Prevalence and presentation of ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary hospital in Enugu south-east Nigeria: A 10-year retrospective study
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Abstract

Background: Ectopic pregnancy is a leading cause of maternal mortality in the first trimester. The prevalence rate varies with time and with the population under study. While the mortality rate appears to be on the decline, most cases in this part of the world still present in ruptured state necessitating invasive management through open laparotomy.

Aim: The aim of this research is to assess the prevalence and the pattern of presentation of ectopic pregnancy at the Enugu State University Teaching Hospital (ESUTH) Parklane, Enugu Nigeria.

Methodology: This is a 10-year retrospective study from 31st December 2012 to 1st January 2013. Data was collected from ward admission and discharge records, case notes and theatre operation registers of all ectopic pregnancy-related cases during the study period. Information related to patients’ age, pattern of presentation, nature of treatment given, and the outcome of such treatment were obtained in a prepared proforma. Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS version 24 and the results presented in frequency tables and charts.

Results: A total of 16,100 deliveries and 4,704 gynaecological admissions were recorded during the study period. There were 172 ectopic pregnancies giving a prevalence of 1.1% of total deliveries and 3.7% of all gynaecological admissions. The peak age incidence was 26-30-years and 86% of the patients presented in ruptured state while open laparotomy with salpingectomy was the only mode of treatment for tubal ectopic pregnancies. No mortality was recorded during the study period.

Conclusion: The Prevalence of ectopic pregnancy is still relatively high in our environment and ruptured ectopic pregnancy remains a major cause of maternal morbidity and mortality. Even though our study did not record any maternal death in the past 10 years, serious maternal morbidities still persist. Strategies to sensitize women and ensure timely diagnosis and management of ectopic pregnancy will reduce these related morbidities.
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1. Introduction

Ectopic pregnancy is a dire gynaecological emergency, and a major contributor to maternal mortality and morbidity. Rupture of ectopic pregnancy is the leading cause of maternal mortality in the first trimester of pregnancy at a rate of between 9-14%, and accounting for 5-10% of all pregnancy-related deaths1-4. Outside the endometrial cavity the growing gestational sac risks overshooting its enclave and ultimately ruptures with attendant torrential haemorrhage, shock, and ultimately maternal death.
The rate of occurrence of ectopic pregnancy varies widely from region to region, country to country and even within the same geographical area depending on the prevailing risk factors within the study population. Representative studies from the United Kingdom and the United States of America showed an incidence of between 6.4 - 20.7 per 1000 pregnancies.\(^{2,5,6}\) Across Africa, a prevalence rate of 0.5-2.3% of all live births was reported\(^{7-9}\) with most of the studies being institutional hospital-based studies. In Nigeria, the prevalence of ectopic pregnancy is between 1.1–3.8% of all deliveries\(^{10-16}\).

Recent studies show increasing prevalence of ectopic pregnancy worldwide and more so in the middle and low-income regions especially Sub-Saharan Africa\(^{17-20}\). This global trend is attributable to advances in Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART), increasing risks of pelvic infections, tubal surgeries and sterilizations, use of intrauterine contraceptive devices, and improvements in diagnostic techniques leading to early detection of cases that otherwise would have resolved without causing symptoms. For middle and low-income countries particularly those from Sub-Saharan Africa with inadequate health facilities for intensive care and blood transfusion services, the public health implications of the rising prevalence are better imagined. The two most commonly identified risk factors from Nigerian studies include a history of previous induced abortions\(^{10,15,21}\) and pelvic infections\(^{21-23}\). One of such studies found pelvic adhesions intraoperatively in 42.1% of the ectopic pregnancy patients despite no history of previous abdominal or pelvic surgery, suggesting high prevalence of pelvic infections\(^{23}\).

The clinical presentations of patients with ectopic pregnancy vary widely and are related to the gestational age, location of the gestational sac, and whether or not the gestational sac has ruptured at the time of diagnosis. The classical diagnostic triad of ectopic pregnancy including amenorrhoea, abdominal pain, and vaginal bleeding are found in less than 40% of patients with interstitial or cornual ectopic pregnancies.\(^{24}\) This reflects the challenges of making definitive diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy prior to rupture. Majority of the cases eventually present in ruptured state\(^{8,12,13,22}\) when definitive diagnosis is made intraoperatively.\(^{25,26}\)

The case fatalities from ectopic pregnancy in many developed countries have been on the decline due mainly to improved diagnostic techniques especially the use of transvaginal ultrasonography and prompt interventions employing medical and or new surgical management approaches.\(^{8,27}\) These newer interventions are not readily available in low resource settings like ours where the exact burden of ectopic pregnancy is unclear due to shortcomings in documentation and reporting, delays in seeking or reaching healthcare facilities, difficulty in making diagnosis or outright misdiagnosis, and the use of different denominators for reporting ectopic pregnancy rates.\(^{8,28-31}\) Open laparotomy and salpingectomy still remained the commonest treatment modality for tubal ectopic pregnancy in our region.\(^{8,15,29}\)

This study was meant to develop the first basic and original data on ectopic pregnancy in our centre, being the first retrospective study on this subject matter since the institution became a teaching hospital.

The objectives of this study were to determine the total number of gynaecological admissions and how many of them were due to ectopic pregnancy, to determine the total number of deliveries in the past 10 years, to determine the prevalence of ectopic pregnancy in ESUT Teaching Hospital Enugu, to determine the proportion of ectopic pregnancies that presented in ruptured and unruptured states, and to determine the treatment modalities offered as well as the maternal mortalities associated with ectopic pregnancy during the study period.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study population

This included the records of all ectopic pregnancy-related cases admitted and managed at the obstetrics and gynaecology wards of Enugu State University Teaching Hospital Parklane, Enugu in the past 10 years.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

All confirmed and complete case records of ectopic pregnancies managed in the past 10 years and all the labour ward delivery records in the past 10 years

2.3. Exclusion criteria

All incomplete case records or missing folders.
2.4. Study design and procedure

This is a retrospective study of all ectopic pregnancy-related cases admitted and managed at the gynaecology wards of ESUTH Parklane over the past 10 years spanning December 31st 2022 to January 1st 2013. A predesigned proforma was used to obtain data from the patients’ case notes, ward admission and discharge registers and theatre records. The data is related to patients’ age, number of ectopic pregnancy cases seen each month, pattern of presentation in terms of ruptured or unruptured state, and the type of treatment given in terms of open laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, or medical management. Data was also collected on the management outcomes in terms of case mortalities while the records of deliveries on monthly basis were obtained from the labour ward delivery registers.

2.5. Data analysis

Data from the completed Proforma was entered electronically into the computer and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences [IBM SPSS] software version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

In the past 10 years, there were a total of 16,100 deliveries, 4,704 gynaecological admissions, and 172 ectopic pregnancies recorded (Table 1). This gave a prevalence of 1.1% or 11 per 1000 deliveries and 3.7% of all gynaecological admissions.

Table 1 The yearly trends of ectopic pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total deliveries</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>1853</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>16100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Gynaec Admissions</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>4704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Ectopics</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Total number of gynaecological admissions in 10 years

The trend shows a rise and fall of gynaecological admissions every 2 years on the average. There has been an average of 470 gynaecological admissions in 10 years.
The incidence of ectopic pregnancy was highest in 2022 (5.9%) and lowest in 2021 (1.4%). The prevalence of ectopic pregnancy is 3.7%.

Table 2  Age distribution of women that had ectopic pregnancy from 2013 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>16 – 20 n (%)</th>
<th>21 – 25 n (%)</th>
<th>26 – 30 n (%)</th>
<th>31 – 35 n (%)</th>
<th>36 – 40 n (%)</th>
<th>41 – 45 n (%)</th>
<th>Total ectopic</th>
<th>*Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
<td>28.23 ± 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>15 (100.0)</td>
<td>27.20 ± 6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td>4 (28.6)</td>
<td>6 (42.9)</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>14 (100.0)</td>
<td>27.57 ± 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
<td>8 (40.0)</td>
<td>2 (10.0)</td>
<td>3 (15.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>20 (100.0)</td>
<td>27.70 ± 4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>20 (100.0)</td>
<td>28.50 ± 4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>13 (61.9)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>21 (100.0)</td>
<td>27.81 ± 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>18 (100.0)</td>
<td>29.09 ± 5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>4 (19.0)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>21 (100.0)</td>
<td>26.60 ± 5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (60.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (40.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (100.0)</td>
<td>28.44 ± 6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
<td>7 (28.0)</td>
<td>9 (36.0)</td>
<td>4 (16.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
<td>25 (100.0)</td>
<td>28.26 ± 5.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*F = 0.398, p = 0.935

The mean age of women with ectopic pregnancy was 27.94 years in 10 years. The mean ages of the women were not significantly different between the years (F = 0.398, p = 0.935). In the past 10 years the age group most affected was 26 – 30 years.
Table 3 Pattern of presentation of ectopic pregnancy in Enugu (Ruptured/Unruptured)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ruptured n (%)</th>
<th>Unruptured n (%)</th>
<th>Total ectopic n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>15 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>12 (85.7)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
<td>14 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>19 (95.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
<td>20 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>17 (85.0)</td>
<td>3 (15.0)</td>
<td>20 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>19 (90.5)</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
<td>21 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>13 (72.2)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td>18 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>18 (85.7)</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
<td>21 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>5 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>24 (96.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>25 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that 86% (148/172) of women with ectopic pregnancy presented in ruptured state in the past 10 years. All the 5 (100%) cases seen in 2021 were ruptured at the time of presentation.

Figure 3 A clustered bar chart showing at a glance the pattern of presentation of ectopic pregnancy in Enugu (Ruptured/Unruptured)

Further analysis also showed that all cases of ectopic pregnancy was treated by open laparotomy. All ruptured cases (100%) and 91.7% (22/24) of unruptured cases were treated by emergency exploratory laparotomy and salpingectomy and or cornual resection including two cases of heterotopic pregnancies. Two unruptured cases (8.3%) were abdominal pregnancies.

There was no maternal mortality recorded from ectopic pregnancies in the past 10 years in our study centre.

4. Discussion

This study has shown that the prevalence of ectopic pregnancy in ESUT Teaching Hospital Enugu is 1.1% of total deliveries and 3.7% of all gynaecological admissions in the past 10 years (Table 1). Ectopic pregnancy is more prevalent among 26-30-year age bracket and most of the cases present in ruptured state. All cases of ectopic pregnancy were treated by open laparotomy and there was no maternal death from ectopic pregnancy during the study period.
The prevalence rate of 1.1% is the same as that reported in the United Kingdom (UK)\textsuperscript{1,3} and from the Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital (NAUTH) Nnewi, Nigeria by Igwegbe et al\textsuperscript{10}. The similarity with the UK report may appear surprising considering the wide disparity in socio-economic and healthcare indices between both countries. However, it has to be documented that while this study is a single, retrospective, hospital-based study, the UK report is a national population-based report which is a more representative and generalizable report. When compared with the study from Nnewi, both studies were retrospective in design, hospital-based, and both spanned across a 10-year period. Again, both study institutions share the same geopolitical zone and the populations have similar socio-cultural and religious lifestyles. These characteristics may explain the similarity in both results even though the study from Nnewi is more than a decade (2002-2011) older than our study. The prevalence is also comparable with a prevalence of 1.3% reported by Udigwe et al\textsuperscript{12} from a 5-year (2002-2006) retrospective study in the same institution in Nnewi. A prevalence of 1.5% of all deliveries reported by Panti et al\textsuperscript{13} in another 10-year retrospective study in Usman Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto is also comparable with our result. Even though Sokoto and Enugu are socio-culturally different, the comparable prevalence rates may be related to the study design. Our prevalence rate of 1.1% is clearly less than that reported in some other studies in Nigeria. Musa et al\textsuperscript{13} in Jos North-Central Nigeria reported 1.74% in 2009, Awoleke et al\textsuperscript{12} in Ekiti South-West Nigeria reported an incidence of 1.8% in 2017, while Eke et al\textsuperscript{16} in Umuahia South-East Nigeria reported 1.9% in 2022. This shows progressive rising prevalence of ectopic pregnancy across Nigeria even though the timing and the duration the studies vary and may have affected the results. While the study from Jos was a 4-year retrospective study conducted over 2 decades ago (1997-2000), that from Ekiti was a 6-year retrospective study conducted over 9 years ago. Both Anorlu et al\textsuperscript{19} and Adewunmi et al\textsuperscript{12} reported prevalence rates of 2.3% in just 6 months and 3.9% over 2 years respectively and both studies are from Lagos South-West Nigeria. These comparatively high prevalence rates may be related to both the designs and the durations of the studies. The study by Anorlu et al\textsuperscript{19} is a cross-sectional, multi-centre study where only a hundred women were studied. Again, and more importantly, the study was conducted over 24 years ago. Though a retrospective, institution-based report, the study by Adewunmi et al\textsuperscript{12} spanned across 2 years only compared with 10 years in our study. The prevalence rate from our study is comparatively higher than that reported from some studies within Nigeria\textsuperscript{11,21}, as well as those reported from some African countries. In Ethiopia, Berh et al\textsuperscript{20} reported a prevalence of 0.52% of all deliveries in 2021 whereas Leke et al\textsuperscript{21} in Yaounde, reported 0.79% in a population-based study in 2004. Thonneau et al\textsuperscript{22} in Guinea reported and incidence range of 0.41%-1.5% over a 4-year period in 2002. These lower prevalence rates apart from being affected by the study design and duration, may be a reflection of gradual improvements in maternal health care.

With regards to the total number of gynaecological admissions, the prevalence rate of 3.7% is more than 1.4% reported by Eke et al\textsuperscript{16} in Umuahia, but comparable to the 4.1% by Panti et al\textsuperscript{13} in Sokoto. It is however less than 4.5% reported by Lawani et al\textsuperscript{22} in Abakiliki in 2013, 5.2% and 6.5% respectively reported by Igwegbe et al\textsuperscript{19} and Udigwe et al\textsuperscript{12} both from Nnewi, and far less than 48.5% reported by Anorlu et al\textsuperscript{19} in Lagos. The relatively lower prevalence rate in our study compared with most of the reports documented above can be explained from the perspectives of the differences in the study durations and techniques as explained earlier.

A look at the trends in the yearly incidence of ectopic pregnancy in the past 10 years (Figure 2) shows close fluctuations within 2% in the first 7 years of the study. The fall in 2019 and the lowest annual rate of 1.4% recorded in 2021 may be related to the effects of Covid-19 pandemic. The lockdowns occasioned by Covid-19 restricted patients’ movements and many patients were reluctant to access hospital services for fear of contracting or spreading the infection and being isolated as a consequence. The restrictions also means that couples may have more time been together which may explain the highest rates recorded in 2020 and 2022.

The mean age of the women presenting with ectopic pregnancy is 27.94 years. The age distribution (Table 2) of patients shows that the age group mostly affected is 26-30 years bracket. This is in agreement with some Nigerian studies\textsuperscript{10,13,16,21}. This age group represents the peak age of sexual experimentation and motherhood as more women tend to defer childbearing in pursuit of higher education. However, this does not agree with the peak age incidence of 20-24 years reported by Lawani et al\textsuperscript{22} and Udo et al\textsuperscript{11} in Abakiliki and Calabar respectively. This lower age group may be related to socio-economic and cultural factors that encourages early sexual debut, polygamy, and unhealthy lifestyles that may increase the risks of sexually transmitted infections. Some other studies agreed that the risk of ectopic pregnancy increases with increasing maternal age\textsuperscript{34} for similar reasons in addition to increasing needs for Assisted Reproductive Techniques.

The pattern of presentation of ectopic pregnancy (Table 3; Figure 3) shows that 86% of women with ectopic pregnancy presented in ruptured state. This result is comparable with the 86.9% reported by Musa et al\textsuperscript{33} in Jos and 84% by Eke et al\textsuperscript{16} but higher than the 70% reported in Sokoto.\textsuperscript{13} It is lower than the 93%, 93.5%, 95.6%, 99.2%, 100% reported by Thonneau et al\textsuperscript{8}, Ali et al\textsuperscript{28}, Lawani et al\textsuperscript{22}, Adewunmi et al\textsuperscript{12}, and Udigwe et al\textsuperscript{12} in Sudan, Guinea, Abakiliki, Lagos, and Nnewi respectively. These very high prevalence rates of ruptured ectopic pregnancy illustrate the clear risks and the
challenges of management of ectopic pregnancy in Sub-Saharan Africa. It calls to question the health seeking behaviours of African women especially in early pregnancy when symptoms and signs of pregnancy are still vague. It has been shown that some women may not be aware that they are pregnant yet while some may underestimate the seriousness of their symptoms prior to the rupture of the ectopic gestation. Even with early presentation, late diagnosis and sometimes outright misdiagnosis may compound the situation and increase the risk of rupture.

Interestingly, there is no case of maternal mortality from ectopic pregnancy in the past 10 years. This is similar to the findings in the two studies from Nnewi. This also agrees with the reports from the United States of America and other developed nations that ectopic pregnancy maternal mortality has been on the decline. While this is a very encouraging finding, there is still strong racial disparities as ectopic pregnancy mortality ratio is 6.8 times higher in African Americans than in Whites and 3.5 times higher in women above 35 years than those less than 25 years old.

The zero maternal mortality in our study despite most cases presenting in ruptured states may be related to timely surgical interventions usually emergency exploratory laparotomy, improving availability of blood transfusion services and blood salvage techniques, and effective use of antibiotics for prevention of post operative wound infections. When compared with our study, the high maternal mortality reported in Lagos by Anorlu et al. where 4 maternal deaths were recorded in just 6 months of study may be related to the time the study was conducted. Over 24 years ago when the study was conducted there was still paucity of both manpower and improved management facilities compared with the past 10 years. Again, the study was cross-sectional in design and multi-centred with a small sample size and hence low power.

Open laparotomy (with salpingectomy, and or cornual resection) was the only treatment method used for all cases of ectopic pregnancy both ruptured and unruptured. This use of open laparotomy regardless of the state of whether ruptured or not may be related to the clear dilemma occasioned by the fear of poor compliance to and the unpredictability of medical treatments of ectopic pregnancy. Again, and more importantly, there is still paucity of both facilities and skills for laparoscopic minimal access surgery for the treatment of haemodynamically stable patients with ectopic pregnancy in our centre unlike what obtains in most developed countries.

5. Conclusion

Ectopic pregnancy remains a serious gynaecological emergency with high risk of maternal morbidity and mortality. Majority of cases still present late and in ruptured state often necessitating emergency radical surgeries. Strategies to sensitize women and ensure timely diagnosis and management of ectopic pregnancy using newer surgical and medical techniques will reduce the related morbidity and mortality.
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