World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Reviewing healthcare financial management: Strategies for cost-effective care

Oluwaseyi Rita Owolabi [1], Funmilola Olatundun Olatoye [2], Oluwafunmi Adijat Elufioye [3] and Beatrice Okunade [4,*]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Indianapolis Indiana, USA.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Houston, Texas.*
[3] *Independent Researcher, Lagos.*
[4] *Independent Researcher, Chicago, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(02), 958–966

Publication history: Received on 04 January 2024; revised on 11 February 2024; accepted on 14 February 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0523

Abstract

This research investigates the dynamic interplay between healthcare financial management and the pursuit of cost-effective care. The study explores operational efficiency strategies, value-based care models, and technological innovations. It explores their impact on economic outcomes and regulatory compliance. Governed by acts like the Affordable Care Act and initiatives like MACRA, the regulatory landscape significantly shapes financial strategies. Technology, including data analytics and electronic health records, emerges as a critical enabler for optimizing financial efficiency. Evaluating financial outcomes, emphasizing return on investment and cost-effectiveness ratios, reveals the intricate link between fiscal responsibility and enhanced patient care. While challenges persist, including resistance to change and limited resources, the study underscores the imperative for a balanced approach, recommending continued research into long-term financial impacts and innovative financial models aligned with value-based care.

Keywords: Healthcare Financial Management; Cost-effective Care; Regulatory Landscape; Technology Integration; Financial Outcomes

1. Introduction

Healthcare financial management plays a pivotal role in the effective functioning of healthcare systems worldwide (Cancarevic, Plichtová, & Malik, 2021; Organization, 2000). The intricate balance between providing high-quality patient care and maintaining financial sustainability has become a critical challenge for healthcare organizations. As we navigate an ever-evolving landscape of medical advancements, changing demographics, and economic fluctuations, the need for robust financial management strategies in healthcare has become increasingly apparent.

The landscape of healthcare financial management is complex, influenced by factors such as rising medical costs, technological advancements, changing reimbursement models, and the growing demand for healthcare services. Hospitals, clinics, and healthcare providers face the perpetual challenge of optimizing financial resources while ensuring the delivery of quality care to patients (English et al., 2023; Landry & Beaulieu, 2013). The financial health of healthcare organizations is crucial for their sustainability and the well-being of the communities they serve.

- One of the central issues confronting the healthcare industry today is the imperative for cost-effective care. Escalating healthcare expenditures, coupled with the increasing prevalence of chronic diseases and an ageing population, have intensified the urgency for sustainable financial models (Bauer, 2014; Organization, 2018). The rising cost of healthcare not only burdens patients but also strains the overall economic fabric. The critical

* Corresponding author: Beatrice Okunade

question emerges in this context: How can healthcare organizations effectively manage their finances to provide quality care without compromising financial viability?

- This research addresses the challenge above by examining and analyzing strategies within healthcare financial management to foster cost-effective care. The primary objectives include:
- Investigating innovative financial management practices employed in the healthcare sector.
- Assessing the impact of these practices on the cost-effectiveness of healthcare delivery.
- Identifying challenges and barriers faced by healthcare organizations in implementing cost-effective care strategies.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute valuable insights to healthcare practitioners, policymakers, and researchers. By understanding and promoting cost-effective care, healthcare organizations can enhance patient outcomes, improve financial sustainability, and contribute to the overall efficiency of the healthcare system. Addressing healthcare costs is not only a financial imperative but also a moral and ethical obligation to ensure equitable access to quality healthcare services for all members of society.

1.1. Strategies for Cost-Effective Care

In pursuing cost-effective care, healthcare organizations employ various strategies within their financial management frameworks. This section offers a detailed exploration of these strategies, emphasizing innovative approaches and best practices. The strategies discussed here are categorized based on different aspects of healthcare delivery, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of how financial management can be optimized to ensure both quality care and fiscal responsibility.

Efficiency in day-to-day operations is fundamental to achieving cost-effective care. Healthcare organizations often streamline processes, optimize workflows, and invest in technology to enhance operational efficiency. This includes implementing electronic health records (EHRs), automated billing systems, and lean management principles. Organizations can allocate resources more effectively to patient care by reducing administrative overhead and minimizing resource wastage. Shifting from a fee-for-service to a value-based care model is a transformative strategy gaining prominence. This approach incentivizes healthcare providers to focus on the quality of care rather than the quantity of services rendered. Pay-for-performance initiatives, bundled payments, and accountable care organizations (ACOs) are value-based models encouraging cost-effective practices by tying reimbursement to positive patient outcomes (Chen & Ackerly, 2014; Schmid, Des Jardins, & Lehmann, 2021; Siddique & Mehta, 2017).

Proactively managing the health of populations, particularly those with chronic conditions, is crucial for controlling costs. Healthcare organizations adopt strategies such as preventive care, disease management programs, and community outreach to address health issues at the root. By preventing the escalation of chronic conditions, organizations reduce the need for expensive interventions, ultimately contributing to long-term cost-effectiveness. Effective financial management involves strategic resource allocation based on patient needs and organizational priorities. This includes optimizing staffing levels, allocating resources to high-impact areas, and leveraging data analytics to identify cost-saving opportunities. By aligning resources with patient demand and clinical requirements, healthcare organizations can enhance cost-effectiveness without compromising quality.

Collaboration and partnerships within the healthcare ecosystem can lead to shared resources, expertise, and cost savings. Collaborative efforts with other healthcare providers, payers, and community organizations facilitate the pooling of resources and the development of joint initiatives. These partnerships foster a holistic approach to patient care, optimizing the use of collective resources to achieve cost-effective outcomes. The integration of telehealth and remote monitoring technologies presents innovative approaches to healthcare delivery. By leveraging telehealth for routine consultations, follow-ups, and monitoring, healthcare organizations can reduce the need for physical infrastructure and improve access to care. This not only enhances patient convenience but also contributes to cost-effective service delivery. Implementing financial incentives and risk-sharing mechanisms aligns the interests of healthcare providers with cost-effectiveness. Bundled payment arrangements, shared savings programs, and capitation models incentivize providers to deliver efficient, high-quality care. These models encourage a focus on preventive measures and collaborative, patient-centred care to achieve financial success (Frow, McColl-Kennedy, & Payne, 2016; Greenhalgh, Jackson, Shaw, & Janamian, 2016; Secundo, Toma, Schiuma, & Passiante, 2019).

In conclusion, the strategies for achieving cost-effective care in healthcare financial management are diverse and multifaceted. By embracing operational efficiency, value-based care, population health management, strategic resource allocation, collaborative partnerships, and innovative technologies, healthcare organizations can navigate the complexities of modern healthcare delivery while ensuring financial sustainability.

2. Challenges and Barriers

One of the primary challenges in implementing cost-effective care strategies is resistance to change within healthcare organizations. Established practices and traditional models may be deeply ingrained, making it difficult for stakeholders to embrace new methodologies, technologies, or care delivery models. Resistance can manifest at various levels, including among healthcare providers, administrative staff, and patients. The lack of interoperability and fragmented data systems present significant barriers to effective financial management in healthcare. Inconsistencies and gaps in data exchange between departments and systems hinder the seamless flow of information necessary for informed decision-making. Achieving cost-effectiveness requires a holistic understanding of patient data, often impeded by siloed information systems (Fournier, Jobin, Lapointe, & Bahl, 2023).

The intricate and evolving nature of healthcare regulations poses a substantial challenge for organizations seeking to implement cost-effective care strategies. Compliance with regulatory requirements, while essential, can sometimes create bureaucratic hurdles and increased administrative burdens. Navigating the complex regulatory landscape requires ongoing efforts to stay abreast of changes and adapt financial management practices accordingly. Many healthcare organizations, particularly smaller facilities or those serving economically disadvantaged populations, face the challenge of limited financial resources. Investments in technologies, infrastructure, and staff training may be constrained, making implementing the necessary changes for achieving cost-effective care challenging. Striking a balance between financial constraints and the imperative for efficiency poses a significant hurdle (Bosse, Breuer, & Spies, 2006).

Organizational culture and dynamics play a pivotal role in shaping the success of financial management initiatives. Sometimes, a culture that resists collaboration, transparency, or innovative thinking can impede progress. Aligning the organization's values and culture with the goals of cost-effective care is crucial but often requires a concerted effort to change ingrained norms. As healthcare organizations increasingly rely on digital technologies, concerns about the security and privacy of health information emerge as significant barriers. The need to comply with stringent data protection standards can slow the adoption of innovative technologies and impede the sharing of patient information crucial for effective financial management.

The pressure to demonstrate immediate results and financial returns sometimes conflicts with the longer-term nature of achieving cost-effective care. Healthcare organizations, under the scrutiny of stakeholders, may prioritize short-term financial gains over the sustained, long-term benefits of implementing transformative financial management strategies. Engaging and educating patients about cost-effective care can be challenging. Patients may be unaware of the financial implications of confident healthcare choices and their preferences may not always align with the most cost-effective options (Elwyn, Frosch, & Kobrin, 2015). Bridging the gap in communication and ensuring patient understanding is vital for successfully implementing cost-effective care strategies.

3. Regulatory Landscape

The regulatory landscape in healthcare significantly shapes financial management strategies. It plays a pivotal role in determining the feasibility and success of cost-effective care initiatives. This section provides an overview of relevant regulations and policies impacting healthcare financial management, followed by an analysis of how these regulatory frameworks influence developing and implementing strategies for achieving cost-effective care.

3.1. Overview of Relevant Regulations and Policies

4.1.1 Affordable Care Act (ACA): The Affordable Care Act, enacted in 2010, introduced sweeping changes to the U.S. healthcare system. Provisions within the ACA emphasize value-based care, quality improvement, and cost containment. Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs), bundled payments, and penalties for hospital readmissions are among the mechanisms instituted to align reimbursement with value and incentivize cost-effective care (French, Homer, Gumus, & Hickling, 2016; Gaffney & McCormick, 2017).

3.1.1. Medicare and Medicaid Regulations

As significant contributors to healthcare funding, Medicare and Medicaid regulations exert substantial influence. Policies related to reimbursement rates, eligibility criteria, and quality metrics directly impact the financial strategies of healthcare organizations. Adaptation to changes in these programs is imperative for organizations striving to provide cost-effective care.

3.1.2. Health Information Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)

HIPAA governs the privacy and security of patient information. Compliance with HIPAA regulations is a legal obligation and a crucial aspect of maintaining patient trust. Securely sharing health information is vital for coordinating care and implementing efficient financial management practices (Health & Services, 1996).

3.1.3. MACRA and MIPS

*T*he Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) introduced the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS), linking Medicare reimbursement to performance metrics. MIPS incentivizes healthcare providers to deliver high-quality, cost-effective care by tying financial rewards or penalties to measures such as patient outcomes, cost containment, and care coordination (Rathi & McWilliams, 2019; Saleh & Shaffer, 2016).

3.2. Analysis of Regulatory Frameworks

3.2.1. Incentivizing Value-Based Care

Regulatory frameworks, particularly those established under the ACA, have shifted the focus from fee-for-service to value-based care. The emphasis on quality metrics, patient outcomes, and cost-effectiveness incentivizes healthcare organizations to adopt financial management strategies aligned with delivering high-value care. ACOs and bundled payments, for instance, encourage coordinated care and resource efficiency.

3.2.2. Accountability for Patient Outcomes

Regulations such as MACRA and MIPS hold healthcare providers accountable for patient outcomes and the cost of care. This accountability encourages adopting cost-effective practices and promotes a holistic approach to patient care. Organizations must invest in technologies and processes that enable accurate reporting and measurement of performance metrics (Spivack, Laugesen, & Oberlander, 2018).

3.2.3. Emphasis on Interoperability

Regulatory efforts, including those related to interoperability standards, aim to improve health information exchange. Interoperability is critical for effective financial management, allowing healthcare organizations to access comprehensive patient data and make informed decisions. Compliance with these standards is essential for optimizing care coordination and resource allocation.

3.2.4. Impact on Reimbursement Models

Changes in reimbursement models, driven by regulatory reforms, directly influence financial strategies. To ensure financial viability, healthcare organizations must align their financial management practices with evolving reimbursement structures. The transition to value-based payment models requires a shift in focus from volume to value, encouraging organizations to implement cost-effective care initiatives.

4. Technology and Healthcare Financial Management

In the contemporary healthcare landscape, technology stands as a transformative force, offering unprecedented opportunities to optimize financial management for cost-effective care. This section delves into the role of technology in healthcare financial management, exploring key components such as data analytics, electronic health records (EHRs), and other technological innovations that contribute to the efficient and economical provision of healthcare services.

4.1. Data Analytics in Financial Management

4.1.1. Predictive Analytic

Predictive analytics leverages historical and real-time data to forecast future trends and outcomes. In healthcare financial management, predictive analytics can assist organizations in anticipating patient needs, optimizing resource allocation, and identifying potential cost-saving opportunities. This proactive approach enhances decision-making, improving financial efficiency and patient care (Min, 2016; Teo et al., 2021).

4.1.2. Cost Analytics

Understanding the actual cost of healthcare services is fundamental to financial management. Cost analytics tools enable healthcare organizations to break down expenses, analyze resource utilization, and identify areas of inefficiency.

Organizations can strategically allocate resources and optimize financial outcomes by gaining insights into the cost-effectiveness of different services (Henson, 2023).

4.1.3. Population Health Analytics

Population health analytics allows healthcare providers to analyze data on entire patient populations. Organizations can implement targeted interventions, preventive measures, and care management strategies by identifying prevalent health issues and predicting future healthcare needs. This, in turn, contributes to better health outcomes and cost-effective care delivery.

4.2. Electronic Health Records (EHRs)

4.2.1. Interoperability and Information Exchange

EHRs are pivotal in optimizing financial management by facilitating seamless data exchange and interoperability. A unified EHR system allows healthcare providers to access comprehensive patient records, reducing redundancies in testing, streamlining care coordination, and minimizing costs associated with fragmented information systems.

4.2.2. Billing and Revenue Cycle Management

EHRs integrated with robust billing and revenue cycle management systems streamline financial processes. Automating billing, claims processing, and revenue tracking minimizes administrative burdens, reduces billing errors, and accelerates reimbursement cycles. This efficiency contributes to financial stability and the overall cost-effectiveness of healthcare operations (Seymour, Frantsvog, & Graeber, 2012; Yadav, Steinbach, Kumar, & Simon, 2018).

4.3. Technological Innovations

4.3.1. Telehealth and Remote Monitoring

Telehealth technologies enable remote consultations, monitoring, and follow-ups, reducing the need for physical infrastructure and lowering operational costs. Remote patient monitoring tools contribute to preventive care and early intervention, ultimately saving costs by minimizing hospitalizations and emergency care.

4.3.2. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning

AI and machine learning algorithms analyze vast datasets to identify patterns, predict outcomes, and optimize decision-making. AI can assist in fraud detection, revenue cycle optimization, and resource allocation in healthcare financial management. Intelligent automation reduces manual tasks, enhances accuracy, and improves financial efficiency (Ahmed, Mohamed, Zeeshan, & Dong, 2020; Byerly et al., 2021).

4.3.3. Blockchain Technology

Blockchain technology offers secure and transparent solutions for managing healthcare data. In financial management, blockchain can streamline transactions, enhance data security, and facilitate transparent revenue-sharing models. The decentralized nature of blockchain mitigates the risk of fraud and ensures the integrity of financial transactions.

As healthcare organizations increasingly rely on digital technologies, the importance of robust cybersecurity measures cannot be overstated. Protecting financial data, patient records, and sensitive information from cyber threats is essential for maintaining trust, avoiding legal ramifications, and safeguarding the financial integrity of healthcare operations. While technology brings immense benefits, integration challenges must be addressed. Interoperability issues between different technologies and systems can hinder seamless data exchange. Standardization efforts and collaborative initiatives are essential to overcoming these challenges and ensuring that technology is an enabler rather than a barrier to cost-effective care (Chidolue & Iqbal, 2023; Johnson et al., 2023; Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu et al., 2023; Uchechukwu, Amechi, Okoye, & Okeke, 2023).

5. Financial Outcomes

Implementing cost-effective care strategies in healthcare is not only a clinical imperative but also a critical aspect of ensuring the financial sustainability of healthcare organizations. This section delves into evaluating financial outcomes associated with adopting cost-effective care strategies, analyzing return on investment (ROI) and other key financial metrics.

5.1. Return on Investment (ROI) Analysis

5.1.1. Cost Reduction and Resource Optimization

One of the primary objectives of cost-effective care strategies is to reduce unnecessary expenditures and optimize resource utilization. Organizations implementing streamlined operational processes, data-driven decision-making, and preventive care models often witness a positive impact on their bottom line. The ROI is assessed by comparing the costs of implementing these strategies against the realized savings and efficiency gains.

5.1.2. Value-Based Care Models

In transitioning to value-based care, where reimbursement is tied to patient outcomes and quality metrics, ROI is measured through improved clinical outcomes and reduced healthcare spending. Preventing hospital readmissions, avoiding unnecessary procedures, and effectively managing chronic conditions contribute to a positive ROI by aligning financial incentives with delivering high-value care (Baker et al., 2008; Phillips & Zuniga, 2008).

5.2. Financial Metrics

5.2.1. Cost-Effectiveness Ratios

Cost-effectiveness ratios are employed to evaluate the efficiency of healthcare interventions in achieving desired outcomes. In the context of cost-effective care strategies, these ratios measure the financial investment required to produce a specific clinical or patient-related outcome. Lowering the cost-effectiveness ratios indicates that the organization is achieving desired outcomes with efficient resource allocation.

5.2.2. Revenue Enhancement

Some cost-effective care strategies focus on revenue enhancement by expanding services, improving patient satisfaction, and attracting a larger patient population. ROI in these cases is evaluated through increased revenue streams, expanded market share, and the financial impact of providing high-quality, patient-centred care.

5.2.3. Return on Technology Investments

Investments in technology, such as EHR systems, data analytics tools, and telehealth infrastructure, are assessed through the ROI derived from improved operational efficiency, reduced administrative costs, and enhanced patient care. The financial impact of these technologies is measured against the initial investment and ongoing maintenance costs.

5.3. Quality of Care Metrics

5.3.1. Patient Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction is primarily a quality metric but has financial implications. Satisfied patients are more likely to return for future care, recommend the healthcare facility to others, and contribute positively to the organization's reputation. Consequently, investments in cost-effective care strategies that enhance patient satisfaction often yield financial benefits over the long term.

5.3.2. Clinical Outcomes

The financial outcomes of cost-effective care are closely tied to improved clinical outcomes. By preventing complications, reducing hospitalizations, and improving overall patient health, healthcare organizations can realize financial benefits through cost savings associated with avoided medical interventions and improved patient management.

5.4. Long-Term Financial Sustainability

5.4.1. Risk Mitigation

Cost-effective care strategies contribute to long-term financial sustainability by mitigating financial risks. This includes avoiding penalties associated with poor performance metrics, adapting to changing reimbursement models and positioning the organization to thrive in value-based care environments.

5.4.2. Community Impact

The financial health of healthcare organizations is often linked to their role in the community. Organizations can strengthen community ties, attract a loyal patient base, and enhance their reputation by providing cost-effective care. This, in turn, contributes to sustained financial viability (Myser, 2016).

5.5. Challenges and Considerations

5.5.1. Timeframe for ROI

It is important to acknowledge that the return on investment for cost-effective care strategies may not be immediate. Some initiatives, particularly those focused on preventive care and population health management, may take time to demonstrate financial returns. Organizations should consider both short-term gains and long-term benefits when evaluating ROI.

5.5.2. Balancing Financial and Clinical Objectives

Striking a balance between financial objectives and clinical excellence is a continual challenge. Organizations must ensure that cost-effective care strategies do not compromise patient outcomes or the quality of care provided. Achieving this balance is essential for sustained financial success.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, implementing cost-effective care strategies in healthcare financial management represents a dynamic and multifaceted approach to addressing the dual challenges of delivering quality patient care while ensuring financial sustainability. This research has explored various dimensions: operational efficiency, value-based care models, population health management, strategic resource allocation, collaborative partnerships, and technology integration.

The analysis of the regulatory landscape highlighted the significant impact of policies and regulations on shaping financial management strategies. Regulatory frameworks, such as the Affordable Care Act, Medicare and Medicaid regulations, and initiatives like MACRA and MIPS, play a crucial role in incentivizing value-based care and influencing the financial dynamics of healthcare organizations. Technology emerged as a critical enabler in optimizing financial management for cost-effective care. Data analytics, electronic health records, telehealth, artificial intelligence, and other innovations contribute to improved decision-making, streamlined operations, and enhanced patient outcomes. However, integrating these technologies comes with challenges, emphasizing the need for standardization and interoperability.

Evaluating financial outcomes underscored the importance of measuring return on investment, cost-effectiveness ratios, and other financial metrics. Beyond financial considerations, the impact of cost-effective care strategies on quality of care, patient satisfaction, and long-term sustainability is critical for organizations navigating the evolving healthcare landscape. Challenges such as resistance to change, regulatory complexity, limited financial resources, and the need for a cultural shift were inherent to implementing cost-effective care strategies. Balancing financial and clinical objectives emerged as a continuous challenge, requiring healthcare organizations to navigate the delicate equilibrium between fiscal responsibility and optimal patient care. In moving forward, healthcare practitioners, policymakers, and researchers must collaborate in addressing these challenges and capitalizing on opportunities. Recommendations for future research include further exploration of the long-term financial impact of cost-effective care, developing standardized frameworks for technology integration, and examining innovative financial models that align incentives with value-based care.

In summary, pursuing cost-effective care in healthcare financial management demands a holistic and adaptive approach. By leveraging technological advancements, responding to regulatory imperatives, and embracing a culture of innovation, healthcare organizations can navigate the complexities of financial management, ensuring fiscal viability and the delivery of high-quality, patient-centred care.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest is to be disclosed.

References

[1] Ahmed, Z., Mohamed, K., Zeeshan, S., & Dong, X. (2020). Artificial intelligence with multi-functional machine learning platform development for better healthcare and precision medicine. *Database, 2020*, baaa010.

[2] Baker, K. M., Goetzel, R. Z., Pei, X., Weiss, A. J., Bowen, J., Tabrizi, M. J., . . . Thompson, E. (2008). Using a return-on-investment estimation model to evaluate outcomes from an obesity management worksite health promotion program. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 981-990.

[3] Bauer, J. C. (2014). *Paradox and imperatives in health care: redirecting reform for efficiency and effectiveness*: CRC Press.

[4] Bosse, G., Breuer, J.-P., & Spies, C. (2006). The resistance to changing guidelines–what are the challenges and how to meet them. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology, 20*(3), 379-395.

[5] Byerly, S., Maurer, L. R., Mantero, A., Naar, L., An, G., & Kaafarani, H. M. (2021). Machine learning and artificial intelligence for surgical decision making. *Surgical Infections, 22*(6), 626-634.

[6] Cancarevic, I., Plichtová, L., & Malik, B. H. (2021). Healthcare systems around the world. *International medical graduates in the United States: a complete guide to challenges and solutions*, 45-79.

[7] Chen, C., & Ackerly, D. C. (2014). Beyond ACOs and bundled payments: Medicare's shift toward accountability in fee-for-service. *JAMA, 311*(7), 673-674.

[8] Chidolue, O., & Iqbal, T. (2023). *System Monitoring and Data logging using PLX-DAQ for Solar-Powered Oil Well Pumping.* Paper presented at the 2023 IEEE 13th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC).

[9] Elwyn, G., Frosch, D. L., & Kobrin, S. (2015). Implementing shared decision-making: consider all the consequences. *Implementation Science, 11*(1), 1-10.

[10] English, M., Oliwa, J., Khalid, K., Onyango, O., Willows, T. M., Mazhar, R., . . . Baker, T. (2023). Hospital care for critical illness in low-resource settings: lessons learned during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ global health, 8*(11).

[11] Fournier, P.-L., Jobin, M.-H., Lapointe, L., & Bahl, L. (2023). Lean implementation in healthcare: offsetting physicians' resistance to change. *Production Planning & Control, 34*(6), 493-505.

[12] French, M. T., Homer, J., Gumus, G., & Hickling, L. (2016). Key provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA): a systematic review and presentation of early research findings. *Health services research, 51*(5), 1735-1771.

[13] Frow, P., McColl-Kennedy, J. R., & Payne, A. (2016). Co-creation practices: Their role in shaping a health care ecosystem. *Industrial Marketing Management, 56*, 24-39.

[14] Gaffney, A., & McCormick, D. (2017). The Affordable Care Act: implications for health-care equity. *The Lancet, 389*(10077), 1442-1452.

[15] Greenhalgh, T., Jackson, C., Shaw, S., & Janamian, T. (2016). Achieving research impact through co-creation in community-based health services: literature review and case study. *The Milbank Quarterly, 94*(2), 392-429.

[16] Health, U. D. o., & Services, H. (1996). Health Information Portability and Accountability Act. *Retrieved September, 28*, 2017.

[17] Henson, C. R. (2023). *Healthcare Financial Management: Applied Concepts and Practical Analyses*: Springer Publishing Company.

[18] Johnson, D., Pranada, E., Yoo, R., Uwadiunor, E., Ngozichukwu, B., & Djire, A. (2023). Review and Perspective on Transition Metal Electrocatalysts Toward Carbon-neutral Energy. *Energy & Fuels, 37*(3), 1545-1576.

[19] Landry, S., & Beaulieu, M. (2013). The challenges of hospital supply chain management, from central stores to nursing units. In *Handbook of healthcare operations management: Methods and applications* (pp. 465-482): Springer.

[20] Min, H. (2016). Global business analytics models: Concepts and applications in predictive, healthcare, supply chain, and finance analytics.

[21] Myser, S. (2016). *Financial health of nonprofit organizations.* University of Kansas,

[22] Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Tula, O. A., Daraojimba, C., Ofonagoro, K. A., Ogunjobi, O. A., Gidiagba, J. O., . . . Banso, A. A. (2023). TRACING THE EVOLUTION OF AI AND MACHINE LEARNING APPLICATIONS IN ADVANCING MATERIALS DISCOVERY AND PRODUCTION PROCESSES. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4*(3), 66-83.

[23] Organization, W. H. (2000). *The world health report 2000: health systems: improving performance*: World Health Organization.

[24] Organization, W. H. (2018). *Delivering quality health services: A global imperative*: OECD Publishing.

[25] Phillips, J. J., & Zuniga, L. (2008). *Costs and ROI: Evaluating at the ultimate level* (Vol. 4): John Wiley & Sons.

[26] Rathi, V. K., & McWilliams, J. M. (2019). First-year report cards from the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS): what will be learned and what next? *JAMA, 321*(12), 1157-1158.

[27] Saleh, K. J., & Shaffer, W. O. (2016). Understanding value-based reimbursement models and trends in orthopaedic health policy: an introduction to the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) of 2015. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 24*(11), e136-e147.

[28] Schmid, A., Des Jardins, T., & Lehmann, A. (2021). Innovative Payment and Care Delivery Models: Accountable Care Organizations in the USA. *Handbook Integrated Care*, 881-895.

[29] Secundo, G., Toma, A., Schiuma, G., & Passiante, G. (2019). Knowledge transfer in open innovation: A classification framework for healthcare ecosystems. *Business Process Management Journal, 25*(1), 144-163.

[30] Seymour, T., Frantsvog, D., & Graeber, T. (2012). Electronic health records (EHR). *American Journal of Health Sciences (AJHS), 3*(3), 201-210.

[31] Siddique, S. M., & Mehta, S. J. (2017). Market evaluation: finances, bundled payments, and accountable care organizations. *Anesthesiology clinics, 35*(4), 715-724.

[32] Spivack, S. B., Laugesen, M. J., & Oberlander, J. (2018). No permanent fix: MACRA, MIPS, and the politics of physician payment reform. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, 43*(6), 1025-1040.

[33] Teo, K., Yong, C. W., Muhamad, F., Mohafez, H., Hasikin, K., Xia, K., . . . Lai, K. W. (2021). The promise for reducing healthcare cost with predictive model: An analysis with quantized evaluation metric on readmission. *Journal of healthcare engineering, 2021*, 1-10.

[34] Uchechukwu, E. S., Amechi, A. F., Okoye, C. C., & Okeke, N. M. (2023). Youth Unemployment and Security Challenges in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Sch J Arts Humanit Soc Sci, 4*, 81-91.

[35] Yadav, P., Steinbach, M., Kumar, V., & Simon, G. (2018). Mining electronic health records (EHRs) A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 50*(6), 1-40.