

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/



# Certain applications of *q*-sigmoid function to neighborhood of analytic functions

Uzoamaka Nwugo EZEAFULUKWE and Uzoamaka Azuka EZEAFULUKWE \*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Physical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria.* 

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 19(02), 1554–1561

Publication history: Received on 17 July 2023; revised on 26 August 2023; accepted on 29 August 2023

Article DOI[: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.19.2.1190](https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.19.2.1190)

## **Abstract**

In the present investigation a *q*-sigmoid function will be introduced to improve some earlier results in geometric function theory. In particular modified *q*-sigmoid function *q*-Jack lemma will be applied to certain well defined neighborhood of analytic functions.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions; Sigmoid function; *q*-Sigmoid functions; Neighborhoods; Jack's lemma; q-Jack's lemma**.** 

# **1. Introduction and Definitions**

In recent research special functions like sigmoid functions have been generalized to produce *q*- sigmoid functions. Looking at it we have

Definition 1.1 *[6] [2] Sigmoid function which can be in the form*

$$
G(s) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s}} , \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$
  
(1.1)  

$$
G(s) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{4} - \frac{s^3}{48} + \frac{s^5}{480} - \frac{17s^7}{80640} + \dots
$$
 (1.2)

*The modified sigmoid function is then defined as*

$$
\eta(s) = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-s}} = 1 + \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s^3}{24} + \frac{s^5}{240} - \frac{17s^7}{40320} + \cdots
$$
 (1.3)

*In other to define q-sigmoid function consider some established result connection to this function.*

Definition 1.2 *[3] Let q >* 0 *be any fixed real number and m a non-negative integer, the q -integer of r is of the form*

$$
[m]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^m}{1-q}, q \neq 1\\ m, q = 1\\ 0, m = 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (1.4)

Definition 1.3 *[3] The q-fractional is defined as*

Corresponding author: EZEAFULUKWE UA

Copyright © 2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of th[e Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US) 

.

.

$$
\begin{aligned}\n[m]_q! &:= \begin{cases}\n[m]_q[m-1]_q...[1]_q \\
1 & , m = 0\n\end{cases}\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{1.5}
$$

Definition 1.4 *[5], [7] A q-analogue of the ordinary exponential function es* =

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^m}{m!}
$$

*is of the form*

$$
e_q^s=\sum_{m=0}^\infty\frac{s^m}{[m]!}\,\, (1.6)
$$

Definition 1.5 *A q-Sigmoid function is defined as*

$$
G_q(s) = \frac{1}{1 + e_q^{-s}} \tag{1.7}
$$

*and the modified q-sigmoid function will be*

$$
\eta_q(s) = \frac{2}{1 + e_q^{-s}} = 1 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{[m]_q!} s^m\right]^n\right)
$$

(1.8)

Definition 1.6 *The q-difference operator:*

*For q* ∈ (0,1) and  $f(z)$  ∈ A, defined on a q-geometric set B, the of  $f(z)$  is defined as q-differential D<sub>*z*,q</sub>

$$
D_{z,q}f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)} & (z \in \mathbb{C}/0) \\ f'(0), & (z = 0) \end{cases}
$$
(1.9)

where,  $\frac{m_1}{2}$   $\frac{m_2}{2}$   $\frac{m_3}{4}$   $\cdots$  and as  $q^{n-1}$   $\rightarrow$  1,  $[m]_q \rightarrow m$  which gives *f* ′(*z*)*.* that is

Let A be the class of functions defined by

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^m
$$
 (1.10)

which is analytic in the open disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$  satisfying the condition  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ .

Definition 1.7 *The function*

$$
f_{\eta_q}(z) = z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \eta_q(s) a_m z^m
$$
 (1.11)

*is analytic and univalent in* U *and belongs to the class* A*ηq of the form (1.10) for* lim*z*→∞ *ηq*(*z*) = 2.

*Let*  $f_{nq}(z)$  and  $h_{nq}(z) \in A \eta_q$ ,  $h_{nq}(z)$  is said to be  $(\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q)$  *-* neighbourhood for  $h_{nq}(z)$  if

*it satisfies*

$$
\left|D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z) - e^{i\vartheta}D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z)\right| < \mu \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.12}
$$

for some – $\pi$  <  $\vartheta$  <  $\pi$  and  $\quad \mu$  > $\sqrt{2(1-\cos\vartheta)}$  . Denoted by ( $\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q)$  – N( $h_{\eta q}$ ) and also  $f_{\eta q}$ E ( $\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q$ ) – M( $h_{\eta q}$ ) if it satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - \frac{e^{i\vartheta}h_{\eta_q}(z)}{z}\right| < \beta \quad , z \in \mathbb{U}
$$
\n
$$
\mu > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)}.
$$

*for some*  $-\pi < \alpha < \pi$  and

$$
r \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$
\n
$$
r \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$
\n
$$
r \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$

Theorem 1.1 *If* 
$$
f_{nq} \in A_{nq}
$$
 *satisfies*

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q \left| a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| \le \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$
\n(1.14)

*for some*  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$  *and*  $\mu > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}$  *then*  $f_{\eta q} \in (\theta, \mu, \eta_q) - N(h_{\eta q})$ *.* 

### Proof 1 *Note that*

$$
|D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z) - e^{i\vartheta}D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |(1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \eta_q(s)[m]_q(a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m)z^{m-1}|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |(1 - e^{i\vartheta})| + \eta_q(s)\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q|a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m||z^{m-1}|
$$
  
\n
$$
< \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} + \eta_q(s)\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q|a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m|
$$

*from (1.12) we see that*

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q \left| a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_q} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$

*Thus*  $f_{\eta q} \in (\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q) - N(h_{\eta q})$ .

Example

Given

$$
h_{\eta_q}(z) = z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \eta_q(s) b_m z^m \in A_{\eta_q}
$$

we consider

$$
f_{\eta_q}(z) = z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \eta_q(s) a_m z^m \in \mathbb{A}_{\eta_q}
$$

with

$$
a_m = \frac{\frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} e^{i\delta} q^{m-1} \right]}{[m]_q^2 [m-1]_q} + e^{i\vartheta} b_m
$$
\n
$$
(-\pi \le \delta \le \pi, m = 2, 3, 4, \dots)
$$

It is useful to note that from (1.4) and 1.5)

$$
\frac{1}{[m-1]_q} - \frac{1}{[m]_q} = \frac{[m]_q - [m-1]_q}{[m-1]_q [m]_q} = \frac{q^{m-1}}{[m-1]_q [m]_q} \tag{1.15}
$$

also without loss of generality,

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{[m-1]_q} - \frac{1}{[m]_q} \right) = 1
$$
\n(1.16)

Hence

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q \left| \frac{\frac{1}{nq(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right] e^{i\delta} q^{m-1}}{[m]_q^2 [m-1]_q} + e^{i\vartheta} b_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| \begin{array}{c} \text{therefore } f_{nq} \in (\alpha, \beta, \eta) - \frac{1}{nq(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right] e^{i\delta} q^{m-1}}{[m]_q^2 [m-1]_q} \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right] \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m-1}}{[m]_q [m-1]_q} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right] \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[m-1]_q} - \frac{1}{[m]_q} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q ||a_m| - |b_m|| \leq \frac{1}{n_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$

*for some*  $(-\pi \le \vartheta \le \pi)$ ,  $\mu > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)}$  *and some*  $\arg a_m - \arg b_m = \vartheta$   $(m = 2, 3, 4, ...)$ *then*  $f_{\eta q}(z)$  ∈ (( $\theta, \mu, \eta$ ) −  $N(h_{\eta q}(z))$ .

Proof: From (1.1) we see that

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$

implies that *fηq*(*z*) ∈ (*ϑ,µ,ηq*) − *N*(*lηq*(*z*))

suppose  $\arg a_m = \varrho_m$  then  $\arg b_m = \varrho_m - \vartheta$ 

Therefore  $|a_m - e^{i\theta} b_m| \le |a_m| e^{i\varrho m} - |b_m| e^{i\varrho m + i\theta} = ||a_m| - |b_m||$ 

implies 
$$
\left| a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| \le ||a_m| - |b_m||
$$
  
hence, 
$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q ||a_m| - |b_m|| \le \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$

Theorem 1.2 *If fηq*(*z*) ∈ A*ηq satisfies*

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q \left| a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right] \quad (z \in \mathbf{U})
$$
\n(1.17)

*for some*  $-\pi \le \vartheta \le \pi$  *and*  $\mu > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)}$  *then*  $f_{\eta q}(z) \in ((\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q) - M(h_{\eta q}(z))$ *.* 

Corollary 1.2 *If fηq*(*z*) ∈ A*ηq satisfies*

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q ||a_m| - |b_m|| \le \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \right]
$$

for some  $(-\pi \le \vartheta \le \pi)$  and  $\mu > \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)}$  and some  $\arg a_m - \arg b_m = \vartheta(m = 2,3,4,...)$  then  $f_{\eta q}(z) \in (\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q) - M(l_{\eta q}(z))$ . *We will now give necessary conditions for neighbourhoods.*

$$
-e^{i\vartheta}b_m)=(m-1)\varrho,
$$

.

Theorem 1.3 *If fηq*(*z*) ∈ (*ϑ,µ,ηq*) − *N*(*hηq*(*z*)) *and arg*(*am* (*m* = 2*,*3*,*4*,...*) *then,* 

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} [\mu + cos \vartheta - 1].
$$

Proof 2 *For fηq*(*z*) ∈ (*ϑ,µ,ηq*) − *N*(*hηq*)*,we have*

$$
\begin{aligned} \left| D_{z,q} f_{\eta_q}(z) - e^{i\vartheta} D_{z,q} h_{\eta_q}(z) \right| &= \left| (1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \eta_q(s) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q (a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m) z^{m-1} \right| \\ &= \left| (1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \eta_q(s) [m]_q \left| a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m \right| e^{i(m-1)\varrho} z^{m-1} \right| \end{aligned}
$$

 $< \mu$  for all  $z \in E$ *.* 

*Consider z such that arg z = -* $\varrho$ *. Then, z<sup><i>m*-1</sup> = |z|<sup>*m*-1</sup>  $e^{-i(m-1)\varrho}$ .

so from above  $|a_m - e^i \varrho b_m|e^i(m-1)\varrho zm - 1 = |a_m - e^i \varrho b_m|e^i(m-1)\varrho zm - 1e^{-i(m-1)\varrho}$ 

also 
$$
-e^{i\theta} = -\cos\theta - \sin\theta
$$
 and  $|z| = |x + iy| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . For a point  $z \in U$ , we see that  
\n
$$
|D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z) - e^{i\vartheta}D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z)| = |(1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \eta_q(s)[m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m||z|^{m-1}|
$$
\n
$$
= |1 - \cos\vartheta - i\sin\vartheta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \eta_q(s)[m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m||z|^{m-1}|
$$
\n
$$
= \left( [1 + \eta_q(s) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta}b_m||z|^{m-1} - \cos\vartheta \right)^2 + \sin^2\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
< \mu
$$

 $for z \in U$ *.* 

*Which implies that*  $(1 - cos\vartheta) + \eta_q(s) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| |z|^{m-1} < \mu$  for  $z \in U$ . *Letting*  $|z| \rightarrow 1$  *we have that* 

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} [\mu + cos \vartheta - 1].
$$

Theorem 1.4 *Also if*

*fηq*(*z*) ∈ ((*ϑ, µ, ηq*) − *N*(*hηq*(*z*))

*and arg*(*am* − *e <sup>i</sup>ϑbm*) = (*m* − 1)*ϱ,* (*m* = 2*,*3*,*4*,...*) *then*

$$
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m| \le \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} [\mu + \cos \vartheta - 1]
$$

Application of *q*-Jack's lemma

Lemma 2.1 *[8] Let the function f*(*z*) *be analytic in* U *with f*(0) = 0 *if a point z<sub>o</sub>*  $\in$  U *such that* 

.

.

*max*|*z*|≤|*zo*| |*f*(*z*)| = |*f*(*zo*)|

*then*  $z_0 D_{z,q}f(z) = sf(z_0)$  *where s is real and s*  $\geq 1$ 

Theorem 2.1 *If fηq*(*z*) ∈ A*ηq satisfies*

$$
\left| D_{z,q} f_{\eta_q}(z) - e^{i\vartheta} D_{z,q} h_{\eta_q}(z) \right| < 2\mu \eta_q(s) - \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \quad , z \in_{\mathbf{U}} \tag{2.1}
$$

*for some*  $(-\pi \le \vartheta \le \pi)$  *and* 

$$
\mu > \frac{\sqrt{2(1-cos\vartheta)}}{2\eta_q(s)}\text{ then }
$$

$$
\left|\frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - e^{i\vartheta} \frac{h_{\eta_q}(s)}{z}\right| < \mu \eta_q(s) + \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)} \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{U}.
$$

Proof 3 *We define w*(*z*) *as*

$$
\frac{1}{\eta_q(s)}\left(\frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - e^{i\vartheta} \frac{h_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - (1 - e^{i\vartheta})\right) = \mu w(z).
$$

*which implies that w*(*z*) *is analytic in* U *and w*(0) = 0*. So,*

$$
|D_{z,q}f_{\eta q}(z)-e^{\,i\vartheta}\,D_{z,q}h_{\eta q}(z)|=|(1-e^{\,i\vartheta}\,)+\mu\,\eta_q(s)w(z)(1+z\frac{D_{z,q}w(z)}{w(z)})|. \eqno(2.2)
$$

*Let*  $z_0$  ∈ U *be such point that max*<sub>|*z*|≤|*z*1| |*w*(*z*)| = |*w*(*z*<sub>0</sub>)| = 1 *by Lemma (2.1) and from equation (2.2) we have</sub>* 

$$
w(z_0)=e^{i\vartheta}\: and \: \: z_0\frac{D_{z,q}w(z_0)}{w(z_0)}=k\geq 1
$$

$$
\Rightarrow |D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z_0) - e^{i\vartheta}D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z_0)| = |(1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \mu \eta_q(s)e^{i\vartheta}(1 + k)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq |e^{i\vartheta}| \mu \eta_q(s)(1 + k) - |1 - e^{i\vartheta}|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \mu \eta_q(s)(1 + k) - |1 - e^{i\vartheta}|.
$$

*In particular, when k* = 1

$$
|D_{z,q}f_{\eta q}(z_0)-e^{i\vartheta}D_{z,q}h_{\eta q}(z_0)|\geq 2\mu\eta_q(s)-\sqrt{2(1-\cos\vartheta)}\,.
$$

*This is in contradiction to the condition in Theorem 2.1 hence we do not have z*0 ∈ U *such* 

that 
$$
|w(z_0)| = 1
$$
. It implies that  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in U$ . So we have that  
\n
$$
\left| \frac{f \eta_q(z)}{z} - \frac{e^{i\vartheta} h_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} \right| = \left| (1 - e^{i\vartheta}) + \mu \eta_q(s) w(z) \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq |1 - e^{i\vartheta}| + \mu \eta_q(s) |w(z)|
$$
\nwe make  $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the  
\n
$$
\left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} - \frac{e^{i\vartheta} h_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mu \eta_q(s) + \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \vartheta)}.
$$
\nCorollary below.

If we make  $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ 2

Corollary 2.2 *If fηq*(*z*) ∈ *Aηq satisfies*

$$
\left| D_{z,q} f_{\eta_q}(z) - i D_{z,q} h_{\eta_q}(z) \right| < 2\mu \eta_q(s) - \sqrt{2}, \qquad z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{2.3}
$$

*for some*  $\mu > \frac{1}{\eta_q(s)\sqrt{2}}$ 

then, 
$$
\left|\frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - \frac{ih_{\eta_q}(z)}{z}\right| < \mu\eta_q(s) + \sqrt{2} \qquad z \in U.
$$

Theorem 2.2 *If*  $f_{nq}(z) \in A_{nq}$  *satisfies* 

$$
Re\left((D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z)-e^{i\alpha}D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z))\right)>\frac{1}{\eta(z)}(1-cos\alpha)-\frac{3\beta}{4}, z\in\mathbb{E}
$$

*for some*  $-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ *, then* 

$$
Re\left(\frac{f_{\eta q(z)}}{z}-\frac{e^{i\alpha}h_{\eta q}(z)}{z}\right) > \frac{1}{\eta_q(z)}\left(1-\cos\alpha\right)-\frac{\beta}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.
$$

Corollary 2.3 *If*  $f_{nq}(z) \in A_{nq}$  satisfies

$$
Re\left(D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z) - i D_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z)\right) > \frac{1}{\eta_q(z)} - \frac{3\beta}{4}
$$

*for some β >* 0 *then,*

$$
Re\left(\frac{h_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - \frac{e^{i\alpha}l_{\eta_q}(z)}{z}\right) > \frac{1}{\eta_q(z)} - \frac{\beta}{2}.
$$

*Furthermore, if*  $\beta = 2(1 - \tau)(0 \le \tau \le 1)$  *then,* 

$$
Re\left(D_{z,q}f_{\eta_q}(z) - iD_{z,q}h_{\eta_q}(z)\right) > \frac{1}{\eta_q(z)} - \frac{3}{2}(1-\tau)
$$

*implies that*

$$
Re\left(\frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} - \frac{ih_{\eta_q}(z)}{z}\right) > \frac{1}{\eta_q(z)} + \tau - 1, \qquad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

## **Conclusion**

In this article, we showed that if  $f_{\eta q}(z)$  satisfies  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q |a_m - e^{i\vartheta} b_m z^{m-1}| \leq \frac{1}{n_0}$  $\frac{1}{\eta_q(s)}\left[\mu- \right]$  $\sqrt{2(1-\cos\theta)}$  and  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q | |a_m| - |b_m| | \leq \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{\eta_q(s)}\left[\mu-\sqrt{2(1-cos\vartheta)}\right]$  then it belongs to the neighborhoods  $(\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q) - N\left(h_{\eta_q}(z)\right)$  and  $(\vartheta, \mu, \eta_q) - M\left(h_{\eta_q}(z)\right)$  hence  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_q \left| |a_m| - p\right|$  $|b_m| \leq \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{\eta_q(s)} \left[ \mu - \sqrt{2(1 - cos\theta)} \right]$ . We then applied q-Jack lemma and showed that  $Re \left\{ \frac{f_{\eta_q}(z)}{z} \right\}$  $\frac{q^{z-2}}{z}$  –  $i h_{\eta q}(z)$  $\left|\frac{q^{(c)}}{z}\right| > 1 + \eta_q(s)(1-\tau).$ 

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

*Disclosure of Conflict of interest.*  Author declares no competing interest.

#### **References**

[1] Halit, O. and Murat, C. (2019), (*θ*;*µ*;*τ*) Neighborhood for Analytic functions involving modofied sigmoid function. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. Al Math. Stat.*V68, 1-10.

- [2] Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Janani, T. (2015), Sigmoid function in the space of univalent,*λ*−*pseudo* starlike functions *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.* 101, 33-41.
- [3] *O.*¨ Afet (2014), *q* -Polynomials and Location of Their Zeros. *PhD Thesis Eastern Mediterranean University Gazimag*˘*usa, North Cyprus*.
- [4] Duren, P. L. (1983), Univalent Functions. *Springer-Verlag* , New York Incoporation.
- [5] Ezeafulukwe, U. A., Darus, M. and Fadipe-Joseph, O. A. (2020), The *q* -analogue of sigmoid function in the space of univalent *λ* − *pseudo* star-like functions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* , 15(2), 621- 626.
- [6] Fadipe-Joseph, O. A., Oladipo, A. T. and Ezeafulukwe, U. A. (2013), Modified sigmoid function in univalent function theory. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Application*, 7(V), 313-317.
- [7] Ezeafulukwe, U. A., Darus, M. and Fadipe-Joseph, O. A. (2021), Certain Property of the *q* -analogue of Sigmoid Functions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* , 16(1).
- [8] Jack, I. S., Functions starlike and convex of order *θ, J. London Math. Soc. Marcel*, 2 (3)*,*(1971), 469-474.