



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Exploring theoretical constructs of smart cities and ICT infrastructure: Comparative analysis of development strategies in Africa-US Urban areas

Boma Sonimiteim Jacks ¹, Olakunle Abayomi Ajala ², Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu ^{3,*} and Enyinaya Stefano Okafor ⁴

¹ *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*

² *Indiana Wesleyan University, USA.*

³ *Independent Researcher, UK.*

⁴ *Independent Researcher, Phoenix, Arizona, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(03), 401–407

Publication history: Received on 25 January 2024; revised on 02 March 2024; accepted on 04 March 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0722>

Abstract

This review paper explores smart cities' theoretical constructs and comparative development strategies, focusing on the juxtaposition between African and US urban areas. It delves into the evolution of smart city concepts, highlighting how Information and Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure serves as the cornerstone for enhancing urban management and service delivery. Through a comparative analysis, the paper reveals divergent strategic approaches, innovation ecosystems, and the prioritization of sustainability and inclusivity in smart city initiatives across the two regions. It underscores the significance of tailoring smart city development to local contexts, ensuring equitable access to technology, and the pivotal role of public-private partnerships. The paper concludes with implications for urban planners, policymakers, and technology developers, suggesting future research directions to bridge existing gaps and address emerging smart city trends.

Keywords: Smart Cities; ICT Infrastructure; Urban Development; Comparative Analysis

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, the rapid pace of urbanization and digital transformation has ushered in a new era of urban development, where smart cities and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure play a central role. Smart cities, characterized by the integration of digital technologies into urban management and services, promise enhanced efficiency, sustainability, and quality of life (Batty et al., 2012; Haleboua, 2020; Stratigea, Papadopoulou, & Panagiotopoulou, 2015). This evolution is propelled by the growing need to address complex urban challenges such as congestion, pollution, resource management, and service delivery in the face of increasing urban populations. Technological innovations, including the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and blockchain, have become pivotal in devising solutions that are not only effective but also scalable and sustainable. These technologies enable cities to transition from traditional management practices to more dynamic, predictive, and participatory urban governance and service delivery models (Keivani, 2009).

The importance of ICT infrastructure in this paradigm cannot be overstated. As the backbone of smart city solutions, robust ICT infrastructure supports data collection, transmission, and analysis, facilitating informed decision-making and efficient urban operations (Bibri & Krogstie, 2017). The transformative potential of smart cities and ICT infrastructure extends across various domains, including transportation, energy, water management, waste

* Corresponding author: Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu

management, and public safety, promising a holistic improvement in urban living conditions (Anttiroiko, Valkama, & Bailey, 2014; Kumar, Singh, Gupta, & Madaan, 2020).

The objective of this paper is twofold. Firstly, it aims to explore the theoretical constructs underpinning smart cities, dissecting the multi-dimensional components that contribute to the conceptualization and operationalization of smart urban environments. This includes examining the role of technology, governance models, policy frameworks, and the socio-economic dimensions that influence the development and sustainability of smart cities. Secondly, the paper seeks to conduct a comparative analysis of the development strategies employed in Africa and the United States (US), focusing on how diverse urban contexts influence the adoption and adaptation of smart city models. Through this comparative lens, the paper will illuminate the variances in strategic approaches, challenges faced, and the innovative solutions crafted in response to local needs and global trends.

This review is scoped to provide a broad yet detailed examination of the theoretical frameworks and development strategies underpinning smart cities, specifically focusing on contrasting the experiences of African and US urban areas. The review synthesizes existing literature, policy analyses, and theoretical discussions to comprehensively understand how smart cities are conceptualized and realized in varying urban landscapes. By doing so, the paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of smart city development strategies, offering insights that can inform policymakers, urban planners, and technology developers engaged in the smart city domain. This approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the factors that drive smart city initiatives, the challenges that arise in different urban contexts, and the strategies devised to overcome these challenges. It also opens up a dialogue on the future direction of smart city developments, considering the evolving nature of technology, urbanization trends, and global sustainability goals.

2. Theoretical Frameworks of Smart Cities

2.1. Definition and Evolution

The concept of smart cities has evolved significantly over the past few decades, transitioning from basic urban digitalization efforts to sophisticated ecosystems that leverage ICT solutions for comprehensive urban management (Komninos, Kakderi, Collado, Papadaki, & Panori, 2022; Kumar et al., 2020). Initially, the focus was on deploying specific technologies, such as broadband internet and sensor networks, to enhance particular aspects of urban life, like traffic management or public safety. However, as digital technologies advanced, the vision of smart cities expanded to encompass a holistic approach to urban development, integrating digital solutions across multiple sectors to create interconnected, efficient, and sustainable urban environments (Castelnovo, Misuraca, & Savoldelli, 2016; Silva, Khan, & Han, 2018).

This evolution reflects a shift in understanding from smart cities as merely technologically advanced urban spaces to viewing them as ecosystems where technology, governance, and community interact in complex ways. The modern smart city concept incorporates ICT infrastructure and the principles of sustainability, citizen participation, and innovation, aiming to improve the overall quality of life for its inhabitants while ensuring economic viability and environmental sustainability.

2.2. Core Components and Constructs

The theoretical frameworks of smart cities are built around several core components and constructs, including:

Technology: The foundation of smart cities, encompassing IoT, AI, big data analytics, and other digital tools that collect, analyze, and manage urban data. This technology enables real-time monitoring and management of city services and infrastructure.

Governance: Smart cities require innovative governance models that promote efficient decision-making, transparency, and citizen engagement. This includes the use of digital platforms for public services and feedback, as well as policies that support the sustainable development of smart urban ecosystems.

Environment: Environmental sustainability is a key goal of smart cities, with strategies focusing on reducing carbon footprints, managing resources efficiently, and integrating green spaces and technologies to improve urban resilience.

Economy: Economic development in smart cities is driven by innovation, entrepreneurship, and the creation of smart economies that leverage technology to enhance productivity and competitiveness.

Social Aspects: The social dimension of smart cities emphasizes inclusivity, equity, and quality of life. It involves ensuring access to digital services for all citizens, enhancing social cohesion, and fostering a sense of community through participatory governance and urban design.

2.3. Comparative Perspectives

The application and prioritization of these core components vary significantly between African cities and US cities, reflecting differences in socio-economic, cultural, and technological landscapes.

In many African cities, smart city development focuses on addressing basic infrastructure needs, improving access to services, and leveraging technology to leapfrog development challenges (Boyle, 2019; Echendu & Okafor, 2021). Governance models may emphasize community-based approaches and partnerships with international organizations and the private sector to overcome resource limitations. Environmental and social aspects are critically important, with a strong focus on sustainable development and inclusivity to ensure that smart city benefits reach all layers of society (Angelidou et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2018).

In the US, smart city initiatives frequently build upon existing advanced infrastructure, with a strong focus on innovation, data-driven management, and urban service efficiency. Governance in US smart cities often involves complex collaborations between municipal authorities, technology firms, and research institutions. Economic aspects are characterized by significant investments in technology and infrastructure, aiming to create a competitive edge and foster economic growth. Environmental and social concerns are also prominent, with an emphasis on sustainability, citizen engagement, and leveraging technology to improve living conditions (Adekanmbi et al., 2024; Jefferson Clinton, 1993).

These comparative perspectives highlight the adaptability of the smart city concept to local contexts, demonstrating how theoretical constructs are applied and prioritized differently based on the unique challenges and opportunities present in each urban area.

2.4. ICT Infrastructure in Urban Development

2.4.1. Role of ICT

Information and Communication Technology infrastructure serves as the backbone of smart cities, enabling them to transform into dynamic, responsive, and efficient urban environments. At its core, ICT encompasses a wide array of digital technologies, including broadband networks, sensors, mobile devices, and cloud computing platforms, which facilitate data collection, transmission, and analysis (Shahidehpour, Li, & Ganji, 2018; Tcholtchev & Schieferdecker, 2021). This data-driven approach is crucial for urban planning and management, allowing city administrators to make informed decisions, optimize resource allocation, and deliver services more effectively.

The role of ICT in urban development extends beyond mere technological implementation; it catalyzes the integration of physical and digital infrastructures, fostering an ecosystem where real-time data collection and analysis inform everything from traffic management and energy distribution to public safety and environmental monitoring. By leveraging ICT, cities can anticipate and respond to urban challenges with greater agility, improving their residents' overall quality of life. Moreover, ICT enables the participation of citizens in urban governance through digital platforms, enhancing transparency, accountability, and community engagement (Lee, Yigitcanlar, Han, & Leem, 2008; Van Hemert, Van Geenhuizen, & Nijkamp, 2009).

2.5. Challenges and Opportunities

2.5.1. Challenges

Building and maintaining state-of-the-art ICT infrastructure requires significant financial investment, which can be a substantial barrier for many cities, particularly in developing regions. The effectiveness of smart city initiatives often hinges on the technological literacy of both citizens and municipal staff, necessitating ongoing education and training programs. There is a risk that smart city technologies might exacerbate existing inequalities if certain population segments lack access to digital services. As cities collect and analyze vast amounts of data, they must navigate the complex issues of data privacy and security, ensuring that citizens' information is protected (Caragliu & Del Bo, 2022, 2023; Tan & Taeihagh, 2020).

2.5.2. Opportunities

ICT infrastructure fosters innovation by providing a platform for developing new technologies and services, potentially transforming urban economies. ICT enables more efficient and responsive city services, from public transportation and utilities to healthcare and education, directly benefiting residents. Smart technologies can help cities reduce their environmental footprint through more efficient resource use and sustainable urban planning. ICT infrastructure development can stimulate economic growth by attracting investment, creating jobs, and supporting the development of new industries (Lall & Narula, 2004; Mwaniki, 2017).

2.6. Comparative Analysis: Africa vs. US

Many African cities have recognized the potential of ICT to leapfrog development challenges and have started implementing policies to foster digital innovation and infrastructure development. However, investment in ICT infrastructure often relies on support from international donors and partnerships with the private sector. PPPs play a crucial role in the development of ICT infrastructure in Africa, bridging funding gaps and bringing in technical expertise. These collaborations often focus on specific projects, such as expanding broadband access or developing digital services for urban management (de Morais Sarmiento & Hussein, 2017; Effiom, 2020; Trebilcock & Rosenstock, 2013).

In the US, there is a strong emphasis on leveraging ICT for economic competitiveness and urban efficiency. Federal, state, and local governments invest heavily in ICT infrastructure, often in collaboration with major technology firms. PPPs are also prevalent in the US, serving as a mechanism for innovation and deploying smart city technologies. These partnerships often involve large-scale initiatives, integrating advanced technologies like AI and IoT to enhance urban services and infrastructure (Lam & Yang, 2020; Voorwinden, 2021).

The development strategies for ICT infrastructure in Africa and the US reflect their respective urban challenges and opportunities. In Africa, the focus is often on addressing fundamental infrastructure needs and harnessing ICT as a tool for rapid development and inclusivity. In contrast, the US strategy tends to emphasize the optimization of existing infrastructure, innovation, and the economic potential of smart city technologies. Despite these differences, both regions recognize the importance of PPPs in achieving their smart city ambitions, demonstrating a shared understanding of the value of collaborative approaches in the digital age.

3. Development Strategies: Africa vs. US

3.1. Strategic Approaches to Smart Cities

African cities have adopted strategic approaches to smart city development that prioritize addressing fundamental urban challenges such as infrastructure deficits, rapid urbanization, and service delivery. Policy frameworks often emphasize leveraging ICT to improve access to essential services, enhance public administration, and promote economic development. Stakeholder engagement strategies are inclusive, involving local communities, NGOs, international development agencies, and the private sector to ensure that initiatives are aligned with the populace's needs. Funding mechanisms are diverse, relying on a mix of public investment, international aid, and public-private partnerships (PPPs) to overcome financial constraints.

In the US, strategic approaches to smart city development are characterized by a focus on innovation, efficiency, and the integration of cutting-edge technologies. Policy frameworks are designed to support the deployment of IoT, big data analytics, and AI to enhance urban services and infrastructure. Stakeholder engagement in the US involves federal, state, and local governments, technology firms, academic institutions, and citizens. Funding mechanisms include government appropriations, private investment, and PPPs, which significantly emphasize leveraging private sector expertise and resources for smart city projects.

3.1.1. Innovation and Adaptation

Innovation in African smart cities is often driven by necessity, leading to creative and adaptive solutions that address local challenges. Startups play a crucial role in this ecosystem, developing solutions tailored to African contexts, such as mobile money for financial inclusion or solar-powered IoT devices for energy management. Research institutions and community initiatives contribute by developing locally relevant technologies and engaging citizens in the co-creation of smart city solutions. This environment fosters a unique form of innovation, characterized by frugality, adaptability, and community involvement.

The US fosters innovation in smart cities through a robust ecosystem that includes advanced research institutions, a dynamic startup culture, and significant investment in R&D. The focus is on developing and implementing cutting-edge technologies to improve urban living, enhance efficiency, and drive economic growth. Community initiatives and hackathons encourage citizen participation in the innovation process, while partnerships between cities and technology firms facilitate the adaptation of new technologies to urban needs. The result is a continuous cycle of innovation, implementation, and refinement, pushing the boundaries of what smart cities can achieve.

3.1.2. Sustainability and Inclusivity

Sustainability and inclusivity are central to the smart city development strategies in Africa, reflecting the continent's unique environmental challenges and social dynamics. Initiatives often focus on sustainable urban growth, integrating green technologies, and improving access to clean energy and water. Inclusivity is addressed by ensuring that smart city benefits extend to underserved communities, with a particular focus on affordable housing, healthcare, and digital literacy programs. The aim is to create smart, technologically advanced but also equitable, and resilient smart cities.

In the US, sustainability is a key component of smart city strategies, with cities aiming to reduce their environmental impact through energy-efficient buildings, sustainable transportation systems, and smart grid technologies. Inclusivity efforts focus on bridging the digital divide, ensuring that all citizens have access to the benefits of smart city technologies. This includes initiatives to expand broadband access, digital literacy training, and developing services that meet the needs of diverse urban populations. The goal is to build smart cities that promote environmental stewardship and offer equitable opportunities for all residents.

The strategic approaches to smart city development in Africa and the US reflect their different contexts and priorities. African cities focus on leveraging smart technologies to address fundamental challenges and promote inclusive growth, while US cities emphasize innovation, efficiency, and the integration of advanced technologies. Despite these differences, both regions are committed to sustainability and inclusivity, recognizing the importance of creating smart cities that are environmentally responsible and accessible to all citizens. The contrast in strategies highlights the adaptability of the smart city concept to various urban environments and development objectives.

4. Conclusion and Future Directions

The comparative analysis of smart city development strategies in Africa and the United States reveals commonalities and divergences reflecting the unique challenges and opportunities within each context. Common to both regions is the central role of ICT infrastructure as the backbone of smart city initiatives, driving innovation, enhancing service delivery, and improving urban management. Moreover, both African and US cities share a commitment to sustainability and inclusivity, aiming to leverage smart technologies to create urban environments that are environmentally responsible and accessible to all citizens.

Divergences between the two regions stem primarily from different stages of urban development, financial capacities, and strategic priorities. African cities often focus on using smart technologies to address fundamental infrastructure and service delivery challenges, emphasizing the needs of rapidly urbanizing populations. In contrast, US cities optimize existing infrastructure, foster economic growth, and integrate cutting-edge technologies to enhance urban efficiency and competitiveness.

The findings of this comparative analysis hold significant implications for urban planners, policymakers, and technology developers across the globe. For urban planners, the insights underscore the importance of tailoring smart city strategies to local contexts, considering each city's unique socio-economic, cultural, and technological landscapes. Policymakers are reminded of the need for inclusive and sustainable policy frameworks that support the equitable distribution of smart city benefits, ensuring that no citizen is left behind in the digital urban transformation. For technology developers, the analysis highlights the opportunities for innovation in addressing the diverse needs of cities in Africa and the US, encouraging the development of adaptable, scalable, and resilient smart city solutions.

This analysis opens several avenues for future research, particularly in addressing gaps in the current literature and exploring emerging trends in smart city development. Potential areas for further investigation include:

Systematic studies evaluate smart city initiatives' long-term impacts on urban sustainability, economic development, and social equity. This research could help quantify the benefits of smart city strategies and identify best practices.

In-depth exploration of the digital divide within and between cities, examining the barriers to access and participation in the digital economy. Research could focus on developing strategies to ensure equitable access to smart city technologies and benefits.

Examine the role of emerging technologies, such as quantum computing, 5G, and blockchain, in the evolution of smart cities. Studies could explore the potential applications of these technologies in urban contexts and assess their implications for privacy, security, and governance.

Research on how smart cities can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation, focusing on the integration of green technologies, sustainable urban planning, and resilience strategies.

By pursuing these and other research directions, scholars and practitioners can contribute to a deeper understanding of smart city development, enhancing the effectiveness and inclusivity of urban transformation efforts globally.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Adekanmbi, A. O., Ani, E. C., Abatan, A., Izuka, U., Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., & Obaigbena, A. (2024). Assessing the environmental and health impacts of plastic production and recycling.
- [2] Angelidou, M., Psaltoglou, A., Komninou, N., Kakderi, C., Tsarchopoulos, P., & Panori, A. (2018). Enhancing sustainable urban development through smart city applications. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 9(2), 146-169.
- [3] Anttiroiko, A.-V., Valkama, P., & Bailey, S. J. (2014). Smart cities in the new service economy: building platforms for smart services. *AI & society*, 29, 323-334.
- [4] Batty, M., Axhausen, K. W., Giannotti, F., Pozdnoukhov, A., Bazzani, A., Wachowicz, M., . . . Portugali, Y. (2012). Smart cities of the future. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 214, 481-518.
- [5] Bibri, S. E., & Krogstie, J. (2017). The core enabling technologies of big data analytics and context-aware computing for smart sustainable cities: a review and synthesis. *Journal of Big Data*, 4, 1-50.
- [6] Boyle, L. (2019). Identifying the Opportunities and Challenges that Exist for Cape Town's Smart City Development.
- [7] Caragliu, A., & Del Bo, C. F. (2022). Smart cities and urban inequality. *Regional Studies*, 56(7), 1097-1112.
- [8] Caragliu, A., & Del Bo, C. F. (2023). Smart cities and the urban digital divide. *npj Urban Sustainability*, 3(1), 43.
- [9] Castelnovo, W., Misuraca, G., & Savoldelli, A. (2016). Smart cities governance: The need for a holistic approach to assessing urban participatory policy making. *Social Science Computer Review*, 34(6), 724-739.
- [10] de Moraes Sarmiento, E., & Hussein, K. (2017). Making PPPs work for the poor: PPPs at the African development bank. In *The Emerald Handbook of Public-Private Partnerships in Developing and Emerging Economies* (pp. 247-265): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [11] Echendu, A. J., & Okafor, P. C. C. (2021). Smart city technology: a potential solution to Africa's growing population and rapid urbanization? *Development Studies Research*, 8(1), 82-93.
- [12] Effiom, L. (2020). Transport and ICT infrastructure development in the ECOWAS Sub-Region: The PPP funding alternative. *Financing Africa's Development: Paths to Sustainable Economic Growth*, 155-178.
- [13] Haleboua, G. (2020). *Smart cities*: MIT press.
- [14] Jefferson Clinton, P. W. (1993). Technology for America's economic growth: A new direction to build economic strength. *The Bottom Line*, 6(3/4), 4-17.
- [15] Keivani, R. (2009). A review of the main challenges to urban sustainability. *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, 1(1-2), 5-16.

- [16] Komninos, N., Kakderi, C., Collado, A., Papadaki, I., & Panori, A. (2022). Digital transformation of city ecosystems: Platforms shaping engagement and externalities across vertical markets. In *Sustainable Smart City Transitions* (pp. 91-112): Routledge.
- [17] Kumar, H., Singh, M. K., Gupta, M., & Madaan, J. (2020). Moving towards smart cities: Solutions that lead to the Smart City Transformation Framework. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 153, 119281.
- [18] Lall, S., & Narula, R. (2004). Foreign direct investment and its role in economic development: do we need a new agenda? *The european journal of development research*, 16, 447-464.
- [19] Lam, P. T., & Yang, W. (2020). Factors influencing the consideration of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) for smart city projects: Evidence from Hong Kong. *Cities*, 99, 102606.
- [20] Lee, S.-H., Yigitcanlar, T., Han, J.-H., & Leem, Y.-T. (2008). Ubiquitous urban infrastructure: Infrastructure planning and development in Korea. *Innovation*, 10(2-3), 282-292.
- [21] Mwaniki, D. (2017). Infrastructure development in Nairobi: Widening the path towards a smart city and smart economic development. *Smart Economy in Smart Cities: International Collaborative Research: Ottawa, St. Louis, Stuttgart, Bologna, Cape Town, Nairobi, Dakar, Lagos, New Delhi, Varanasi, Vijayawada, Kozhikode, Hong Kong*, 687-711.
- [22] Shahidehpour, M., Li, Z., & Ganji, M. (2018). Smart cities for a sustainable urbanization: Illuminating the need for establishing smart urban infrastructures. *IEEE Electrification magazine*, 6(2), 16-33.
- [23] Silva, B. N., Khan, M., & Han, K. (2018). Towards sustainable smart cities: A review of trends, architectures, components, and open challenges in smart cities. *Sustainable cities and society*, 38, 697-713.
- [24] Stratigea, A., Papadopoulou, C.-A., & Panagiotopoulou, M. (2015). Tools and technologies for planning the development of smart cities. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(2), 43-62.
- [25] Tan, S. Y., & Taeihagh, A. (2020). Smart city governance in developing countries: A systematic literature review. *sustainability*, 12(3), 899.
- [26] Tcholtchev, N., & Schieferdecker, I. (2021). Sustainable and reliable information and communication technology for resilient smart cities. *Smart Cities*, 4(1), 156-176.
- [27] Trebilcock, M., & Rosenstock, M. (2013). *Infrastructure PPPs in the developing world: lessons from recent experience*. Retrieved from
- [28] Van Hemert, P., Van Geenhuizen, M., & Nijkamp, P. (2009). Large urban economies: The role of knowledge and ICT infrastructure. *International handbook of urban policy*, 2, 46-73.
- [29] Voorwinden, A. (2021). The privatised city: Technology and public-private partnerships in the smart city. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 13(2), 439-463.