



(SHORT COMMUNICATION)



Preterm birth and its effects on craniofacial and dentoalveolar growth

Maria Tziritidou-Chatzopoulou ^{1,*} and Vasileios F Zymperdikas ²

¹ School of Healthcare Sciences, Midwifery Department, University of West Macedonia, Koila, Kozani 50100, Macedonia.

² Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; 424 General Military Hospital of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(01), 563–565

Publication history: Received on 28 February 2024; revised on 07 April 2024; accepted on 09 April 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.0578>

Abstract

Premature or preterm birth is a condition that takes place either before the 37th week of gestation or when the weight of the neonate is lower than 2500 grams (Paulsson et al., 2004; Cortines and Costa, 2016). Several factors that are related to the mother or the fetus have been considered as potential causes for this condition, whereas there is a consensus that there are potential parameters that could lead to premature birth which still remain to be identified (Hohoff et al., 2005; Seow, 1997). Despite the fact that the incidence of neonatal mortality owing to premature birth has been significantly decreased in the recent years, there are yet some side-effects that could affect specific organ systems of the fetus (Paulsson et al., 2004; Hohoff et al., 2005). Moreover, there are reports of possible influence of preterm birth on the development of the cognitive and psychological background of the affected children (Anderson and Doyle, 2008).

Keywords: Preterm birth; Craniofacial growth; Dentoalveolar growth; Children

1. Introduction

Regarding the dentofacial structures, there are ambiguous findings in the literature concerning a potential influence of the development and growth of the latter from the preterm birth and/or the treatment protocols followed the care of the respective infants. On the one hand, there are reports of altered palatal morphology which could be manifested as narrowing of the posterior palatal, asymmetry and greater palatal depth (Cortines and Costa, 2016). Furthermore, a particular shape of the palate has been reported as well, referred as “palatal groove” (Enomoto et al., 2017; Zaidi et al., 2015). The latter could be identified as a narrow hollow near the midpalate with irregular depth (Cortines and Costa, 2016). The latter has been attributed to several factors such as impaired sucking and swallowing of the preterm infant resulting in less effective pressure from the tongue (Germa et al., 2012), applied pressure from the intubation tube (Seow, 1997), absence of the tongue from its appropriate position in the oral cavity (Ginoza et al., 1989) and obstruction of maxillary growth owing to the intrauterine growth restriction (Germa et al., 2012). However, there are also reports stating that the increased palatal depth in preterm infants owing to the intubation is rather small and transient (Procter et al., 2012). Similarly, no significant differences in the arch length and width were reported in adolescent boys with a history of preterm birth compared to full term controls. Nevertheless, preterm 16-years-old girls were found to present decreased arch dimensions compared to matched full term girls (Rythén et al., 2013).

Furthermore, as far as the cranial morphology is concerned, there are reports of decreased craniofacial width in very low birth weight children (Morris and Burns, 1994). Moreover, occipital flattening was found to be more evident in preterm children, while early preterm children were reported to have a greater tendency to a dolichocephalic growth pattern than late preterm ones (Launonen et al., 2019). Additionally, in a survey assessing adolescent children with obstructive sleep apnea, the preterm ones were found to present a more dolichocephalic pattern compared to the full term infants who had a greater mandibular retrognathism (Lian et al., 2017).

* Corresponding author: Maria Tziritidou-Chatzopoulou

Furthermore, there seems to be a connection between premature birth and the morphology and structure of the teeth of the respective children. In detail, several authors indicate a significant correlation of premature birth with developmental defects of enamel and reduced dimensions of the teeth both in the deciduous (Aline et al., 2000; Bensi et al., 2020) as well as the permanent dentition (Ebrahim and Paulsson, 2017).

In addition to the previous, there is a controversy in the literature regarding the possible effects of preterm birth on the development of various traits of orthodontic malocclusion. For example, there are articles reporting on a higher rate of posterior crossbite and open bite in preterm children (Germa et al., 2016; Objois and Gebeile-Chauty, 2019), whereas there are also data claiming that the distribution of different types of orthodontic malocclusion during the primary dentition does not present significant differences between term and preterm infants (Primožic et al., 2014).

2. Conclusion

In conclusion, the connection between the premature birth and its sequelae and the craniofacial and dentoalveolar growth seems to be quite controversial. Hence, the need of properly designed trials incorporating recent technological advances so as to accurately assess the orofacial development and examining the correlation between the latter and specific mother- and embryo-related factors is mandatory.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Aline L, Backström MC, Mäki R, Kuusela AL, Koivisto AM, Ikonen RS, Mäki M. Enamel defects in primary and permanent teeth of children born prematurely. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2000;29:403-9.
- [2] Anderson PJ, Doyle LW. Cognitive and educational deficits in children born extremely preterm. *Semin Perinatol.* 2008 Feb;32(1):51-8.
- [3] Bensi C, Costacurta M, Belli S, Paradiso D, Docimo R. Relationship between preterm birth and developmental defects of enamel: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2020;30:676-86.
- [4] Cortines AAO, Costa LR. Associated factors and persistence of palatal groove in preterm infants: a cohort study. *BMC Pediatr.* 2016;24;16:143.
- [5] Ebrahim E, Paulsson L. The impact of premature birth on the permanent tooth size of incisors and first molars. *Eur J Orthod.* 2017;39:622-7.
- [6] Enomoto M, Sezaki H, Muranishi R, Sato Y, Kikuchi S, Katayama Y, Takei A, Ikegami H, Sakuma M, Minami H. Acquired palatal groove and delayed oral feeding in preterm infants. *Pediatr Int.* 2017;59:171-5.
- [7] Germa A, Clément C, Weissenbach M, Heude B, Forhan A, Martin-Marchand L, Bonet M, Vital S, Kaminski M, Nabet C. Early risk factors for posterior crossbite and anterior open bite in the primary dentition. *Angle Orthod.* 2016;86:832-8.
- [8] Germa A, Marret S, Thiriez G, Rousseau S, Hascoët JM, Paulsson-Björnsson L, Söderfeldt B, Ancel PY, Larroque B, Kaminski M, Nabet C. Neonatal factors associated with alteration of palatal morphology in very preterm children: the EPIPAGE cohort study. *Early Hum Dev.* 2012;88:413-20.
- [9] Ginoza G, Cortez S, Modanlou HD. Prevention of palatal groove formation in premature neonates requiring intubation. *J Pediatr.* 1989;115:133-5.
- [10] Hohoff A, Rabe H, Ehmer U, Harms E. Palatal development of preterm and low birthweight infants compared to term infants - What do we know? Part 1: The palate of the term newborn. *Head Face Med.* 2005;28;1:8.
- [11] Launonen AM, Aarnivala H, Kyteas P, Vuollo V, Heikkinen T, Kau CH, Pirttiniemi P, Harila V, Valkama AM. A 3D Follow-Up Study of Cranial Asymmetry from Early Infancy to Toddler Age after Preterm versus Term Birth. *J Clin Med.* 2019;8:1665.

- [12] Lian YC, Huang YS, Guilleminault C, Chen KT, Hervy-Auboiron M, Chuang LC, Tsai AI. The preliminary results of the differences in craniofacial and airway morphology between preterm and full-term children with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Dent Sci.* 2017;12:253-260.
- [13] Morris KM, Burns YR. Reduction of craniofacial and palatal narrowing in very low birthweight infants. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 1994;30:518-22.
- [14] Objois C, Gebeile-Chauty S. Is premature birth an orthodontic risk factor? A controlled epidemiological clinical study. *Int Orthod.* 2019;7:544-53.
- [15] Paulsson L, Bondemark L, Söderfeldt B. A systematic review of the consequences of premature birth on palatal morphology, dental occlusion, tooth-crown dimensions, and tooth maturity and eruption. *Angle Orthod.* 2004;74:269-79.
- [16] Primožic J, Farcnik F, Ovsenik M, Primožic J. A controlled study of the functional and morphological characteristics of malocclusion in prematurely born subjects with low birth weight. *Eur J Orthod.* 2014;36:114-20.
- [17] Procter AM, Lether D, Oliver RG, Cartlidge PH. Deformation of the palate in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1998;78:F29-32.
- [18] Rythén M, Thilander B, Robertson A. Dento-alveolar characteristics in adolescents born extremely preterm. *Eur J Orthod.* 2013;35:475-82.
- [19] Zaidi I, Thayath MN, Singh S, Sinha A. Preterm Birth: A Primary Etiological Factor for Delayed Oral Growth and Development. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2015;8:215-9.