



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Corporate governance and CSR for sustainability in Oil and Gas: Trends, challenges, and best practices: A review

Stella Emeka-Okoli ¹, Tochukwu Chinwuba Nwankwo ², Christiana Adanma Otonnah ¹ and Ekene Ezinwa Nwankwo ^{3,*}

¹ *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*

² *National Open University of Abuja, Nigeria.*

³ *Anambra State Polytechnic, Mgbakwu, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(03), 078–090

Publication history: Received on 17 January 2024; revised on 25 February 2024; accepted on 27 February 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0662>

Abstract

Corporate governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) are integral components of sustainability in the oil and gas industry, shaping the sector's environmental and social impact. This review explores current trends, challenges, and best practices in corporate governance and CSR for sustainability within the oil and gas sector. The review examines how corporate governance frameworks influence CSR strategies, highlighting the importance of board oversight and stakeholder engagement in driving sustainable practices. It also delves into the evolving role of oil and gas companies in addressing environmental concerns, such as climate change and resource depletion, through CSR initiatives and transparent reporting. Challenges faced by the industry, such as regulatory complexity, stakeholder expectations, and balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability, are analyzed. The review underscores the need for robust governance structures and effective CSR programs to navigate these challenges and maintain social license to operate. Best practices in corporate governance and CSR are explored, emphasizing the importance of integrating sustainability into core business strategies. Case studies illustrate successful approaches to CSR implementation, showcasing how companies can create shared value for stakeholders while driving positive environmental and social outcomes. In conclusion, the review calls for a proactive and holistic approach to corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas industry. It advocates for increased transparency, stakeholder engagement, and alignment of CSR efforts with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to enhance sustainability and mitigate risks in the sector.

Keywords: Corporate; Governance; CSR; Sustainability; Oli and Gas

1. Introduction

The oil and gas industry plays a crucial role in the global economy, providing the energy needed for various sectors and activities. However, the industry also faces significant challenges, including environmental concerns, social impacts, and governance issues. Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility (CSR) have emerged as key frameworks for addressing these challenges and promoting sustainability in the oil and gas sector (Dudin, et. al., 2019, Lu, et. al., 2019, Litvinenko, 2020).

Corporate governance refers to the system of rules, practices, and processes by which a company is directed and controlled. It encompasses the relationships between a company's management, its board of directors, its shareholders, and other stakeholders. Good corporate governance is essential for ensuring accountability, transparency, and ethical conduct within an organization (Larcker and Tayan, 2020, Puni and Anlesinya, 2020, Solomon, 2020).

* Corresponding author: Ekene Ezinwa Nwankwo

CSR, on the other hand, involves the integration of social and environmental concerns into a company's business operations and interactions with stakeholders. It goes beyond regulatory compliance to encompass practices that contribute to the well-being of society and the environment (Aluchna and Roszkowska-Menkes, 2019, Fatima and Elbanna, 2023, Nave and Ferreira, 2019).

In the oil and gas industry, corporate governance and CSR are particularly important due to the sector's significant impact on the environment and society. Issues such as climate change, human rights violations, and community engagement have put pressure on oil and gas companies to adopt more responsible practices (Alshbili, Elamer and Beddewela, 2020, Chowdhury, et. al., 2019, Shah, et. al., 2022).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of current trends, challenges, and best practices in corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas industry. It will explore the historical evolution of these concepts, examine current practices and initiatives, and identify future opportunities and challenges.

By examining the intersection of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector, this review seeks to highlight the importance of responsible business practices in ensuring the sustainability of the industry. It also aims to provide insights and recommendations for industry stakeholders to enhance their governance and CSR efforts.

2. Historical Perspectives

The historical perspectives of Corporate Governance and CSR for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas industry are rooted in the evolution of societal expectations, regulatory frameworks, and industry practices. Historically, the oil and gas industry has been characterized by a focus on profit maximization and operational efficiency, often at the expense of environmental and social considerations (Banerjee, 2020, ElAlfy, et. al., 2020, Isallah, 2023). However, over the years, there has been a growing recognition of the need for more sustainable practices in the industry.

One of the early milestones in the evolution of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas industry was the establishment of the Equator Principles in 2003. These principles were developed by a group of financial institutions to ensure that their investments in projects, particularly in the extractive industries, were environmentally and socially responsible. The Equator Principles set a benchmark for responsible project financing and helped to raise awareness about the importance of CSR in the industry (Dashwood, 2020, Gangi, et. al., 2019, Gyane, 2019). Another key development was the emergence of the United Nations Global Compact in 2000, which encouraged companies to align their operations and strategies with ten universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption. Many oil and gas companies have since become signatories to the Global Compact, signaling their commitment to responsible business practices.

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on transparency and accountability in the oil and gas industry, driven in part by the emergence of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015. The SDGs provide a framework for companies to align their sustainability efforts with global priorities, including climate action, clean energy, and sustainable communities. Overall, the historical evolution of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas industry reflects a shift towards more sustainable practices and a recognition of the need to balance economic, environmental, and social considerations. Despite progress, challenges remain, including the need for greater transparency, improved stakeholder engagement, and more robust monitoring and reporting mechanisms (Elalfy, Weber and Geobey, 2021, Tetteh, Agyenim-Boateng and Simpson, 2024, Titova, Cherepovitsyna and Guseva, 2023).

Corporate governance in the oil and gas sector has evolved significantly over time, reflecting broader trends in corporate governance globally. In the early days of the oil and gas industry, governance structures were often simple and informal, with decision-making concentrated in the hands of a few key individuals, typically the founders or major shareholders. As these companies grew in size and complexity, there was a growing recognition of the need for more formal governance mechanisms to ensure transparency, accountability, and the protection of shareholder interests (Adams, et. al., 2019, Solomon, 2020, Zaman, et. al., 2022).

One of the key drivers of change in corporate governance in the oil and gas sector has been the increasing prominence of institutional investors. As institutional investors became major shareholders in many oil and gas companies, they began to push for greater transparency and accountability in corporate governance practices. This led to the adoption of formal governance structures, such as the establishment of independent boards of directors and the development of codes of conduct and ethics (Alshbili, Elamer and Beddewela, 2020, Dyck, et. al., 2019, Mees and Smith, 2019).

Another important development in the evolution of corporate governance in the oil and gas sector has been the increasing focus on sustainability and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues. As the oil and gas industry has come under scrutiny for its environmental impact, there has been a growing recognition of the need for companies to adopt sustainable and responsible business practices. This has led to the development of governance frameworks that incorporate ESG considerations into decision-making processes (Karwowski and Raulinajtys-Grzybek, 2021, Palacios, 2021, Shah, et. al., 2022).

Enacted in response to corporate accounting scandals, including those in the energy sector such as Enron, this act significantly impacted corporate governance practices by requiring companies to enhance financial disclosure and internal controls. While not specific to the oil and gas sector, Dodd-Frank introduced a range of reforms aimed at improving corporate governance and transparency in the financial markets, which indirectly impacted the oil and gas industry. The GRI has developed a set of guidelines for sustainability reporting, which many oil and gas companies now use to report on their ESG performance (Baker, Purda and Saadi, 2020, Kabeyi, 2020, Kiranmai and Mishra, 2022). The oil and gas industry has also been influenced by international codes and standards, such as the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, which provide guidelines for good governance practices. The oil and gas industry has developed its own industry-specific initiatives, such as the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), which aims to promote transparency and accountability in the extractive industries, including oil and gas.

Overall, the evolution of corporate governance in the oil and gas sector has been characterized by a shift towards greater transparency, accountability, and sustainability, driven by a combination of regulatory developments, investor pressure, and industry initiatives.

3. Evolution of CSR in the Oil and Gas Industry

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the oil and gas industry has undergone significant evolution, reflecting changing societal expectations, environmental concerns, and industry practices (Agudelo, Johannsdottir and Davidsdottir, 2020, Olanipekun, et. al., 2020, Suska, 2021). This essay explores the historical context of CSR initiatives in the sector and examines the shifts in CSR priorities and focus areas over time. The oil and gas industry has a long history of involvement in communities and regions where it operates, dating back to the early days of oil exploration and production. However, the concept of CSR as we understand it today began to take shape in the latter half of the 20th century, influenced by broader societal movements and environmental awareness.

In the 1960s and 1970s, concerns about environmental pollution and social justice issues started to gain traction, prompting oil and gas companies to consider their impact on communities and the environment. The 1970s also saw the emergence of the concept of "corporate social responsiveness," which emphasized the need for companies to proactively address social and environmental issues. The 1980s and 1990s marked a period of increasing scrutiny of the oil and gas industry's environmental and social practices. Major incidents, such as the Exxon Valdez oil spill in 1989, drew attention to the industry's impact on the environment and local communities. In response, companies began to formalize their CSR efforts, establishing dedicated departments and initiatives to address environmental and social issues (Martiskainen, et. al., 2020, Park, Cuervo-Cazurra and Montiel, 2023, Sze, 2020).

In the early days of CSR, the focus was primarily on environmental stewardship, driven by concerns about pollution and resource depletion. Companies began to invest in technologies and practices to reduce their environmental impact, such as implementing better waste management systems and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. As CSR evolved, there was a greater emphasis on community engagement and development. Companies started to work more closely with local communities to understand their needs and address social issues, such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure development (Carmer, 2019, Österblom, et. al., 2022, Wei, et. al., 2021).

The late 20th century also saw a growing focus on human rights issues in the oil and gas industry. Companies came under pressure to ensure that their operations respected the rights of indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups affected by their activities. In recent years, there has been a shift towards greater transparency and accountability in CSR practices. Stakeholders, including investors, governments, and civil society organizations, are demanding more information about companies' social and environmental performance, leading to increased reporting and disclosure requirements (Cole, 2022, Norouzi, Fani and Ziarani, 2020, Ruggie, 2020). The 21st century has brought new challenges for the oil and gas industry, particularly in the face of climate change and the transition to a low-carbon economy. Companies are now under pressure to reduce their carbon footprint and invest in renewable energy sources to mitigate climate change.

In conclusion, the evolution of CSR in the oil and gas industry reflects a broader trend towards greater accountability, transparency, and sustainability in corporate practices. While progress has been made, challenges remain, and companies will need to continue adapting their CSR strategies to meet the evolving expectations of stakeholders and society.

4. Current Trends and Practices in Corporate Governance

Corporate governance is constantly evolving to adapt to changing business environments, stakeholder expectations, and regulatory requirements. This essay explores some of the current trends and practices in corporate governance, focusing on emerging frameworks, the adoption of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles, and the importance of board diversity and stakeholder engagement (Klein, et. al., 2019, Manning, Braam and Reimsbach, 2019, Popescu, 2019). There is a growing recognition among companies and investors that long-term sustainability is key to creating value. This has led to a shift in corporate governance frameworks towards emphasizing long-term value creation over short-term profits.

The increasing digitization of business operations has raised new challenges for corporate governance. Boards are now required to have a better understanding of technology and its implications for business strategy and risk management. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the importance of effective risk management and business resilience. Companies are now placing greater emphasis on identifying and managing risks, including those related to cybersecurity, supply chain disruptions, and climate change (Brennan, Subramaniam and Van Staden, 2019, Flyverbom, Deibert and Matten, 2019, Manita, et. al., 2020). There is a growing recognition that companies have a responsibility to not only shareholders but also to a wider range of stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, and the communities in which they operate. This has led to a reevaluation of corporate governance frameworks to ensure that they take into account the interests of all stakeholders. In response to corporate scandals and governance failures, regulators around the world are introducing new regulations and guidelines to strengthen corporate governance practices. Companies are now required to comply with stricter disclosure requirements and governance standards.

There is a growing trend towards the integration of ESG factors into corporate governance practices. Companies are increasingly recognizing the materiality of ESG issues to their long-term performance and are incorporating ESG considerations into their decision-making processes. There has been a significant increase in ESG reporting, driven by investor demand for more transparent and standardized ESG disclosures (Crifo, Escrig-Olmedo and Mottis, 2019, Dicuonzo, et. al., 2022, Neri, 2021). Many companies now publish annual sustainability reports that provide detailed information on their ESG performance and initiatives. To facilitate ESG reporting and benchmarking, there has been a proliferation of ESG metrics and frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). The rise of impact investing has further incentivized companies to improve their ESG performance. Investors are increasingly looking to invest in companies that demonstrate a commitment to sustainable practices and have a positive impact on society and the environment.

There is growing recognition of the importance of board diversity in corporate governance. Companies are now actively seeking to diversify their boards in terms of gender, ethnicity, age, and professional background to bring a wider range of perspectives and skills to the table (El-Bassiouny and El-Bassiouny, 2019, Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite, 2020, Sarhan, Ntim and Al-Najjar, 2019). Companies are increasingly engaging with stakeholders to understand their concerns and incorporate their views into decision-making processes. This includes not only shareholders but also employees, customers, suppliers, and the wider community. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have become a reference point for companies looking to align their business strategies with broader societal goals. Many companies are now incorporating the SDGs into their corporate governance frameworks and reporting practices.

In conclusion, corporate governance is undergoing significant changes as companies seek to adapt to a rapidly evolving business landscape. Emerging trends such as a focus on long-term sustainability, the adoption of ESG principles and reporting, and the emphasis on board diversity and stakeholder engagement are shaping the future of corporate governance practices. Companies that embrace these trends are likely to be better positioned to create long-term value for all stakeholders.

5. Contemporary CSR Practices in the Oil and Gas Sector

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become an integral part of the oil and gas sector's operations, driven by increasing societal expectations, environmental concerns, and regulatory requirements (Dutttagupta, et. al., 2021,

Koolwal and Khandelwal, 2019, Nabiebu, Efombruh and Udo, 2019). This essay explores some of the recent CSR initiatives and programs in the sector, the integration of CSR into business strategies, and the importance of impact measurement and reporting.

Many oil and gas companies have launched initiatives to reduce their environmental impact, such as investing in renewable energy projects, implementing energy efficiency measures, and reducing flaring and methane emissions. Companies are increasingly focusing on community development initiatives, such as building schools, hospitals, and infrastructure in the areas where they operate. These initiatives aim to improve the quality of life for local communities and enhance social well-being. The sector has also made efforts to respect human rights and indigenous rights, particularly in regions where there are indigenous communities affected by oil and gas operations (Lorenzato, et. al., 2022, Lu, Guo and Zhang, 2019, Mansoor and Tahir, 2021). Companies are implementing policies and practices to protect the rights of indigenous peoples and ensure their meaningful participation in decision-making processes.

Health and safety are top priorities for the oil and gas sector, and companies are implementing programs to ensure the safety and well-being of their employees and contractors. This includes providing training, implementing safety protocols, and conducting regular audits and inspections. Many companies are also focusing on improving transparency and governance practices, such as disclosing information about their operations, finances, and CSR initiatives. This helps build trust with stakeholders and demonstrates a commitment to responsible business practices (Ajmal, et. al., 2021, Nkrumah, et. al., 2021, Okoro, et. al., 2024).

Companies are increasingly integrating CSR into their overall business strategies, recognizing that responsible business practices can contribute to long-term success and profitability. CSR is no longer seen as a separate function but as an integral part of the business. CSR is also being integrated into risk management processes, with companies identifying and managing ESG risks that could impact their operations, reputation, and financial performance. This includes risks related to climate change, community relations, and regulatory compliance. Companies are engaging with a wide range of stakeholders, including investors, governments, NGOs, and local communities, to understand their expectations and incorporate their feedback into decision-making processes (Siltaloppi, Rajala and Hietala, 2021, Yuan, et. al., 2020). This helps build stronger relationships and enhance the effectiveness of CSR initiatives. CSR is driving innovation and collaboration within the sector, with companies working together and with external partners to develop sustainable solutions to common challenges, such as reducing carbon emissions and promoting social development.

Companies are developing and using a range of metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs) to measure the impact of their CSR initiatives. This includes tracking progress towards environmental goals, community development targets, and health and safety performance. There is a growing emphasis on transparent and standardized reporting of CSR performance. Many companies are aligning their reporting practices with international standards, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), to ensure consistency and comparability (Dissanayake, 2021, Hristov and Chirico, 2019, McCullough and Trail, 2023).

Companies are also engaging with stakeholders to gather feedback on their CSR performance and to improve their impact measurement and reporting practices. This helps ensure that CSR initiatives are effective and meet the needs of stakeholders. CSR measurement and reporting are not static processes but are continuously evolving. Companies are constantly seeking to improve their impact measurement methodologies and reporting practices to provide stakeholders with accurate and meaningful information (Hristov and Appolloni, 2022, Khanal, Akhtaruzzaman and Kularatne, 2021, Yang and Basile, 2021).

In conclusion, contemporary CSR practices in the oil and gas sector are characterized by a focus on environmental sustainability, community development, human rights, health and safety, transparency, and governance. Companies are integrating CSR into their business strategies, measuring and reporting on their impact, and engaging with stakeholders to enhance the effectiveness of their CSR initiatives.

6. Challenges and Hurdles in Corporate Governance and CSR

Corporate governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) are essential components of modern business practices, but they come with their own set of challenges and hurdles. This essay examines some of the key challenges in corporate governance and CSR, including regulatory complexities, balancing economic objectives with social and environmental responsibilities, and addressing stakeholder expectations and activism. One of the major challenges in corporate governance and CSR is the fragmentation of regulatory frameworks across different jurisdictions. Companies operating in multiple countries must navigate a complex web of regulations, which can be time-consuming and costly (Berber, Slavić and Aleksić, 2019, Coker, et. al., 2023, Udokwu et. al., 2023).

Meeting regulatory requirements related to corporate governance and CSR can be challenging for companies, particularly smaller firms with limited resources. Compliance with regulations often requires significant time and effort, diverting resources away from other business activities. The regulatory landscape is constantly evolving, with new regulations and guidelines being introduced regularly. Keeping up with these changes and ensuring compliance can be a daunting task for companies, especially those operating in highly regulated industries such as finance and healthcare. Even when regulations are in place, enforcement can be a challenge. Regulatory authorities may lack the resources or capacity to effectively enforce compliance, leading to a lack of accountability among companies (Abrahams, et. al., 2024, Flammer, Hong and Minor, 2019, Oguejiofor, et. al., 2023).

One of the fundamental challenges in CSR is balancing the pursuit of profit with the need to address social and environmental issues. Companies are under pressure to maximize shareholder value, sometimes at the expense of other stakeholders and the environment. There is often a tension between short-term financial goals and long-term sustainability objectives. Companies may prioritize short-term profits over long-term sustainability, leading to decisions that are not in the best interests of society or the environment (Barnett, 2019, Masum, et. al., 2020, Schoenmaker, 2020).

Implementing CSR initiatives can be resource-intensive, requiring investment in new technologies, processes, and programs. Companies with limited resources may struggle to implement comprehensive CSR strategies, leading to a focus on short-term, ad-hoc initiatives. Global supply chains present challenges for companies seeking to ensure that their products are produced ethically and sustainably. Monitoring and managing supply chain practices can be difficult, particularly in industries with complex and opaque supply chains (Ghobakhloo et. al., 2023, Li, et. al., 2023, Topleva and Prokopov, 2020).

Companies are accountable to a wide range of stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, and the communities in which they operate. Balancing the interests and expectations of these diverse groups can be challenging. Stakeholders are becoming increasingly vocal and proactive in holding companies accountable for their actions. Social media and digital communication have made it easier for stakeholders to organize and mobilize, amplifying their impact (Andriukaitiene, Janulionis and Voronkova, 2019, Bebchuk and Tallarita, 2022, Mahmud, Ding and Hasan, 2021).

Maintaining a positive corporate reputation is crucial for companies, as negative publicity can have a significant impact on brand image and customer loyalty. Managing stakeholder expectations and addressing concerns proactively is essential for protecting corporate reputation. Conflicts may arise between stakeholders with competing interests, requiring companies to navigate complex and often sensitive issues. Resolving these conflicts requires strong communication skills, negotiation abilities, and a commitment to finding mutually beneficial solutions (Le, 2023, Khan, et. al., 2022, Özkan, et. al., 2020).

In conclusion, corporate governance and CSR present a range of challenges for companies, including regulatory complexities, balancing economic objectives with social and environmental responsibilities, and addressing stakeholder expectations and activism. Overcoming these challenges requires a commitment to transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement, as well as a willingness to adapt to a rapidly changing business landscape.

7. Best Practices and Strategies for Corporate Governance and CSR

Corporate governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) are essential components of modern business practices, with a focus on ethical conduct, transparency, and accountability (Rendtorff, 2019, Sahut, Peris-Ortiz and Teulon, 2019, Yusoff, Ahman and Darus, 2019). This essay explores some of the best practices and strategies for corporate governance and CSR, including successful governance models and structures, effective CSR strategies, and case studies illustrating exemplary practices.

Ensuring a diverse and independent board of directors is essential for effective corporate governance. Boards should include members with a range of skills, experiences, and backgrounds to provide valuable insights and oversight. Boards should actively oversee the company's operations, including its financial performance, risk management practices, and compliance with laws and regulations. Regular board meetings and reporting mechanisms are essential for effective oversight. Linking executive compensation to performance metrics that align with long-term shareholder value is a key governance practice (Baysinger and Butler, 2019, Larcker and Tayan, 2020, Naciti, 2019). This helps align the interests of executives with those of shareholders and promotes responsible decision-making.

Engaging with stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and the community, is crucial for effective governance. Companies should seek to understand and address stakeholder concerns and integrate stakeholder feedback into decision-making processes. Implementing robust risk management practices is essential for effective governance. Companies should identify, assess, and mitigate risks across all areas of the business to protect shareholder value and ensure long-term sustainability. Conducting a materiality assessment to identify and prioritize CSR issues that are most relevant to the company and its stakeholders. This helps focus CSR efforts on areas where they can have the greatest impact (Edinger-Schons, et. al., 2020, Henisz, Koller and Nuttall, 2019, Winkler, Brown and Finegold, 2019).

Engaging with stakeholders to understand their expectations and concerns regarding CSR. This helps ensure that CSR initiatives are aligned with stakeholder needs and interests. Collaborating with other organizations, including NGOs, governments, and industry partners, to leverage expertise and resources in addressing CSR issues. This can lead to more impactful and sustainable outcomes. Establishing clear metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs) to measure the impact of CSR initiatives. Regular reporting on CSR performance helps demonstrate accountability and transparency to stakeholders. Integrating CSR into the company's overall business strategy and operations. This ensures that CSR is not seen as a separate function but as a core part of how the company does business.

Unilever has been recognized for its commitment to sustainability and CSR. The company has set ambitious goals to reduce its environmental impact, improve the health and well-being of its customers, and enhance the livelihoods of people in its supply chain. Patagonia is known for its strong commitment to environmental sustainability and social responsibility. The company has implemented a range of initiatives to reduce its environmental footprint, including using recycled materials in its products and promoting fair labor practices in its supply chain. Microsoft has been praised for its efforts to promote diversity and inclusion in its workforce and supply chain. The company has implemented programs to support underrepresented groups and has set ambitious diversity goals for its workforce.

Novartis has been recognized for its commitment to corporate governance and ethical business practices. The company has implemented strict compliance policies and has a strong focus on transparency and accountability in its operations. Tesla has been praised for its innovative approach to CSR, particularly in the areas of renewable energy and electric vehicles. The company's products are seen as contributing to a more sustainable future, and its CEO, Elon Musk, has been a vocal advocate for environmental causes.

In conclusion, effective corporate governance and CSR require a commitment to ethical conduct, transparency, and accountability. By implementing best practices and strategies, companies can enhance their reputation, build trust with stakeholders, and create long-term value for shareholders and society.

8. Future Directions and Opportunities

The oil and gas industry is undergoing a profound transformation as it grapples with the challenges of climate change, shifting consumer preferences, and increasing regulatory scrutiny. Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) are key areas where the industry can drive sustainability and resilience. This review explores emerging trends, opportunities for innovation and collaboration, and the importance of sustainability-driven governance for the future of the oil and gas sector (Blazquez, et. al., 2020, Blondeel and Bradshaw, 2022).

One of the most significant trends shaping the future of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector is the focus on climate change mitigation. Companies are under increasing pressure to reduce their carbon footprint and transition to cleaner energy sources. There is a growing recognition of the importance of stakeholder engagement in corporate governance and CSR. Companies are engaging with a wider range of stakeholders, including investors, communities, and NGOs, to understand their concerns and incorporate their feedback into decision-making processes.

Technology is playing an increasingly important role in corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector. Companies are using technology to improve environmental performance, enhance safety, and optimize operations. There is a growing emphasis on supply chain transparency in the oil and gas sector. Companies are being held accountable for the environmental and social impacts of their supply chains and are implementing measures to ensure transparency and accountability. The regulatory landscape is evolving rapidly, with governments around the world introducing new regulations and guidelines to promote sustainability and reduce carbon emissions. Companies will need to stay abreast of these developments and ensure compliance to maintain their social license to operate (Koolwal and Khandelwal, 2019, Shah, et. al., 2022).

The shift towards renewable energy presents significant opportunities for innovation and collaboration in the oil and gas sector. Companies can invest in renewable energy projects, develop new technologies, and collaborate with other

stakeholders to accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy. Adopting circular economy principles can help oil and gas companies reduce waste, improve resource efficiency, and create new revenue streams. Collaboration with other industries and stakeholders is key to implementing successful circular economy initiatives.

Digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and the Internet of Things (IoT) can help companies improve operational efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and enhance safety. Collaboration with technology providers and other industries can accelerate the adoption of these technologies. Investing in community development programs can help oil and gas companies build positive relationships with local communities and enhance their social license to operate. Collaboration with local governments, NGOs, and community organizations is essential for the success of these programs (Javaid, et. al., 2022, Li, et. al., 2023).

Oil and gas companies can play a role in ecosystem restoration by investing in reforestation, wetland restoration, and other conservation projects. Collaboration with environmental organizations and government agencies can help companies achieve their sustainability goals. Sustainability-driven governance helps companies identify and manage risks associated with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues. By integrating sustainability into governance practices, companies can enhance their resilience to external shocks and disruptions.

Companies with strong sustainability-driven governance practices are more likely to build trust with stakeholders and enhance their brand reputation. This can lead to increased customer loyalty, investor confidence, and employee engagement. Sustainability-driven governance can provide companies with a competitive advantage in the marketplace. Companies that are seen as leaders in sustainability are more likely to attract customers, investors, and talent.

Sustainability-driven governance helps companies comply with increasingly stringent environmental regulations. By proactively addressing ESG issues, companies can avoid regulatory fines and penalties. Sustainability-driven governance is essential for long-term value creation. Companies that prioritize sustainability are more likely to create lasting value for shareholders, employees, and society as a whole.

In conclusion, the future of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector lies in sustainability-driven practices that focus on environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and ethical governance. By embracing emerging trends, seizing opportunities for innovation and collaboration, and prioritizing sustainability, companies can enhance their resilience, build trust with stakeholders, and create long-term value.

9. Conclusion

The review has highlighted the evolving landscape of corporate governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the oil and gas sector, focusing on trends, challenges, and best practices for sustainability. Emerging trends such as climate change mitigation, stakeholder engagement, and technology adoption are shaping the future of corporate governance and CSR. Opportunities for innovation and collaboration exist in renewable energy transition, circular economy initiatives, digital transformation, community development programs, and ecosystem restoration. Sustainability-driven governance is essential for risk management, brand reputation, competitive advantage, regulatory compliance, and long-term value creation.

Industry stakeholders, including companies, governments, investors, NGOs, and communities, must collaborate to drive sustainable practices in the oil and gas sector. There is a need for: Greater transparency and accountability in corporate governance and CSR practices. Continued investment in renewable energy and sustainable technologies. Enhanced stakeholder engagement and dialogue to address concerns and build trust. Adoption of best practices and standards to ensure responsible business conduct. Support for policies and regulations that promote sustainability and reduce carbon emissions.

The future of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector lies in a sustainable and responsible approach to business. This includes: Embracing a holistic view of sustainability that considers environmental, social, and governance factors in decision-making. Integrating sustainability into the core business strategy and operations. Fostering a culture of innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement. Building resilient and adaptive systems that can withstand future challenges and disruptions. Leading the transition to a low-carbon economy and contributing to global efforts to combat climate change.

In conclusion, the future of corporate governance and CSR in the oil and gas sector is bright, with opportunities for companies to drive positive change and create value for all stakeholders. By embracing sustainability and responsible business practices, the industry can build a more sustainable and resilient future for generations to come.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abrahams, T.O., Ewuga, S.K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P.U., Hassan, A.O. and Dawodu, S.O., 2024. MASTERING COMPLIANCE: A Comprehensive Review Of Regulatory Frameworks In Accounting And Cybersecurity. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, 5(1), pp.120-140.
- [2] Adams, D., Adams, K., Ullah, S., and Ullah, F. (2019). Globalisation, governance, accountability and the natural resource 'curse': Implications for socio-economic growth of oil-rich developing countries. *Resources Policy*, 61, 128-140.
- [3] Agudelo, M. A. L., Johannsdottir, L., and Davidsdottir, B. (2020). Drivers that motivate energy companies to be responsible. A systematic literature review of Corporate Social Responsibility in the energy sector. *Journal of cleaner production*, 247, 119094.
- [4] Ajmal, M., Isha, A. S. N., Nordin, S. M., Sabir, A. A., Munir, A., Al-Mekhlafi, A. B. A., and Naji, G. M. A. (2021). Safety management paradigms: COVID-19 employee well-being impact on occupational health and safety performance. *Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences*, 48(3).
- [5] Alshbili, I., Elamer, A. A., and Beddewela, E. (2020). Ownership types, corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosures: Empirical evidence from a developing country. *Accounting Research Journal*, 33(1), 148-166.
- [6] Aluchna, M., and Roszkowska-Menkes, M. (2019). Integrating corporate social responsibility and corporate governance at the company level. Towards a conceptual model. *Engineering Economics*, 30(3), 349-361.
- [7] Andriukaitiene, R., Janulionis, E., and Voronkova, V. (2019). The concept of corporate social responsibility and its implementation in the activity of organizations. *Гуманітарний вісник Запорізької державної інженерної академії*, (76), 184-199.
- [8] Baker, H. K., Purda, L., and Saadi, S. (2020). Corporate fraud exposed: An overview. *Corporate Fraud Exposed: A Comprehensive and Holistic Approach*, 3-18.
- [9] Banerjee, S. (2020). Institutionalization of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India and its effects on CSR reporting: A case study of the petroleum and gas industry. *Mandated Corporate Social Responsibility: Evidence from India*, 141-157.
- [10] Barnett, M. L. (2019). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A critique and an indirect path forward. *Business and Society*, 58(1), 167-190.
- [11] Baysinger, B. D., and Butler, H. N. (2019). Corporate governance and the board of directors: Performance effects of changes in board composition. In *Corporate Governance* (pp. 215-238). Gower.
- [12] Bebchuk, L. A., and Tallarita, R. (2022). Will Corporations Deliver Value to All Stakeholders?. *Vand. L. Rev.*, 75, 1031.
- [13] Berber, N., Slavić, A., and Aleksić, M. (2019). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate governance. *Ekonomika*, 65(3), 1-12.
- [14] Blazquez, J., Dale, S., Jefferiss, P., Heffron, R. J., Herbertson, J., West, R., and Hegland, J. (2020). Decarbonization pathways for oil and gas. In *Oxford Energy Forum* (Vol. 121, pp. 1-40).
- [15] Blondeel, M., and Bradshaw, M. (2022). Managing transition risk: Toward an interdisciplinary understanding of strategies in the oil industry. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 91, 102696.
- [16] Brennan, N. M., Subramaniam, N., and Van Staden, C. J. (2019). Corporate governance implications of disruptive technology: An overview. *The British Accounting Review*, 51(6), 100860.
- [17] Carmer, S. I. (2019). Corporate Environmental Strategies for Balancing Profitability with Environmental Stewardship.

- [18] Chowdhury, R. H., Choi, S., Ennis, S., and Chung, D. (2019). Which dimension of corporate social responsibility is a value driver in the oil and gas industry?. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, 36(2), 260-272.
- [19] Coker, J.O., Uzougbo, N.S., Oguejiofor, B.B. and Akagha, O.V., 2023. The Role Of Legal Practitioners In Mitigating Corporate Risks In Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review Of Existing Literature On The Strategies And Approaches Adopted By Legal Practitioners In Nigeria To Mitigate Corporate Risks. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, 5(10), pp.309-332
- [20] Cole, M. (Ed.). (2022). *Education, equality and human rights: issues of gender, 'race', sexuality, disability and social class*. Taylor and Francis.
- [21] Crifo, P., Escrig-Olmedo, E., and Mottis, N. (2019). Corporate governance as a key driver of corporate sustainability in France: The role of board members and investor relations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 159, 1127-1146.
- [22] Dashwood, H. S. (2020). The rise of corporate social responsibility as a global norm informing the practices of economic actors. *The Rise of Responsibility in World Politics*, 167-87.
- [23] Dicuonzo, G., Donofrio, F., Iannuzzi, A. P., and Dell'Atti, V. (2022). The integration of sustainability in corporate governance systems: an innovative framework applied to the European systematically important banks. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 19(3), 249-263.
- [24] Dissanayake, D. (2021). Sustainability key performance indicators and the global reporting initiative: usage and challenges in a developing country context. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(3), 543-567.
- [25] Dudin, M. N., Frolova, E. E., Protopopova, O. V., Mamedov, O., and Odintsov, S. V. (2019). Study of innovative technologies in the energy industry: nontraditional and renewable energy sources. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 6(4), 1704.
- [26] Duttagupta, A., Islam, M., Hosseinabad, E. R., and Zaman, M. A. U. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and sustainability: a perspective from the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Nature, Science and Technology*, 2, 22-29.
- [27] Dyck, A., Lins, K. V., Roth, L., and Wagner, H. F. (2019). Do institutional investors drive corporate social responsibility? International evidence. *Journal of financial economics*, 131(3), 693-714.
- [28] Edinger-Schons, L. M., Lengler-Graiff, L., Scheidler, S., Mende, G., and Wieseke, J. (2020). Listen to the voice of the customer—First steps towards stakeholder democracy. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 29(3), 510-527.
- [29] ElAlfy, A., Palaschuk, N., El-Bassiouny, D., Wilson, J., and Weber, O. (2020). Scoping the evolution of corporate social responsibility (CSR) research in the sustainable development goals (SDGs) era. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5544.
- [30] Elalfy, A., Weber, O., and Geobey, S. (2021). The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): a rising tide lifts all boats? Global reporting implications in a post SDGs world. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 22(3), 557-575.
- [31] El-Bassiouny, D., and El-Bassiouny, N. (2019). Diversity, corporate governance and CSR reporting: A comparative analysis between top-listed firms in Egypt, Germany and the USA. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 30(1), 116-136.
- [32] Fatima, T., and Elbanna, S. (2023). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) implementation: A review and a research agenda towards an integrative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 183(1), 105-121.
- [33] Fernández-Temprano, M. A., and Tejerina-Gaite, F. (2020). Types of director, board diversity and firm performance. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 20(2), 324-342.
- [34] Flammer, C., Hong, B., and Minor, D. (2019). Corporate governance and the rise of integrating corporate social responsibility criteria in executive compensation: Effectiveness and implications for firm outcomes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 40(7), 1097-1122.
- [35] Flyverbom, M., Deibert, R., and Matten, D. (2019). The governance of digital technology, big data, and the internet: New roles and responsibilities for business. *Business and Society*, 58(1), 3-19.
- [36] Gangi, F., Meles, A., D'Angelo, E., and Daniele, L. M. (2019). Sustainable development and corporate governance in the financial system: are environmentally friendly banks less risky?. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(3), 529-547.
- [37] Ghobakhloo, M., Asadi, S., Iranmanesh, M., Foroughi, B., Mubarak, M. F., and Yadegaridehkordi, E. (2023). Intelligent automation implementation and corporate sustainability performance: The enabling role of corporate social responsibility strategy. *Technology in Society*, 102301.

- [38] Gyane, A. T. (2019). Investments in corporate social responsibilities, disclosure practices and financial performance of international oil companies with investments in Africa (Doctoral dissertation, University of Cape Coast).
- [39] Henisz, W., Koller, T., and Nuttall, R. (2019). Five ways that ESG creates value.
- [40] Hristov, I., and Appolloni, A. (2022). Stakeholders' engagement in the business strategy as a key driver to increase companies' performance: Evidence from managerial and stakeholders' practices. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(4), 1488-1503.
- [41] Hristov, I., and Chirico, A. (2019). The role of sustainability key performance indicators (KPIs) in implementing sustainable strategies. *Sustainability*, 11(20), 5742.
- [42] Isallah, H. (2023). The Impact of the Petroleum Industry Act on Corporate Social Responsibility and Taxation in Nigeria's Upstream Oil and Gas Sector: A Path towards Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 15(21), 15538.
- [43] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., and Gonzalez, E. S. (2022). Understanding the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies in improving environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3, 203-217.
- [44] Kabeyi, M. J. B. (2020). Corporate governance in manufacturing and management with analysis of governance failures at Enron and Volkswagen Corporations. *Am J Oper Manage Inform Syst*, 4(4), 109-123.
- [45] Karwowski, M., and Raulinajtys-Grzybek, M. (2021). The application of corporate social responsibility (CSR) actions for mitigation of environmental, social, corporate governance (ESG) and reputational risk in integrated reports. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(4), 1270-1284.
- [46] Khan, R. U., Salamzadeh, Y., Iqbal, Q., and Yang, S. (2022). The impact of customer relationship management and company reputation on customer loyalty: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 21(1), 1-26.
- [47] Khanal, A., Akhtaruzzaman, M., and Kularatne, I. (2021). The influence of social media on stakeholder engagement and the corporate social responsibility of small businesses. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(6), 1921-1929.
- [48] Kiranmai, J., and Mishra, R. K. (2022). Recent Advances in Corporate Governance: A Global View. *Corporate Governance-Recent Advances and Perspectives*.
- [49] Klein, P. G., Mahoney, J. T., McGahan, A. M., and Pitelis, C. N. (2019). Organizational governance adaptation: Who is in, who is out, and who gets what. *Academy of Management Review*, 44(1), 6-27.
- [50] Koolwal, N., and Khandelwal, S. (2019, February). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) implementation in oil and gas industry: Challenges and solutions. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Sustainable Computing in Science, Technology and Management (SUSCOM)*, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-India.
- [51] Larcker, D., and Tayan, B. (2020). *Corporate governance matters*. FT Press.
- [52] Le, T. T. (2023). Corporate social responsibility and SMEs' performance: mediating role of corporate image, corporate reputation and customer loyalty. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 18(10), 4565-4590.
- [53] Li, J., Herdem, M. S., Nathwani, J., and Wen, J. Z. (2023). Methods and applications for Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, Internet of Things, and Blockchain in smart energy management. *Energy and AI*, 11, 100208.
- [54] Li, L., Wang, Y., Sun, H., Shen, H., and Lin, Y. (2023). Corporate social responsibility information disclosure and financial performance: Is green technology innovation a missing link?. *Sustainability*, 15(15), 11926.
- [55] Litvinenko, V. (2020). The role of hydrocarbons in the global energy agenda: The focus on liquefied natural gas. *Resources*, 9(5), 59.
- [56] Lorenzato, G., Tordo, S., Howells, H. M., and van den Berg, B. (2022). *Financing solutions to reduce natural gas flaring and methane emissions*. World Bank Publications.
- [57] Lu, H., Guo, L., and Zhang, Y. (2019). Oil and gas companies' low-carbon emission transition to integrated energy companies. *Science of the total environment*, 686, 1202-1209.
- [58] Lu, H., Guo, L., Azimi, M., and Huang, K. (2019). Oil and Gas 4.0 era: A systematic review and outlook. *Computers in Industry*, 111, 68-90.
- [59] Mahmud, A., Ding, D., and Hasan, M. M. (2021). Corporate social responsibility: Business responses to Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. *SAGE open*, 11(1), 2158244020988710.
- [60] Manita, R., Elommal, N., Baudier, P., and Hikkerova, L. (2020). The digital transformation of external audit and its impact on corporate governance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 150, 119751.

- [61] Manning, B., Braam, G., and Reimsbach, D. (2019). Corporate governance and sustainable business conduct—Effects of board monitoring effectiveness and stakeholder engagement on corporate sustainability performance and disclosure choices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(2), 351-366.
- [62] Mansoor, R., and Tahir, M. (2021). Recent developments in natural gas flaring reduction and reformation to energy-efficient fuels: a review. *Energy and Fuels*, 35(5), 3675-3714.
- [63] Martiskainen, M., Axon, S., Sovacool, B. K., Sareen, S., Del Rio, D. F., and Axon, K. (2020). Contextualizing climate justice activism: Knowledge, emotions, motivations, and actions among climate strikers in six cities. *Global Environmental Change*, 65, 102180.
- [64] Masum, A., Hanan, H., Awang, H., Aziz, A., and Ahmad, M. H. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and its effect on community development: An overview. *Journal of Accounting Science*, 22(1), 35-40.
- [65] McCullough, B. P., and Trail, G. T. (2023). Assessing key performance indicators of corporate social responsibility initiatives in sport. *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 23(1), 82-103.
- [66] Mees, B., and Smith, S. A. (2019). Corporate governance reform in Australia: A new institutional approach. *British Journal of Management*, 30(1), 75-89.
- [67] Nabiebu, M., Efombruh, O. E., and Udo, E. J. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility as a Tool towards Enhancement of Corporate Operation and Environmental Protection in the Nigerian Oil and Gas Sector. *Journal of Civil and Legal Sciences*, 8(1), 257.
- [68] Naciti, V. (2019). Corporate governance and board of directors: The effect of a board composition on firm sustainability performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117727.
- [69] Nave, A., and Ferreira, J. (2019). Corporate social responsibility strategies: Past research and future challenges. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(4), 885-901.
- [70] Neri, S. (2021). Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) and Integrated Reporting. *Global Challenges to CSR and Sustainable Development: Root Causes and Evidence from Case Studies*, 293-302.
- [71] Nkrumah, E. N. K., Liu, S., Doe Fiergbor, D., and Akoto, L. S. (2021). Improving the safety–performance nexus: a study on the moderating and mediating influence of work motivation in the causal link between occupational health and safety management (ohsm) practices and work performance in the oil and gas sector. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(10), 5064.
- [72] Norouzi, N., Fani, M., and Ziarani, Z. K. (2020). The fall of oil Age: A scenario planning approach over the last peak oil of human history by 2040. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 188, 106827.
- [73] Oguejiofor, B.B., Omotosho, A., Abioye, K.M., Alabi, A.M., Oguntoyinbo, F.N., Daraojimba, A.I. and Daraojimba, C., 2023. A review on data-driven regulatory compliance in Nigeria. *International Journal of applied research in social sciences*, 5(8), pp.231-243
- [74] Okoro, Y.O., Ayo-Farai, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C. and Sodamade, O.T., 2024. The Role Of Technology In Enhancing Mental Health Advocacy: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(1), pp.37-50.
- [75] Olanipekun, A. O., Oshodi, O. S., Darko, A., and Omotayo, T. (2020). The state of corporate social responsibility practice in the construction sector. *Smart and sustainable built environment*, 9(2), 91-111.
- [76] Österblom, H., Bebbington, J., Blasiak, R., Sobkowiak, M., and Folke, C. (2022). Transnational corporations, biosphere stewardship, and sustainable futures. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 47, 609-635.
- [77] Özkan, P., Süer, S., Keser, İ. K., and Kocakoç, İ. D. (2020). The effect of service quality and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty: The mediation of perceived value of services, corporate image, and corporate reputation. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(2), 384-405.
- [78] Palacios, L. (2021). The impact of ESG on national oil companies. *Center on Global Energy Policy*.
- [79] Park, J., Cuervo-Cazurra, A., and Montiel, I. (2023). The evolution of sustainability concerns over business activities: from local to cross-national to global. In *Research Handbook on International Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 7-24). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [80] Popescu, C. R. G. (2019). Corporate social responsibility, corporate governance and business performance: Limits and challenges imposed by the implementation of directive 2013/34/EU in Romania. *Sustainability*, 11(19), 5146.

- [81] Puni, A., and Anlesinya, A. (2020). Corporate governance mechanisms and firm performance in a developing country. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 62(2), 147-169.
- [82] Rendtorff, J. D. (2019). The concept of business legitimacy: Corporate social responsibility, corporate citizenship, corporate governance as essential elements of ethical business legitimacy. *Responsibility and governance: The twin pillars of sustainability*, 45-60.
- [83] Ruggie, J. G. (2020). The social construction of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. In *Research handbook on human rights and business* (pp. 63-86). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [84] Sahut, J. M., Peris-Ortiz, M., and Teulon, F. (2019). Corporate social responsibility and governance. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 23, 901-912.
- [85] Sarhan, A. A., Ntim, C. G., and Al-Najjar, B. (2019). Board diversity, corporate governance, corporate performance, and executive pay. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 24(2), 761-786.
- [86] Schoenmaker, D. (2020). The impact economy: Balancing profit and impact. Schoenmaker, D.(2020), 'The Impact Economy: Balancing Profit and Impact', Working Paper, 4.
- [87] Shah, S. Q. A., Lai, F. W., Shad, M. K., and Jan, A. A. (2022). Developing a green governance framework for the performance enhancement of the oil and gas industry. *Sustainability*, 14(7), 3735.
- [88] Sitaloppi, J., Rajala, R., and Hietala, H. (2021). Integrating CSR with business strategy: a tension management perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 174, 507-527.
- [89] Solomon, J. (2020). *Corporate governance and accountability*. John Wiley and Sons.
- [90] Suska, M. (2021). Environmental Corporate Social Responsibility (ECSR) on the Example of Polish Champion Oil, Gas and Mining Companies. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6179.
- [91] Sze, J. (2020). *Environmental justice in a moment of danger* (Vol. 11). University of California Press.
- [92] Tetteh, L. A., Agyenim-Boateng, C., and Simpson, S. N. Y. (2024). Institutional pressures and accountability processes in pursuit of sustainable development goals: Insights from Ghanaian indigenous oil companies. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(1), 89-107.
- [93] Titova, N., Cherepovitsyna, A., and Guseva, T. (2023). Meeting the UN's Sustainable Development Goals in the Decarbonization Agenda: A Case of Russian Oil and Gas Companies. *Resources*, 12(10), 121.
- [94] Topleva, S. A., and Prokopov, T. V. (2020). Integrated business model for sustainability of small and medium-sized enterprises in the food industry: Creating value added through ecodesign. *British Food Journal*, 122(5), 1463-1483.
- [95] Udokwu S. T. C., Oshioste E. E., Okoye C. C., Nwankwo T. C., Azubuike N. U., and Uzougbo N. S. (2023). Impact of human resources management on organizational performance: A case study. *Corporate Sustainable Management Journal (CSMJ)*. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.26480/csmj.02.2023.91.102>
- [96] Wei, S., Sial, M. S., Comite, U., Thu, P. A., Badulescu, D., and Popp, J. (2021). An examination to explain the mechanism of employees' environment-specific behavior through CSR and work engagement from the perspective of stewardship theory. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(17), 9370.
- [97] Winkler, A. L. P., Brown, J. A., and Finegold, D. L. (2019). Employees as conduits for effective stakeholder engagement: An example from B corporations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160, 913-936.
- [98] Yang, J., and Basile, K. (2021). Communicating corporate social responsibility: External stakeholder involvement, productivity and firm performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-17.
- [99] Yuan, Y., Lu, L. Y., Tian, G., and Yu, Y. (2020). Business strategy and corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 162, 359-377.
- [100] Yusoff, H., Ahman, Z., and Darus, F. (2019). The influence of corporate governance on corporate social responsibility disclosure: A focus on accountability. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 23, 1-16.
- [101] Zaman, R., Jain, T., Samara, G., and Jamali, D. (2022). Corporate governance meets corporate social responsibility: Mapping the interface. *Business and Society*, 61(3), 690-752.