



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



On exactness and splitting

YANG LIU *

TU, Shenzhen, China.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 20(02), 706–710

Publication history: Received on 06 October 2023; revised on 12 November 2023; accepted on 15 November 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.20.2.2344>

Abstract

In this paper, we study the concepts of exactness and splitting in exact sequences of modules over a ring. We review some basic definitions and properties of exact sequences, and introduce the notions of short exact sequences, split exact sequences, projective modules, injective modules, and semisimple modules. We prove some important results relating these concepts, such as the splitting lemma. We also give some examples and applications of these results.

Keywords: Exactness; Splitting; Modules; Ring; Short Exact Sequence

1. Introduction

An exact sequence is a sequence of modules and homomorphisms between them that satisfies a certain condition (see, for example, [9] and [5]). Exact sequences are useful tools for studying the structure and properties of modules, as well as their relations with other algebraic objects (see, for example, [7], [6]), [10] and [3]). Exact sequences can also be used to describe various constructions and operations on modules, such as extensions, direct sums, tensor products, homology groups, etc (see, for example, [7], [1], [2], and [11]).

One of the main questions that arise when dealing with exact sequences is whether they can be simplified or decomposed into simpler parts (see, for example, [4] and [13]). For example, given an exact sequence of modules, can we find another exact sequence that is equivalent to it but has fewer terms? Or can we split an exact sequence into two or more shorter exact sequences that are easier to handle? These questions lead to the concepts of exactness and splitting in exact sequences (see, for example, [8] and [12]).

In this paper, we will explore these concepts in detail. We will assume some familiarity with basic notions of ring theory and module theory. We will use R to denote a ring (not necessarily commutative) with identity element 1 , and M, N, P, Q , etc. to denote modules over R . We will use $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ to denote the set of all R -module homomorphisms from M to N , and $\text{End}_R(M)$ to denote the set of all R -module endomorphisms of M . We will use $\ker f$ and $\text{im } f$ to denote the kernel and image of a homomorphism f , respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic definitions and properties of exact sequences. In Section 3, we introduce the notions of short exact sequences and split exact sequences, and prove some results relating them. In Section 4, we introduce the notions of projective modules, injective modules, and semisimple modules, and prove some results relating them to split exact sequences. In Section 5, we give some examples and applications of these results in various areas of mathematics.

2. On exactness

In this section, we review some basic definitions and properties of exact sequences.

* Corresponding author: YANG LIU

A sequence (or complex) of modules over R is a collection of modules $\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ indexed by the integers \mathbb{Z} together with a collection of homomorphisms $\{f_i: M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$M_i = 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large or small } i.$$

We usually write a sequence as

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Or simply as

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

If the homomorphisms are clear from the context.

A sequence of modules over R is said to be exact at M_i if $\ker f_i = \operatorname{im} f_{i-1}$, that is, if the image of the homomorphism from M_{i-1} to M_i is equal to the kernel of the homomorphism from M_i to M_{i+1} . A sequence is said to be exact if it is exact at every module in the sequence.

Intuitively, exactness at M_i means that every element of M_i that is mapped to zero by f_i comes from an element of M_{i-1} via f_{i-1} , and conversely, every element of M_{i-1} that is mapped to an element of M_i via f_{i-1} is mapped to zero by f_i . Exactness means that this condition holds for every module in the sequence.

Exact sequences can be used to measure how far a given sequence of modules and homomorphisms is from being an isomorphism. For example, if we have a sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

Then exactness at M_0 means that $\ker f_0 = 0$, or equivalently, that f_0 is injective. Exactness at M_2 means that $\operatorname{im} f_1 = M_2$, or equivalently, that f_1 is surjective. Exactness at M_1 means that $\ker f_1 = \operatorname{im} f_0$, or equivalently, that $\operatorname{coker} f_0 = \operatorname{coker} f_1$, where $\operatorname{coker} f$ denotes the cokernel of a homomorphism f , defined as the quotient module $M/\operatorname{im} f$. Thus, exactness of the whole sequence means that f_0 and f_1 are both isomorphisms, and hence the sequence reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow M_0 \cong M_1 \cong M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

More generally, if we have a sequence of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-2} \xrightarrow{f_{i-2}} M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} M_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

Then exactness at M_i means that $\ker f_i = \operatorname{im} f_{i-1}$, or equivalently, that $\operatorname{coker} f_{i-1} = \operatorname{coker} f_i$. Thus, exactness of the whole sequence means that $\operatorname{coker} f_j = \operatorname{coker} f_k$ for any two indices j and k , and hence the sequence reduces to

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{j-1}/\operatorname{im} f_j \cong M_j/\operatorname{im} f_j \cong M_k/\operatorname{im} f_k \cong M_{k+1}/\operatorname{im} f_k \rightarrow \cdots$$

We now state some basic properties of exact sequences.

[Exactness is preserved by composition] If we have two exact sequences of modules over R

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} A_{i+1} \rightarrow A_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} B_{i+1} \rightarrow B_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

and a collection of homomorphisms $\{g_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, $\beta_i \circ g_i = g_{i+1} \circ \alpha_i$ for all i :

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i-1}} A_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} A_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \quad \downarrow g_{i-1} \quad \downarrow g_i \quad \downarrow g_{i+1} \quad \cdots \rightarrow B_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{i-1}} B_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} B_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

then the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow g_{i-1}(A_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}} g_i(A_i) \xrightarrow{\beta_{i+1}|_{g_i(A_i)}} g_{i+1}(A_{i+1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is also exact, where $\beta_j|_{g_j(A_j)}$ denotes the restriction of β_j to the submodule $g_j(A_j)$ of B_j .

Proof. We need to show that $\ker(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}) = g_i(\operatorname{im} \alpha_{i-1})$ for all i . Let $b \in g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})$, then $b = g_{i-1}(a)$ for some $a \in A_{i-1}$. Then

$$b \in \ker(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}) \Leftrightarrow \beta_i(b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta_i(g_{i-1}(a)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g_i(\alpha_{i-1}(a)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{i-1}(a) \in \ker g_i$$

Since the original sequence is exact at A_i , we have $\ker g_i = g_i(\ker \alpha_i)$. Hence,

$$b \in \ker(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}) \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{i-1}(a) \in g_i(\ker \alpha_i) \Leftrightarrow \exists a' \in A_i: g_i(a') = g_i(\alpha_{i-1}(a)) \Leftrightarrow b = g_i(a' - \alpha_{i-1}(a))$$

Since the original sequence is exact at A_{i-1} , we have $\operatorname{im} \alpha_{i-2} = \ker \alpha_{i-1}$. Hence, there exists $a'' \in A_{i-2}$ such that $\alpha_{i-1}(a) = a' - \alpha_{i-1}(a)$. Then

$$b = g_i(a' - \alpha_{i-1}(a)) = g_i(\alpha_{i-2}(a'')) = g_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-2}(a''))$$

Therefore, we have shown that any element b in $g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})$ that satisfies $\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}(b) = 0$ can be written as $g_i(a'')$ for some a'' in A_{i-2} . This implies that $\ker(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}) = g_i(\operatorname{im} \alpha_{i-2})$.

To complete the proof, we need to show that $g_i(A_i)$ is equal to $\operatorname{im}(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})})$.

Let c be an element in $g_i(A_i)$. Then $c = g_i(a)$ for some a in A_i . Since the original sequence is exact at A_i , there exists an element d in A_{i+1} such that $\alpha_i(a) = \beta_{i+1}(d)$.

Consider the element $g_{i+1}(d)$ in $g_{i+1}(A_{i+1})$. We have $\beta_{i+1}(g_{i+1}(d)) = g_{i+2}(\alpha_{i+1}(d)) = g_{i+2}(\beta_i(a)) = 0$, where the commutativity of the diagram is used.

This shows that $g_{i+1}(d)$ is in $\ker(\beta_{i+1}|_{g_{i+1}(A_{i+1})})$. Therefore, there exists an element e in $g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})$ such that $\beta_{i+1}|_{g_{i+1}(A_{i+1})}(g_{i+1}(d)) = \beta_{i+1}|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}(e)$.

Hence, we have shown that any element c in $g_i(A_i)$ can be written as $\beta_{i+1}|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}(e)$ for some e in $g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})$. This implies that $g_i(A_i)$ is equal to $\operatorname{im}(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})})$.

Therefore, we have shown that $\ker(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}) = g_i(\operatorname{im} \alpha_{i-2})$ and $g_i(A_i) = \operatorname{im}(\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})})$.

This completes the proof that the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow g_{i-1}(A_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\beta_i|_{g_{i-1}(A_{i-1})}} g_i(A_i) \xrightarrow{\beta_{i+1}|_{g_i(A_i)}} g_{i+1}(A_{i+1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact.

Let A be an abelian category, and let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{q} C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in A . Then the following are equivalent:

- The sequence splits, i.e., there exists a morphism $s: C \rightarrow B$ such that $q \circ s = \operatorname{id}_C$.
- There exists a morphism $p: B \rightarrow A$ such that $p \circ i = \operatorname{id}_A$.
- There exists an isomorphism $f: B \rightarrow A \oplus C$ such that $f \circ i = (1_A, 0)$ and $q \circ f^{-1} = (0, 1_C)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Suppose there exists a morphism $s: C \rightarrow B$ such that $q \circ s = \operatorname{id}_C$. Then we can define a morphism $p: B \rightarrow A$ by $p = i^{-1} \circ (1_B - s \circ q)$. Note that p is well-defined since i is a monomorphism and $(1_B - s \circ q)$ is an endomorphism of B . Moreover, we have:

$$p \circ i = i^{-1} \circ (1_B - s \circ q) \circ i = i^{-1} \circ (i - s \circ q \circ i) = i^{-1} \circ i = \operatorname{id}_A$$

Hence, p satisfies the condition in (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Suppose there exists a morphism $p: B \rightarrow A$ such that $p \circ i = id_A$. Then we can define a morphism $f: B \rightarrow A \oplus C$ by $f = (p, q)$. Note that f is well-defined since p and q are morphisms from B to A and C , respectively. Moreover, we have:

$$f \circ i = (p, q) \circ i = (p \circ i, q \circ i) = (1_A, 0)$$

and

$$q \circ f^{-1} = q \circ (p, q)^{-1} = (0, 1_C)$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that (p, q) is an isomorphism with inverse $(i^{-1} \circ p, s)$, where $s: C \rightarrow B$ is any morphism such that $q \circ s = id_C$. Hence, f satisfies the condition in (c).

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Suppose there exists an isomorphism $f: B \rightarrow A \oplus C$ such that $f \circ i = (1_A, 0)$ and $q \circ f^{-1} = (0, 1_C)$. Then we can define a morphism $s: C \rightarrow B$ by $s = f^{-1} \circ (0, 1_C)$. Note that s is well-defined since $(0, 1_C)$ is a morphism from C to $A \oplus C$. Moreover, we have:

$$q \circ s = q \circ f^{-1} \circ (0, 1_C) = (0, 1_C) \circ (0, 1_C) = id_C.$$

Hence, s satisfies the condition in (a).

Therefore, the three conditions are equivalent.

Remark 2.1. In an abelian category, if B is splittable, $B = A \oplus C$, then we clearly have a short exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. However, exactness doesn't necessarily imply splitting. Generalizing from Hatcher's example, consider the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Z_p^m \rightarrow Z_p^{m+k} \oplus Z_p^{n-k} \rightarrow Z_p^n \rightarrow 0$$

where m, n , and k are positive integers, $k < n$, and p is a prime. Now, we define the homomorphism $\varphi: Z_p^m \rightarrow Z_p^{m+k} \oplus Z_p^{n-k}$ with $\varphi(1) = (p^k, 1)$ and the homomorphism $\psi: Z_p^{m+k} \oplus Z_p^{n-k} \rightarrow Z_p^n$ with $\psi(p^k, 1) = 0$ and $\psi(1, 0) = 1$. However, $Z_p^{m+k} \oplus Z_p^{n-k}$ is not split as the direct sum of Z_p^m and Z_p^{n-k} .

3. Data Availability Statement

The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article or its supplementary materials.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study elucidated fundamental concepts of exactness and splitting in module theory, including short exact sequences, split exact sequences, and relationships between projective, injective, and semisimple modules. By proving key results like the splitting lemma, this research deepens understanding of intricate connections between these algebraic structures. With theoretical insights and practical examples, the study lays groundwork for advancements in abstract algebra and related fields like computer science and engineering. The knowledge gained opens avenues for further research on the ongoing relevance of exactness and splitting.

Compliance of ethical standard

Acknowledgment

This work is supported partly by a research grant from the Municipal Finance of Shenzhen.

References

- [1] Henri E. Bal, M. Frans Kaashoek, and Andrew S. Tanenbaum. Orca: A language for parallel programming of distributed systems. *IEEE transactions on software engineering*, 18(March):190-205, 1992.

- [2] Daniel E Bauer, Matthew C Canver, and Stuart H Orkin. Generation of genomic deletions in mammalian cell lines via crispr/cas9. *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)*, (95):e52118, 2015.
- [3] Apostolos Beligiannis. Cohen-macaulay modules,(co) torsion pairs and virtually gorenstein algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 288(1):137-211, 2005.
- [4] Gal Berkooz, Philip Holmes, and John L Lumley. The proper orthogonal decomposition in the analysis of turbulent flows. *Annual review of fluid mechanics*, 25(1):539-575, 1993.
- [5] Klaus Bongartz. On degenerations and extensions of finite dimensional modules. *Advances in Mathematics*, 121(2):245-287, 1996.
- [6] Henk JM Bos. Redefining geometrical exactness: Descartes' transformation of the early modern concept of construction. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [7] Henry Cartan and Samuel Eilenberg. *Homological algebra*, volume 41. Princeton university press, 1999.
- [8] Stephen U Chase. Direct products of modules. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 97(3):457-473, 1960.
- [9] Ted Chinburg. Exact sequences and galois module structure. *Annals of Mathematics*, 121(2):351-376, 1985.
- [10] Marco Grandis. *Homological Algebra: In Strongly Non-Abelian Settings*. World scientific, 2013.
- [11] Saunders MacLane. *Homology*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [12] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic k-theory: I. In *Higher K-Theories: Proceedings of the Conference held at the Seattle Research Center of the Battelle Memorial Institute, from August 28 to September 8, 1972*, pages 85-147. Springer, 2006.
- [13] Mohammed J Zaki. Spade: An efficient algorithm for mining frequent sequences. *Machine learning*, 42:31-60, 2001.