



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Evaluating environmental legislation on disaster resilience: Data insights from Nigeria and the USA

Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh ^{1,*}, Adekunle Oyeyemi Adeniyi ², Chidiogo Uzoamaka Akpuokwe ³, Seun Solomon Bakare ⁴ and Mwuese Celestina Titor-Addingi ⁵

¹ Department of Public Law, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

² United Nations Population Fund, Sri Lanka.

³ Independent Researcher, Seattle, Washington State, USA.

⁴ Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Faculty of Law, Leiden University, the Netherlands.

⁵ Independent Researcher, West Virginia, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(02), 1900–1908

Publication history: Received on 15 January 2024; revised on 25 February 2024; accepted on 27 February 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0636>

Abstract

This review provides a succinct overview of the research conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of environmental legislation in enhancing disaster resilience, drawing insights from case studies in Nigeria and the United States. Environmental legislation plays a pivotal role in mitigating the impacts of natural disasters, yet its effectiveness in fostering resilience remains a subject of debate. This study employs a comparative analysis approach, examining the legislative frameworks and their implementation in two diverse contexts: Nigeria, representing a developing nation with significant environmental challenges, and the USA, a developed nation with robust regulatory mechanisms. Through comprehensive data collection and analysis, this research scrutinizes the extent to which environmental legislation contributes to disaster resilience in both countries. Key factors such as regulatory compliance, enforcement mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, and institutional capacity are evaluated to gauge the efficacy of the legislative frameworks. Findings reveal disparities in the implementation and effectiveness of environmental legislation between Nigeria and the USA. In Nigeria, despite the existence of relevant laws, challenges persist in enforcement due to institutional weaknesses, corruption, and inadequate resources. Consequently, communities remain vulnerable to recurrent disasters, exacerbating socio-economic disparities and environmental degradation. Conversely, the USA demonstrates a more structured approach to environmental legislation, with robust regulatory mechanisms, efficient enforcement, and proactive risk management strategies. This has led to greater resilience and adaptive capacity, evidenced by effective disaster preparedness, response, and recovery measures. However, despite these disparities, the study identifies common areas for improvement in both contexts. Strengthening institutional capacity, enhancing public awareness and participation, promoting sustainable practices, and fostering international cooperation emerge as crucial strategies to bolster disaster resilience through environmental legislation. This research underscores the significance of evaluating environmental legislation in enhancing disaster resilience, providing valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders in Nigeria, the USA, and beyond. By addressing the identified challenges and leveraging best practices, nations can foster a more resilient future in the face of escalating environmental risks and uncertainties.

Keywords: Environmental Legislation; Disaster; Resilience; Data Insight; Nigeria; USA; Review

* Corresponding author: Nkechi Emmanuella Eneh.

1. Introduction

Environmental legislation and disaster resilience are two interconnected aspects that play a crucial role in mitigating the adverse impacts of natural disasters and fostering sustainable development. Environmental legislation encompasses a set of laws, regulations, and policies aimed at protecting the environment, conserving natural resources, and promoting sustainable practices. On the other hand, disaster resilience refers to the ability of communities, infrastructure, and ecosystems to withstand, recover from, and adapt to the impacts of hazards such as floods, hurricanes, droughts, and wildfires (Sandifer, and Walker, 2018; Gupta, et al., 2019).

In recent decades, the world has witnessed an increase in the frequency and severity of natural disasters, exacerbated by factors such as climate change, rapid urbanization, and environmental degradation (Hussain, et al., 2020; Baker, 2012). These events pose significant challenges to communities, governments, and economies, underscoring the need for effective disaster management strategies and resilient infrastructure. Environmental legislation serves as a critical tool in this regard, providing a framework for risk reduction, preparedness, and response to natural hazards (Huppert, and Sparks, 2006; Banholzer, et al., 2014).

The significance of evaluating environmental legislation in Nigeria and the USA lies in the unique socio-economic, environmental, and governance contexts of these countries. Nigeria, as a developing nation, faces numerous environmental challenges, including deforestation, soil erosion, pollution, and inadequate waste management (Eneh, 2011; Oyebo, 2018). These issues are compounded by factors such as rapid population growth, urbanization, and limited institutional capacity, making the country particularly vulnerable to the impacts of natural disasters (Baker, 2012). In contrast, the USA boasts a robust legal and regulatory framework for environmental protection, supported by advanced technological capabilities and institutional resources (Van Rooij and Lo, 2010; National Research Council, 2012). However, the country is not immune to the challenges posed by natural disasters, as evidenced by events such as Hurricane Katrina, Superstorm Sandy, and the recent wildfires in California (Montz, et al., 2017; Thomas, 2017). Evaluating environmental legislation in both Nigeria and the USA offers valuable insights into the effectiveness of regulatory mechanisms, enforcement practices, and institutional arrangements in enhancing disaster resilience.

The purpose of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of environmental legislation and its impact on disaster resilience in Nigeria and the USA. By examining the strengths, weaknesses, and implementation challenges of existing legal frameworks, the research aims to identify opportunities for improvement and best practices that can be replicated in other contexts.

Overall, this study seeks to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on environmental governance and disaster risk reduction, with practical implications for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders involved in sustainable development and disaster management efforts.

2. Literature Review

Environmental legislation and disaster resilience are intertwined concepts that have garnered significant attention in academic literature and policy discussions. Scholars have explored the role of environmental laws, regulations, and policies in mitigating the impacts of natural disasters and promoting resilience at various scales, from local communities to national governments.

Studies have highlighted the importance of proactive measures, such as land-use planning, zoning regulations, building codes, and environmental impact assessments, in reducing vulnerability to hazards and enhancing adaptive capacity (Hung, et al., 2016; Glavovic, et al., 2010). Furthermore, research emphasizes the need for integrated approaches that address both environmental and socio-economic factors to build resilient communities and ecosystems. Additionally, scholars have examined the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks in different contexts, considering factors such as political will, enforcement capacity, stakeholder engagement, and institutional coordination. Comparative analyses of environmental legislation across countries provide valuable insights into best practices, challenges, and lessons learned that can inform policy formulation and implementation (Berke, et al., 1997; Yu, et al., 2022).

Nigeria and the USA represent contrasting cases in terms of environmental legislation and disaster resilience. In Nigeria, the legal framework for environmental protection includes laws such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act, and the Oil Pollution Act (Suleiman, et al., 2019; Ijaiya, and Joseph, 2014). However, challenges persist in implementation due to institutional weaknesses, inadequate enforcement, corruption, and limited resources. In contrast, the USA has a comprehensive

system of environmental laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). These laws are supported by well-established regulatory agencies, robust enforcement mechanisms, and extensive monitoring and reporting systems. Despite occasional shortcomings, such as regulatory rollback initiatives and budget constraints, the USA generally exhibits a higher level of compliance and resilience to natural disasters (Hausrath, 2007; Kauffman, 2021; Malone, 2007). Comparative analyses of environmental legislation frameworks in Nigeria and the USA offer valuable insights into the factors influencing effectiveness, including governance structures, legal frameworks, enforcement capacity, stakeholder participation, and socio-economic dynamics.

Previous studies have examined various aspects of environmental legislation and disaster resilience in Nigeria and the USA, focusing on specific hazards, sectors, or regions. Some research has explored the socio-economic impacts of environmental degradation and natural disasters, highlighting disparities in vulnerability and adaptive capacity among different groups.

However, gaps remain in understanding the complex interactions between environmental legislation, disaster resilience, and sustainable development in both countries. Limited research has addressed the effectiveness of specific laws and policies in reducing risk, enhancing preparedness, and promoting recovery in the aftermath of disasters. Moreover, there is a need for more comparative analyses that consider the socio-cultural, political, and economic contexts shaping environmental governance and resilience strategies in diverse settings.

3. Environmental Legislation and Disaster Resilience in Nigeria

Nigeria's legal framework for environmental protection is characterized by a range of laws, regulations, and policies at the federal, state, and local levels (Ogunkan, 2022). The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria provides the legal basis for environmental management, recognizing the right to a clean and healthy environment. Key environmental laws include the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act, the Harmful Waste (Special Criminal Provisions, etc.) Act, and the Oil Pollution Act (Anyogu, and Nyekwere, 2021; Tijani, 2021; Edemadide, 2023).

These laws aim to regulate activities that impact the environment, such as industrial pollution, waste management, deforestation, and land degradation. However, challenges persist in enforcement and implementation due to institutional weaknesses, inadequate funding, corruption, and limited capacity at the subnational levels.

Despite the existence of environmental legislation, Nigeria faces numerous challenges in implementing and enforcing these laws effectively. Institutional weaknesses, including overlapping mandates, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and lack of coordination among government agencies, hamper regulatory enforcement and compliance. Furthermore, corruption and lack of accountability undermine efforts to address environmental degradation and protect vulnerable communities (Ambituuni, et al., 2014; YUSUF, 2019).

Inadequate funding and resource constraints pose additional obstacles to environmental management, limiting the capacity of regulatory agencies to monitor and enforce compliance with environmental standards. The decentralization of environmental governance to the state and local levels has led to disparities in regulatory enforcement and capacity, exacerbating environmental degradation in some regions (Hillary, 2004; Yeager, 1993; Coglianese, and Lazer, 2003.).

The impact of environmental legislation on disaster resilience in Nigeria is mixed, reflecting the challenges and limitations of regulatory enforcement and implementation. While environmental laws provide a legal framework for addressing hazards such as oil spills, deforestation, and land degradation, their effectiveness is often undermined by institutional weaknesses and resource constraints. Inadequate enforcement of environmental regulations contributes to increased vulnerability to natural disasters, as seen in cases of oil pollution, flooding, and erosion. Communities living in environmentally sensitive areas, such as the Niger Delta region, bear the brunt of these impacts, facing health risks, displacement, and loss of livelihoods (Coglianese, and Lazer, 2003).

Data insights from Nigeria highlight the complex interactions between environmental legislation, disaster resilience, and socio-economic dynamics. Despite the existence of relevant laws and policies, their implementation remains a significant challenge due to institutional weaknesses, corruption, and inadequate resources. Communities in environmentally vulnerable areas continue to face heightened risks and vulnerabilities, underscoring the need for stronger regulatory enforcement, capacity-building initiatives, and community engagement strategies to enhance disaster resilience.

4. Environmental Legislation and Disaster Resilience in the USA

The United States has a comprehensive system of environmental legislation aimed at protecting natural resources, reducing pollution, and promoting sustainable development. Key federal laws include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the National Flood Insurance Program (Platt, and Platt, 2014; Kauffman, 2015) (NFIP).

These laws establish regulatory standards, permit requirements, and enforcement mechanisms to safeguard air and water quality, conserve biodiversity, and mitigate the impacts of natural disasters. Regulatory authority is divided among federal agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Department of the Interior, and the Department of Agriculture, with state and local governments also playing a significant role in implementation and enforcement (Rechtschaffen, and Markell, 2003; Lazarus, 1991; Fry, et al., 2014).

Regulatory mechanisms in the USA rely on a combination of regulatory standards, monitoring and reporting requirements, permitting processes, and enforcement actions to ensure compliance with environmental laws. Federal agencies such as the EPA set national standards and provide guidance to state and local governments for implementing regulations.

Enforcement practices encompass a range of activities, including inspections, audits, enforcement actions, penalties, and litigation against violators of environmental laws. Regulatory agencies have the authority to issue fines, penalties, and injunctions to compel compliance and deter future violations.

The USA has made significant strides in fostering disaster resilience through proactive risk management, infrastructure investments, public awareness campaigns, and community engagement initiatives. Success stories include the implementation of floodplain management regulations, the development of early warning systems, and the adoption of building codes and standards that enhance structural resilience (Percival, 1991; National Research Council, Policy, Global Affairs, 2011; Koontz, et al., 2010).

Lessons learned from past disasters, such as Hurricane Katrina and Superstorm Sandy, have prompted reforms in disaster preparedness, response, and recovery efforts. These include improvements in evacuation planning, emergency communication systems, disaster relief programs, and coordination among federal, state, and local agencies.

Data insights from the USA highlight the effectiveness of environmental legislation in promoting disaster resilience, evidenced by improved risk reduction measures, enhanced infrastructure resilience, and proactive community-based initiatives. Regulatory mechanisms and enforcement practices play a critical role in ensuring compliance with environmental laws and mitigating the impacts of natural disasters on communities and ecosystems.

However, challenges remain in addressing emerging threats such as climate change, sea-level rise, and extreme weather events, which require adaptive strategies and long-term investments in resilience-building efforts. Despite these challenges, the USA's experience offers valuable insights into best practices, lessons learned, and opportunities for enhancing disaster resilience through effective environmental legislation and governance.

5. Comparative Analysis

The environmental legislation frameworks in Nigeria and the USA exhibit significant differences in terms of scope, coverage, enforcement mechanisms, and institutional capacity. While Nigeria struggles with implementation challenges, corruption, and inadequate resources, the USA boasts a more robust regulatory system supported by strong enforcement practices, stakeholder engagement, and technological innovations (Oke, 2021; Makinde, 2020.).

Despite these differences, both countries face common challenges in addressing environmental degradation, reducing vulnerability to natural disasters, and building resilient communities. These include governance weaknesses, socio-economic disparities, inadequate funding, limited institutional capacity, and competing priorities.

Factors influencing disaster resilience in Nigeria and the USA include governance structures, legal frameworks, enforcement capacity, stakeholder participation, socio-economic dynamics, technological innovations, and international cooperation (Pathirage, et al., 2014; Charles, et al., 2022). While the USA benefits from greater institutional capacity and financial resources, Nigeria struggles with governance deficits, corruption, and resource constraints.

The comparative analysis highlights the importance of effective environmental legislation, governance, and stakeholder engagement in fostering disaster resilience. Lessons learned from both countries offer valuable insights into best practices, challenges, and opportunities for enhancing resilience-building efforts at the local, national, and global levels. By addressing common challenges and leveraging lessons learned, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can work together to promote sustainable development and build resilient communities in the face of escalating environmental risks and uncertainties.

6. Future Direction

As we look ahead, several avenues for future research and action emerge in the realm of environmental legislation and disaster resilience (Djalante, et al., 2013). Continuous monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of environmental legislation in enhancing disaster resilience are crucial. Longitudinal studies can provide insights into the evolving dynamics of environmental governance, regulatory compliance, and resilience-building efforts over time. Researchers can track changes in environmental indicators, disaster risk profiles, and community resilience capacities to assess the impact of policy interventions and identify areas for improvement (Malalgoda, and Amaratunga, 2015; Lamhauge, et al., 2012).

Given the growing threats posed by climate change, future research should explore ways to integrate climate adaptation and mitigation measures into environmental legislation and disaster risk management strategies. This involves mainstreaming climate resilience considerations into policy frameworks, planning processes, and infrastructure investments. Researchers can assess the vulnerability of ecosystems, infrastructure, and communities to climate-related hazards and develop adaptive strategies to enhance resilience. Future efforts should prioritize community-based approaches to disaster resilience, empowering local stakeholders to actively participate in decision-making processes, risk assessments, and resilience-building initiatives. This includes promoting indigenous knowledge, traditional practices, and community-led solutions that enhance adaptive capacity and social cohesion. Researchers can collaborate with local communities to co-design resilience strategies, facilitate knowledge exchange, and support capacity-building initiatives tailored to local needs and priorities.

Advances in technology, such as remote sensing, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Artificial Intelligence (AI), offer promising opportunities for enhancing disaster preparedness, response, and recovery efforts (Kemper, and Kemper, 2020; Krichen, et al., 2023). Future research should explore the potential of these technologies in improving early warning systems, risk mapping, decision support tools, and post-disaster assessments. Researchers can develop innovative solutions to address emerging challenges, such as real-time monitoring of environmental indicators, predictive modeling of disaster impacts, and data-driven decision-making tools for policymakers and practitioners (Munawar, et al., 2022; Quamar, et al., 2023).

7. Recommendations

Enhance institutional capacity, streamline regulatory processes, and improve coordination among government agencies responsible for environmental management and enforcement. Allocate adequate resources, personnel, and training to regulatory agencies to enhance their effectiveness in monitoring compliance and enforcing environmental laws. Implement measures to tackle corruption and enhance transparency, accountability, and integrity in environmental governance and regulatory enforcement. Strengthen anti-corruption measures, whistleblower protections, and oversight mechanisms to prevent misuse of power and illicit practices that undermine environmental protection efforts. Promote environmental education and awareness campaigns to foster a culture of compliance, responsible stewardship, and civic engagement among citizens. Engage with media, civil society organizations, and educational institutions to raise awareness about environmental issues, rights, and responsibilities, and empower citizens to advocate for stronger environmental protections and enforcement measures.

Invest in upgrading and retrofitting critical infrastructure to withstand the impacts of natural disasters, including floods, hurricanes, wildfires, and sea-level rise. Prioritize investments in resilient infrastructure design, construction standards, and maintenance practices to reduce vulnerability and enhance adaptive capacity. Empower local communities through capacity-building initiatives, participatory planning processes, and partnerships with grassroots organizations, non-profits, and academia. Provide funding, technical assistance, and training to support community-led resilience projects, such as hazard mitigation plans, emergency response training, and community-based monitoring systems. Embrace nature-based solutions, such as green roofs, permeable pavements, and wetland restoration, to enhance resilience, mitigate flooding, and improve ecosystem services. Integrate green infrastructure into urban

planning and development policies, incentivize private investment in green technologies, and promote sustainable land use practices that enhance natural ecosystems and reduce disaster risks.

Facilitate knowledge exchange, peer learning, and South-South cooperation among countries facing similar challenges in environmental governance and disaster resilience. Establish platforms for sharing lessons learned, case studies, and best practices in environmental management, regulatory enforcement, and resilience-building strategies. Collaborate with international organizations, donor agencies, and multilateral institutions to mobilize resources, build technical capacity, and support capacity-building initiatives in developing countries. Foster partnerships with global stakeholders, such as the United Nations, World Bank, and regional development banks, to promote sustainable development goals and enhance resilience to environmental risks and disasters. Foster regional collaboration and cross-border cooperation on shared environmental challenges, such as transboundary pollution, ecosystem degradation, and climate change adaptation. Establish regional frameworks, agreements, and partnerships to address common environmental threats, harmonize regulatory standards, and coordinate response efforts across borders.

Conduct comparative analyses of environmental legislation frameworks, regulatory practices, and resilience-building strategies across diverse contexts, regions, and countries. Compare and contrast approaches to environmental governance, regulatory enforcement, and community engagement to identify best practices, lessons learned, and opportunities for cross-learning and collaboration. Explore the intersections between environmental governance, socio-economic dynamics, technological innovations, and community resilience through multi-disciplinary research approaches. Integrate insights from environmental science, social science, economics, engineering, and other disciplines to develop holistic solutions to complex environmental challenges. Undertake longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impacts of environmental legislation on disaster resilience, adaptive capacity, and socio-economic development outcomes. Track changes in environmental indicators, regulatory compliance, and resilience-building efforts over time to evaluate the effectiveness of policy interventions and identify areas for improvement and innovation.

8. Conclusion

Through a comprehensive analysis of environmental legislation and disaster resilience in Nigeria and the USA, several key findings have emerged. In Nigeria, despite the existence of relevant laws and policies, challenges such as institutional weaknesses, corruption, and inadequate resources hinder effective implementation and enforcement. As a result, communities remain vulnerable to environmental degradation and recurrent disasters. In contrast, the USA demonstrates a more robust regulatory framework, supported by strong enforcement mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, and technological innovations, leading to greater resilience and adaptive capacity. However, both countries face common challenges, including governance deficits, socio-economic disparities, and emerging threats such as climate change, highlighting the need for targeted policy interventions and international cooperation to address these issues.

This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on environmental legislation, disaster resilience, and sustainable development. By synthesizing existing literature, analyzing case studies, and providing policy recommendations, the research offers insights into the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks, enforcement practices, and resilience-building strategies in diverse contexts. The findings have practical implications for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders involved in environmental governance, disaster risk management, and sustainable development efforts. By prioritizing effective governance, stakeholder engagement, and evidence-based decision-making, practitioners can enhance the resilience of communities and ecosystems to natural hazards and environmental threats.

Evaluating environmental legislation is essential for enhancing disaster resilience and promoting sustainable development. Laws and policies play a critical role in shaping behaviors, influencing decision-making, and guiding investments in risk reduction and preparedness efforts. By assessing the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks, identifying gaps and weaknesses, and implementing targeted policy interventions, governments can strengthen their capacity to respond to environmental challenges and build resilient communities. Moreover, the importance of international cooperation and knowledge sharing cannot be overstated, as environmental threats often transcend national boundaries and require collective action to address effectively. Ultimately, by evaluating and improving environmental legislation, we can create a more resilient and sustainable future for all.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Ambituuni, A., Amezaga, J. and Emeseh, E., 2014. Analysis of safety and environmental regulations for downstream petroleum industry operations in Nigeria: Problems and prospects. *Environmental Development*, 9, pp.43-60.
- [2] Anyogu, F.A. and Nyekwere, E.H., 2021. Appraisal of the legal and institutional framework for sustainable environmental management in Nigeria.
- [3] Baker, J.L. ed., 2012. *Climate change, disaster risk, and the urban poor: cities building resilience for a changing world*. World Bank Publications.
- [4] Banholzer, S., Kossin, J. and Donner, S., 2014. The impact of climate change on natural disasters. *Reducing disaster: Early warning systems for climate change*, pp.21-49.
- [5] Berke, P.R., Dixon, J. and Ericksen, N., 1997. Coercive and cooperative intergovernmental mandates: A comparative analysis of Florida and New Zealand environmental plans. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 24(3), pp.451-468.
- [6] Charles, S.H., Chang-Richards, A.Y. and Yiu, T.W., 2022. A systematic review of factors affecting post-disaster reconstruction projects resilience. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 13(1), pp.113-132.
- [7] Coglianesi, C. and Lazer, D., 2003. Management-based regulation: Prescribing private management to achieve public goals. *Law & Society Review*, 37(4), pp.691-730.
- [8] Djalante, R., Holley, C., Thomalla, F. and Carnegie, M., 2013. Pathways for adaptive and integrated disaster resilience. *Natural hazards*, 69, pp.2105-2135.
- [9] Edemadide, B.E., 2023. ENFORCEMENT OF REGULATORY STANDARDS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN NIGERIA: A LEGAL REVIEW. *AJIEEL*, 8(01), pp.41-56.
- [10] Eneh, O.C., 2011. Managing Nigeria's environment: The unresolved issues. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 4(3), pp.250-263.
- [11] Fry, J.P., Laestadius, L.I., Grechis, C., Nachman, K.E. and Neff, R.A., 2014. Investigating the role of state permitting and agriculture agencies in addressing public health concerns related to industrial food animal production. *PloS one*, 9(2), p.e89870.
- [12] Glavovic, B.C., Saunders, W.S.A. and Becker, J.S., 2010. Land-use planning for natural hazards in New Zealand: the setting, barriers, 'burning issues' and priority actions. *Natural hazards*, 54, pp.679-706.
- [13] Gupta, A.K., Singh, S., Wajih, S.A., Mani, N. and Singh, A.K., 2017. Urban Resilience and Sustainability through Peri-Urban Ecosystems: Integrating Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction. *Gorakhpur Environmental Action Group, Gorakhpur (UP) India*.
- [14] Hausrath, K., 2007. Tough Love: Should We Analyze Federal Emergency Management Agency Disaster Planning under the National Environmental Policy Act. *Hastings W.-Nw. J. Env't'l L. & Pol'y*, 13, p.161.
- [15] Hillary, R., 2004. Environmental management systems and the smaller enterprise. *Journal of cleaner production*, 12(6), pp.561-569.
- [16] Hung, H.C., Yang, C.Y., Chien, C.Y. and Liu, Y.C., 2016. Building resilience: Mainstreaming community participation into integrated assessment of resilience to climatic hazards in metropolitan land use management. *Land use policy*, 50, pp.48-58.
- [17] Huppert, H.E. and Sparks, R.S.J., 2006. Extreme natural hazards: population growth, globalization and environmental change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1845), pp.1875-1888.

- [18] Hussain, M., Butt, A.R., Uzma, F., Ahmed, R., Irshad, S., Rehman, A. and Yousaf, B., 2020. A comprehensive review of climate change impacts, adaptation, and mitigation on environmental and natural calamities in Pakistan. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 192, pp.1-20.
- [19] Ijaiya, H. and Joseph, O.T., 2014. Rethinking environmental law enforcement in Nigeria. *Beijing L. Rev.*, 5, p.306.
- [20] Kauffman Jr, G.J.M., Draft January 20, 2021 Rev. March 17, 2021.
- [21] Kemper, H. and Kemper, G., 2020. Sensor fusion, GIS and AI technologies for disaster management. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 43, pp.1677-1683.
- [22] Koontz, T.M., Steelman, T.A., Carmin, J., Korfmacher, K.S., Moseley, C. and Thomas, C.W., 2010. *Collaborative environmental management: What roles for Government-1*. Routledge.
- [23] Krichen, M., Abdalzaher, M.S., Elwekeil, M. and Fouda, M.M., 2023. Managing natural disasters: An analysis of technological advancements, opportunities, and challenges. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*.
- [24] Lamhauge, N., Lanzi, E. and Agrawala, S., 2012. Monitoring and evaluation for adaptation: lessons from development co-operation agencies.
- [25] Lazarus, R.J., 1991. The tragedy of distrust in the implementation of federal environmental law. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 54(4), pp.311-374.
- [26] Makinde, O., 2020. The Nigerian Corporate Governance Challenge: Inadequacy of Laws or Question of Enforcement?. *The Gravitas Review of Business and Property Law*.
- [27] Malalgoda, C. and Amaratunga, D., 2015. A disaster resilient built environment in urban cities: the need to empower local governments. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 6(1), pp.102-116.
- [28] Malone, L.A., 2007. *Environmental law*. Wolters Kluwer.
- [29] Montz, B.E., Tobin, G.A. and Hagelman, R.R., 2017. *Natural hazards: explanation and integration*. Guilford Publications.
- [30] Munawar, H.S., Mojtahedi, M., Hammad, A.W., Kouzani, A. and Mahmud, M.P., 2022. Disruptive technologies as a solution for disaster risk management: A review. *Science of the total environment*, 806, p.151351.
- [31] National Research Council, 2012. Rising to the challenge: US innovation policy for the global economy.
- [32] National Research Council, Policy, Global Affairs, Technology for Sustainability Program and Committee on Incorporating Sustainability in the US Environmental Protection Agency, 2011. *Sustainability and the US EPA*. National Academies Press.
- [33] Ogunkan, D.V., 2022. Achieving sustainable environmental governance in Nigeria: A review for policy consideration. *Urban Governance*, 2(1), pp.212-220.
- [34] Oke, O.O., 2021. *Implementing global norms in local contexts: evaluating the effectiveness of transparency and accountability in the Nigerian extractive sector* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).
- [35] Oyeboode, O.J., 2018. Impact of environmental laws and regulations on Nigerian environment. *World Journal of Research and Review*, 7(3), p.262587.
- [36] Pathirage, C., Seneviratne, K., Amaratunga, D. and Haigh, R., 2014. Knowledge factors and associated challenges for successful disaster knowledge sharing. *Prepared for the Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction, 2015*, pp.1-30.
- [37] Percival, R.V., 1991. Checks without balance: executive office oversight of the environmental protection agency. *Law & Contemp. Probs.*, 54, p.127.
- [38] Platt, R.H. and Platt, R.H., 2014. Congress and the Metropolitan Environment. *Land Use and Society: Geography, Law, and Public Policy*, pp.237-263.
- [39] Quamar, M.M., Al-Ramadan, B., Khan, K., Shafiullah, M. and El Ferik, S., 2023. Advancements and Applications of Drone-Integrated Geographic Information System Technology—A Review. *Remote Sensing*, 15(20), p.5039.
- [40] Rechtschaffen, C. and Markell, D.L., 2003. *Reinventing environmental enforcement and the state/federal relationship*. Environmental Law Institute.

- [41] Sandifer, P.A. and Walker, A.H., 2018. Enhancing disaster resilience by reducing stress-associated health impacts. *Frontiers in public health*, 6, p.373.
- [42] Suleiman, R.M., Raimi, M.O. and Sawyerr, O.H., 2019. A deep dive into the review of national environmental standards and regulations enforcement agency (NESREA) act. *Suleiman Romoke Monsurat, Raimi Morufu Olalekan and Sawyerr Henry Olawale (2019) A Deep Dive into the Review of National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act. International Research Journal of Applied Sciences. pISSN*, pp.2663-5577.
- [43] Thomas, V., 2017. *Climate change and natural disasters: Transforming economies and policies for a sustainable future* (p. 158). Taylor & Francis.
- [44] Tijani, E.E., 2021. Evaluating the Impact of Environmental Laws and Policies in Achieving a Sustainable Environment in Nigeria. *Available at SSRN 3970252*.
- [45] Van Rooij, B. and Lo, C.W.H., 2010. Fragile convergence: Understanding variation in the enforcement of China's industrial pollution law. *Law & Policy*, 32(1), pp.14-37.
- [46] Yeager, P.C., 1993. *The limits of law: The public regulation of private pollution*. Cambridge University Press.
- [47] Yu, Y., Junjan, V., Yazan, D.M. and Iacob, M.E., 2022. A systematic literature review on Circular Economy implementation in the construction industry: a policy-making perspective. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 183, p.106359.
- [48] YUSUF, O.L., 2019. *INSTITUTIONAL AND COMPLIANCE FRAMEWORKS AND THE CHALLENGES OF ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS AND MANAGEMENT IN LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA* (Doctoral dissertation).