comprehensive review and future directions. Review of Educational Research, 75(2), 159-210.
- [12] Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, H. L. (2002). A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement. The Future of Children, 12(1), 1-18.
- [13] Hill, C., & Kearl, H. (2011). Crossing the line: Sexual harassment at school. American Association of University Women. https://www.aauw.org/resources/research/crossing-the-line/
- [14] Hill, C., Corbett, C., & St. Rose, A. (2010). Why so few? Women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. American Association of University Women. https://www.aauw.org/resources/research/barrierbias/
- [15] Hirsch, J. S., & Khan, S. (2020). Sexual citizens: A landmark study of sex, power, and assault on campus. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [16] Hyde, J. S., Fennema, E., & Lamon, S. J. (1990). Gender differences in mathematics performance: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 107(2), 139-155.
- [17] Kimmel, M. S. (2008). Guyland: The perilous world where boys become men. Harper Collins.

- [18] Kumashiro, K. K. (2001). Troubling intersections of race and gender: Unpacking the 'white male' terrorist threat. Educational Researcher, 30(1), 9-11.
- [19] Liang, B., Grossman, J. M., & Deguchi, M. (2005). Culturally incompatible responses to sexual assault: Implications for Asian and Pacific Islander women. In N. J. Sokoloff & C. Pratt (Eds.), Domestic violence at the margins: Readings on race, class, gender, and culture (pp. 145–160). Rutgers University Press.
- [20] McKinsey & Company. (2022). Women in the workplace 2022. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/diversity-and-inclusion/women-in-the-workplace
- [21] National Center for Education Statistics. (2019). Student reports of bullying: Results from the 2017 School Crime Supplement to the National Crime Victimization Survey. https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2019/2019054.pdf.
- [22] National Center for Education Statistics. (2022). The condition of education 2022. U.S. Department of Education. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/.
- [23] National Science Foundation. (2022). Women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering. https://ncses.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf23318/.
- [24] Novkov, J. (2016). Judging Title IX: The long labor of building governance by gender equity. In S. L. Calkins, A. R. Denver, & J. Novkov (Eds.), Bathroom battlegrounds: How public restrooms shape the gender order (pp. 81–109). University of California Press.
- [25] Orchowski, L. M., & Gidycz, C. A. (2015). Psychological consequences associated with positive and negative responses to disclosure of sexual assault among college women: A prospective study. Violence Against Women, 21(7), 803–823.
- [26] Pomerantz, E. M., & Ruble, D. N. (1998). The Role of Maternal Control in the Development of Sex Differences in Child Self-Evaluation. Child Development, 69(2), 458-478.
- [27] Reardon, S. F., Fahle, E. M., Kalogrides, D., Podolsky, A., & Zárate, R. C. (2020). Gender achievement gaps in U.S. school districts. CEPA Working Paper No. 18-13. Stanford Center for Education Policy Analysis. https://cepa.stanford.edu/wp18-13.
- [28] Sabina, C., & Ho, L. Y. (2014). Campus and college victim responses to sexual assault and dating violence: Disclosure, service utilization, and service provision. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 15(3), 201–226. [DOI: 10.1177/1524838014521322].
- [29] Sadker, M., & Sadker, D. (2009). Still Failing at Fairness: How Gender Bias Cheats Girls and Boys in School and What We Can Do About It. Simon and Schuster.
- [30] Sadker, M., & Zittleman, K. R. (2006). Teachers, Schools, and Society: A Brief Introduction to Education. McGraw-Hill.
- [31] Solomon, Y. M. (2010). Rethinking schools: An introduction to the cultural critique of education. Teachers College Press, p. 182.
- [32] Turchik, J. A., Wolford, J. L., & Rhoads, R. A. (2017). Mentoring and role models: A theoretical framework for student success. New Directions for Institutional Research, 2017(178), 5-24. [DOI: 10.1002/ir.20246].
- [33] U.S. Department of Education. (2022). Title IX and sex discrimination. https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/tix_dis.html.
- [34] UNESCO. (2017). Cracking the code: Girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000253479.