



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Structural functionalism and educational inequalities in India: A comprehensive analysis

Jyoti Sharma \*

*Department of Education, Lal Bahadur Shastri College of Education, University of Jammu, India.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 19(02), 747–750

Publication history: Received on 07 July 2023; revised on 14 August 2023; accepted on 16 August 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.19.2.1646>

### Abstract

In the context of India, this study explores the complex link between structural functionalism and educational inequality. The study examines how the Indian educational system both functions and dysfunctions within the larger societal structure, contributing to the persistence of disparities in educational access, quality, and outcomes across various social groups. It is grounded in the fundamental principles of structural functionalism. This study aims to shed light on the complex interactions between structural components and functional outcomes within the context of Indian education through a multifaceted approach that includes literature review, and comparative analysis. The study identifies the methods through which these structural forces affect educational prospects and support the maintenance of societal inequities by closely examining variables like social class, caste, gender, and regional differences. The significance of the study lies in its potential to offer a thorough knowledge of how structural functionalism might clarify the intricate dynamics of the Indian educational system. The approach not only clarifies how societal expectations, cultural norms, and values affect educational functioning, but it also points to prospective governmental intervention avenues that could lessen current inequities.

**Keywords:** Structural Functionalism; Educational Inequalities; Social Mobility; Intersectionality

### 1. Introduction

The goal of this research is to advance both theory and application. Theoretically, it expands structural functionalism's application to the field of education and provides insights into how this sociological theory may successfully explain the complex interactions between structure and function in a diverse and multifarious country like India. On a more concrete level, the study's conclusions might guide policy choices in terms of the design and execution of focused interventions to address certain structural barriers and promote a more equal and inclusive educational system. (S., & Hannum, E. 2001).

#### 1.1. Research Objectives

To critically review the foundational principles of structural functionalism and their relevance to the Indian education system.

To examine the functions and dysfunctions of the Indian education system in relation to social stability, mobility, and integration.

\* Corresponding author: Jyoti Sharma.

To investigate the ways in which cultural norms, values, and societal expectations contribute to the functioning of the education system and the reproduction of social inequalities.

To explore policy implications and potential interventions based on the insights derived from the analysis, aimed at reducing educational disparities and enhancing functional outcomes.

---

## **2. Research Methodology**

A comprehensive review of existing literature on structural functionalism, educational inequalities, and the Indian education system. Utilize available data sources to quantify the extent and nature of educational disparities across different social groups. Conceptual analysis is made to understand the different dimensions of the topic. This paper purely based on secondary sources of data. Various research papers, research articles, books and reports are consulted to write this very article.

### **2.1. Structural Functionalism**

A well-known sociological theory that was developed in the middle of the 20th century, structural functionalism seeks to comprehend how various societal components interact in order to maintain stability and balance. (Patel, S. 2021). It makes the claim that societies are intricate systems made up of interrelated components, each of which performs a distinct purpose that contributes to the general health and balance of the system. This theory, which was created by academics like Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton, focuses on the purposes and flaws of social institutions within a community and how they help to maintain social order.

### **2.2. Relationship between Structural Functionalism and Education in India**

Education and structural functionalism: In the context of education, structural functionalism looks at the numerous roles that educational institutions play in maintaining and reproducing the larger societal framework. Transmitting cultural norms and values, training people for their social tasks, fostering social integration, and supporting social mobility are some of these duties. This idea does, however, also recognize that education can have problems, such as perpetuating inequality if some groups are systematically underprivileged. (Rust, V. D., Johnstone, B., & Allaf, C. 2009).

Structural functionalism, when applied to the Indian context, provides a lens through which the complex interactions between education and society can be understood. The Indian education system, with its hierarchical structure and diverse social dynamics, reflects both the functional aspects of social integration and mobility and the dysfunctions that contribute to educational inequalities. The Indian educational system maintains social hierarchies, disseminates cultural values and conventions, and prepares students for their social duties, according to structural functionalism. (Rust, V. D., Johnstone, B., & Allaf, C. 2009). It emphasizes how educational institutions foster a feeling of identity, allegiance, and compliance to society standards, helping to maintain social stability. Additionally, structural functionalism can shed light on the processes that keep educational inequities alive. The theory enables an examination of the structural influences on educational opportunities and outcomes in India, including caste-based discrimination, socioeconomic inequities, and gender prejudices. It reveals how the operation of the educational system within the larger society structure may systematically disadvantage some groups.

As a result, the application of structural functionalism to the field of education in India offers a framework for understanding the complex interactions between educational institutions and the broader societal structure. It provides understanding of how education works to both integrate people into society and also how it could unintentionally contribute to the perpetuation of inequality. This method can help us better comprehend the complexities of educational disparities in India by looking at the dynamic interaction between education and society structure. It can also help us identify potential areas for change and intervention.

---

## **3. Discussion on Structural Functionalism and its Relation to Education in India**

A convincing framework for comprehending the complex interactions between educational institutions and the larger societal structure is provided by the application of structural functionalism to the study of education in India. This conversation explores the subtle ways that structural functionalism illuminates the operation of the Indian educational system, as well as its purpose in upholding social order and creating educational inequality. Structural functionalism places a strong emphasis on the contribution education makes to promoting social integration and passing down cultural values. The transmission of cultural norms, customs, and societal expectations to younger generations in the

Indian context is crucially aided by education. Stash, (S., & Hannum, E. 2001). Educational institutions support the preservation and spread of India's rich cultural history through their formal and informal curricula. Education maintains social cohesiveness and a sense of belonging among various societies by establishing a sense of identity and promoting common values.

### **3.1. Social Mobility and Role Allocation**

Social Mobility and significance Distribution: The idea emphasises the significance that education plays in preparing people for their roles in society. Education has always been seen in India as a form of social mobility, providing chances for people to rise beyond their social circumstances. The idea does, however, also highlight the potential flaws, as the educational system may unintentionally reinforce preexisting social structures. For instance, the caste system has historically impacted educational outcomes and access, sustaining disparities among various caste groups.

### **3.2. Reproduction of Inequalities**

Understanding educational inequalities in India requires a thorough understanding of structural functionalism's analysis of the unintended effects of social structures. The thesis emphasises that education might unintentionally aid in the perpetuation of inequality even though it is intended to foster social stability. Inequalities in access to high-quality education still exist in India along caste, class, gender, and geographical lines. (S., & Hannum, E. 2001). These inequalities are a reflection of socially pervasive structural factors that are supported by how the educational system operates.

### **3.3. Policy Implications and Interventions**

Significant policy ramifications result from applying structural functionalism to the Indian educational system. Policymakers can create interventions that address structural barriers and advance more equitable educational opportunities by comprehending the system's operations and dysfunctions. Insights gained from this viewpoint can be used to guide initiatives aimed at reducing caste-based prejudice, delivering quality education in underserved areas, and advancing gender parity.

### **3.4. Complexity and Intersectionality**

It's critical to recognize the complexity and intersectionality of the forces affecting Indian education. Even if structural functionalism offers insightful ideas, it might not fully grasp the complexities of today's problems, such as globalization, technological development, and shifting labour markets. The multifaceted character of educational inequality can be better understood by including additional theoretical viewpoints.

### **3.5. Dynamic Nature of Society and Education**

The dynamic nature of society and education may not be well captured by structural functionalism, which is based on balance and stability. The theory's emphasis on stability and order may blind it to education's transformative potential as a force for social change. A thorough analysis should therefore also take into account the contributions of other sociological theories that place an emphasis on conflict, interaction, and agency.

---

## **4. Conclusion**

In conclusion, the application of structural functionalism to Indian education sheds light on the ways that educational institutions support social integration, role distribution, and the perpetuation of inequality. While the theory provides insightful information about how the educational system functions, it should be supplemented by views from various angles to give a comprehensive picture of the difficulties associated with educational disparities in India. Policymakers and stakeholders can endeavour to create an educational environment that is more inclusive and fair by being aware of the functions and dysfunctions of education. The investigation of structural functionalism's application to Indian education highlights how intricately educational institutions and the larger society system interact. We have learned a lot about how education keeps social order, transmits cultural values, and assigns responsibilities, as well as how it unintentionally contributes to the continuation of educational inequities, via the prism of this sociological theory. The Indian educational system makes a substantial contribution to social integration by fostering a sense of identity and togetherness among diverse communities by teaching cultural norms, practices, and values. Furthermore, education encourages social mobility by enabling individuals to overcome their socioeconomic circumstances. The structural-functional paradigm unmistakably displays the uglier side of education, where disparities resulting from place, caste, class, and gender persist in impeding access to high-quality education and upholding social hierarchies. From this analysis, significant policy and practical implications might be made. By acknowledging the purposes and flaws of

education, policymakers can develop targeted policies aimed at removing structural barriers and improving equality. These conclusions can act as a roadmap for projects that fight discrimination based on caste, provide high-quality inclusive education in marginalized communities, and support gender parity. However, it is crucial to admit structural functionalism's shortcomings. In order to provide a thorough understanding of the intricacies surrounding educational inequality, it may be necessary to incorporate complementary theoretical viewpoints as a result of its concentration on stability and equilibrium, which may not adequately capture the dynamic character of education and society. In conclusion, structural functionalism's application to Indian education has enlightened the complex interrelationships between educational institutions and societal dynamics. While education promotes societal harmony and individual growth, it also highlights and reinforces existing disparities. Stakeholders can address these issues cooperatively by adopting a multifaceted strategy that takes into account various sociological theories and perspectives. This will help to create an education system that not only works well within society but also actively promotes equality and gives each person the tools they need to reach their full potential.

---

## References

- [1] Adewusi, A. O., & Nwokocha, E. E. (2018). Maternal education and child mortality in Nigeria. *The Nigerian Journal of Sociology and Anthropology* Vol, 16(1), 112.
- [2] Colclough, C. (2012). Education, poverty and development—mapping their interconnections. *Comparative Education*, 48(2), 135-148.
- [3] Dede, S., & Baskan, G. A. (2011). Theoretical basis of comparative education and suggestion of a model: Comparative education council in Turkish education system. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 3536-3542.
- [4] Foster, P. (1977). Education and social differentiation in less developed countries. *Comparative Education Review*, 21(2/3), 211-229.
- [5] Garada, R. (2013). Beyond Structural-Functional Perspective: A Critical Heart Searching For Dalit, Tribal and Gender in Indian Sociology. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 16(6).
- [6] Joy, S., & Poonamallee, L. (2013). Cross-cultural teaching in globalized management classrooms: Time to move from functionalist to postcolonial approaches? *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 12(3), 396-413.
- [7] Mann, R. S. (1977). Functionalism, Structures-Functionalism: An Analysis. *Indian Anthropologist*, 7(1), 1-19.
- [8] Patel, S. (2021). Nationalist ideas and the colonial episteme: The antinomies structuring sociological traditions of India. *Journal of Historical Sociology*, 34(1), 28-40.
- [9] Rust, V. D., Johnstone, B., & Allaf, C. (2009). Reflections on the development of comparative education. In *International handbook of comparative education* (pp. 121-138). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- [10] Stash, S., & Hannum, E. (2001). Who goes to school? Educational stratification by gender, caste, and ethnicity in Nepal. *Comparative Education Review*, 45(3), 354-378.
- [11] Vardhan, R. (2014). EDUCATION OF FUTURE: A SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE.