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#### Abstract

Background: Caffeinated beverages include coffee, tea, hot chocolate, soft drinks, and energy drinks. The main active ingredient in caffeinated beverages is caffeine. Therefore, the aim of the present work was to determine the caffeine contents of the most popular caffeinated hot beverages and their related health problems.

Methods: This cross sectional study accrued out a number of café to collect caffeinated hot beverages for determine their caffeine contents by analytical methods. The next second part of this study was done through predesigned questionnaire to study the most consumed beverages and health problems related hot caffeinated beverages consumption. The data was analysis by Chi-square or T test at $\alpha<0.05$ for statistical differences.

Results: The intake volume of one cup of Espesial hot beverages has mean $\pm$ SD $87.6 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{ml}$, Espresso $27 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$, green tea $138.33 \pm 6 \mathrm{ml}$, red tea $129.3 \pm 14 \mathrm{ml}$, Nescafe $114.33 \pm 17 \mathrm{ml}$ and coffee $132 \pm 34 \mathrm{ml}$. Similarly, has been estimated for volume intake of homemade hot beverages and found that mean $\pm$ SD for coffee, cacao and red tea $58.4 \pm 6 \mathrm{ml}, 61.7 \pm 4 \mathrm{ml}$, and $57.6 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. Green tea contains an averages caffeine $16.5 \%$, red tea $21.9 \%$, cacao $10.4 \%$, Nescafé $32.2 \%$, Expresso $207.3 \%$, Espesial $50.3 \%$, coffee $132.6 \%$ and homemade coffee $102.3 \%$. The total volume intake of caffeine based on the type of cup volume purchased form the café shown that the real intake of caffeine in the beverages were as following $23 \mathrm{~g} / 138.33 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable green tea, $28.5 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable red tea, $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable cacao, $29 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Nescafe, $56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Expresso, $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Espesial, $175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable coffee and $60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable homemade coffee. This result further shown that, highest caffeine intake was found in Expresso ( $56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml}$ ), coffee ( $175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and homemade coffee ( $60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml}$ ) while the other hot beverage have least amount of caffeine was that cacao $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \mathrm{ml}$, and almost similar amounts of caffeine found in green tea, red tea and Nescafe (about $29 \mathrm{~g} / 130 \mathrm{ml}$ for each) and the moderate levels of caffeine found in Expresso, $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$. The symptoms and complication have been reported by one third of the participants were insomnia, nervousness, headache. The positive effect of caffeine intake reported was improved in mood.


Conclusion: The present study revealed that, some caffeinated hot beverages contain higher amounts of caffeine and the people should be aware for such products to avoid the negative or positive effect of caffeine.
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## 1. Introduction

Caffeinated beverages include coffee, tea, hot chocolate, soft drinks, and energy drinks (1). The main active ingredient in caffeinated beverages is caffeine (2), one of the most widely consumed pharmacologically active substances in the

[^0]world (3). About $87 \%$ of individuals worldwide consume food and/or beverages containing caffeine, with a reported average caffeine consumption of 149.8 mg per day from all caffeine sources, which are primarily coffee (71\%), soft drinks (16\%), and tea (12\%) (4). A recent study conducted at two different medical schools in Puerto Rico found that $56.2 \%$ of the sample members consumed caffeine, getting it mainly from coffee (5). There are other components present in some caffeinated beverages, including herbal extracts such as guarana, ginseng, and gingko biloba; B vitamins; amino acids such as taurine; amino acid derivatives such as carnitine; and sugar derivatives including glucuronolactone and ribose (6). The acute and long-term effects resulting from excessive and chronic consumption of these additives alone and/or in combination with caffeine are not known (7). However, excessive caffeine consumption can produce harmful health and nutritional consequences, such as promoting diuresis and natriuresis, a reduction in insulin sensitivity, an increase in blood pressure, chronic daily headaches, and even death (8).

Caffeine also increases alertness, improves memory, and enhances mood and decreases stress symptoms, but only if it is consumed in moderation. Although numerous studies have evaluated the impact of stress in college students, and a recent study evaluated the use of caffeinated beverages by medical students, little is known regarding the association between caffeinated-beverages consumption (as a stress coping strategy), academic load, and stress in college students. In addition, there is concern about the high levels of stress in health professions (9).

A challenge in determining exposure to caffeine is obtaining current and accurate data on the caffeine content of beverages. For commercially available energy drinks, coffees and teas, this is less problematic. Even though the caffeine content of beverages is not required on a label, most companies make these data readily available and the values are fairly consistent across sources (10). A greater challenge is assigning specific values for the caffeine content of coffee and tea. The origin of the crop, processing, and preparation, including the type, temperature, and time for brewing or steeping, all affect the caffeine concentration. Tea consumption has remained relatively stable and contributes a small amount of caffeine to the diets of consumers. For example, in one study, the caffeine content of a specialty coffee from a single location on 6 consecutive days yielded values ranging from 259 to 564 mg per 16 fluid ounces for the same variety and type sampled (11). These data suggest that even with brand-specific caffeine values for coffee, the variability can be quite high. For this reason, most caffeine values for coffee and tea are not brand specific except for commercial varieties (11).
'Caffeine intake is increased now a day through hot beverages and due to lack of labeling on the products result in hot beverages highly consumption which might has positive of negative impact on the health and the personality. Lack of the hot beverages caffeine contents let us thinking how much we drink caffeine per day, whether our intake under recommended levels or not and also this work will attempt to highlighted the actual amount of caffeine intake per size and volume of the cups in different types of hot drink that distributed through different café. Therefore, the aim of the present work was to determine the caffeine contents of different hot beverages distributed in number of café and also to compare the caffeine intake to recommended levels. Furthermore, to determine most popular hot drink consumed among people and health problems related to caffeine consumption.

## 2. Material and method

## 3. Study design and period of study

Cross sectional study was conducted in number of café to collect most popular hot drink from Jan to march 2022.
Experiment procedure
This study consists of two part, part one, was predesign questionnaire to collect information from the caffeinated hot drink consumer for frequencies and most common caffeinated hot beverages intake and their health problems. The second part of the study was the experimental work. A total samples collected were 27 . The sample of caffeinated hot beverages were collected in triplicate from café and also homemade caffeinated hot drink include coffee and tea. The procedure was done as the following: 6 different hot drink was collected from café and three homemade drinks.

Before the procedure started the following was done: Weight of pure sample (ml), Weight of empty cup (gm), Weight of sample with cup (gm), Weight of sample without cup (ml)

There were also collected information regarding anthropometric measurements, and biochemical and nutrients related blood disorders as described in (10)

### 3.1. Experimental work

### 3.1.1. Chemicals

The chemicals used in this study include hydrochloric acid ( HCI ), chloroform ( $\mathrm{CHC1}_{3}$ ) obtained from Friend's laboratory chemical, sodium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ obtained from Riedel - de Haen and caffeine obtained from AppliChem.

Different samples were purchased from different markets of Benghazi (Libya) including Coffee, Green tea, Red tea, Cacao, Espresso, Special and homemade include coffee, red and green tea.

### 3.1.2. Calibration solutions preparation

Caffeine stock solution of 1000 ppm was prepared by dissolving 0.1 g of pure caffeine in 100 mL chloroform. It was analyzed by UV / Vis spectrometry for determining Amax and the resulting spectrum.

Further dilutions were prepared in the range of $1-25 \mathrm{ppm}$ and their absorbance were measured at Amax 274 nm . The resulting values are given were used to draw the calibration line for caffeine analysis. Cuvettes of plastic were not used because chloroform dissolved plastic.

### 3.1.3. Extraction of caffeine from tea

All glass apparatus was rinsed with chromic acid and distilled water before use. 2 g of dried tea powder was taken in a beaker and 20 mL of distilled water was added to it and boiled. After boiling, 2 g of sodium carbonate was added for precipitating tannins, then filtered. The filtrate was heated and concentrated to 5 mL . Then 5 mL of chloroform was added for extraction of caffeine using a separatory funnel. The extract was analyzed for caffeine contents and the average values are reported and described in (12).

### 3.1.4. Extraction of caffeine from beverages

A beverage portion was drawn by a 10 mL pipette and poured directly in a separatory funnel, then 1 mL of $20 \%$ ( w / v) sodium carbonate solution and 5 mL of chloroform were added and shaken for few minutes. The lower (organic) layer containing caffeine was taken in a sample cell. Preparation of a sample solution of beverages 0.1 mL of the extract of beverages, present in the sample cell, was dissolved in 5 mL of chloroform to form the sample solution. Reading was performed at a wavelength of 274 nm .

### 3.2. Data analysis

The data were analyzed using GNU PSPP (GNU Project, Boston, MA, USA). Continuous variables are summarized using number (frequency), mean, and standard deviation while categorical variables are summarized using frequency and percentage. Means were compared across groups using t-test, and proportions were compared with Karl Pearson's Chisquare test. Level of significance $(\alpha)$ was set at 0.05 .

## 4. Results

The analytical data from different café shown that, the most common volume of drinkable hot beverages were variables for example the intake volume of Espesial hot beverages has mean $\pm$ SD $87.6 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{ml}$, Espresso $27 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$, green tea $138.33 \pm 6 \mathrm{ml}$, red tea $129.3 \pm 14 \mathrm{ml}$, Nescafe $114.33 \pm 17 \mathrm{ml}$ and coffee $132 \pm 34 \mathrm{ml}$ (Table 1). Similarly, has been estimated for volume intake of homemade hot beverages and found that mean $\pm$ SD for coffee, cacao and red tea $58.4 \pm 6 \mathrm{ml}, 61.7 \pm 4$ ml , and $57.6 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$ respectively (Table 2).

Table 1 Types of caffeinated hot drink and their weighing

| Weight of sample from café |  |  |  |  | Weight of pure sample (ml) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types <br> caffeinated <br> drink of | Number of sample | Weight of sample with cup (ml) | Weight of sample with cup (gm) | Weight of empty cup (gm) |  |
| Espesial | 1 | 94 | 94 | 9 | 85 |
| Espesial | 2 | 94 | 90 | 9 | 85 |
| Espesial | 3 | 102 | 102 | 9 | 93 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 87.6 \pm 3.7 \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Espresso | 1 | 27 | 28 | 4 | 23 |
| Espresso | 2 | 30 | 30 | 4 | 26 |
| Espresso | 3 | 46 | 46 | 4 | 42 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $27 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Green tea | 1 | 143 | 143 | 13 | 130 |
| Green tea | 2 | 151 | 151 | 7 | 144 |
| Green tea | 3 | 148 | 148 | 7 | 141 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 138.33 \pm 6 \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Red tea | 1 | 132 | 132 | 13 | 119 |
| Red tea | 2 | 132 | 132 | 13 | 119 |
| Red tea | 3 | 157 | 157 | 7 | 150 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 129.3 \pm 14 . \\ & 6 \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Nescafe | 1 | 104 | 104 | 13 | 91 |
| Nescafe | 2 | 146 | 142 | 14 | 132 |
| Nescafe | 3 | 135 | 135 | 15 | 120 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 114.33 \pm 17 \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Coffee | 1 | 76 | 76 | 4 | 72 |
| Coffee | 2 | 166 | 166 | 7 | 159 |
| Coffee | 3 | 172 | 172 | 7 | 165 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $132 \pm 34 \mathrm{ml}$ |

Average caffeine contents shown in table 3, in which this work present the caffeine content to each 100 ml of hot beverages. Green tea contains an averages caffeine $16.5 \%$, red tea $21.9 \%$, cacao $10.4 \%$, Nescafé $32.2 \%$, Expresso $207.3 \%$, Espesial $50.3 \%$, coffee $132.6 \%$ and homemade coffee 102.3\%. In the next the total volume intake of caffeine based on the type of cup volume buying form the café as described in table 1 shown that the real intake of caffeine was as following $23 \mathrm{~g} / 138.33 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable green tea, $28.5 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable red tea, $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable cacao, $29 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Nescafe, $56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Expresso, $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable Espesial, $175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable coffee and $60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable homemade coffee. This result further shown that, highest caffeine intake was found in Expresso ( $56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml}$ ), coffee ( $175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and homemade coffee $(60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml}$ ) while the other hot beverage that have least amount of caffeine was that cacao $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \mathrm{ml}$, and almost similar amounts of caffeine found in green tea,
red tea and Nescafe (about $29 \mathrm{~g} / 130 \mathrm{ml}$ for each) and the moderate levels of caffeine found in Expresso, $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$ (Table 3).

Table 2 Homemade coffee, cacao and tea

| Weight Homemade samples |  |  |  |  | Weight of pure sample In (ml) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types of hot caffeinated drink | Number of sample | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { Weight of } \\ \text { sample } & \text { of } \\ \text { cup }(\mathrm{ml}) \end{array}$ | Weight <br> sample <br> cup (gm) of <br> with | Weight of empty cup (gm) |  |
| coffee | 1 | 140 | 140 | 77 | 63 |
| Coffee | 2 | 158 | 158 | 109 | 49 |
| Coffee | 3 | 140 | 140 | 77 | 63 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $58.4 \pm 6 \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Cacao | 1 | 138 | 138 | 77 | 61 |
| cacao | 2 | 164 | 164 | 109 | 55 |
| cacao | 3 | 146 | 146 | 77 | 69 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $61.7 \pm 4 \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Red tea | 1 | 182 | 182 | 123 | 59 |
| Red tea | 2 | 181 | 181 | 133 | 48 |
| Red tea | 3 | 199 | 199 | 133 | 66 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |  | $57.6 \pm 7 \mathrm{ml}$ |

Table 3 Caffeine content of different hot beverages

| Types of caffeinated hot beverage | \% of caffeine (g/100 ml) | Average caffeine intake in the drinking cup |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green tea 1 | 15.21 |  |
| Green tea 2 | 18 |  |
| Green tea 3 | 16.34 |  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $16.51667 \pm 1.5$ | $23 \mathrm{~g} / 138.33 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Red tea 1 | 22 |  |
| Red tea 2 | 24 |  |
| Red tea 3 | 19.99 | $28.5 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $21.99667 \pm 2$ |  |
| Cacao 1 | 9.86 |  |
| Cacao 2 | 10.25 | $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \pm 4 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Cacao 3 | 11.22 |  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $10.44333 \pm 0.5$ |  |
| Nescaffe 1 | 33.68 |  |
| Nescaffe 2 | 37 |  |
| Nescaffe 3 | 26 |  |


| Mean $\pm$ SD | $32.22667 \pm 4$ | $29 \mathrm{~g} / 129.3 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expresso 1 | 205 |  |
| Expresso 2 | 216 |  |
| Expresso 3 | 201 | $56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $207.3333 \pm 6$ |  |
| Espesial 1 | 54 |  |
| Espesial 2 | 49 | $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Espesial 3 | 48 |  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $50.33333 \pm 1.4$ |  |
| Coffee Market 1 | 134 | $175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Coffee Market 2 | 115 |  |
| Coffee Market 3 | 149 |  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $132.6667 \pm 16$ | $60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml}$ drinkable solution |
| Coffee Homemade1 | 110 |  |
| Coffee Homemade 2 | 90 |  |
| Coffee Homemade 3 | 107 | $102.3333 \pm 6$ |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |

The section of this study was a survey for hot beverages consumption and shown that, the female participants tow time more than male $66 \%$ and $34 \%$. The age of the participants presented by $44.8 \%$ for age groups between $18-25$ years old and $24 \%$ for age groups 26-40 years old and similar percentage has been reported for both age groups less than 18 and above 60 years old (6\%). Most of the participants found have high levels of education (university 59.8\%). Married and single occupied the large number of sample in this study $41.6 \%$, and $35.6 \%$ respectively (Table 4 ).

Table 4 Socio-demographic data

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender | Male | 136 | $34.0 \%$ |
|  | Female | 264 | $66.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Less than 18 | 24 | $6.0 \%$ |
|  | $18-25$ | 179 | $44.8 \%$ |
|  | $26-40$ | 96 | $24.0 \%$ |
|  | $41-60$ | 77 | $19.3 \%$ |
|  | Above 60 | 24 | $6.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Illiterate | 17 | $4.3 \%$ |
|  | Primary | 25 | $6.3 \%$ |
|  | Secondary | 99 | $24.8 \%$ |
|  | College | 239 | $59.8 \%$ |
|  | Master | 10 | $2.5 \%$ |


|  | other | 10 | $2.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Single | 166 | $41.6 \%$ |
|  | Engaged | 61 | $15.3 \%$ |
|  | Married | 142 | $35.6 \%$ |
|  | divorced | 10 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | Widow | 21 | $5.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

The anthropometrics data shown that, the average BMI of the participant was an overweight while the rest of anthropometrics were in normal levels (Table 5).

Table 5 Anthropometric data

|  | Mean $\pm$ SD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 68 |
| Height $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 164 |
| BMI | 25.1 |
| Waist $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 80.8 |
| Hip $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 98.5 |
| WHR $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 0.820305 |

The types of hot beverage consumptions have been studies in table 6 A-D, in which coffee most consumption at afternoon (29\%), once a day (37.8\%), tea was highly consumption in the morning $22 \%$, with once a day $32.3 \%$ in the morning. In regard green tea, $28.8 \%$ consumed at afternoon, once/day (33.5\%), the hot beverage consumed of cacao $12.5 \%$ afternoon and once/day (28\%). Nescafe consumption was predominantly afternoon (21.3\%) once time $32.8 \%$, while Espresso and Especial being the least common consumed in the morning and once/day (5\%). Overall hot beverage consumption none were significant consumed.

Table 6 A Types of hot beverage consumption

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of coffee consumption | Morning | 48 | $12.0 \%$ |
|  | afternoon | 116 | $29.0 \%$ |
|  | Night | 14 | $3.5 \%$ |
|  | Morning and afternoon | 81 | $20.3 \%$ |
|  | Morning and night | 10 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | Afternoon and night | 28 | $7.0 \%$ |
|  | Non | 103 | $25.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 1.0 | 151 | $37.8 \%$ |
|  | 2.0 | 98 | $24.5 \%$ |
|  | 3.0 | 22 | $5.5 \%$ |
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|  | 4.0 | 17 | $4.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5.0 | 9 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | Non | 103 | $25.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Morning | 88 | $22.0 \%$ |
|  | afternoon | 42 | $10.5 \%$ |
|  | Night | Morning and afternoon | 16 |
|  | Morning and night | $7.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Afternoon and night | 8 | $2.0 \%$ |
|  | Non | 225 | $56.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 1.0 | 130 | $32.3 \%$ |
|  | 2.0 | 24 | $6.0 \%$ |
|  | 3.0 | 13 | $3.3 \%$ |
|  | 4.0 | 5 | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | 5.0 | 3 | $0.8 \%$ |
|  | Non | 225 | $56.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 6 B. Types of hot beverage consumption

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time of green tea consumption | Morning | 15 | $3.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | afternoon | 115 | $28.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Night | 18 | $4.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Morning and afternoon | 5 | $1.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Morning and night | 11 | $2.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Afternoon and night | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non | 230 | $57.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 134 | $33.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 25 | $6.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.0 | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non | 227 | $56.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
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| Time of Cocoa consumption | Morning | 44 | $11.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | afternoon | 50 | $12.5 \%$ |
|  | Night | 22 | $5.5 \%$ |
|  | Morning and afternoon | 4 | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | Morning and night | 3 | $0.8 \%$ |
|  | Non | 277 | $69.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 1.0 | 115 | $28.0 \%$ |
|  | 2.0 | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |
|  | 3.0 | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | Non | 277 | $70.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 6 C Types of hot beverage consumption

|  |  | N | N \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time of Nescafe consumption | Morning | 45 | 11.3\% |
|  | afternoon | 85 | 21.3\% |
|  | Night | 11 | 2.8\% |
|  | Morning and afternoon | 18 | 4.5\% |
|  | Morning and night | 1 | 0.3\% |
|  | Afternoon and night | 5 | 1.3\% |
|  | Non | 235 | 58.8\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Frequent of Nescafe consumption | 1.0 | 131 | 32.8\% |
|  | 2.0 | 23 | 5.8\% |
|  | 3.0 | 9 | 2.3\% |
|  | 5.0 | 2 | 0.3\% |
|  | Non | 235 | 59.0\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Time of Espresso consumption | Morning | 12 | 3.0\% |
|  | afternoon | 9 | 2.3\% |
|  | Night | 1 | 0.3\% |
|  | Afternoon and night | 1 | 0.3\% |
|  | Non | 377 | 94.3\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Frequent of Espresso consumption | 1.0 | 21 | 5.3\% |
|  | 2.0 | 1 | 0.3\% |
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|  | 5.0 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Non | 377 | $94.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 6 D Types of hot beverage consumption

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time of Especial consumption | Morning | 4 | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | Afternoon | 10 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | Night | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | Morning and afternoon | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | Non | 384 | $96.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 1.0 | 15 | $3.8 \%$ |
|  | 2.0 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | Non | 384 | $96.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Symptoms and complication reported by one third of the participants were insomnia, nervousness, headache (Table 7 A to C).

Table 7 A Symptoms and complication reported by the participant

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tremor | Yes | 69 | $17.3 \%$ |
|  | No | 331 | $82.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 79 | $19.8 \%$ |
|  | No | 321 | $80.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Skin disorder | Yes | 33 | $8.3 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 153 | $38.3 \%$ |
|  | No | 247 | $61.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Nervousness | Yes | 178 | $44.5 \%$ |
|  | No | 222 | $55.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| GIT disorders | Yes | 77 | $19.3 \%$ |


| constipation | No | 323 | $80.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 68 | $17.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 332 | $83.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| diarrhea | Yes | 23 | $5.8 \%$ |
|  | No | 377 | $94.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| headache | Yes | 83 | $20.8 \%$ |
|  | No | 317 | $79.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 142 | $35.5 \%$ |
|  | No | 258 | $64.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 7 B Symptoms and complication reported by the participant

|  |  | N | N \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bone diseases | Yes | 31 | 7.8\% |
|  | No | 369 | 92.3\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Asthma | Yes | 11 | 2.8\% |
|  | No | 389 | 97.3\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Stress | Yes | 89 | 22.3\% |
|  | No | 311 | 77.8\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Nausea | Yes | 29 | 7.3\% |
|  | No | 371 | 92.8\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Urination | Yes | 43 | 10.8\% |
|  | No | 357 | 89.3\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Depression | Yes | 52 | 13.0\% |
|  | No | 348 | 87.0\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| HTN | Yes | 29 | 7.3\% |
|  | No | 371 | 92.8\% |


|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DM | Yes | 20 | $5.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 380 | $95.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 4 | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 396 | $99.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Epilepsy | Yes | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | No | 399 | $99.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 7 C Symptoms and complication reported by the participant

|  |  | N | N \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eye problems | Yes | 37 | 9.3\% |
|  | No | 363 | 90.8\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Ulcer | Yes | 11 | 2.8\% |
|  | No | 389 | 97.3\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Gallstones | Yes | 6 | 1.5\% |
|  | No | 394 | 98.5\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Respiratory system diseases | Yes | 20 | 5.0\% |
|  | No | 380 | 95.0\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Urinary Tract disease | Yes | 24 | 6.0\% |
|  | No | 376 | 94.0\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Tachycardia | Yes | 44 | 11.0\% |
|  | No | 356 | 89.0\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Cancer | Yes | 1 | 0.3\% |
|  | No | 399 | 99.8\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Sinusitis | Yes | 70 | 17.5\% |
|  | No | 330 | 82.5\% |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |
| Cardiovascular | Yes | 20 | 5.0\% |


|  | No | 380 | $95.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| COPD | No | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Sterility | Yes | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | No | 398 | $99.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

The reason for caffeinated hot beverages consumption was further investigated and found that significant result in improved in mood (57.5\%) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$, while the other non-significant include improve in energy, weight, cognition and physical performance ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) (Table 8).

Table 8 Stimulant effect of caffeine

|  |  | N | N \% | $P$ values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement in energy | Yes | 130 | 32.5\% | 0.07 |
|  | No | 270 | 67.5\% |  |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |  |
| Improve in mood | Yes | 230 | 57.5\% | 0.000 |
|  | No | 170 | 42.5\% |  |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |  |
| Improve in weight | Yes | 23 | 5.8\% | 0.9 |
|  | No | 377 | 94.3\% |  |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |  |
| Improve in cognition | Yes | 82 | 20.5\% | 0.8 |
|  | No | 318 | 79.5\% |  |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |  |
| Improve physical performance | Yes | 48 | 12.0\% | 0.9 |
|  | No | 352 | 88.0\% |  |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0\% |  |

Chi-square test was performed and considered significant at $\alpha<0.05$.
The most common nutrients deficiency found that, vitamin D deficiency ( $46 \%$ ) while the lease common anemia, calcium deficiency and thyroid function test but none of them was significant (Table 9).

Table 9 Nutrients disorders reported by participants

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vitamin <br> deficiency | D | Yes | 184 |
|  | No | 216 | $46.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $54.0 \%$ |
| Anemia | Yes | 72 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 328 | $82.0 \%$ |


|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calcium deficiency | Yes | 45 | $11.3 \%$ |
|  | No | 355 | $88.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 3 | $0.8 \%$ |
|  | No | 397 | $99.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 9 | $2.3 \%$ |
|  | No | 391 | $97.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |

In regarding blood biochemical, the result shown that, elevated levels of FBS, HbA 1 C while the levels of ferritin and vitamin D lower than normal levels (Table 10).

Table 10 Blood Biochemical lab result

|  | Mean $\pm$ SD |
| :--- | :--- |
| FBS | 108.91 |
| HbA1C | 9.31 |
| LDL | 119.2 |
| HDL | 49.7 |
| TG | 114.6 |
| Cholesterol | 163.2 |
| HB | 12.1 |
| Ferritin | 31.20 |
| VITD | 19.07 |

## 5. Discussion

Excessive caffeine intakes have been associated with anxiety, headaches, nausea, and restlessness (13). Side effects (i.e., headache, fatigue, drowsiness) may be experienced when caffeine intake is stopped suddenly; however, symptoms are generally mild and temporary (14). Some but not all studies have shown an increased risk of hypertension and cardiovascular disease (13). Moderate caffeine intake (less than $400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for healthy adults) does not adversely affect cardiovascular health (15). Scientific data do not support adverse effects of moderate caffeine consumption below $300 \mathrm{mg} /$ day on reproductive health or pregnancy outcomes (16).

Regardless of the longstanding consumption of caffeine-containing beverages in the diet, there is a lack of comprehensive and current population-based data on caffeine intakes. Most studies still cite information dating back to the 1980s and 1990s when Barone and Roberts (17) highlighted results from earlier population-based surveys. In 2014 Mitchell et al (11) published data from the Share of Intake Panel (SIP) a syndicated beverage survey conducted by NFO World Group. There has also been an introduction of a greater variety of beverages in the marketplace. The introduction of functional beverages such as hot beverages and coffees, also highlights the importance of characterizing more recent beverage consumption patterns and caffeine intakes that may have evolved over the last decade. The present study aimed to determine the caffeine contents of most popular hot drink and so that, the result was optimistic. The present study revealed that, variation of caffeine in hot drink ranging from, Green tea contain an averages caffeine $16.5 \%$, red tea $21.9 \%$, cacao $10.4 \%$, Nescafé $32.2 \%$, Expresso $207.3 \%$, Espesial $50.3 \%$, coffee $132.6 \%$ and homemade coffee
$102.3 \%$. Even though the caffeine content of hot beverages is not required on a label, most companies make these data readily available and the values are fairly consistent across sources.

A greater challenge is assigning specific values for the caffeine content of coffee and tea. The origin of the crop, processing, and preparation, including the type, temperature, and time for brewing or steeping, all affect the caffeine concentration. Tea consumption has remained relatively stable and contributes a small amount of caffeine as aforementioned result to the diets of consumers. Coffee poses more of a question, especially with the rising popularity of specialty coffees over the last decade and possibly a low awareness of their caffeine content. For example, in one study, the caffeine content of a specialty coffee from a single location on 6 consecutive days yielded values ranging from 259 to 564 mg per 16 fluid ounces for the same variety and type sampled $(18,19)$. These data suggest that even with brand-specific caffeine values for coffee, the variability can be quite high. This values obtained in the previous study was lower than the present work because in the present work the caffeine content in hot drinks whether marketing or in homemade presented by $132.6 \%$ and $102.3 \%$ respectively.

In the previous study, of the 42,851 respondents in the survey sample, 37,602 reported consuming at least one caffeinated beverage. Using the survey weights, this represents approximately $85 \%$ of the U.S. population (20). Over $98 \%$ of all beverage caffeine consumed came from total coffee (all types including specialty drinks and decaffeinated coffee), total tea (black, green, white, and other varieties). This is in agreement with the present data in this study.

Amount of caffeine from food is quite insignificant relative to the total amount of caffeine consumed. In adults, food contributes less than $2 \%$ to total (21). Of those who drank caffeinated beverages, more than half reported consuming CSDs (63\%), coffee (55\%), and tea (53\%). In the present work, the consumption of hot drink was less than the previous work.

Based on the survey (22), the mean daily caffeine intake from all consumers of caffeinated beverages in the U.S. was 165 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day, or $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day. Caffeine intakes are higher ( $165 \mathrm{mg} /$ day vs. $120 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). In fact, due to present study collected samples from different types of caffeinated hot beverages so that the mean was less than the study conducted in US (23).

Caffeine intakes from tea remained relatively stable. Over the past decade, there was also a slight increase (from 1.5 to 1.8 ) in the total number of caffeinated beverage occasions (24). This finding with the present study.

In regarding the positive or negative health effect of caffeinated hot beverages, the current study reported some health problems include one third of the participants were have insomnia, nervousness, headache as negative effect of caffeinated but these result not significant. Similarly, has been found in the previous work (25). The positive effect of caffeinated hot products includes improve in mood and this was found in number of studies $(26,27)$.

Overall the caffeinated hot drinks have varied amount of caffeine and consumption was lower than the recommended with some symptoms reported but insignificant with except the positive effect improve in mood.

## 6. Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study to estimate the caffeine intakes from hot beverages in over a decade. The results from this study shown that the intake of caffeine wa varies with highest caffeine intake was found in Expresso $(56 \mathrm{~g} / 27 \mathrm{ml})$, coffee $(175 \mathrm{~g} / 132 \mathrm{ml})$ and homemade coffee $(60 \mathrm{~g} / 58.4 \mathrm{ml})$ while the other hot beverage that have least amount of caffeine was that cacao $6.5 \mathrm{~g} / 61.7 \mathrm{ml}$, and almost similar amounts of caffeine found in green tea, red tea and Nescafe (about $29 \mathrm{~g} / 130 \mathrm{ml}$ for each) and the moderate levels of caffeine found in Expresso, $44 \mathrm{~g} / 87.6 \mathrm{ml}$. The symptoms and complication reported by one third of the participants were insomnia, nervousness, headache. The data of this study suggested that, caffeine should be consumed at recommended levels since some hot drink contain higher levels which could predisposing into positive or negative effect of caffeine intake.
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