

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

| | WJARR | INSEN 2501-0615 CODEN (URA) INVARIA |
|-----|--|--|
| | World Journal of Advanced Research and | JARK |
| | Reviews | World Journal Series INDEA |
| Che | ck for up | dates |

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Effects of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer across a pipe element: Simulink Approach

DELE KEHINDE OGUNKUNLE, EFOSA OBASEKI and TENNISON IFECHUKWU EWURUM *

Department Of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering Technology, Federal Polytechnic Nekede, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 17(02), 219-228

Publication history: Received on 27 November 2022; revised on 05 February 2023; accepted on 07 February 2023

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.17.2.0236

Abstract

The study, effects of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer across a pipe element using simulink approach was successfully achieved. Block models were used to represent all the elements of pipe flow model. Pipe element was modeled to retain hydraulic diameter of 0.4 m within a length of 100 m. The shape factor and internal surface roughness were chosen to be 80 and 3e+3m, respectively. Initial temperature and pressure were set to 300k or 27°C and 1 atm. turbulent regime Nusselt number correlation coefficients were 0.023, 0.8, 0.33, 0, and 0. The mass flow rate from the reservoir had initial value of 0.6 kg/s and signal block was adjusted to 1, 6, 5 for slope, start and maximum respectively. With Ode15s solver, simulation was allowed to run for 15seconds. System model mass flow rate, conductive heat transfer and temperature difference were found to be 0.6kg/s (same with initial value), 5.0346×10⁴J/s and -80K or 193°C within 15seconds of simulation. Findings depicted the effects of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer. Also, simulation was run with the shape factor and internal surface roughness chosen to be 64 and 1.5e-5m, respectively. Under viscous friction influence, initial temperature and pressure were set to 298k or 25 °C and 1 atm. turbulent regime Nusselt number correlation coefficients were 0.023, 0.8, 0.33, 0, and 0. The mass flow rate from the reservoir had initial value of 0.6 kg/s. Results also showed that the system model mass flow rate, conductive heat transfer and temperature difference were found to be 0.058kg/s, -275J/s and 100K or -173°C within 15seconds of simulation. Hence, increasing value of internal surface roughness increases conductive heat transfer at a constant mass flow rate of fluid and increasing value of viscous friction, decreases mass flow rate of fluid as well as conductive heat transfer across the pipe wall.

Keywords: Internal surface roughness; Viscous friction; Conductive heat transfer; Simulink; Pipe

1. Introduction

Michael (2006)stated that viscous friction can be viewed in two rather different (although consistent) ways: it is a measure of how much heat is generated when faster fluid is flowing over slowly moving fluid, but it is also a measure of the rate of transfer of momentum from the faster stream to the slower stream. Looked at in this second way, it is analogous to thermal conductivity, which is a measure of the rate of transfer of heat from a warm place to a cooler place. In contrast to the liquid case, gas viscosity increases with temperature. Even more surprising, it is found experimentally that over a very wide range of densities, gas viscosity is independent of the density of the gas.

Rajput (2011) and Michael (2006) opined that viscous drag and pressure are not completely unrelated, the viscous force may be interpreted as a rate of transfer of momentum into the fluid, momentum parallel to the surface that is, and pressure can also be interpreted as a rate of transfer of momentum, but now perpendicular to the surface, as the

Copyright © 2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

^{*}Corresponding author: EWURUM TENNISON I

molecules bounce off. Physically, the big difference is of course that the pressure doesn't have to do any work to keep transferring momentum, the viscous force does.

Within the boundary layer, adjacent layers of fluids are in relative motion, and because all fluids have viscosity, there will be friction between the layers as they slide over each other. This action, produces viscous stresses with magnitude given by the viscosity times the velocity gradient. Viscous frictional stresses cause energy dissipation in the fluid, which appears as heat. This heat can change the density of fluid significantly (Princeton.edu, 2023).

According to Karman-Prandtl equation for rough pipe velocity distribution, it can be deduced that as average height of roughness element increases, velocity distribution reduces, hence lowers mass flow rate.

There are no doubts that internal surface roughness of pipe and fluid viscous friction affects mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer through a pipe element. Hence, this research paper aimed at studying effects of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer across a pipe element using simulink approach.

2. Methodology

Effect of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer across a pipe element was carried out using SIMULINK in MATLAB. Simulink in the matlab command window contains block models that were used to represent all the elements of pipe flow model. The block models gotten from simscap- thermal and hydraulics, includes:

- Clock Block Properties
- Conductive Heat Transfer Block Properties
- Ideal Heat Flow Source Block Properties
- Ideal Temperature Sensor Block Properties
- Mass Flow Rate Source (TL) Block Properties
- Mass Flow Rate & Thermal Flux Sensor (TL) Block Properties
- PS-Simulink Converter Block Properties
- Pipe (TL) Block Properties
- Ramp Block Properties
- Reservoir (TL) Block Properties
- Simulink-PS Converter Block Properties
- Solver Configuration Block Properties
- Thermal Liquid Settings (TL) Block Properties
- Thermal Reference Block Properties
- Transfer Fcn Direct Form II Block Properties
- XY scope. Block Properties
- Block Type Count

Block ports were connected as shown in fig. 1 and fig. 2 below. The insulated pipe element as shown in table 1.0 was modeled to retain hydraulic diameter of 0.4 m within a length of 100 m. the shape factor and internal surface roughness were chosen to be 80 and 3e+3m, respectively. Initial temperature and pressure were set to 300k or 27°C and 1 atm respectively. Turbulent regime Nusselt number correlation coefficients were 0.023, 0.8, 0.33, 0, and 0.

Ode15s solver was chosen due to its tight tolerance in fixed step solving. Simulation was allowed to run for 15seconds, see table 2 below.

3. Results and presentations

Table 1 Hydraulic Pipe Model

| Pipe length | 100 m |
|--|------------------------------|
| Hydraulic diameter | 0.4m |
| Cross area | 2.5 m2 |
| Shape factor | 80 |
| Internal surface roughness | 3e+3m |
| Laminar flow upper margin | 4e+3 |
| Turbulent flow lower margin | 4e+3 |
| Laminar regime nusselt number correlation coefficients | [1.86 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.14] |
| turbulent regime nusselt number correlation coefficients | [0.023 0.8 0.33 0 0] |
| Fluid dynamic compressibility | off |
| Fluid inertia | off |
| Initial temperature | 300k |
| Initial pressure | 1 atm |
| Initial mass flow rate from A to B | 0.6 kg/S |
| Solver | Ode15s |

Table 2 Simulation Parameter

| Simulation Parameter | Value |
|----------------------|--------|
| Solver | ode15s |
| RelTol | 1e-3 |
| Refine | 1 |
| MaxOrder | 5 |
| ZeroCross | on |

Table 3 PS-Simulink Converter Block Properties

| Name | Physical Domain | Sub Class Name | Left Port Type | Right Port Type | Pseudo Periodic | Unit | Affine Conversion |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|----------------------|
| PS-Simulink Converter | network engine_domain | ps_output | input | output | off | К | off |
| PS-Simulink Converter1 | network_engine_domain | ps_output | input | output | off | kg/s | off |
| PS-Simulink Converter2 | network_engine_domain | ps_output | input | output | off | J/s | off |

Table 4 Ramp Block Properties

| Name | Slope | Start | X0 |
|------|-------|-------|----|
| Ramp | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Table 5 Transfer Fcn Direct Form II Block Properties

| Name | Num Coef Vec | Den Coef Vec | Vinit | Rnd Meth | Do Satur |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|----------|----------|
| Transfer Fcn Direct Form II | [0.2 0.3 0.2] | [-0.9 0.6] | 0.0 | Floor | off |

Table 6 XY Scope Block Properties

| Name | Xmin | Xmax | Ymin | Ymax | St |
|----------|------|------|------|------|----|
| XY Graph | -1 | 200 | -1 | 10 | -1 |

Table 7 Block Type Count

| BlockType | Count | Block Names | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Scope | 4 | Scope, Scope1, Scope2, Scope4 | | | |
| PS-Simulink | 2 | PS-Simulink Converter, PS-Simulink Converter1, PS-Simulink | | | |
| Converter (m) | 3 | Converter2 | | | |
| Reservoir (TL) (m) | 2 | Reservoir (TL), Reservoir (TL)1 | | | |
| Conductive Heat | 2 | Conductive Heat Transfer, Conductive Heat Transfer1 | | | |
| Transfer (m) | 2 | | | | |
| XY scope. (m) | 1 | XY Graph | | | |
| Transfer Fcn Direct Form II (m) | 1 | Transfer Fcn Direct Form II | | | |
| Thermal Reference (m) | 1 | Thermal Reference | | | |
| Thermal Liquid | 1 | Thermal Liquid Settings (TL) | | | |
| Settings (TL) (m) | | | | | |
| Solver | 1 | Solver Configuration | | | |
| Configuration (m) | T | | | | |
| Simulink-PS | 1 | Simulink-PS Converter | | | |
| Converter (m) | | | | | |
| Ramp (m) | 1 | Ramp | | | |
| Pipe (TL) (m) | 1 | Pipe (TL) | | | |
| Mass Flow Rate & | | Mass Flow Rate & Thermal Flux Sensor (TL) | | | |
| Thermal Flux Sensor | 1 | | | | |
| (TL) (m) | | | | | |

| Mass Flow Rate | 1 | Mass Flow Rate Source (TL) |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Source (TL) (m) | | |
| Ideal Temperature | 1 | Ideal Temperature Sensor |
| Sensor (m) | 1 | |
| Ideal Heat Flow | 1 | Ideal Heat Flow Source |
| Source (m) | 1 | |
| Clock | 1 | Clock |



Figure 1 MODEL_PIPE_FLOW [User: Tennison Ifechukwu Ewurum : 02-Feb-2023 04:00:59]



Figure 2 MODEL_PIPE_FLOW [User: Tennison Ifechukwu Ewurum : 02-Feb-2023 04:00:59]

3.1. Design analysis

Navier -Stokes equations of motion for general analysis of a dynamic viscous flow is given below;

$$B_{x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{du}{dt} - v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \dots (1)$$

$$B_{y} - \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \frac{dv}{dt} - v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \dots (2)$$

$$B_{z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \frac{dw}{dt} - v \left[\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}} \right] \dots (3)$$

Where *B* represents body force or gravity force per unit mass of fluid.

The universal velocity distribution equation, according to Prandtl's hypothesis for both smooth and rough pipe is as below:

$$u = u_{max} + 2.5 u_f ln(\frac{y}{R}) \dots (4)$$

$$u_f = shearfriction velocity, = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_0}{\rho}}, u_{max} = velocity at piperadius, R$$

y = radiusatany given point.

Karman-Prandtl equation for rough pipe velocity distribution is as shown;

$$\frac{u}{u_f} = 5.75 \log_{10}(y/k) + 8.5 \dots (5)$$

where $k = avaerage height of roughness element = y \times 30$

In case of one dimensional flow, mass per second = $constant = \rho AV \dots (6)$

where A = crosssectional area, V = velocity

 $modelmassflowrate = \rho(hotliquid) \times V \times A$

$$0.6 kg/s = 1000 \times V \times 2.5$$
$$V = \frac{0.6}{1000 \times 2.5} = 0.00024 \text{ m/s}$$

Heat loss by conduction through the pipe element is given as below:

$$Q_L = \frac{2\pi L(T_1 - T_2)}{thicknessofpipe/K}\dots(7)$$

Where K = thermal conductivity, inW/mK

 T_1 and T_2 = inside and outside temperatures, L = pipelength

For an insulated pipe element, we have:

$$Q_L = \frac{2\pi L(T_1 - T_0)}{\frac{ln\frac{r_2}{r_1}}{k_1} + \frac{ln\frac{r_3}{r_2}}{k_2}} \dots (8)$$

 $T_o = outsidetemperature, r = radius of pipe$ and insulated material.

When fluid flows through a pipe, it experiences some resistance to its motion, due to which its velocity and energy (head) are reduced. The loss of head or energy is given in Darcy-Weisbach formula below:

$$h_f = \frac{4fLV^2}{D \times 2g} \dots \quad (9)$$

 $h_f = loss of head due to friction, f = coefficient of friction and a function of Reynolds number$

L = length of pipe, V = mean velocity, D = diameter of the pipe.



Figure 3 Mass Flow Rate



Figure 4 Conductive Heat Loss



Figure 5 Temperature Difference



Figure 6 Ramp Signal

4. Discussion

Influence of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer across a pipe element was investigated using simulink. According to Fig.1 and Fig. 2, block models were used to represent all the elements of pipe flow model.

According to table 1 pipe element was modeled to retain hydraulic diameter of 0.4 m within a length of 100 m. The shape factor and internal surface roughness were chosen to be 80 and 3e+3m, respectively. Initial temperature and pressure were set to 300k or 27°C and 1 atm respectively. Turbulent regime Nusselt number correlation coefficients were 0.023, 0.8, 0.33, 0, and 0. The mass flow rate from the reservoir has initial value of 0.6 kg/s. Furthermore, table 4 showed that the signal block was adjusted to 1, 6, 5 for slope, start and maximum respectively.

With Ode15s solver, simulation was allowed to run for 15seconds, according to table 2.

System model mass flow rate, conductive heat transfer and temperature difference were found to be 0.6kg/s (same with initial value), 5.0346×10^4 J/s and -80K or 193°C within 15 seconds of simulation, according to Fig 3 to Fig 5, respectively. The findings depicted the effects of internal surface roughness and viscous friction on mass flow rate and conductive heat transfer. Also , simulation was run with the shape factor and internal surface roughness chosen to be 64 and 1.5e-5m, respectively. Under fluid dynamic compressibility and inertia influences, initial temperature and pressure were set to 298k or 25°C and 1 atm respectively.

Turbulent regime Nusselt number correlation coefficients were 0.023, 0.8, 0.33, 0, and 0. The mass flow rate from the reservoir has initial value of 0.6 kg/s. System model mass flow rate, conductive heat transfer and temperature difference were found to be 0.058kg/s, -275J/s and 100K or -173°C within 15seconds of simulation.

Results indicated that increasing value of internal surface roughness increases conductive heat transfer at a constant mass flow rate of fluid and increasing value of viscous friction, decreases mass flow rate of fluid as well as conductive heat transfer across the pipe wall.

5. Conclusion

According to the results, we concluded that internal surface roughness increases conductive heat transfer at a constant mass flow rate of fluid and viscous friction decreases mass flow rate of fluid as well as conductive heat transfer across the pipe wall.

Recommendations

The following recommendations are suggested based on the study:

- The values of internal surface roughness and viscous friction must be compromised if maximum mass flow rate and heat leakage are of paramount.
- This research can also be done in future using different design models and other advanced software for generalization.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

We thank the Department of Mechanical Engineering in Federal Polytechnic Nekede, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria, for given us the opportunity to use their ICT facility and library during the research period.

Funding

This research article was sponsored by the authors.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

This research article is original and the corresponding author hereby confirms that all of the other authors have read and approved the manuscript with no ethical issues and with declaration of no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Anderson, J.D. (2009). Computational Fluid Dynamics.*National Air and Space Museum, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- [2] Michael, F. U. (200 6). Viscosity: Friction at the Molecular Level.
- [3] Nataraj, M. & Singh, R.S. (2013). Analyzing pump impeller for performance evaluation using RSM and CFD. www. Researchgate.com
- [4] Princeton.edu. (2023). Flows with Friction.
- [5] Rajput, R.K. (2008). Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulic Machines. New Dehi: Khanna Publishers.
- [6] Rajput, R.K. (2011). Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulic Machines. New Dehi: Khanna Publishers.