World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Review of nanotechnology in water treatment: Adoption in the USA and Prospects for Africa

Michael Ayorinde Dada [1, *], Johnson Sunday Oliha [2], Michael Tega Majemite [3], Alexander Obaigbena [4], Zamathula Queen Sikhakhane Nwokediegwu [5] and Onyeka Henry Daraojimba [6]

[1] *Sychar Water Technologies, Houston Texas, Indonesia.*
[2] *Technical University Darmstadt, Germany.*
[3] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[4] *Darey.io, United Kingdom.*
[5] *Independent Researcher, Durban South Africa.*
[6] *Department of Information Management, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(01), 1412–1421

Publication history: Received on 06 December 2023; revised on 14 January 2024; accepted on 16 January 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.0170

Abstract

This research paper explores the adoption of nanotechnology in water treatment, focusing on the advanced perspective of the United States and the potential prospects across diverse landscapes in Africa. Investigating current trends, challenges, and ethical considerations, the USA is a model for cutting-edge research, robust regulatory frameworks, and innovative applications of nanotechnology. Meanwhile, Africa, grappling with water scarcity, presents an opportunity for leapfrogging with context-specific strategies and international collaboration. Environmental and ethical considerations are paramount, emphasizing the need for responsible practices, equitable distribution of benefits, and global justice. Future trends anticipate innovative nanomaterials, decentralized solutions, and green nanotechnology shaping water treatment practices. The conclusion underscores this journey's dynamic and collaborative nature, advocating for interdisciplinary efforts, international collaboration, and ethical governance to realize a sustainable future with nanotechnology as a critical catalyst for water security.

Keywords: Nanotechnology; Water treatment; United States; Africa; Sustainability

1. Introduction

Water, a fundamental resource for life, faces increasing threats to its quality and availability, posing global challenges that demand innovative solutions. Nanotechnology, characterized by manipulating materials at the nanoscale, presents a promising frontier in addressing water treatment issues (Fatima et al., 2022; Garcia-Segura et al., 2020). This research delves into the nuanced landscape of nanotechnology applications in water treatment, specifically focusing on its adoption in the United States and the potential prospects for implementation in Africa.

The global demand for clean and potable water has escalated with population growth, industrialization, and urbanization. While effective to a certain extent, traditional water treatment methods are encountering limitations in treating emerging contaminants and addressing the growing scale of water-related challenges. Nanotechnology has unique properties and versatility and has emerged as a cutting-edge approach to revolutionizing water treatment processes. Engineered nanomaterials exhibit remarkable properties such as high surface area, enhanced reactivity, and tunable surface functionalities, making them attractive candidates for various water purification applications (Li, Zhang, & Wang, 2015; Park, Wang, & Su, 2018; Zhang et al., 2016).

* Corresponding author: Michael Ayorinde Dada

In the United States, a technologically advanced nation, integrating nanotechnology into water treatment practices has gained momentum (Ibrahim, Hayyan, AlSaadi, Hayyan, & Ibrahim, 2016; Qu, Alvarez, & Li, 2013). Research initiatives, regulatory frameworks, and collaborative efforts have propelled the exploration and implementation of nanomaterials for water purification. This paper critically examines the current state of nanotechnology adoption in water treatment within the United States, shedding light on the successes, challenges, and lessons learned from ongoing endeavours.

Simultaneously, the study extends its gaze to the African continent, where water scarcity and inadequate sanitation persist as critical impediments to societal development. As Africa navigates a path toward sustainable development, innovative technologies, including nanotechnology, become pivotal in addressing water-related challenges (Sohni, Nidaullah, Gul, Ahmad, & Omar, 2018; Tratras Contis, 2016). This research explores the potential prospects and challenges of adopting nanotechnology in water treatment across diverse African contexts, considering socio-economic factors, technological infrastructure, and policy frameworks. This study aims to unearth insights that can inform future research directions, policy formulations, and collaborative efforts by undertaking a comparative analysis between the United States and Africa. Examining barriers, enablers, and ethical considerations surrounding nanotechnology in water treatment will contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the opportunities and challenges in harnessing nanotechnology for sustainable water management.

In conclusion, this research contributes to the evolving discourse on nanotechnology in water treatment by providing a nuanced review of its adoption in the United States and offering insights into the prospects and challenges for its implementation in Africa. As nations grapple with the imperative to secure water resources for current and future generations, integrating nanotechnology into water treatment processes emerges as a promising frontier that warrants careful examination and consideration.

2. Nanotechnology in Water Treatment

2.1. USA Perspective

The United States, a trailblazer in technological innovation, has been at the forefront of integrating nanotechnology into water treatment strategies to address the evolving challenges associated with water quality and scarcity. This section comprehensively explores the current state of nanotechnology adoption in water treatment within the USA, encompassing research initiatives, policy frameworks, and practical applications.

The USA has witnessed a surge in research initiatives dedicated to exploring the potential of nanotechnology in water treatment. Leading academic institutions, research centres, and government agencies have invested significantly in studying the application of nanomaterials for contaminant removal, pathogen inactivation, and water purification (Kumar et al., 2014; Westerhoff, Alvarez, Li, Gardea-Torresdey, & Zimmerman, 2016). These endeavours have resulted in groundbreaking discoveries, showcasing the efficacy of nanotechnology in enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of water treatment processes (Council, 2002; Karn, Kuiken, & Otto, 2009).

Adopting nanotechnology in water treatment is intricately linked to establishing regulatory frameworks and standards to ensure nanomaterials' safety and environmental sustainability. Regulatory bodies such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have proactively assessed and guided nanotechnology use in water treatment. Developing guidelines and standards facilitates integrating nanomaterials into existing water treatment infrastructure (Carter et al., 2023; Roco et al., 2011).

Despite significant progress, challenges persist in implementing nanotechnology in water treatment. Issues such as the potential toxicity of specific nanomaterials, cost considerations, and scalability hurdles require careful attention. However, these challenges are met with a spectrum of opportunities, including developing novel nanomaterials, advancements in nanofiltration technologies, and integrating nanotechnology into decentralized water treatment systems.

The collaborative landscape between the public and private sectors is pivotal in advancing nanotechnology applications in water treatment. Partnerships between government agencies, research institutions, and industry stakeholders have facilitated the translation of research findings into practical solutions. The involvement of private enterprises has accelerated the commercialization of nanotechnology-based water treatment technologies, contributing to the diversification of available options. Numerous case studies and pilot projects across the USA serve as tangible demonstrations of the efficacy of nanotechnology in addressing specific water treatment challenges (Court, Salamanca-Buentello, Singer, & Daar, 2007; Foley & Wiek, 2014; Reid et al., 2019; Wiek, Foley, & Guston, 2014). From using nanomaterials to remove emerging contaminants to developing nano-enabled membranes for desalination, these case studies highlight the real-world impact of nanotechnology on improving water quality and sustainability.

The USA continues to chart a course toward the future by investing in research and development to push the boundaries of nanotechnology in water treatment. Investments from public and private sectors are directed toward exploring innovative nanomaterials, improving nanotechnology applications' scalability, and fostering nanotechnology integration into smart water management systems (Falinski et al., 2020; Roco et al., 2011).

2.2. Prospects for Africa

Endowed with diverse ecosystems and complex water challenges, Africa has significant potential for adopting nanotechnology in water treatment. This section delves into the prospects and challenges of integrating nanotechnology into water treatment strategies across the continent, considering socio-economic factors, technological landscapes, and policy considerations.

Africa grapples with many water-related challenges, including scarcity, inadequate sanitation infrastructure, and the prevalence of waterborne diseases. As the continent strives for sustainable development, addressing these challenges becomes imperative. Nanotechnology emerges as a promising avenue to augment existing water treatment methods and provide innovative solutions tailored to the specific needs of diverse African contexts (Palit, Das, & Basak, 2023; Westerhoff et al., 2016).

The prospects for nanotechnology in water treatment in Africa are intertwined with socio-economic factors. Economic disparities, varying levels of technological infrastructure, and diverse water usage patterns necessitate context-specific approaches (Bag, 2023; Hosney, Tawfik, Duker, & van der Steen, 2023). While challenges such as limited resources and access to technology exist, the potential for leapfrogging to advanced water treatment technologies, including nanotechnology, presents a unique opportunity for sustainable development. The varying degrees of technological infrastructure across African nations influence the feasibility of adopting nanotechnology in water treatment. Urban areas with more advanced infrastructure may benefit from centralized nanotechnology-enabled water treatment plants (Babatunde et al., 2019; Falinski et al., 2020; Mauter et al., 2018). At the same time, rural regions might explore decentralized and community-driven solutions. Investments in technological capacity building and knowledge transfer become essential in harnessing the potential of nanotechnology for water treatment.

The development and implementation of effective policy frameworks are pivotal in shaping the prospects of nanotechnology in water treatment in Africa. Governments and regulatory bodies are crucial in creating an enabling environment for nanotechnology research, development, and deployment (Roco, 2011; Roco et al., 2011). A balance must be struck between fostering innovation and ensuring nanomaterials' ethical and safe use in water treatment applications. Building local capacity and facilitating knowledge transfer are critical components of realizing the potential of nanotechnology in water treatment across Africa. Collaborative efforts involving international organizations, research institutions, and local communities can contribute to skill development, technology transfer, and the establishment of sustainable practices (Jefferson, Maida, Farkas, Alandete-Saez, & Bennett, 2017; Trencher, Yarime, McCormick, Doll, & Kraines, 2014). Training programs and educational initiatives can empower local communities to participate actively and benefit from nanotechnology-enabled water treatment solutions.

Successful adoption of nanotechnology in water treatment hinges on community engagement and acceptance. Awareness campaigns, community involvement in project planning, and transparent communication about nanotechnology's benefits and potential risks are essential (Murphy, 2010). Fostering a sense of ownership and participation among local communities ensures the long-term sustainability of nanotechnology-based water treatment solutions. International collaboration and funding mechanisms are crucial in supporting nanotechnology initiatives in African water treatment (Musee, Brent, & Ashton, 2010). Partnerships with global research institutions, collaboration with non-governmental organizations, and access to international funding can accelerate the development and implementation of nanotechnology solutions, fostering a collaborative approach to addressing water challenges on a continental scale (Tiwari, 2023).

3. Comparative Analysis: Nanotechnology in Water Treatment - USA vs. Africa

Integrating nanotechnology into water treatment represents a dynamic process shaped by various contextual factors. This comparative analysis delves into the experiences of the United States and Africa to extract insights into the commonalities, disparities, challenges, and opportunities in harnessing nanotechnology for water treatment.

In the United States, advanced technological infrastructure empowers the deployment of cutting-edge nanotechnologies in centralized water treatment facilities. Accessing state-of-the-art laboratories and research facilities fosters continuous innovation and efficient technology transfer. Contrastingly, the technological landscape in Africa exhibits diversity, with variations between urban and rural areas (Cohen, 2006). While urban centres may embrace centralized nanotechnology solutions, rural regions tend to gravitate towards decentralized and community-driven approaches due to limited infrastructure.

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in shaping nanotechnology adoption. Agencies like the EPA have established robust guidelines in the USA, balancing innovation with safety (McCray, Oye, & Petersen, 2010). Clear standards govern the use of nanomaterials in water treatment, ensuring responsible and ethical implementation. In Africa, policy frameworks are evolving, with some nations making strides in regulations. However, harmonization across the continent remains challenging, necessitating a concerted effort to balance innovation with ethical considerations (Zakir & Ali, 2023).

Research initiatives in the USA benefit from substantial funding, fostering continuous advancements in nanotechnology applications for water treatment. Collaborations between academia, industry, and government drive innovation. Conversely, research in nanotechnology for water treatment in Africa faces funding challenges. International partnerships and capacity-building programs are crucial for enhancing African research capabilities.

Economic resources in the USA facilitate investments in high-tech nanotechnology solutions, allowing affluent communities to readily adopt advanced water treatment technologies (Clunan, Rodine-Hardy, Hsueh, Kosal, & McManus, 2014). Economic disparities pose challenges in Africa, but the potential for leapfrogging to advanced technologies offers opportunities. Implementing nanotechnology in diverse socio-economic conditions requires context-specific strategies for effective implementation. Community engagement is integral to nanotechnology adoption's success in the USA and Africa. In the USA, transparent communication and public acceptance are influenced by awareness campaigns, reflecting the socio-cultural emphasis on public input. In Africa, success hinges on involving local communities, building trust, and ensuring that nanotechnology solutions align with their specific needs and concerns (Elkington & Hartigan, 2008).

Challenges and opportunities abound in both regions. In the USA, challenges include addressing the potential toxicity of specific nanomaterials and ensuring cost-effective scalability. Opportunities lie in continuous innovation, private sector investments, and collaborative problem-solving approaches. In Africa, challenges encompass limited resources, access to technology, and the development of adequate policy frameworks. Opportunities include leapfrogging to advanced technologies, international collaborations, and creating context-specific solutions tailored to the continent's unique challenges (D'Alessandro & Zulu, 2017; Nwokolo, Eyime, Obiwulu, & Ogbulezie, 2023). Environmental and ethical considerations are paramount in both contexts. In the USA, these considerations are integrated into regulatory frameworks, ensuring the responsible use of nanotechnology. Continuous monitoring and research focus on minimizing potential risks. In Africa, balancing environmental sustainability and ethical considerations is crucial, emphasizing the ethical use of nanomaterials, ecological impact assessments, and community involvement (Babatunde et al., 2019; Kibert, 2010).

Engagement in international collaboration is a common thread between the USA and Africa. The USA contributes to global knowledge exchange through collaborative projects with diverse nations, showcasing its commitment to addressing water challenges worldwide. For Africa, international collaboration is essential for capacity-building and technology transfer. Collaborative efforts with global partners can accelerate the adoption of nanotechnology solutions tailored to African contexts. This comparative analysis underscores the importance of context-specific strategies, international collaboration, and ethical considerations in advancing nanotechnology adoption for water treatment in diverse settings.

4. Barriers and Enablers of Nanotechnology Adoption in Water Treatment

Integrating nanotechnology into water treatment processes represents a revolutionary approach to tackling global water challenges. To devise effective strategies for this paradigm shift, it is imperative to comprehend the barriers hindering nanotechnology adoption and the enablers propelling its implementation.

4.1. Barriers

One primary barrier to widespread adoption is the cost-intensive nature of producing and implementing nanomaterials (Ali & Ahmad, 2020). High initial costs pose challenges, especially in regions with limited financial resources. Safety concerns around the potential toxicity of specific nanomaterials necessitate thorough risk assessments and regulatory measures to ensure responsible use. Scalability challenges present another hurdle, requiring innovative engineering solutions to scale up nanotechnology applications for large-scale water treatment while maintaining cost-effectiveness (Livingston et al., 2020; Qu et al., 2013).

Public perception and acceptance of nanotechnology in water treatment are critical barriers. Lack of awareness, misconceptions, and concerns about unknown risks associated with nanomaterials can impede acceptance. Regulatory uncertainty adds to the challenges, as the evolving landscape may create ambiguities, slowing adoption. The lack of advanced facilities may pose additional challenges in regions with limited infrastructure, particularly developing countries (Cohen, 2006).

4.2. Enablers

Ongoing research and development initiatives contribute significantly to continuously improving nanotechnology applications. Investments in research enhance understanding and lead to innovations addressing existing challenges. Collaborative partnerships between government agencies, academic institutions, industry stakeholders, and international organizations foster knowledge exchange and accelerate the translation of research findings into tangible applications. Advancements in nanomaterials, including developing novel, cost-effective materials with enhanced properties, contribute to the feasibility and effectiveness of nanotechnology in water treatment. Strategic policy formulation, balancing innovation with safety, is facilitated by clear and adaptive regulatory frameworks. Governments are pivotal in encouraging research and development while ensuring ethical practices (Voegtlin & Scherer, 2017).

International collaboration is instrumental in overcoming challenges, with global partnerships facilitating technology transfer, capacity-building, and the establishment of best practices. Public awareness and education initiatives demystify nanotechnology, build public trust, and foster informed communities likely to accept and support its implementation. Technological capacity-building investments, especially in regions with limited infrastructure, empower local communities to participate actively in and benefit from technological advancements (Franco & Tracey, 2019).

Environmental and social impact assessments contribute to responsible and sustainable water treatment practices by anticipating and mitigating potential risks associated with nanotechnology. Navigating the complex landscape of barriers and leveraging enablers is essential for realizing the full potential of nanotechnology in water treatment. Addressing these challenges and capitalizing on positive forces will propel the global community towards a future where nanotechnology is pivotal in ensuring access to safe and sustainable water resources.

5. Environmental and Ethical Considerations in Nanotechnology for Water Treatment

The deployment of nanotechnology in water treatment brings forth transformative possibilities for addressing water quality issues. However, as with any innovative technology, it raises crucial environmental and ethical considerations. This section explores the multifaceted dimensions of these considerations. It emphasizes a balanced approach to ensure responsible and sustainable implementation.

5.1. Environmental Considerations

One primary concern revolves around the potential risks nanomaterials used in water treatment may pose to aquatic ecosystems. Understanding these materials' ecotoxicity and long-term impacts on aquatic life is paramount in ensuring nanotechnology's sustainable deployment in water treatment. The behaviour of nanomaterials in the environment, encompassing their fate and transport, demands meticulous scrutiny (Corsi et al., 2018). Understanding how these materials interact with water, soil, and organisms is critical to assessing their environmental impact. This consideration extends beyond their primary function in water treatment to encompass their broader environmental interactions.

Disposing of nanomaterials after their use in water treatment processes is an additional facet requiring attention. Proper disposal mechanisms and waste management practices are imperative to prevent unintended environmental consequences. Careful planning ensures that the benefits of nanotechnology in water treatment are not outweighed by adverse environmental effects stemming from improper disposal. The production and synthesis of nanomaterials may involve resource-intensive processes, raising questions about the overall environmental footprint of nanotechnology applications. Evaluating energy and resource consumption throughout the life cycle of nanomaterials becomes essential for gauging the sustainability of their applications in water treatment (Dhingra, Naidu, Upreti, & Sawhney, 2010; Miseljic & Olsen, 2014).

Employing life cycle assessment methodologies is recommended to comprehensively evaluate the environmental impact of nanotechnology applications in water treatment. This holistic approach considers the entire life cycle, from raw material extraction to disposal. By adopting life cycle assessment, researchers and practitioners can gain insights into the cumulative environmental effects and make informed decisions to minimize the ecological footprint associated with nanotechnology applications in water treatment.

6. Ethical Considerations

Ensuring the ethical implementation of nanotechnology applications in water treatment is paramount, given the potential implications for human health and socio-economic equity. The first concern revolves around the safety of these applications, prompting ethical considerations for those involved in manufacturing, applying, or consuming treated water. This necessitates a robust regulatory framework and ongoing monitoring to mitigate potential risks.

The equitable distribution of nanotechnology-enabled water treatment solutions is the second ethical consideration. Addressing this concern is crucial to prevent further exacerbation of existing socio-economic disparities. Ethical principles dictate that the benefits of these innovations should extend to vulnerable and marginalized communities, ensuring that access to clean water becomes a universal right. Respecting the principle of informed consent forms the third ethical guideline. Engaging communities in the decision-making process and providing transparent information about the use of nanotechnology are imperative. Obtaining consent ensures that individuals are aware of the potential risks and benefits, contributing to a more ethically sound implementation.

The global distribution of benefits and risks associated with nanotechnology in water treatment raises the fourth ethical consideration. Striving for global justice involves acknowledging and addressing the implications for all nations, particularly those facing acute water challenges. Ethical decision-making should transcend geographical boundaries, fostering collaboration to tackle water-related issues on a global scale.

Upholding transparency and accountability is the fifth ethical principle. This applies to all stages of research, development, and deployment. Open communication about potential risks, benefits, and uncertainties fosters trust among stakeholders, promoting an ethical foundation for advancing nanotechnology in water treatment. Respecting cultural and societal values is the sixth consideration. Tailoring nanotechnology applications to align with local values and preferences enhances acceptance and minimizes potential conflicts. This approach acknowledges the diversity of perspectives and ensures that technological solutions are culturally sensitive and ethically grounded.

Another ethical challenge is balancing intellectual property rights with the need for widespread access to water treatment technologies (Biagioli, 2019; Brougher, 2013). Striking a fair balance ensures that innovations contribute to global water sustainability, allowing for technological advancement and broad accessibility. The precautionary principle serves as the guiding principle, forming another ethical consideration. Emphasizing caution in uncertainty encourages proactive measures to minimize potential harm. This approach is essential in ethical decision-making, providing a framework to navigate uncertainties associated with nanotechnology applications in water treatment.

Addressing these environmental and ethical considerations requires collaboration involving scientists, policymakers, communities, and industry stakeholders. Implementing robust risk assessment frameworks, engaging in transparent communication, and incorporating ethical principles into the development and deployment of nanotechnology for water treatment are essential steps toward realizing the full potential of this transformative technology while safeguarding environmental and societal well-being.

7. Future Trends and Recommendations in Nanotechnology for Water Treatment

As nanotechnology continues to evolve, the future holds promising trends and opportunities for its application in water treatment. Anticipating these trends and formulating actionable recommendations is crucial for steering the research, development, and implementation trajectory. This section explores the emerging trends and offers recommendations to harness the full potential of nanotechnology in addressing global water challenges.

7.1. Future Trends

Nanotechnology, the manipulation of materials at the nanoscale, continues to evolve, offering innovative solutions to address water treatment challenges. Several future trends are poised to shape the landscape of nanotechnology-enabled water treatment, revolutionizing efficiency, sustainability, and precision. Developing innovative nanomaterials with responsive and adaptive functionalities marks a promising trend. These materials can dynamically respond to changes in water quality, optimizing water treatment efficiency in real-time. This responsiveness allows for a more adaptable and finely tuned approach to addressing evolving water quality challenges.

Integrating nanotechnology with sensor technologies is gaining momentum, introducing nano-enabled sensors for real-time monitoring of water quality parameters. This trend allows for precise and timely interventions in water treatment processes. The synergy between nanotechnology and sensors enhances the accuracy and responsiveness of monitoring, ensuring effective and data-driven decision-making. An emerging trend involves the combination of different nanomaterials into hybrid systems. These hybrid nanomaterials demonstrate synergistic capabilities in addressing multiple water contaminants, providing enhanced efficiency and versatility in water treatment. The multifunctionality of hybrid systems promises a comprehensive and integrated approach to tackling diverse water quality issues.

The shift towards environmentally friendly and sustainable nanotechnology solutions is gaining prominence. Green nanotechnology emphasizes using eco-friendly nanomaterials and processes to minimize environmental impact. This trend aligns with global efforts towards sustainable development, highlighting the importance of responsible and eco-conscious practices in nanotechnology applications. Looking forward, there is a notable trend toward decentralized water treatment solutions. Nanotechnology is anticipated to be pivotal in developing compact and efficient systems catering to local water treatment needs, particularly in remote or underserved areas. This emphasis on decentralization aligns to provide access to clean water in diverse and challenging geographical contexts.

Innovations in nanotechnology are set to facilitate resource recovery from wastewater, contributing to a more circular and sustainable water management approach. Tailoring nanomaterials to selectively capture and extract valuable resources from wastewater aligns with resource conservation and environmental stewardship principles. The application of nanotechnology in desalination processes is expected to grow, with nanomaterials like advanced membranes and nanostructured materials for solar desalination holding promise in making desalination more energy-efficient and cost-effective. This trend addresses the increasing demand for freshwater in regions facing water scarcity. Lastly, integrating data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) into nanotechnology-enabled water treatment systems is a growing trend. AI algorithms can optimize processes, predict system behaviour, and enhance efficiency. This convergence of nanotechnology and AI reflects a data-driven approach to water treatment, leveraging advanced analytics for improved decision-making and system performance.

Recommendations

- Encourage interdisciplinary collaborations between scientists, engineers, environmentalists, and social scientists. Such collaborations foster holistic approaches to water treatment challenges, considering technological, environmental, and societal aspects.
- Promote international knowledge exchange and collaboration to facilitate sharing of best practices, research findings, and innovative solutions. Global cooperation enhances the collective ability to address diverse water challenges.
- Increase investments in research and development for nanotechnology applications in water treatment. Supporting fundamental research and applied projects accelerates the development of innovative and sustainable solutions.
- Prioritize capacity building and education programs to equip researchers, practitioners, and communities with the skills and knowledge needed for the responsible implementation of nanotechnology in water treatment.
- Develop and implement clear ethical guidelines and governance frameworks for using nanotechnology in water treatment. Ensuring responsible practices and transparent decision-making enhances public trust and acceptance.
- Support and initiate demonstration projects and pilot studies that showcase the practical applications of nanotechnology in diverse water treatment contexts. These projects provide valuable insights and build confidence in the technology.
- Governments and regulatory bodies should continuously adapt and innovate policies to keep pace with advancements in nanotechnology. A flexible regulatory environment fosters innovation while ensuring safety and ethical use.

8. Conclusion

In the face of escalating global water challenges, integrating nanotechnology into water treatment is a transformative and promising solution. This journey through nanotechnology applications in water treatment, from the advanced perspective of the United States to the potential prospects across diverse landscapes in Africa, has unravelled a complex tapestry of opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations.

The USA, a technological powerhouse, exemplifies a trajectory marked by cutting-edge research, robust regulatory frameworks, and innovative nanotechnology applications in water treatment. Yet, challenges persist, and lessons learned from the USA's experience offer valuable insights for the global community. In Africa, where water scarcity and inadequate sanitation prevail, the prospects for adopting nanotechnology present an opportunity for leapfrogging, demanding context-specific strategies and international collaboration to bridge technological divides.

Environmental and ethical considerations loom large on this path, requiring meticulous attention to potential ecological impacts, human safety, and the equitable distribution of benefits. As nanotechnology advances, the imperative to uphold sustainability principles, transparency, and global justice becomes non-negotiable. The future trends forecast a landscape where smart nanomaterials, decentralized solutions, and green nanotechnology play pivotal roles in shaping water treatment practices. However, realizing this vision demands concerted efforts: interdisciplinary collaborations, international knowledge exchange, increased investments in research, and a commitment to ethical governance.

In conclusion, the journey towards integrating nanotechnology into water treatment is a dynamic and collaborative endeavour. By navigating the barriers, leveraging enablers, and embracing future trends, we can strive towards a sustainable future where nanotechnology is a catalyst for ensuring clean, accessible water resources for all. It is not merely a technological evolution but a collective commitment to address one of humanity's most pressing challenges – providing water security for current and future generations. In the confluence of innovation, responsibility, and global collaboration, we find the promise of a water-resilient future shaped by the transformative potential of nanotechnology.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Ali, Z., & Ahmad, R. (2020). Nanotechnology for water treatment. Environmental Nanotechnology Volume 3, 143-163.

[2] Babatunde, D. E., Denwigwe, I. H., Babatunde, O. M., Gbadamosi, S. L., Babalola, I. P., & Agboola, O. (2019). Environmental and societal impact of nanotechnology. IEEE Access, 8, 4640-4667.

[3] Bag, T. (2023). SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF SCIENTIFIC-TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS.

[4] Biagioli, M. (2019). Weighing intellectual property: Can we balance the social costs and benefits of patenting? History of science, 57(1), 140-163.

[5] Brougher, J. T. (2013). Intellectual Property and Health Technologies: Balancing Innovation and the Public's Health: Springer.

[6] Carter, J., Bjorkland, R., Boyes, W. K., Geraci, C., Hackley, V. A., Howard, J., . . . Mortensen, H. (2023). US federal perspective on critical research issues in nanoEHS. Environmental Science: Nano.

[7] Clunan, A., Rodine-Hardy, K., Hsueh, R., Kosal, M. E., & McManus, I. (2014). Nanotechnology in a globalized world: strategic assessments of an emerging technology. CCC PASCC Reports, 6.

[8] Cohen, B. (2006). Urbanization in developing countries: Current trends, future projections, and key challenges for sustainability. Technology in society, 28(1-2), 63-80.

[9] Corsi, I., Winther-Nielsen, M., Sethi, R., Punta, C., Della Torre, C., Libralato, G., . . . Fiordi, L. (2018). Ecofriendly nanotechnologies and nanomaterials for environmental applications: Key issue and consensus recommendations for sustainable and ecosafe nanoremediation. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 154, 237-244.

[10] Council, N. R. (2002). Small wonders, endless frontiers: A review of the National Nanotechnology Initiative.

[11] Court, E. B., Salamanca-Buentello, F., Singer, P. A., & Daar, A. S. (2007). Nanotechnology and the developing world: na.

[12] D'Alessandro, C., & Zulu, L. C. (2017). From the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Africa in the post-2015 development agenda. A geographical perspective. African Geographical Review, 36(1), 1-18.

[13] Dhingra, R., Naidu, S., Upreti, G., & Sawhney, R. (2010). Sustainable nanotechnology: through green methods and life-cycle thinking. Sustainability, 2(10), 3323-3338.

[14] Elkington, J., & Hartigan, P. (2008). The power of unreasonable people: How social entrepreneurs create markets that change the world: Harvard Business Press.

[15] Falinski, M., Turley, R., Kidd, J., Lounsbury, A., Lanzarini-Lopes, M., Backhaus, A., . . . Barrios, A. (2020). Doing nano-enabled water treatment right: sustainability considerations from design and research through development and implementation. Environmental Science: Nano, 7(11), 3255-3278.

[16] Fatima, J., Shah, A. N., Tahir, M. B., Mehmood, T., Shah, A. A., Tanveer, M., . . . Alansi, S. (2022). Tunable 2D nanomaterials; their key roles and mechanisms in water purification and monitoring. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10, 210.

[17] Foley, R. W., & Wiek, A. (2014). Scenarios of nanotechnology innovation vis-à-vis sustainability challenges. Futures, 64, 1-14.

[18] Franco, I. B., & Tracey, J. (2019). Community capacity-building for sustainable development: Effectively striving towards achieving local community sustainability targets. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 20(4), 691-725.

[19] Garcia-Segura, S., Qu, X., Alvarez, P. J., Chaplin, B. P., Chen, W., Crittenden, J. C., . . . Hou, C.-H. (2020). Opportunities for nanotechnology to enhance electrochemical treatment of pollutants in potable water and industrial wastewater–a perspective. Environmental Science: Nano, 7(8), 2178-2194.

[20] Hosney, H., Tawfik, M. H., Duker, A., & van der Steen, P. (2023). Prospects for treated wastewater reuse in agriculture in low-and middle-income countries: Systematic analysis and decision-making trees for diverse management approaches. Environmental Development, 46, 100849.

[21] Ibrahim, R. K., Hayyan, M., AlSaadi, M. A., Hayyan, A., & Ibrahim, S. (2016). Environmental application of nanotechnology: air, soil, and water. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23, 13754-13788.

[22] Jefferson, D. J., Maida, M., Farkas, A., Alandete-Saez, M., & Bennett, A. B. (2017). Technology transfer in the Americas: common and divergent practices among major research universities and public sector institutions. The Journal of Technology Transfer, 42, 1307-1333.

[23] Karn, B., Kuiken, T., & Otto, M. (2009). Nanotechnology and in situ remediation: a review of the benefits and potential risks. Environmental health perspectives, 117(12), 1813-1831.

[24] Kibert, C. J. (2010). The ethics of sustainability. In.

[25] Kumar, S., Ahlawat, W., Bhanjana, G., Heydarifard, S., Nazhad, M. M., & Dilbaghi, N. (2014). Nanotechnology-based water treatment strategies. Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology, 14(2), 1838-1858.

[26] Li, R., Zhang, L., & Wang, P. (2015). Rational design of nanomaterials for water treatment. Nanoscale, 7(41), 17167-17194.

[27] Livingston, A., Trout, B. L., Horvath, I. T., Johnson, M. D., Vaccaro, L., Coronas, J., . . . Drioli, E. (2020). Challenges and directions for green chemical engineering—role of nanoscale materials. Sustainable nanoscale engineering, 1-18.

[28] Mauter, M. S., Zucker, I., Perreault, F., Werber, J. R., Kim, J.-H., & Elimelech, M. (2018). The role of nanotechnology in tackling global water challenges. Nature Sustainability, 1(4), 166-175.

[29] McCray, L. E., Oye, K. A., & Petersen, A. C. (2010). Planned adaptation in risk regulation: An initial survey of US environmental, health, and safety regulation. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 77(6), 951-959.

[30] Miseljic, M., & Olsen, S. I. (2014). Life-cycle assessment of engineered nanomaterials: a literature review of assessment status. Journal of nanoparticle research, 16, 1-33.

[31] Murphy, P. (2010). Nanotechnology: Public engagement with health, environment and social issues: EPA.

[32] Musee, N., Brent, A. C., & Ashton, P. J. (2010). A South African research agenda to investigate the potential environmental, health and safety risks of nanotechnology. South African Journal of Science, 106(3), 1-6.

[33] Nwokolo, S. C., Eyime, E. E., Obiwulu, A. U., & Ogbulezie, J. C. (2023). Africa's Path to Sustainability: Harnessing Technology, Policy, and Collaboration. Trends in Renewable Energy, 10(1), 98-131.

[34] Palit, S., Das, P., & Basak, P. (2023). Application of nanotechnology in water and wastewater treatment and the vast vision for the future. In 3D Printing Technology for Water Treatment Applications (pp. 157-179): Elsevier.

[35] Park, C. M., Wang, D., & Su, C. (2018). Recent developments in engineered nanomaterials for water treatment and environmental remediation. Handbook of nanomaterials for industrial applications, 849-882.

[36] Qu, X., Alvarez, P. J., & Li, Q. (2013). Applications of nanotechnology in water and wastewater treatment. Water research, 47(12), 3931-3946.

[37] Reid, A. J., Carlson, A. K., Creed, I. F., Eliason, E. J., Gell, P. A., Johnson, P. T., . . . Ormerod, S. J. (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. Biological Reviews, 94(3), 849-873.

[38] Roco, M. C. (2011). The long view of nanotechnology development: the National Nanotechnology Initiative at 10 years. In (Vol. 13, pp. 427-445): Springer.

[39] Roco, M. C., Hersam, M. C., Mirkin, C. A., Nel, A., Grainger, D., Alvarez, P. J., . . . Godwin, H. (2011). Nanotechnology environmental, health, and safety issues. Nanotechnology Research Directions for Societal Needs in 2020: Retrospective and Outlook, 159-220.

[40] Sohni, S., Nidaullah, H., Gul, K., Ahmad, I., & Omar, A. M. (2018). Nanotechnology for Safe and Sustainable Environment: Realm of Wonders. Khan, SB, Asiri, AM and Akhtar, K.(2018), Nanomaterials and their Fascinating Attributes–Development and Prospective Applications of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, 2, 37-117.

[41] Tiwari, A. (2023). Advancement of Materials to Sustainable & Green World. Advanced Materials Letters, 14(3), 2303-1724.

[42] Tratras Contis, E. (2016). Water: global issues, local solutions. In Chemistry without Borders: Careers, Research, and Entrepreneurship (pp. 57-76): ACS Publications.

[43] Trencher, G., Yarime, M., McCormick, K. B., Doll, C. N., & Kraines, S. B. (2014). Beyond the third mission: Exploring the emerging university function of co-creation for sustainability. Science and Public Policy, 41(2), 151-179.

[44] Voegtlin, C., & Scherer, A. G. (2017). Responsible innovation and the innovation of responsibility: Governing sustainable development in a globalized world. Journal of business ethics, 143, 227-243.

[45] Westerhoff, P., Alvarez, P., Li, Q., Gardea-Torresdey, J., & Zimmerman, J. (2016). Overcoming implementation barriers for nanotechnology in drinking water treatment. Environmental Science: Nano, 3(6), 1241-1253.

[46] Wiek, A., Foley, R. W., & Guston, D. H. (2014). Nanotechnology for sustainability: what does nanotechnology offer to address complex sustainability problems? Paper presented at the Nanotechnology for Sustainable Development.

[47] Zakir, M. H., & Ali, S. (2023). CROSS-BORDER TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT IN THE DIGITAL AGE: JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES AND HARMONIZATION EFFORTS. PAKISTAN ISLAMICUS (An International Journal of Islamic & Social Sciences), 3(2), 51-69.

[48] Zhang, Y., Wu, B., Xu, H., Liu, H., Wang, M., He, Y., & Pan, B. (2016). Nanomaterials-enabled water and wastewater treatment. NanoImpact, 3, 22-39.