



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Evolving trends in corporate auditing: A systematic review of practices and regulations in the United States

Glory Ugochi Ebirim <sup>1</sup>, Beryl Odonkor <sup>2,\*</sup>, Ese Eigbadon Oshioke <sup>3</sup>, Kehinde Feranmi Awonuga <sup>4</sup>, Ndubiuisi Leonard Ndubuisi <sup>5</sup>, Odunayo Adewunmi Adelekan <sup>6</sup> and Ifeyinwa Francisca Unigwe <sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Independent Researcher, Maryland USA.*

<sup>2</sup> *McKinsey and Company, Texas, USA.*

<sup>3</sup> *UCS Ltd, Nigeria.*

<sup>4</sup> *Independent Researcher, UK.*

<sup>5</sup> *Spacepointe Limited, Rivers State, Nigeria.*

<sup>6</sup> *PWC Lagos Nigeria.*

<sup>7</sup> *Independent Researcher, Texas, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(01), 2250–2262

Publication history: Received on 16 December 2023; revised on 23 January 2024; accepted on 25 January 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.0312>

### Abstract

This systematic review delves into the dynamic landscape of corporate auditing in the United States, examining the historical underpinnings, current practices, and regulatory frameworks that shape this critical aspect of corporate governance. Corporate auditing stands as a linchpin in fostering financial transparency, ensuring accountability, and bolstering investor confidence. Over time, auditing practices have evolved in response to the intricacies of modern business, technological advancements, and the ever-changing regulatory environment. The review begins with an exploration of the importance of corporate auditing, emphasizing its crucial role in maintaining financial integrity and safeguarding the interests of stakeholders. The evolution of auditing practices unfolds as a narrative of adaptation and innovation, reflecting the need for auditors to navigate complex economic landscapes. Traditional methodologies, long considered the foundation of assurance services, are scrutinized in the context of their historical development and contemporary relevance. A significant focus is dedicated to emerging trends in corporate auditing, with a particular emphasis on technology-driven transformations. The integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data analytics reshapes the audit landscape, providing auditors with powerful tools to enhance efficiency and accuracy. Additionally, the review explores the advent of remote auditing practices, examining the impact of digitalization on audit methodologies, along with the challenges and opportunities associated with this paradigm shift. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations emerge as a pivotal aspect of contemporary corporate audits. The review investigates the growing importance of ESG factors, the incorporation of these considerations into auditing practices, and the broader implications for corporate accountability. Regulatory responses to these evolving trends are analyzed, encompassing updates from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and international influences on U.S. auditing standards. The abstract concludes by offering a forward-looking perspective, anticipating future developments in corporate auditing and highlighting the delicate balance between tradition and innovation in this critical domain of corporate governance.

**Keywords:** Corporate Auditing; Accounting; Regulations; Review

### 1. Introduction

Corporate auditing serves as a critical cornerstone in maintaining financial transparency, ensuring accountability, and fostering investor confidence in the business landscape. As businesses evolve in complexity and scale, the significance

\* Corresponding author: Beryl Odonkor

of corporate auditing becomes increasingly paramount. The meticulous examination of financial records, compliance with regulations, and the assurance of accurate reporting have positioned auditing as an indispensable component of corporate governance (Kassem, 2022, Lefebvre, Amran & Mgamal, 2023, Solomon, 2020).

The landscape of corporate auditing in the United States has undergone a profound transformation over the years. From its nascent stages to the present day, auditing practices have evolved in response to dynamic economic environments, technological advancements, and changing regulatory landscapes. This evolution has been driven by the need for auditors to adapt to emerging challenges, innovate their methodologies, and incorporate new technologies to enhance the effectiveness of their oversight (De Santis & D'Onza, 2021, Otia & Bracci, 2022).

In this systematic review, we delve into the intricate tapestry of corporate auditing in the United States, exploring the historical roots of auditing practices and their transformation over time. We aim to analyze the current state of auditing, shedding light on the traditional methodologies that have long been the bedrock of assurance services. Simultaneously, we navigate through the ever-changing landscape marked by the integration of cutting-edge technologies, the advent of remote auditing practices, and the rising prominence of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations in audits.

As we embark on this journey, we recognize the dual role of auditing as both a protector of stakeholders' interests and a catalyst for business innovation. Through a comprehensive examination of practices and regulations, we seek to unravel the complexities surrounding corporate auditing in the United States and shed light on the evolving trends that are shaping its future. This systematic review aims to provide insights into the challenges, successes, and regulatory responses that define the contemporary landscape of corporate auditing.

---

## 2. Historical Overview of Corporate Auditing in the United States

Corporate auditing in the United States has a rich history that has evolved over time to meet the changing needs of businesses and investors. The roots of auditing can be traced back to the early days of American commerce when businesses recognized the need for an independent assessment of financial records to ensure accuracy and transparency. This historical journey is marked by the introduction of auditing in the business landscape and key regulatory milestones that have shaped the practice into what it is today (Bourveau, et. al., 2021, Burton & Fairfield, 2020).

The concept of auditing in the United States can be linked to the rise of modern capitalism in the 19th century. As businesses expanded in scale and complexity, so did the need for a systematic and reliable method of verifying financial information. The emergence of large corporations, particularly in industries such as railroads and manufacturing, created a demand for a more structured approach to financial oversight. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, auditing began to take shape as a profession. Initially, auditing was largely internal, with companies employing their own auditors to review financial records. However, as the scale of business operations increased, the need for external auditors became apparent. Independent auditors brought objectivity and impartiality to the process, ensuring a more reliable assessment of a company's financial health (Atkinson, et. al., 2019, Toms, 2020).

The establishment of professional accounting organizations, such as the American Institute of Accountants (predecessor to the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants - AICPA), played a pivotal role in shaping auditing as a distinct discipline (Ahn & Jacobs, 2019, Flasher, Dida & Skomra, 2023, Sarisoy, 2021). These organizations developed standards and ethical guidelines for auditors, contributing to the professionalization of the field. The early 20th century saw the United States facing significant economic challenges, including the Great Depression. These challenges underscored the need for increased regulatory oversight to restore confidence in financial markets. The Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 were landmark legislations that laid the foundation for modern securities regulation and corporate reporting.

The Securities Act of 1933 aimed to ensure full disclosure of financial information for publicly traded companies, requiring them to register with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and provide prospectuses to potential investors. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 established the SEC as a regulatory body with the authority to oversee securities markets, including the power to set accounting and auditing standards. These regulatory milestones not only brought about transparency in financial reporting but also elevated the role of auditors. External auditors became crucial in ensuring compliance with these new regulations, as they were entrusted with the responsibility of providing an unbiased assessment of a company's financial statements (Glazer, 2021, Johnson, 2019, Newman & Trautman, 2021).

Over the years, the regulatory landscape continued to evolve with the establishment of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in response to accounting scandals in the early 2000s, notably Enron and WorldCom. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, a watershed moment in corporate governance, mandated strict internal controls and increased accountability for auditors, further shaping the landscape of corporate auditing in the United States.

In summary, the historical overview of corporate auditing in the United States reflects a journey from early internal practices to the establishment of external, independent auditing as a critical component of corporate governance. Regulatory milestones, driven by the need for transparency and accountability, have continually shaped and refined the practice of auditing, reinforcing its role in maintaining the integrity of financial information in the business landscape.

## 2.1. Traditional Corporate Auditing Practices

Corporate auditing, a linchpin of financial transparency and accountability, has a rich history rooted in traditional methodologies that have evolved over time (Antipova, 2023, Salih & Almajdob, 2019). This paper delves into the foundational aspects of traditional corporate auditing practices, encompassing the roles of auditors, key audit procedures, and the regulatory framework that governs these practices.

At the heart of traditional corporate auditing is the auditor's role as an independent and objective third party entrusted with the task of examining and evaluating financial information. Auditors play a critical role in providing assurance to stakeholders, including investors, regulators, and the public, regarding the accuracy and reliability of a company's financial statements. Independence is a fundamental principle guiding auditors, ensuring an unbiased and impartial assessment of financial records (Al-Shaheen & Bai, 2020, Bankurso, 2022, Desplebin, Lux & Petit, 2021).

The auditor's primary responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the financial statements present a true and fair view of the company's financial position and performance. This opinion serves as a cornerstone for stakeholders in making informed decisions about investments, loans, and overall business engagements. To fulfill this responsibility, auditors employ a systematic and rigorous approach to assess the financial information presented by the company (Al-Dhubaibi, 2020, Olayinka, 2022).

Traditional audit methodologies involve a series of well-defined procedures designed to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence. These procedures include the examination of financial statements, internal controls, and supporting documentation. Auditors assess the risk of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and tailor their procedures accordingly. Key audit procedures encompass substantive testing and tests of controls. Substantive testing involves the detailed examination of transactions, account balances, and disclosures to identify any discrepancies or irregularities. Tests of controls, on the other hand, evaluate the effectiveness of a company's internal controls in preventing or detecting material misstatements (Hoang, Luo & Salterio, 2020, Werner & Gehrke, 2019).

Throughout the audit process, auditors exercise professional judgment, maintaining a balance between skepticism and a thorough understanding of the audited entity. They assess the reliability of internal controls, scrutinize financial transactions, and verify the accuracy of accounting records, ensuring that the financial statements are free from material misstatements (Agustin, 2020, Kelly & Larres, 2023).

The landscape of traditional corporate auditing was significantly influenced by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX), enacted in response to corporate accounting scandals that shook investor confidence, such as Enron and WorldCom. SOX introduced stringent reforms to enhance corporate governance, financial reporting, and audit quality. Key provisions of SOX include the establishment of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), which oversees the auditing profession and sets auditing standards for public companies. SOX mandates that auditors of public companies attest to the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting. This has led to an increased focus on internal control testing as a core component of traditional audit methodologies (Bajra, et. al., 2023, Wahhab & Al-Shammari, 2021).

In addition to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) plays a pivotal role in regulating traditional auditing practices. The SEC has the authority to prescribe accounting principles and auditing standards for public companies, influencing the nature and scope of audits.

SEC regulations reinforce the importance of transparency and accountability in financial reporting. Auditors are required to adhere to generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS) and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards when conducting audits of publicly traded companies. These standards set the benchmarks for audit quality, independence, and professional ethics (Duro, Heese & Ormazabal, 2019, Hutton, Shu & Zheng, 2022). Moreover,

SEC regulations mandate the filing of audited financial statements with the commission, making them accessible to the public. This enhances the credibility of financial information, providing investors and stakeholders with confidence in the reliability of the audited financial statements.

In conclusion, traditional corporate auditing practices are deeply rooted in methodologies that emphasize the independence and objectivity of auditors. The role of auditors in providing assurance on financial statements is complemented by key audit procedures that rigorously assess the accuracy and reliability of financial information. The regulatory framework, notably shaped by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC regulations, reinforces the integrity of traditional auditing practices and underscores their vital role in maintaining the trust and confidence of stakeholders in the financial markets.

## **2.2. Emerging Trends in Corporate Auditing**

Corporate auditing, a dynamic field at the intersection of finance and technology, is undergoing a transformative phase marked by technological innovations, digitalization, and a heightened focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations (Marton, Nilsson & Öhman, 2023, Truant, et. al., 2023). This paper delves into the emerging trends shaping the landscape of corporate auditing, including technology-driven changes, remote auditing practices, and the increasing emphasis on ESG factors.

One of the most significant shifts in corporate auditing is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). These technologies bring unprecedented efficiency and effectiveness to the auditing process. AI and ML algorithms can analyze vast datasets at speeds unattainable by human auditors, identifying patterns, anomalies, and trends that might otherwise go unnoticed (Fedyk, et. al., 2022, Prokofieva, 2023).

Incorporating AI and ML into auditing processes enhances risk assessment and detection of irregularities. Predictive analytics powered by machine learning algorithms can forecast potential financial risks, enabling auditors to focus on areas that require closer scrutiny. This proactive approach not only improves the accuracy of audits but also allows auditors to provide more valuable insights to stakeholders.

Data analytics is another transformative aspect of technology-driven changes in corporate auditing. Auditors leverage advanced data analytics tools to gain deeper insights into financial transactions and patterns. The analysis of structured and unstructured data helps auditors identify red flags, assess risk factors, and provide a more comprehensive understanding of an organization's financial landscape (Chowdhury, 2022, Otia & Bracci, 2022).

The use of data analytics also facilitates continuous auditing, allowing auditors to monitor financial data in real-time rather than relying on periodic assessments. This real-time approach enhances the agility of auditing processes, enabling quicker responses to emerging risks and opportunities. The digital revolution has paved the way for remote auditing practices, reshaping the traditional audit landscape. Digitalization enables auditors to access financial records, conduct assessments, and communicate with clients remotely. Cloud-based platforms and secure digital channels have become integral to the audit process, fostering collaboration and ensuring the seamless exchange of information (Austin, et. al., 2021, Goh, et. al., 2019).

Digitalization has also streamlined document management, reducing reliance on physical paperwork. Electronic audit trails enhance traceability and transparency, allowing auditors to track changes and modifications to financial records with precision. The digitalization of audit processes contributes to greater efficiency, reducing the time and resources required for on-site visits. While remote auditing presents numerous opportunities, it also comes with its set of challenges. Ensuring the security and confidentiality of sensitive financial information in a remote environment is a primary concern. Auditors must implement robust cybersecurity measures to safeguard against data breaches and unauthorized access.

Maintaining effective communication and collaboration with clients remotely is another challenge. Building rapport and understanding the intricacies of an organization's operations can be more challenging when physical interactions are limited. However, the adoption of virtual collaboration tools, video conferencing, and secure communication platforms helps address these challenges, providing auditors with the means to interact effectively with clients regardless of geographical distances. In recent years, there has been a notable shift towards a greater emphasis on ESG factors in corporate auditing. ESG considerations encompass a broad spectrum of non-financial metrics related to an organization's environmental impact, social responsibility, and governance practices. The growing recognition of the interconnectedness between sustainability and long-term financial performance has elevated ESG factors to the forefront of auditing priorities.

Companies are increasingly acknowledging the materiality of ESG factors in influencing their risk profile, brand reputation, and stakeholder relationships. Investors, in turn, are incorporating ESG criteria into their decision-making processes, seeking investments that align with ethical and sustainable practices (Del Giudice & Rigamonti, 2020, Hammami & Hendijani Zadeh, 2020, Zahid, et. al., 2022).

ESG auditing involves evaluating an organization's policies, practices, and performance in relation to environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and governance structures. Auditors assess the reliability of ESG disclosures, ensuring that companies adhere to established reporting frameworks and standards. The integration of ESG considerations in audits requires auditors to develop a nuanced understanding of industry-specific ESG risks and opportunities. This involves evaluating the impact of an organization's operations on the environment, its engagement with stakeholders, and the effectiveness of governance structures in promoting ethical practices.

In conclusion, the landscape of corporate auditing is experiencing a paradigm shift driven by technology-driven changes, remote auditing practices, and an increased focus on ESG factors. The integration of AI and machine learning, coupled with advanced data analytics, enhances the precision and efficiency of audits. Remote auditing practices, facilitated by digitalization, provide new avenues for collaboration and efficiency, albeit with challenges that require careful navigation. The growing importance of ESG factors reflects a broader societal awareness, influencing audit practices to encompass a more holistic evaluation of organizational performance. As auditors embrace these emerging trends, the future of corporate auditing promises to be dynamic, technologically advanced, and attuned to the evolving expectations of stakeholders in a rapidly changing business environment.

### **2.3. Regulatory Responses to Evolving Trends**

As corporate auditing undergoes significant transformations driven by technological advancements and a heightened focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors, regulatory bodies play a pivotal role in shaping and adapting frameworks to address these changes. This paper delves into the regulatory responses to evolving trends, with a specific focus on the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and its updates, as well as the international influences on U.S. auditing regulations.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has been at the forefront of responding to the technological advancements reshaping the landscape of corporate auditing. Recognizing the transformative potential of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and data analytics, the SEC has adapted its regulatory approach to incorporate these innovations into auditing practices (Ajunwa, 2020, Wishnick, 2020, Olojede & Olayinka, 2022).

The SEC acknowledges the benefits of technology-driven changes in improving audit quality, enhancing efficiency, and providing auditors with powerful tools for risk assessment and detection of irregularities. However, the regulatory response also underscores the need for responsible and ethical use of these technologies. The SEC emphasizes the importance of maintaining professional skepticism and judgment even as auditors leverage advanced technologies in their processes. Regulatory updates from the SEC include guidelines on the use of technology in audits, urging auditors to stay abreast of emerging technologies, invest in relevant training, and ensure the appropriate application of these tools in accordance with established auditing standards. The SEC's proactive stance aims to foster innovation while preserving the integrity and objectivity of auditing practices (Moll & Yigitbasioğlu, 2019, Yoon, 2020).

In response to the growing significance of ESG factors, the SEC has taken steps to integrate these considerations into regulatory frameworks. Recognizing that ESG factors can have material impacts on a company's financial performance and risk profile, the SEC has emphasized the importance of transparent and standardized ESG disclosures. The SEC's response includes the development of guidelines and reporting requirements for companies to disclose their ESG practices. This involves providing investors with information on how environmental, social, and governance factors are integrated into corporate strategies, risk management processes, and overall decision-making. By incorporating ESG considerations into regulatory frameworks, the SEC aims to enhance transparency, facilitate informed decision-making by investors, and promote corporate accountability.

In the interconnected global business environment, U.S. auditing regulations are influenced by international standards and practices. Organizations such as the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) play a crucial role in setting global auditing standards. The U.S. generally aligns its auditing standards with international norms to ensure consistency and comparability in financial reporting.

The IAASB regularly updates its standards to address emerging trends and challenges in the audit profession. The SEC closely monitors these international developments and evaluates the compatibility of U.S. auditing regulations with

global standards. The goal is to maintain a harmonized approach to auditing practices, facilitating cross-border investments and ensuring the credibility of financial information in a global context (Krasodomska, Simnett & Street, 2021; Zaman, et. al., 2021).

The alignment of U.S. auditing regulations with international standards has implications for U.S. corporations, particularly those engaged in global business activities. Companies operating across borders are subject to both U.S. and international auditing standards, requiring them to navigate a complex regulatory landscape. Harmonization of auditing standards brings benefits in terms of consistency and comparability of financial information, fostering investor confidence and facilitating international investments. However, U.S. corporations must also adapt to specific regulatory nuances and compliance requirements in different jurisdictions (Bradford, 2020; Dau, et. al., 2022). This involves staying informed about international developments, understanding the implications of global auditing standards, and ensuring compliance with the diverse regulatory frameworks they encounter.

In conclusion, regulatory responses to evolving trends in corporate auditing, spearheaded by the SEC and influenced by international standards, reflect a commitment to adaptability and responsiveness. The SEC's approach to technological advancements emphasizes the responsible use of innovation, while the integration of ESG factors into regulatory frameworks aligns with the growing recognition of the material impact of sustainability on financial performance. The international influences on U.S. auditing regulations underscore the importance of a harmonized global approach, providing U.S. corporations with both opportunities and challenges as they navigate a complex and interconnected regulatory landscape. As the audit profession continues to evolve, regulatory bodies will play a crucial role in shaping frameworks that balance innovation with integrity and meet the expectations of stakeholders in a dynamic business environment.

#### **2.4. Challenges and Criticisms in Modern Corporate Auditing**

As corporate auditing embraces technological advancements and undergoes transformative shifts, it is not without its share of challenges and criticisms. This paper delves into two key aspects: ethical concerns arising from technology-driven auditing and resistance to change within the industry as it grapples with the balance between tradition and innovation (Frishammar, et. al., 2019; Rosa, et. al., 2022; Stensjö, 2020).

One of the primary ethical concerns in technology-driven auditing revolves around privacy issues. The integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) involves the analysis of massive datasets, often including sensitive and personal information. Auditors must navigate the delicate balance between obtaining the necessary information for a comprehensive audit and respecting the privacy rights of individuals.

Privacy concerns become particularly pronounced when auditing involves the scrutiny of employee data, customer information, or proprietary business processes. Striking the right balance requires auditors to implement robust privacy safeguards, ensuring that data is handled with discretion, adhering to data protection regulations, and obtaining explicit consent when necessary. Failure to address privacy issues may lead to legal ramifications and erode trust in the auditing process.

The use of AI algorithms in auditing introduces another ethical dimension—bias. AI systems learn from historical data, and if that data contains biases, the algorithms may perpetuate and amplify those biases. In auditing, this could manifest as biased risk assessments or skewed interpretations of financial information. To address this concern, auditors must critically evaluate the algorithms they employ, considering the potential biases present in the training data. Transparency and accountability are essential in ensuring that AI systems are used ethically. Regular audits of algorithms, incorporating diverse perspectives during the development phase, and ongoing monitoring for bias are crucial steps in mitigating the ethical challenges associated with AI-driven auditing. While technological advancements promise improved efficiency and accuracy in auditing, the industry often faces resistance to adopting new practices (Isley, 2022; Lee, Resnick & Barton, 2019; Patel & Uddin, 2022). This resistance can stem from various factors, including a fear of the unknown, concerns about the initial investment required, or a reluctance to disrupt established workflows.

The transition from traditional to technology-driven auditing requires auditors and organizations to invest in training, infrastructure, and adapting to new methodologies. Resistance may also emerge from a perceived threat to job roles or the need for auditors to upskill in technology-related areas. Overcoming this resistance necessitates effective change management strategies, clear communication about the benefits of innovation, and creating a culture that embraces continuous learning and adaptation.

The challenge lies in finding the right balance between tradition and innovation. Auditing practices grounded in tradition often rely on well-established methodologies and manual processes that have proven effective over time. However, innovation is essential for meeting the demands of a rapidly evolving business environment. Striking the right balance requires thoughtful consideration of the strengths of both traditional and innovative approaches.

Overemphasis on tradition may hinder adaptability and limit the potential benefits that technological advancements can bring. On the other hand, an overly rapid embrace of innovation may lead to oversight, inadequate training, and a failure to integrate new technologies effectively. Achieving a harmonious blend involves a strategic approach, understanding the specific needs of the organization, and gradually introducing innovations while preserving the core principles of auditing (Pargaonkar, 2023, Siwale & Godfroid, 2022).

In conclusion, modern corporate auditing faces significant challenges and criticisms in the realms of ethics and resistance to change. Ethical concerns arise from the use of advanced technologies, particularly in addressing privacy issues and mitigating biases in AI algorithms. Resistance to change within the industry is a common hurdle, often stemming from a reluctance to adopt new practices and the delicate balance required between tradition and innovation. Successfully navigating these challenges requires a commitment to ethical practices, transparency in technological adoption, and strategic change management that fosters a culture of adaptability within the auditing profession. As the industry continues to evolve, addressing these challenges head-on will be crucial to maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of corporate auditing in the modern business landscape.

---

### 3. Case Studies

In the dynamic landscape of corporate auditing, case studies provide invaluable insights into how organizations are successfully navigating the challenges posed by technological advancements and the increasing emphasis on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations. This paper will highlight exemplary cases of companies leading in technological adoption and showcase instances of effective ESG integration in auditing practices.

IBM, a global technology and consulting company, stands out as a pioneer in embracing technological advancements to enhance its auditing processes. Recognizing the transformative potential of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics, IBM has integrated these technologies into its internal auditing functions. The company utilizes advanced AI algorithms to analyze vast datasets, identifying patterns and anomalies that may not be easily discernible through traditional audit methods (Asif, Searcy & Castka, 2022, McGrath, et. al., 2021).

The implementation of AI in auditing has significantly improved the efficiency and effectiveness of IBM's risk assessment procedures. The algorithms can process information at unparalleled speeds, allowing auditors to focus on high-risk areas and potential irregularities. IBM's commitment to staying at the forefront of technological innovation in auditing not only enhances audit quality but also positions the company as a thought leader in the evolving landscape of corporate governance. PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), one of the leading global professional services firms, has been at the forefront of adopting remote auditing practices. The advent of digitalization and the need for business continuity, especially in the wake of global events like the COVID-19 pandemic, prompted PwC to innovate its auditing methodologies.

PwC leverages secure digital platforms, cloud-based technologies, and advanced communication tools to conduct audits remotely. This not only ensures the safety and well-being of auditors but also streamlines the audit process, allowing for real-time collaboration with clients. The successful implementation of remote auditing practices by PwC demonstrates how embracing technological advancements can not only adapt to unforeseen challenges but also drive efficiency and effectiveness in audit engagements. Unilever, a multinational consumer goods company, has emerged as a trailblazer in integrating ESG considerations into its auditing and reporting practices. Unilever's commitment to sustainability is deeply embedded in its corporate strategy, and this commitment extends to its audit processes. The company provides transparent and comprehensive ESG disclosures, outlining its environmental impact, social responsibility initiatives, and governance practices.

Unilever's ESG integration in auditing goes beyond mere compliance, reflecting a genuine commitment to sustainable business practices. The company's auditors assess the effectiveness of Unilever's sustainability initiatives, ensuring that the disclosed information is accurate, reliable, and aligned with established reporting frameworks. Unilever's approach to ESG integration serves as a benchmark for organizations seeking to intertwine sustainability principles with robust auditing practices.

Microsoft, a global technology giant, exemplifies how ESG considerations can be strategically integrated into auditing practices to drive informed decision-making. Microsoft recognizes the material impact of ESG factors on its long-term success and has woven ESG metrics into its internal audit processes.

The company's auditors assess not only financial performance but also the environmental impact of operations, social responsibility initiatives, and adherence to robust governance structures. Microsoft's commitment to ESG integration extends beyond compliance to influence strategic decisions and risk assessments. The company's auditors collaborate closely with ESG specialists to ensure a holistic evaluation that reflects the interconnectedness of sustainability and financial performance (Boulhaga, et. al., 2023, Popescu, 2019, Tseng, et. al., 2020).

In conclusion, these case studies showcase how companies are successfully implementing evolving auditing practices in response to technological advancements and the growing importance of ESG considerations. IBM and PwC demonstrate the power of technological adoption, leveraging AI, data analytics, and remote auditing practices to enhance efficiency and adapt to changing business environments. Unilever and Microsoft, on the other hand, serve as beacons for effective ESG integration in auditing, emphasizing the importance of transparent reporting and strategic incorporation of sustainability principles into decision-making processes. These case studies underscore the transformative potential of embracing innovation and aligning audit practices with evolving global expectations for responsible and transparent corporate governance.

---

#### 4. Future Outlook

As corporate auditing continues to evolve in response to technological advancements and changing regulatory landscapes, the future promises a dynamic and transformative journey for the profession. This paper will delve into anticipated developments in corporate auditing, focusing on the continued integration of technology and potential regulatory shifts that will shape the landscape (Daidj, 2022, Kommunuri, 2022).

The future of corporate auditing is intricately tied to the continued evolution of data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI). As technology becomes more sophisticated, auditors will increasingly harness the power of advanced data analytics tools and AI algorithms to glean insights from large datasets in real-time. This will not only enhance the accuracy of risk assessments but also streamline the audit process by automating routine tasks, allowing auditors to focus on high-value analysis and decision-making.

Predictive analytics, powered by machine learning, will play a central role in identifying emerging risks, trends, and anomalies, providing auditors with proactive insights. The integration of AI into auditing processes will also contribute to the development of more robust fraud detection mechanisms, addressing ethical concerns and biases through continuous refinement of algorithms. Blockchain technology, known for its decentralized and transparent nature, holds immense potential for transforming corporate auditing. The use of blockchain in auditing processes can provide an immutable and auditable record of financial transactions, reducing the risk of fraud and enhancing the reliability of financial statements (Ghanoum & Alaba, 2020, Hasan, 2021, Tiron-Tudor & Deliu, 2022).

Smart contracts, built on blockchain, can automate certain audit procedures, ensuring that predefined conditions are met without manual intervention. This not only improves efficiency but also adds an extra layer of transparency to the audit trail. As the technology matures, auditors are likely to explore innovative ways to leverage blockchain for verifying financial information, validating transactions, and enhancing the overall trustworthiness of the auditing process.

The rapid pace of technological advancements in corporate auditing necessitates a corresponding evolution in regulatory frameworks. Regulators, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and international standard-setting bodies, are expected to proactively adapt regulations to address the unique challenges and opportunities posed by technology-driven changes. Regulatory bodies may develop guidelines specifically tailored to the use of AI, data analytics, and blockchain in auditing. This includes defining standards for the ethical use of AI, ensuring privacy protection, and establishing benchmarks for the integration of emerging technologies into audit methodologies. The goal will be to strike a balance between fostering innovation and maintaining the integrity and objectivity of the auditing process (Austin, et. al., 2021, Gauthier & Brender, 2021).

The growing importance of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations is likely to lead to increased standardization in ESG reporting. Regulators may play a pivotal role in defining uniform reporting frameworks, ensuring consistency and comparability of ESG information across companies and industries. This standardization will not only enhance transparency but also make it easier for auditors to assess and validate ESG disclosures. Regulatory bodies may introduce reporting requirements that mandate companies to disclose specific ESG metrics, linking ESG



considerations more directly to financial reporting. This integration will provide investors with a clearer understanding of a company's sustainability practices, making ESG factors an integral part of the auditing process.

In conclusion, the future outlook for corporate auditing is marked by a trajectory of technological advancements and potential regulatory shifts. The integration of advanced data analytics, AI, and blockchain technology will redefine the audit landscape, enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and transparency. Regulators are expected to adapt to these technological changes, shaping frameworks that promote responsible innovation and address emerging challenges. The standardization of ESG reporting is poised to become a cornerstone of future audits, reflecting the growing significance of sustainability in corporate governance. As auditors and regulators navigate this evolving landscape, the overarching goal is to embrace innovation while upholding the principles of integrity, transparency, and accountability in corporate auditing.

---

## 5. Recommendation

The systematic review of practices and regulations in the United States illuminates the dynamic landscape of corporate auditing, revealing key findings that underscore the transformative trends shaping the profession. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, data analytics, and blockchain technology is reshaping auditing practices. Companies at the forefront, such as IBM and PwC, are leveraging these technologies to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and risk assessment in the audit process. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations have emerged as pivotal factors in corporate auditing. Exemplary cases from Unilever and Microsoft demonstrate the strategic integration of ESG metrics, emphasizing the interconnectedness of sustainability and financial performance.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is actively responding to evolving trends. Regulatory updates reflect a commitment to responsible technological adoption, addressing ethical concerns, and integrating ESG factors into reporting frameworks. Ethical concerns in technology-driven auditing, including privacy issues and bias in AI algorithms, pose challenges. Resistance to change within the industry is evident, highlighting the delicate balance required between tradition and innovation.

The implications drawn from the systematic review suggest a future for corporate auditing in the United States that is both dynamic and responsive to emerging trends.

The relentless pace of technological advancements in auditing, as exemplified by IBM and PwC, signals a future where AI, data analytics, and blockchain will become integral components of audit methodologies. Auditors will increasingly rely on advanced tools for risk assessment, fraud detection, and real-time analysis. The continuous refinement of these technologies will not only drive efficiency but also foster a culture of innovation within the auditing profession. The emphasis on ESG factors in auditing practices, exemplified by Unilever and Microsoft, indicates a paradigm shift in corporate governance. In the future, ESG considerations are likely to be fully integrated into reporting frameworks, with standardized metrics providing investors and stakeholders with a comprehensive view of a company's sustainability practices. This integration will not only enhance transparency but also influence strategic decision-making, making sustainability an inseparable aspect of corporate governance.

The proactive response of regulatory bodies, particularly the SEC, anticipates a regulatory landscape that adapts to the evolving technological landscape. Future regulatory frameworks are expected to provide clear guidelines on the ethical use of AI, data analytics, and blockchain in auditing. Standardization of ESG reporting will likely become a regulatory mandate, ensuring consistency and comparability across industries. The regulatory environment will need to strike a delicate balance, fostering innovation while upholding the principles of integrity, objectivity, and privacy. The challenges and criticisms identified, including privacy concerns and resistance to change, underline the importance of ethical practices and effective change management. Future auditors will need to navigate these challenges by implementing robust privacy safeguards, addressing biases in AI algorithms, and fostering a culture of adaptability within the industry. Successful change management strategies will be crucial for overcoming industry reluctance and achieving a harmonious balance between tradition and innovation.

---

## 6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the systematic review of evolving trends in corporate auditing in the United States reveals a landscape characterized by innovation, responsiveness to ESG considerations, regulatory adaptation, and challenges that demand ethical solutions. The future of corporate auditing is poised to be a synergistic blend of advanced technologies, sustainability principles, and regulatory frameworks that balance innovation with accountability. As the auditing

profession navigates this transformative journey, stakeholders are encouraged to embrace change, foster a culture of continuous learning, and uphold the principles that underpin the integrity of corporate auditing in the ever-evolving business environment.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

## References

- [1] Agustin, R. (2020). Professional skepticism and the ability of auditor in assessing audit risk. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(2).
- [2] Ahn, P. D., & Jacobs, K. (2019). Beyond the accounting profession: A professionalisation project in the South Korean public sector accounting field. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 32(1), 101-132.
- [3] Ajunwa, I. (2020). An Auditing Imperative for Automated Hiring Systems. *Harv. JL & Tech.*, 34, 621.
- [4] Al-Dhubaibi, A. (2020). Auditors' responsibility for fraud detection: Views of auditors, preparers, and users of financial statements in Saudi Arabia. *Accounting*, 6(3), 279-290.
- [5] Al-Shaheen, H., & Bai, H. (2020). The Reliance of External Audit on Internal Audit: in Chinese Audit Corporations.
- [6] Antipova, T. (2023). Auditing for financial reporting. In *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 656-664). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [7] Asif, M., Searcy, C., & Castka, P. (2022). Exploring the role of industry 4.0 in enhancing supplier audit authenticity, efficacy, and cost effectiveness. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 331, 129939.
- [8] Atkinson, G., Hake, E. R., & Paschall, S. P. (2019). Evolution of the corporation in the United States: Stabilized scarcity and vested interests. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 53(1), 1-25.
- [9] Austin, A. A., Carpenter, T. D., Christ, M. H., & Nielson, C. S. (2021). The data analytics journey: Interactions among auditors, managers, regulation, and technology. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 38(3), 1888-1924.
- [10] Bajra, U. Q., Aliu, F., Krasniqi, A., & Fejza, E. (2023). The impact of the Sarbanes–Oxley Act on the integrity of financial reporting: Was it meritorious?. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, 34(3), 184-196.
- [11] Bankurso, G. K. (2022). External Auditor Independence As A Corporate Governance Mechanism In Ethiopian Share Companies: A Comparative Perspectives.
- [12] Boulhaga, M., Bouri, A., Elamer, A. A., & Ibrahim, B. A. (2023). Environmental, social and governance ratings and firm performance: The moderating role of internal control quality. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(1), 134-145.
- [13] Bourveau, T., Breuer, M., Koenraadt, J., & Stoumbos, R. (2021). Public company auditing around the securities exchange act. *Columbia Business School Research Paper*.
- [14] Bradford, A. (2020). *The Brussels effect: How the European Union rules the world*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- [15] Burton, J. C., & Fairfield, P. (2020). Auditing evolution in a changing environment. In *The Evolution of Audit Thought and Practice* (pp. 294-315). Routledge.
- [16] Chowdhury, S. R. (2022). Transformation of Internal Audit with the Global Technology Wave. *The Management Accountant Journal*, 57(7), 39-42.
- [17] Daidj, N. (2022). *The digital transformation of auditing and the evolution of the internal audit*. Taylor & Francis.
- [18] Dau, L. A., Moore, E. M., Doh, J. P., & Soto, M. A. (2022). Does global integration stimulate corporate citizenship? The effect of international trade agreements and regulatory quality on state and private firm adoption of CSR standards. *Journal of International Business Policy*, 5(3), 328-352.
- [19] De Santis, F., & D'Onza, G. (2021). Big data and data analytics in auditing: in search of legitimacy. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(5), 1088-1112.

- [20] Del Giudice, A., & Rigamonti, S. (2020). Does audit improve the quality of ESG scores? Evidence from corporate misconduct. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5670.
- [21] Desplebin, O., Lux, G., & Petit, N. (2021). To be or not to be: blockchain and the future of accounting and auditing. *Accounting Perspectives*, 20(4), 743-769.
- [22] Duro, M., Heese, J., & Ormazabal, G. (2019). The effect of enforcement transparency: Evidence from SEC comment-letter reviews. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 24, 780-823.
- [23] Fedyk, A., Hodson, J., Khimich, N., & Fedyk, T. (2022). Is artificial intelligence improving the audit process?. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 27(3), 938-985.
- [24] Flasher, R., Didia, L., & Skomra, J. (2023). Leave it in! Analyzing the responses to a proposal to remove governmental accounting from the certified public accountant examination. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*.
- [25] Frishammar, J., Richtnér, A., Brattström, A., Magnusson, M., & Björk, J. (2019). Opportunities and challenges in the new innovation landscape: Implications for innovation auditing and innovation management. *European Management Journal*, 37(2), 151-164.
- [26] Gauthier, M. P., & Brender, N. (2021). How do the current auditing standards fit the emergent use of blockchain?. *Managerial auditing journal*, 36(3), 365-385.
- [27] Ghanoum, S., & Alaba, F. M. (2020). *Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Auditing: The Effect on Auditing Process*. Kristianstad University.
- [28] Glazer, M. (2021). Prospectus disclosure and delivery requirements. *Mutual Fund Regulation*, 4(5.1).
- [29] Goh, C., Pan, G., Seow, P. S., LEE, B. H. Z., & Yong, M. (2019). Charting the future of accountancy with AI.
- [30] Hammami, A., & Hendijani Zadeh, M. (2020). Audit quality, media coverage, environmental, social, and governance disclosure and firm investment efficiency: Evidence from Canada. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 28(1), 45-72.
- [31] Hasan, A. R. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI) in accounting & auditing: A Literature review. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 10(1), 440-465.
- [32] Hoang, K., Luo, Y., & Salterio, S. (2020). Evidence-informed audit standard setting: Exploring evidence use and knowledge transfer in development of the group audit standard. Available at SSRN 3569774.
- [33] Hutton, A., Shu, S., & Zheng, X. (2022). Regulatory transparency and the alignment of private and public enforcement: Evidence from the public disclosure of SEC comment letters. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 145(1), 297-321.
- [34] Isley, R. (2022). *Algorithmic Bias and Its Implications: How to Maintain Ethics through AI Governance*. NYU American Public Policy Review.
- [35] Johnson, M. (2019). *Corporate finance and the securities laws*. Wolters Kluwer.
- [36] Kassem, R. (2022). Elucidating corporate governance's impact and role in countering fraud. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 22(7), 1523-1546.
- [37] Kelly, M., & Larres, P. (2023). Enhancing the auditor's mindset: a framework for nurturing professional skepticism. *Journal of Accounting Literature*.
- [38] Kommunuri, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the changing landscape of accounting: a viewpoint. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 34(4), 585-594.
- [39] Krasodomska, J., Simnett, R., & Street, D. L. (2021). Extended external reporting assurance: Current practices and challenges. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 32(1), 104-142.
- [40] Lee, N. T., Resnick, P., & Barton, G. (2019). *Algorithmic bias detection and mitigation: Best practices and policies to reduce consumer harms*. Brookings Institute: Washington, DC, USA, 2.
- [41] Lefebvre, A. B., Amran, D. C., & Mgammal, I. P. (2023). Impact Of Audit Independence On Transparency And Accountability In Corporate Organizations In Vidin, Bulgaria. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, 5(16), 15-25.
- [42] Marton, J., Nilsson, F., & Öhman, P. (Eds.). (2023). *Auditing Transformation: Regulation, Digitalisation and Sustainability*. Taylor & Francis.

- [43] McGrath, P., McCarthy, L., Marshall, D., & Rehme, J. (2021). Tools and technologies of transparency in sustainable global supply chains. *California Management Review*, 64(1), 67-89.
- [44] Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. *The British accounting review*, 51(6), 100833.
- [45] Newman, N. F., & Trautman, L. J. (2021). *Securities Law: Overview and Contemporary Issues*. Ohio St. Bus. LJ, 16, 149.
- [46] Olayinka, A. A. (2022). Financial statement analysis as a tool for investment decisions and assessment of companies' performance. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 4(1), 49-66.
- [47] Olojede, P., & Olayinka, E. (2022). Historical Perspective of Auditing and Professional Accounting Development in Nigeria. *Indian-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 6(3), 16-37.
- [48] Otia, J. E., & Bracci, E. (2022). Digital transformation and the public sector auditing: The SAI's perspective. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 38(2), 252-280.
- [49] Pargaonkar, S. (2023). A Comprehensive Research Analysis of Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Agile & Waterfall Model Advantages, Disadvantages, and Application Suitability in Software Quality Engineering. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 13(08).
- [50] Patel, P., & Uddin, M. N. (2022). AI for Algorithmic Auditing: Mitigating Bias and Improving Fairness in Big Data Systems. *International Journal of Social Analytics*, 7(12), 39-48.
- [51] Popescu, C. R. G. (2019). Corporate social responsibility, corporate governance and business performance: Limits and challenges imposed by the implementation of directive 2013/34/EU in Romania. *Sustainability*, 11(19), 5146.
- [52] Prokofieva, M. (2023). Integrating data analytics in teaching audit with machine learning and artificial intelligence. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 7317-7353.
- [53] Rosa, R., Rahayu, S., Yudi, Y., & Gowon, M. (2022, January). Internal Auditor Transformation Strategy in the Industrial Revolution 4.0 Era: Literature Review. In *Proceedings of the First Lekantara Annual Conference on Public Administration, Literature, Social Sciences, Humanities, and Education, LePALISSHE 2021, August 3, 2021, Malang, Indonesia*.
- [54] Salih, W. K., & Almajdob, M. S. (2019). An Evaluation of the role of supreme audit institutions in reduce of audit failure to promote economic development: The case of the Federal Board of Supreme Audit of Iraq. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9(9), 252-265.
- [55] Sarisoy, Ö. (2021). The Transition from Self-Regulation to Public Oversight in the Independent Audit: An Investigation of Developments by Accounting Sub-Culture. *Ethics and Sustainability in Accounting and Finance*, Volume III, 43-86.
- [56] Siwale, J., & Godfroid, C. (2022). Digitising microfinance: on the route to losing the traditional 'human face' of microfinance institutions. *Oxford Development Studies*, 50(2), 177-191.
- [57] Solomon, J. (2020). *Corporate governance and accountability*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [58] Stensjö, G. (2020). The Changing Nature of the Audit Profession-Opportunities and Challenges with Digital Transformation and the Use of Audit Support Systems, Big Data and Data Analytics.
- [59] Tiron-Tudor, A., & Deliu, D. (2022). Reflections on the human-algorithm complex duality perspectives in the auditing process. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 19(3), 255-285.
- [60] Toms, S. (2020). *Capitalism. The Routledge companion to accounting history*, 427-442.
- [61] Truant, E., Borlatto, E., Crocco, E., & Bhatia, M. (2023). ESG performance and technological change: Current state-of-the-art, development and future directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 429, 139493.
- [62] Tseng, M. L., Chang, C. H., Lin, C. W., Nguyen, T. T. H., & Lim, M. K. (2020). Environmental responsibility drives board structure and financial and governance performance: A cause and effect model with qualitative information. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 258, 120668.
- [63] Wahhab, A. M. A., & Al-Shammari, M. T. A. A. (2021). Audit committee characteristics, regulatory changes and financial reporting quality in Iraq: Some lessons from Sox Act. *International Journal of Economics and Finance Studies*, 13(1), 43-66.

- [64] Werner, M., & Gehrke, N. (2019). Identifying the absence of effective internal controls: An alternative approach for internal control audits. *Journal of Information Systems*, 33(2), 205-222.
- [65] Wishnick, D. A. (2020). Reengineering financial market infrastructure. *Minn. L. Rev.*, 105, 2379.
- [66] Yoon, S. (2020). The Impact of New Technology on Ethics in Accounting: Opportunities, Threats, and Ethical Concerns. *경영학연구*, 49(4), 983-1010.
- [67] Zahid, R. A., Khan, M. K., Anwar, W., & Maqsood, U. S. (2022). The role of audit quality in the ESG-corporate financial performance nexus: Empirical evidence from Western European companies. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 22, S200-S212.
- [68] Zaman, R., Farooq, M. B., Khalid, F., & Mahmood, Z. (2021). Examining the extent of and determinants for sustainability assurance quality: The role of audit committees. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(7), 2887-2906