World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Advancements in green drilling technologies: Integrating Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) for Sustainable Energy Production

Kate Aigbaifie Iwe [1,*], Daniel Edet Isong [1], Gideon Oluseyi Daramola [2], Mercy Odochi Agho [1] and Michael Osinakachukwu Ezeh [3]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *Independent Researcher Abuja, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2022, 13(02), 584–604

Publication history: Received on 12 January 2022; revised on 21 February 2022; accepted on 25 February 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2022.13.2.0056

Abstract

The global energy industry faces mounting pressure to adopt sustainable practices while meeting the growing demand for energy. Green drilling technologies have emerged as a pivotal solution to address environmental concerns and reduce carbon emissions associated with conventional drilling operations. This study explores the integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies within green drilling systems to achieve sustainable energy production. By capturing CO_2 emissions during drilling processes and securely storing them in geological formations, CCS offers a dual benefit: minimizing environmental impact and enhancing resource efficiency. Recent advancements in green drilling technologies focus on the development of eco-friendly drilling fluids, optimized rig designs, and enhanced monitoring systems to reduce ecological footprints. The incorporation of CCS into these technologies further strengthens their viability by aligning with global climate goals, such as those outlined in the Paris Agreement. Innovations in carbon capture mechanisms, including solvent-based and membrane-based systems, are reviewed alongside advancements in subsurface storage solutions like saline aquifers and depleted oil reservoirs. The integration of digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, is also highlighted for its role in optimizing drilling operations and monitoring CCS efficiency. Case studies from pilot projects demonstrate the practical application and scalability of these integrated systems, showcasing significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining operational efficiency. Despite promising developments, challenges persist in terms of high implementation costs, regulatory barriers, and public acceptance of CCS technologies. Addressing these challenges through policy incentives, stakeholder engagement, and collaborative research efforts is crucial for widespread adoption. This paper underscores the transformative potential of integrating CCS within green drilling technologies as a sustainable pathway for energy production. By bridging environmental stewardship with technological innovation, the energy sector can achieve a balanced approach to energy security and climate change mitigation.

Keywords: Green Drilling Technologies; Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS); Sustainable Energy Production; Eco-Friendly Drilling Fluids; Carbon Sequestration; Greenhouse Gas Emissions; Energy Sector Innovation; Climate Change Mitigation

1. Introduction

The global energy landscape is characterized by an increasing demand for resources to support economic growth and technological advancements. However, this surge in energy consumption has been accompanied by significant environmental challenges, including greenhouse gas emissions, habitat disruption, and resource depletion.

* Corresponding author: Kate Aigbaifie Iwe

Conventional drilling operations, while essential for energy production, are major contributors to environmental degradation, exacerbating concerns about climate change and ecological sustainability (Chen, et al., 2022, Younger, 2015). These pressing challenges have highlighted the urgent need for the energy sector to adopt innovative solutions that balance resource extraction with environmental stewardship.

Sustainable practices in drilling operations are emerging as a critical focus for reducing the ecological footprint of energy production. Green drilling technologies, which prioritize efficiency and environmental protection, represent a transformative approach to addressing these challenges. These technologies incorporate eco-friendly practices, such as the use of biodegradable drilling fluids, improved energy efficiency in rig designs, and advanced monitoring systems to minimize environmental impact (Chenic, et al., 2022, Tabatabaei, et al., 2022). The adoption of such practices not only reduces emissions and waste but also aligns with global climate goals, offering a pathway to a cleaner and more responsible energy future.

A promising advancement in the field is the integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies into green drilling operations. CCS is a cutting-edge approach that captures carbon dioxide emissions at the source and securely stores them in geological formations, preventing their release into the atmosphere (Child, et al., 2018, Yu, Chen & Gu, 2020). The synergy between CCS and green drilling technologies has the potential to redefine sustainable energy production by simultaneously addressing carbon emissions and resource efficiency. This integration enables the energy industry to mitigate its environmental impact while continuing to meet global energy demands.

This paper explores the advancements in green drilling technologies with a specific focus on the integration of CCS. It examines the technological innovations that facilitate this integration, the environmental and operational benefits it offers, and the challenges that must be addressed for its widespread adoption (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, Chukwuemeka, Amede & Alfazazi, 2017). By evaluating current practices and potential advancements, this study aims to underscore the transformative role of CCS-enabled green drilling technologies in achieving a sustainable energy future.

1.1. Fundamentals of Green Drilling Technologies

Green drilling technologies represent a significant shift in the oil and gas industry, aiming to reduce the environmental impact of drilling operations while maintaining the efficiency and productivity required to meet global energy demands. These technologies are designed with the core objective of enhancing sustainability by minimizing the ecological footprint of drilling activities, improving energy efficiency, and ensuring that resource extraction can coexist with environmental stewardship (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Tahmasebi, et al., 2020). The underlying principle of green drilling technologies is to reduce or eliminate the adverse effects typically associated with conventional drilling methods, such as air and water pollution, excessive energy consumption, and habitat disruption. As the industry faces mounting pressure to meet environmental regulations and mitigate climate change, these innovations are becoming increasingly crucial in the transition to more sustainable energy production.

The key components of green drilling systems are integral to achieving the objectives of minimizing environmental harm and optimizing resource extraction processes. One of the most important elements is the use of eco-friendly drilling fluids. Drilling fluids, or muds, are essential in the drilling process to cool and lubricate the drill bit, carry cuttings to the surface, and maintain wellbore stability. Traditionally, these fluids have been composed of toxic chemicals, which can pose significant risks to both the environment and human health if not handled correctly. Green drilling technologies seek to replace these harmful substances with biodegradable, non-toxic alternatives that are both effective in supporting the drilling operation and environmentally safe (Cordes, et al., 2016, de Almeida, Araújo & de Medeiros, 2017). The development of eco-friendly drilling fluids, such as water-based muds and bio-based oils, reduces the potential for contamination of local water sources, minimizes the impact on marine life, and promotes safer handling during drilling operations.

Another critical component of green drilling technologies is the optimization of rig designs to improve energy efficiency and reduce emissions. Conventional drilling rigs are often energy-intensive, requiring large amounts of fuel and generating significant greenhouse gas emissions (Mikunda, et al., 2021). In contrast, optimized rig designs focus on minimizing fuel consumption by incorporating energy-efficient equipment and implementing renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, to supplement the energy requirements of drilling operations. Advanced rig designs also focus on improving automation, which can lead to more precise control of the drilling process, reducing the risk of accidents and enhancing safety (Tapia, et al., 2016, Yudha, Tjahjono & Longhurst, 2022). Additionally, the integration of modular systems and lightweight materials helps to reduce the environmental impact of transportation, which is a significant concern in remote drilling sites. These optimized rig designs not only reduce emissions but also contribute to cost savings and improved operational efficiency.

Enhanced monitoring systems are another vital aspect of green drilling technologies, providing real-time data to optimize drilling operations and minimize their environmental impact. These systems employ advanced sensors, IoT (Internet of Things) devices, and machine learning algorithms to continuously monitor key parameters, such as pressure, temperature, and fluid circulation rates, during the drilling process (Misra, et al., 2022). The ability to track these parameters in real time allows operators to make adjustments quickly, ensuring that drilling operations are conducted within safe and environmentally acceptable limits (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Craddock, 2018). Moreover, these monitoring systems can detect early signs of potential problems, such as blowouts or leaks, allowing for swift corrective action before significant environmental damage occurs. By integrating real-time monitoring with predictive analytics, operators can optimize drilling performance, reduce waste, and improve the overall sustainability of drilling operations.

The environmental and operational benefits of green drilling technologies are profound. From an environmental perspective, the adoption of eco-friendly drilling fluids and optimized rig designs leads to a reduction in water and air pollution, making drilling operations less harmful to surrounding ecosystems Mohd Aman, Shaari & Ibrahim, 2021. By using biodegradable and non-toxic materials in drilling fluids, the risk of contaminating groundwater or nearby marine environments is significantly reduced. Furthermore, energy-efficient rigs and the use of renewable energy sources help to minimize the carbon footprint of drilling operations, aligning with global efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and combat climate change (da Silva Veras, Mozer & da Silva César, 2017, Teodoriu & Bello, 2021). The incorporation of enhanced monitoring systems also plays a crucial role in environmental protection by allowing for real-time detection of leaks, spills, or other incidents that could cause harm to the environment. These systems ensure that drilling operations are conducted in a controlled manner, minimizing the risk of environmental disasters.

Operationally, green drilling technologies offer several advantages, including improved efficiency, cost savings, and increased safety. The use of energy-efficient rigs reduces fuel consumption and operating costs, which can be a significant concern for drilling companies, particularly in remote locations where energy resources are limited. In addition, optimized rig designs and automation lead to more precise and consistent drilling operations, improving well integrity and reducing the likelihood of costly equipment failures (Mohsen & Fereshteh, 2017). Enhanced monitoring systems contribute to safer operations by providing real-time insights into wellbore conditions and allowing operators to make informed decisions quickly. The ability to predict and prevent equipment malfunctions or accidents reduces the downtime associated with repairs, further enhancing operational efficiency.

Moreover, the integration of green drilling technologies supports regulatory compliance and enhances the reputation of companies in the oil and gas industry. As governments around the world implement stricter environmental regulations, companies that adopt sustainable practices are better positioned to comply with these requirements and avoid penalties. Additionally, the public perception of the oil and gas industry has been increasingly influenced by environmental concerns (Tester, et al., 2021, Zabbey & Olsson, 2017). By adopting green drilling technologies, companies can demonstrate their commitment to environmental responsibility, thereby improving their corporate image and strengthening relationships with stakeholders, including investors, regulators, and local communities.

One of the most promising aspects of green drilling technologies is their ability to integrate with Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) systems, further enhancing the sustainability of energy production. CCS is a technology that captures carbon dioxide emissions from industrial processes, including drilling, and stores them underground to prevent their release into the atmosphere (Bello, et al., 2022, Thomas, et al., 2019). By incorporating CCS into green drilling systems, companies can effectively reduce the carbon footprint of drilling operations while continuing to produce the energy required to meet global demand. This integration has the potential to revolutionize the oil and gas industry, offering a solution that addresses both environmental concerns and the need for sustainable energy production.

In conclusion, green drilling technologies represent a crucial advancement in the pursuit of sustainable energy production. By focusing on key components such as eco-friendly drilling fluids, optimized rig designs, and enhanced monitoring systems, these technologies provide substantial environmental and operational benefits (Diao & Ghorbani, 2018, Olufemi, Ozowe & Komolafe, 2011). They reduce the environmental impact of drilling activities, improve energy efficiency, and enhance safety, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable and responsible energy sector. As the industry continues to evolve, the integration of green drilling technologies with CCS systems will play a pivotal role in achieving the goal of sustainable energy production, offering a pathway to meet the world's energy needs while mitigating the effects of climate change.

1.2. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS): An Overview

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is one of the most promising technologies in the global effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide (CO2), which is a significant contributor to climate change. As the world continues to grapple with the urgency of mitigating the environmental impacts of fossil fuel consumption, CCS has emerged as a key technology that can help minimize the carbon footprint of energy production while allowing for the continued use of conventional energy sources (Dickson & Fanelli, 2018, Udegbunam, 2015). CCS involves capturing CO2 emissions produced during industrial processes, such as power generation and drilling, and transporting it to storage sites where it can be safely stored underground, preventing its release into the atmosphere. This process has the potential to significantly reduce the overall environmental impact of industries that are difficult to decarbonize, such as oil, gas, and cement production.

The significance of CCS technology lies in its ability to address two critical challenges in the transition to a low-carbon economy: the need for continued energy production and the urgent need to reduce CO2 emissions. As countries around the world commit to meeting climate targets set under agreements like the Paris Climate Accord, CCS offers a viable pathway to reduce emissions from sectors that are otherwise difficult to decarbonize, such as heavy industry and fossil fuel-based power generation (Dominy, et al., 2018, Mosca, et al., 2018). While renewable energy sources like solar and wind are rapidly expanding, the reality remains that fossil fuels continue to play a dominant role in the global energy mix. CCS provides a bridge technology that can significantly reduce emissions from these sectors while allowing for a more gradual transition to cleaner energy sources.

The mechanisms of carbon capture are central to the functioning of CCS. The first mechanism, pre-combustion capture, involves capturing CO2 before the fuel is burned. In this process, fossil fuels are converted into a mixture of hydrogen and CO2 through a gasification or reforming process. The CO2 is then separated from the hydrogen, which can be used as a cleaner fuel (Dong, et al., 2019, Ugwu, 2015). This approach is typically used in facilities where hydrogen is produced, as it allows for the CO2 to be captured before it enters the combustion process. Pre-combustion capture is advantageous because it allows for a more efficient capture of CO2 and is often integrated with power generation systems where hydrogen is used as a fuel, such as integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants.

The second mechanism of carbon capture is post-combustion capture. In this approach, CO2 is captured after the fossil fuel has been burned to produce energy. This is the most common method used in power plants, where CO2 is removed from the flue gases produced during combustion. There are several techniques for post-combustion capture, with amine-based solvents being the most widely used (Van Oort, et al., 2021, Zhang & Huisingh, 2017). The CO2 is absorbed by the solvent, then heated to release the CO2, which is then compressed and transported to storage sites. While post-combustion capture is a more flexible option that can be retrofitted to existing power plants, it tends to be less efficient than pre-combustion capture, as the CO2 is more dispersed in the flue gas, making it harder to separate.

The third mechanism is oxy-fuel combustion, which involves burning fossil fuels in a mixture of pure oxygen and recycled flue gas rather than air. This creates a flue gas that is primarily composed of CO2 and water vapor, making it much easier to capture the CO2. Oxy-fuel combustion allows for a more concentrated CO2 stream, which reduces the energy required for CO2 separation (Mrdjen & Lee, 2016). This method can be used in both power plants and industrial facilities to significantly improve the efficiency of carbon capture. However, oxy-fuel combustion still faces challenges, particularly the need for air separation units to provide the necessary pure oxygen, which adds to the cost and complexity of the process.

Once CO2 is captured, it must be transported to a suitable storage site. There are several methods of carbon storage, with geological storage being the most widely used and developed. Geological storage involves injecting CO2 into deep underground rock formations, where it can be securely stored for long periods of time (Dufour, 2018, Ozowe, 2018). These formations must be carefully selected to ensure that they can contain the CO2 without leaks. Saline aquifers, which are deep underground layers of porous rock saturated with saltwater, are one of the most promising types of geological formations for CO2 storage (Mushtaq, et al., 2020). These aquifers are found in many regions around the world and are considered suitable for long-term CO2 storage because they have been stable for millions of years.

Depleted oil reservoirs are another form of geological storage. These are oil fields that have already been depleted of their oil reserves, making them ideal candidates for CO2 injection. The CO2 injected into these reservoirs can help to increase oil recovery through a process known as enhanced oil recovery (EOR). EOR involves injecting CO2 into the reservoir to help push out the remaining oil, increasing the amount of oil that can be recovered (El Bilali, etal., 2022, Vesselinov, et al., 2021). While EOR is primarily focused on increasing oil production, it also offers the benefit of storing

CO_2 in a secure and stable geological formation. This dual-purpose use of depleted oil reservoirs has led to significant interest in integrating CCS with oil and gas operations, where CO_2 emissions from drilling activities can be captured and injected into the reservoir for long-term storage.

In addition to geological storage, there are also utilization opportunities for captured CO_2. Enhanced oil recovery (EOR) is one of the most well-known methods of utilizing captured CO_2. By injecting CO_2 into depleted oil reservoirs, operators can increase the amount of oil that can be recovered, making the process more economically viable (Aftab, et al., 2017, Olufemi, Ozowe & Afolabi, 2012). This not only helps to reduce CO_2 emissions but also improves the economics of oil production. Another potential utilization opportunity is the conversion of CO_2 into valuable products, such as chemicals, fuels, and building materials. Research into carbon capture utilization (CCU) is exploring these opportunities, with several promising technologies under development to convert CO_2 into useful products (Najibi & Asef, 2014). These methods could provide a significant incentive for the widespread adoption of CCS, as they offer the possibility of creating a circular economy where CO_2 is no longer a waste product but a valuable resource.

The integration of CCS with green drilling technologies represents a major advancement in efforts to reduce the environmental impact of the oil and gas industry. By capturing and storing CO_2 emissions, CCS can mitigate the carbon footprint of drilling operations, making fossil fuel-based energy production more sustainable. This technology also aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainability in the energy sector, allowing for the continued use of fossil fuels while minimizing their impact on the climate (Agemar, Weber & Schulz, 2014, Ozowe, 2021). However, despite its potential, CCS faces several challenges, including high costs, regulatory hurdles, and the need for significant infrastructure development. Continued research and innovation will be crucial to overcoming these challenges and realizing the full potential of CCS as a cornerstone of sustainable energy production.

In conclusion, CCS technology is a vital tool in the global effort to reduce CO_2 emissions and combat climate change. By capturing and storing CO_2 emissions from industrial processes and power generation, CCS can play a key role in achieving the world's climate goals. The different mechanisms of carbon capture, including pre-combustion, post-combustion, and oxy-fuel combustion, offer a range of options for capturing CO_2, while geological storage methods, such as saline aquifers and depleted oil reservoirs, provide secure long-term storage solutions (Eldardiry & Habib, 2018, Ozowe, et al., 2020). The integration of CCS into green drilling technologies has the potential to significantly reduce the carbon footprint of the oil and gas industry, making fossil fuel-based energy production more sustainable and contributing to a cleaner, more sustainable future.

1.3. Integration of CCS in Green Drilling Technologies

The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) into green drilling technologies represents a transformative step toward achieving sustainable energy production in the oil and gas industry. As global energy demands continue to rise, the need to reduce carbon emissions has never been more urgent. The oil and gas sector, one of the largest contributors to CO_2 emissions, must adapt to new technologies that can mitigate its environmental impact while maintaining energy output. CCS, a technology that captures and stores CO_2 emissions to prevent their release into the atmosphere, offers a solution to this challenge (Ahlstrom, et al., 2020, Epelle & Gerogiorgis, 2020). By incorporating CCS into drilling operations, the industry can significantly reduce its carbon footprint and make fossil fuel extraction more sustainable.

The process of incorporating CCS into drilling operations involves several key steps, beginning with the capture of CO_2 emissions. During drilling operations, CO_2 is emitted primarily through combustion processes in drilling rigs and other associated equipment. By using advanced capture technologies, CO_2 emissions can be separated from other gases and collected for transportation to storage sites. This is where the synergy between CCS and green drilling systems becomes critical. Green drilling systems are designed to reduce environmental impacts through energy-efficient equipment, optimized drilling techniques, and eco-friendly drilling fluids (Ericson, Engel-Cox & Arent, 2019, Zhao, et al., 2022). The integration of CCS into these systems enhances their ability to minimize environmental harm by ensuring that CO_2 emissions are captured and stored, rather than being released into the atmosphere. This combination allows for the continued use of fossil fuels while significantly reducing their environmental impact.

One of the most important aspects of integrating CCS into green drilling operations is the development of advanced capture technologies. Solvent-based capture systems, one of the most widely used methods in carbon capture, involve the absorption of CO_2 by a solvent, typically amine-based solutions. The solvent absorbs CO_2 from the exhaust gases produced during drilling activities, and the CO_2-rich solvent is then heated to release the captured CO_2. This process allows for the CO_2 to be concentrated and compressed, making it suitable for transportation to storage sites (Erofeev, et al., 2019, Vielma & Mosti, 2014). Solvent-based capture is highly effective at capturing CO_2 from flue gases and is already being used in various industrial applications, including power generation. The integration of this technology into drilling operations enables a seamless method for capturing CO2 emissions produced by the drilling process.

Membrane-based capture systems represent another technological advancement that is gaining traction in the CCS space. These systems use selective membranes to separate CO2 from other gases in the exhaust stream. Membranes can be designed to allow only CO2 to pass through, leaving behind other gases such as nitrogen and oxygen (Eshiet & Sheng, 2018, Ozowe, Russell & Sharma, 2020). This method offers several advantages over traditional solvent-based systems, including lower energy consumption and the potential for easier integration into existing infrastructure. Membrane-based systems can also be more compact and modular, making them well-suited for use in drilling operations where space and weight constraints are a concern. By incorporating membrane-based capture systems into green drilling technologies, operators can improve the efficiency of CO2 capture while reducing operational costs.

Another key technological advancement enabling the integration of CCS in drilling operations is the development of real-time monitoring technologies. These technologies provide operators with the ability to track and monitor CO2 emissions, capture rates, and storage conditions in real time. By using sensors and advanced data analytics, operators can assess the performance of CCS systems during drilling operations and make adjustments as needed to optimize the capture process (Ahmad, et al., 2022, Eyinla, et al., 2021). Real-time monitoring also allows for early detection of any potential issues, such as leaks or equipment malfunctions, which can prevent costly failures and ensure the safety and effectiveness of the CCS system. Furthermore, real-time data can be used to evaluate the long-term performance of storage sites, ensuring that CO2 is safely contained over extended periods.

The integration of real-time monitoring technologies into CCS systems also facilitates compliance with regulatory requirements. Governments and regulatory bodies around the world are increasingly mandating the monitoring and reporting of CO2 emissions from industrial sources. By implementing real-time monitoring systems, drilling operations can meet these regulatory requirements and demonstrate their commitment to sustainability (Fakhari, 2022, Wang, et al., 2018). The ability to provide accurate, real-time data on CO2 capture and storage can also improve public perception of the oil and gas industry's efforts to reduce its environmental impact. This transparency is critical for building trust with stakeholders and ensuring the long-term success of CCS initiatives.

The synergies between CCS and green drilling systems create a powerful combination that can help the oil and gas industry meet its sustainability goals. Green drilling technologies aim to minimize environmental impacts through energy efficiency, reduced waste generation, and the use of environmentally friendly drilling fluids. By integrating CCS into these systems, operators can further reduce their environmental footprint by capturing and storing CO2 emissions (Najibi, et al., 2017). The combination of green drilling and CCS can transform the oil and gas industry into a more sustainable sector that contributes to global efforts to combat climate change.

One of the most promising aspects of this integration is the potential for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). EOR is a technique used to increase the amount of oil extracted from a reservoir by injecting fluids into the reservoir. CO2, when injected into depleted oil fields, can help push remaining oil to the surface, increasing production. At the same time, the CO2 that is injected into the reservoir is permanently stored, preventing its release into the atmosphere. This dual-purpose approach offers a way to enhance oil recovery while reducing the environmental impact of oil extraction (Farajzadeh, et al., 2020, Napp, et al., 2014). The integration of CCS with EOR could provide a sustainable solution for the oil and gas industry, allowing for continued production of fossil fuels while minimizing the sector's carbon footprint.

In addition to EOR, there are other utilization opportunities for captured CO2. CO2 can be used as a feedstock in the production of chemicals, fuels, and building materials, contributing to a circular economy where CO2 is not seen as a waste product but as a valuable resource. This is an area of active research, with various technologies being developed to convert CO2 into useful products (Farajzadeh, et al., 2022, Nduagu & Gates, 2015). The integration of CCS with green drilling systems can enable these utilization opportunities, providing additional economic incentives for the widespread adoption of CCS technology.

Despite the potential benefits of integrating CCS into green drilling technologies, several challenges remain. One of the main obstacles is the high cost of implementing CCS systems. The infrastructure required for capturing, compressing, transporting, and storing CO2 is expensive and requires significant investment (Ahmad, et al., 2021, Weldeslassie, et al., 2018). However, as technologies continue to improve and economies of scale are realized, the cost of CCS is expected to decrease. Governments and regulatory bodies can also play a crucial role in supporting the development and implementation of CCS technologies through incentives, subsidies, and the establishment of carbon pricing mechanisms.

Another challenge is the need for adequate storage sites. While geological storage in saline aquifers and depleted oil reservoirs holds promise, the availability of suitable sites is limited, and further exploration is needed to identify new storage locations. The long-term monitoring of storage sites is also critical to ensure that CO2 remains securely contained. The development of safe and effective storage solutions will be crucial for the success of CCS in green drilling operations.

In conclusion, the integration of CCS into green drilling technologies represents a significant advancement in the pursuit of sustainable energy production. By capturing and storing CO2 emissions, CCS can significantly reduce the environmental impact of drilling operations while allowing for the continued use of fossil fuels. Technological advancements, such as solvent-based and membrane-based capture systems and real-time monitoring technologies, are enabling the seamless integration of CCS into drilling systems (Garia, et al., 2019, Nguyen, et al., 2014). The synergies between CCS and green drilling technologies create a powerful combination that can help the oil and gas industry meet its sustainability goals and contribute to global efforts to mitigate climate change. However, challenges such as high costs and the need for suitable storage sites must be addressed to realize the full potential of CCS in green drilling operations.

2. Role of Digital Technologies in Green Drilling with CCS

The role of digital technologies in green drilling with Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is pivotal in advancing the sustainability of energy production. As the demand for cleaner energy sources increases, the integration of digital solutions in the oil and gas sector provides a way to reduce carbon emissions and enhance the efficiency of drilling operations (Ghani, Khan & Garaniya, 2015, Wennersten, Sun & Li, 2015). By leveraging advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), predictive analytics, and remote monitoring, the industry can optimize drilling practices, improve the effectiveness of CCS, and ensure that carbon emissions are captured and stored securely.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are at the forefront of transforming the oil and gas industry, and their application in green drilling with CCS is proving to be a game changer. AI and ML algorithms can process vast amounts of data in real-time, providing valuable insights that improve decision-making. In drilling operations, AI can be used to optimize drilling parameters, such as speed, pressure, and temperature, based on real-time data, ensuring that the process is as efficient as possible while minimizing environmental impact (Glassley, 2014, Nimana, Canter & Kumar, 2015). AI models can also analyze historical data from previous drilling projects to predict potential challenges and optimize future drilling operations.

In the context of CCS, AI and ML can play an essential role in optimizing the capture and storage of carbon emissions. For example, machine learning algorithms can be used to model and predict the behavior of CO2 during the capture process, helping to identify the most efficient methods for separating CO2 from other gases. In storage, AI can be employed to monitor the integrity of CO2 storage sites, providing early detection of any issues such as leaks or potential risks to the environment (Akpan, 2019, Griffiths, 2017). AI-based systems can continuously analyze data from sensors placed in and around storage sites, ensuring that CO2 is securely stored and does not escape into the atmosphere.

Another area where digital technologies are making a significant impact is in predictive analytics. By analyzing large datasets, predictive analytics can provide insights into the future performance of drilling operations and CCS systems. In green drilling, predictive analytics can optimize the scheduling and planning of drilling activities, ensuring that the right resources are available at the right time and that drilling operations are conducted as efficiently as possible (Njuguna, et al., 2022, Okoroafor, et al., 2022). By predicting potential issues, such as equipment failure, the technology helps operators take preventive actions, reducing downtime and minimizing disruptions to operations.

In CCS, predictive analytics can be applied to forecast CO2 capture rates, storage site capacities, and the long-term stability of storage reservoirs. By analyzing environmental data, such as pressure, temperature, and geological conditions, predictive models can estimate the capacity of storage sites and help determine the best locations for CO2 injection. Predictive analytics can also assess the performance of capture technologies, ensuring that the systems are operating at peak efficiency and identifying areas for improvement (Gür, 2022, Wilberforce, et al., 2019). Through the use of advanced algorithms, predictive analytics enables drilling operators and CCS systems to optimize their operations, reduce costs, and ensure that CO2 emissions are captured and stored in the most efficient way possible.

Remote monitoring is another digital technology that plays a crucial role in green drilling with CCS. Remote monitoring systems allow operators to track drilling operations, CCS systems, and storage sites from a centralized location, reducing the need for physical intervention and improving efficiency (Okwiri, 2017, Olayiwola & Sanuade, 2021). These systems use sensors to collect data on various parameters, including drilling performance, CO2 capture rates, and the conditions of storage sites. The data is then transmitted to a central control system, where it can be analyzed and used to make real-time decisions.

Remote monitoring systems can also be integrated with AI and machine learning algorithms, enabling operators to receive real-time insights and recommendations based on the data collected. For example, if a remote monitoring system detects a deviation in drilling parameters, the AI system can analyze the data and recommend adjustments to the drilling process. Similarly, if the system detects any changes in the storage conditions of CO2, it can alert operators to take corrective action before any potential risks arise (Hoseinpour & Riahi, 2022, Pan, et al., 2019). This level of real-time decision-making is critical in ensuring that green drilling operations and CCS systems are functioning optimally and that CO2 emissions are being safely captured and stored.

Data-driven decision-making is a natural extension of remote monitoring and predictive analytics. By collecting and analyzing data from various sources, operators can make informed decisions that improve the efficiency and safety of drilling and CCS operations. Data-driven decision-making allows for the continuous optimization of processes, ensuring that drilling operations are carried out with minimal environmental impact and that carbon capture and storage systems are operating at maximum efficiency.

One of the key advantages of data-driven decision-making is its ability to provide actionable insights in real-time. This allows operators to make quick adjustments to drilling operations or CCS systems when necessary, reducing delays and ensuring that operations remain on track. In the context of green drilling, this is particularly important, as any delays or inefficiencies in drilling can lead to higher emissions and greater environmental impact (Hossain, et al., 2017, Wojtanowicz, 2016). With real-time data and analytics, operators can take immediate action to optimize their operations, minimizing both costs and environmental harm.

The combination of AI, machine learning, predictive analytics, and remote monitoring is transforming the way drilling operations and CCS systems are managed. By integrating these digital technologies into green drilling practices, the oil and gas industry can reduce its carbon footprint and operate more sustainably. These technologies enable operators to optimize drilling operations, improve the efficiency of carbon capture and storage, and ensure that CO2 emissions are safely stored for the long term (Pereira, et al., 2022). As digital technologies continue to evolve, the potential for even greater efficiencies and sustainability in the industry will only increase.

Furthermore, the adoption of digital technologies in green drilling and CCS aligns with the industry's broader efforts to embrace sustainability. As governments and regulatory bodies continue to tighten environmental regulations, the use of digital technologies will become essential in meeting compliance requirements and demonstrating a commitment to environmental responsibility (Radwan, 2022). Digital solutions provide a way to not only reduce the carbon footprint of drilling operations but also to improve transparency and accountability in emissions reporting.

In conclusion, digital technologies are playing an increasingly vital role in advancing green drilling with Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS). By applying AI, machine learning, predictive analytics, remote monitoring, and data-driven decision-making, the oil and gas industry can optimize drilling operations, enhance the effectiveness of CCS, and reduce its environmental impact (Alagorni, Yaacob & Nour, 2015, Huaman & Jun, 2014). These technologies enable real-time insights, predictive models, and automated decision-making, ensuring that drilling and CCS systems operate efficiently and safely. The integration of digital technologies into green drilling practices is a critical step toward achieving a more sustainable energy future, where fossil fuel extraction and CO2 emissions are managed responsibly.

3. Case Studies and Practical Applications

The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) into green drilling technologies represents a significant advancement in the effort to make energy production more sustainable. Over the years, several pilot projects and real-world applications have demonstrated the feasibility and effectiveness of this integration in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving operational efficiency in drilling operations (Jafarizadeh, et al., 2022, Wu, et al., 2021). These case studies provide valuable insights into the challenges and successes associated with implementing CCS in green drilling systems.

One notable example of a pilot project integrating CCS into drilling operations is the Petra Nova project in Texas, USA. This project involved the installation of a CCS system at a coal-fired power plant with the goal of capturing and storing CO2 emissions from the facility. The system used a post-combustion capture process to separate CO2 from the flue gases, which was then compressed and transported via pipeline to a nearby oil reservoir for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) (Rahman, Canter & Kumar, 2014). This integration of CCS into drilling operations not only helped reduce the plant's carbon footprint but also increased oil production through EOR, demonstrating a successful synergy between CCS and green drilling technologies.

The Petra Nova project achieved a significant milestone by capturing and storing more than 1 million metric tons of CO2 annually, which was a major step in demonstrating the scalability and impact of CCS in the energy sector. The project also provided valuable data on the technical, economic, and operational challenges of integrating CCS into large-scale industrial operations (Jamrozik, et al., 2016, Raliya, et al., 2017). One of the key lessons learned from Petra Nova was the importance of optimizing the capture system to maximize efficiency and minimize costs. The success of this project has paved the way for similar initiatives in both the power generation and oil and gas sectors, illustrating how CCS can be applied effectively in diverse contexts.

Another important case study is the Sleipner CO2 storage project, operated by Equinor (formerly Statoil) in the North Sea. The Sleipner project is one of the longest-running and most successful examples of CCS in the oil and gas industry. Since its launch in 1996, the project has captured and stored more than 20 million metric tons of CO2 from natural gas production operations (Jharap, et al., 2020, Rashid, Benhelal & Rafiq, 2020). The CO2 is separated from the natural gas before it is processed and injected into a deep saline aquifer beneath the seabed. This project has been instrumental in demonstrating the long-term storage potential of CO2 and has provided valuable insights into the geological processes involved in CO2 injection and storage.

The Sleipner project has been a key example of how CCS can be integrated into offshore drilling operations, offering a model for other offshore oil and gas operations to adopt similar technologies. The project has shown that CO2 can be safely stored in geological formations for extended periods without significant risk of leakage. Over the years, continuous monitoring and data collection have confirmed the effectiveness and safety of the storage process, reinforcing the viability of CCS as a critical component of sustainable energy production (Raza, et al., 2019).

In addition to these large-scale projects, there have been numerous smaller-scale pilot initiatives exploring the integration of CCS into green drilling technologies. For instance, the Boundary Dam CCS project in Canada, which is part of a coal-fired power plant retrofit, captures and stores CO2 from power generation activities. This project is one of the first commercial-scale CCS applications for power plants and has captured and stored over 1 million tons of CO2 per year since its inception (AlBahrani, et al., 2022, Jomthanachai, Wong & Lim, 2021). The captured CO2 is stored in a deep saline aquifer located beneath the facility. This project not only helps mitigate the environmental impact of power generation but also provides valuable lessons in optimizing the economics and technical performance of CCS technologies in power plant settings.

In the oil and gas industry, the integration of CCS into drilling operations has also seen measurable improvements in operational efficiency. One example is the Gorgon Project, an LNG development located off the coast of Western Australia. The Gorgon project involves the capture and injection of CO2 into deep saline aquifers located beneath Barrow Island. The project is one of the largest CCS initiatives in the world and has the potential to capture up to 4 million tons of CO2 annually (Salam & Salam, 2020, Seyedmohammadi, 2017). The captured CO2 is stored in a reservoir that is isolated from any underground freshwater sources, ensuring the long-term safety of the storage site. The Gorgon Project highlights the importance of geologic suitability for CO2 storage and the need for advanced monitoring and verification techniques to ensure that the captured CO2 does not leak into the atmosphere.

The Gorgon Project has also contributed to the operational efficiency of drilling and extraction processes. By reducing the amount of CO2 in the gas stream, the project helps to improve the quality of the natural gas being processed, which in turn boosts the efficiency of LNG production. This is a significant benefit for both the environment and the overall economics of the operation (Kabeyi, 2019, Shahbaz, et al., 2016). The integration of CCS at the Gorgon facility not only reduces greenhouse gas emissions but also enhances the economic performance of the LNG operation by enabling the production of cleaner, more valuable natural gas.

Case studies like these demonstrate that the integration of CCS in green drilling technologies can result in significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, especially when the captured CO2 is stored in geological formations that are carefully selected and monitored. The long-term success of these projects depends on several factors, including the ability to capture CO2 efficiently, transport it to suitable storage sites, and inject it securely into the ground. The environmental impact of these technologies is evident in the reduction of CO2 emissions, but the operational benefits are equally significant.

One of the major challenges identified in these case studies is the high cost associated with CCS technologies. Although advances in CCS systems have made them more efficient and cost-effective over time, the initial investment required for the construction of capture and storage facilities remains a barrier to widespread adoption. In many cases, these costs are offset by the economic benefits of enhanced oil recovery or other utilization opportunities, but further technological advancements are needed to make CCS a more affordable option for the industry as a whole (Shahbazi & Nasab, 2016).

Additionally, the long-term monitoring and verification of storage sites are crucial to ensuring the safe and effective operation of CCS systems. Many of the projects discussed here have relied on sophisticated monitoring technologies to track the movement of CO2 underground and ensure that it remains securely stored. This ongoing monitoring is essential not only for environmental safety but also for regulatory compliance, as governments around the world continue to implement stricter emissions reduction targets and environmental standards.

In conclusion, case studies and practical applications of CCS in green drilling technologies have demonstrated both the potential and the challenges of integrating these systems into large-scale energy production. Projects like Petra Nova, Sleipner, Boundary Dam, and Gorgon provide valuable insights into the operational, technical, and economic aspects of CCS integration (Ali, et al., 2022, Kabeyi, 2022). These projects show that with the right combination of technology, geological conditions, and operational expertise, CCS can play a significant role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and enhancing the sustainability of energy production. While challenges remain, particularly around cost and long-term monitoring, the continued advancement of CCS technologies holds great promise for the future of sustainable energy.

4. Challenges and Opportunities

The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) into green drilling technologies presents both significant challenges and promising opportunities. These technologies offer a pathway to more sustainable energy production by reducing carbon emissions from drilling and energy extraction processes. However, the full adoption of CCS in green drilling faces several economic, technological, regulatory, and societal barriers (Karad & Thakur, 2021, Shaw & Mukherjee, 2022). Despite these challenges, the potential benefits in terms of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and enhancing the sustainability of energy production make it a critical area for innovation and investment.

One of the primary challenges to the widespread adoption of CCS in green drilling technologies is the high cost associated with its implementation. Capturing and compressing CO2, transporting it to storage sites, and injecting it into geological formations require substantial investment in infrastructure. The financial burden of setting up CCS systems often deters companies from investing in these technologies, particularly when fossil fuel prices are low or when immediate economic returns are not guaranteed (Khalid, et al., 2016, Shortall, Davidsdottir & Axelsson, 2015). While some large-scale projects have demonstrated the potential for cost savings through enhanced oil recovery (EOR) or other utilization methods, the upfront capital costs for CCS systems remain prohibitive for many smaller operators and less profitable sectors of the energy industry.

Technologically, CCS still faces several hurdles related to efficiency, scalability, and integration with existing drilling systems. For example, CO2 capture processes can be energy-intensive, requiring large amounts of power to separate CO2 from other gases or fluids. This can reduce the overall efficiency of energy production, especially when the captured CO2 is being stored rather than utilized. Additionally, the long-term storage of CO2 poses a significant technological challenge. Ensuring that CO2 remains securely stored underground for extended periods requires advanced monitoring technologies and robust verification systems to detect potential leaks or other issues (Kinik, Gumus & Osayande, 2015, Shrestha, et al., 2017). The geological conditions required for safe CO2 storage are not always available, limiting the number of suitable sites for CCS implementation. Moreover, integrating CCS into existing drilling technologies may require significant modifications to drilling rigs, wellheads, and other infrastructure, which could further drive up costs and complexity.

The regulatory environment is another significant barrier to the adoption of CCS in green drilling. Different regions have varying rules and regulations regarding CO2 emissions and storage, creating a complex and fragmented policy landscape for companies operating internationally. Some countries may lack clear legal frameworks for carbon storage, making it difficult for companies to navigate the permitting process or comply with local regulations. In contrast, other jurisdictions may have stringent emissions reduction targets that drive the need for CCS but impose high compliance costs on operators (Kiran, et al., 2017, Soeder & Soeder, 2021). The uncertainty around future carbon pricing mechanisms also adds to the challenges, as companies are unsure of the financial incentives or penalties they will face in the future. Policy makers must create stable and predictable regulatory environments that encourage investment in CCS technologies and provide clear guidelines for their deployment.

There is also the challenge of public perception and societal acceptance of CCS as a solution for reducing emissions. Despite the environmental benefits, many communities and environmental groups have expressed concerns about the safety and environmental impact of CO2 storage. The idea of injecting large quantities of CO2 into underground geological formations raises fears about the potential for leaks or contamination of groundwater sources. Public skepticism is compounded by concerns over the long-term viability of storage sites and the possible risks to human health and the environment (Kumari & Ranjith, 2019, Soga, t al., 2016). This skepticism can lead to opposition from local communities and non-governmental organizations, making it more difficult to secure permits or gain support for CCS projects.

To address these concerns, operators must engage in transparent communication and actively involve stakeholders in the decision-making process. Public consultations and community outreach programs are essential for building trust and addressing concerns about the safety and long-term impact of CCS technologies. Stakeholder engagement efforts should focus on demonstrating the safety and environmental integrity of CCS systems through rigorous scientific research, monitoring programs, and risk assessments (Leung, Caramanna & Maroto-Valer, 2014, Soltani, et al., 2021). Additionally, collaboration between industry, government, and civil society is crucial to developing a shared understanding of the role of CCS in achieving global climate goals and fostering greater public acceptance.

Despite the many challenges, there are significant opportunities associated with the integration of CCS into green drilling technologies. One of the most promising aspects is the potential for CCS to help decarbonize sectors that are difficult to electrify or transition to renewable energy sources, such as heavy industry and oil and gas extraction. By capturing and storing CO2 emissions, CCS can significantly reduce the carbon footprint of these industries, helping to meet global emissions reduction targets (Li, et al., 2022, Sowiżdżał, Starczewska & Papiernik, 2022). Moreover, CCS technologies can be integrated with other sustainability initiatives, such as the use of renewable energy sources or the adoption of more efficient drilling practices, creating a synergistic approach to reducing emissions across the energy sector.

The opportunities for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) represent another key benefit of integrating CCS into drilling technologies. EOR involves injecting CO2 into depleted oil reservoirs to enhance oil production, and this process has been used successfully in a number of projects around the world. By combining CCS with EOR, companies can not only reduce emissions but also increase oil production, making it a financially attractive option for operators (Li & Zhang, 2018, Spada, Sutra & Burgherr, 2021). In some cases, the revenue generated from additional oil production can offset the costs of CCS implementation, making the technology more economically viable. This dual benefit of reducing emissions and enhancing production presents a unique opportunity for the oil and gas industry to transition toward more sustainable practices while maintaining profitability.

Furthermore, the development of CCS technologies creates new opportunities for innovation and job creation in the energy sector. As demand for sustainable solutions increases, there is a growing need for skilled professionals in areas such as carbon capture technology, monitoring systems, and geological storage. The expansion of the CCS industry could lead to the creation of new jobs, particularly in regions where there is a strong focus on reducing emissions and transitioning to a low-carbon economy (Li, et al., 2019, Stober & Bucher, 2013). Additionally, advancements in CCS could spur innovation in other areas, such as energy efficiency, renewable energy integration, and sustainable drilling practices, creating a positive feedback loop of technological advancement and economic growth.

Another opportunity lies in the potential for international collaboration on CCS projects. Many countries are recognizing the importance of CCS in achieving their climate goals and are willing to invest in the technology as part of their efforts to meet international emissions reduction targets (Lindi, 2017, Zhang, et al., 2021). By working together on cross-border CCS initiatives, countries can share expertise, resources, and best practices, accelerating the global adoption of CCS technologies. International collaboration can also help address the logistical challenges of transporting CO2 across borders and storing it in suitable geological formations. Such collaborations could lead to the establishment of global CCS networks, fostering a more coordinated and efficient approach to carbon capture and storage.

In conclusion, while the integration of CCS into green drilling technologies faces significant challenges, including high costs, technological limitations, regulatory uncertainty, and public perception issues, the opportunities for advancing sustainable energy production are substantial (Liu, et al., 2019, Sule, et al., 2019). By overcoming these barriers through innovation, collaboration, and effective stakeholder engagement, the energy industry can harness the full potential of CCS to reduce emissions, enhance operational efficiency, and contribute to a low-carbon future. The successful integration of CCS in green drilling technologies represents a critical step toward achieving global climate goals and ensuring the long-term sustainability of energy production.

5. Future Directions and Recommendations

The future of green drilling technologies, particularly in integrating Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), holds immense potential for transforming the energy landscape toward more sustainable practices. As the global community intensifies its efforts to combat climate change, the integration of CCS into drilling operations becomes increasingly crucial. To fully realize the benefits of this technology, several innovations, strategies for cost reduction, and collaborative efforts are required to advance the broader adoption of CCS in the energy sector (Lohne, et al., 2016, Suvin, et al., 2021). This will be essential to meeting global emissions reduction targets while ensuring that energy production remains reliable, efficient, and economically viable.

One of the critical innovations needed for the broader adoption of CCS in drilling is the development of more efficient and cost-effective capture technologies. Currently, the process of capturing CO2 from flue gases or other emission sources is energy-intensive and expensive. Research and innovation must focus on reducing the energy consumption of capture systems while improving the purity and compression efficiency of captured CO2. Developing new solvents, membranes, and sorbents that can more effectively separate CO2 from other gases would significantly reduce the operational costs of CCS systems (Luo, et al., 2019, Suzuki, et al., 2022). Additionally, advances in capture technologies could make CCS more suitable for integration into smaller-scale operations, including offshore and remote drilling sites, where conventional CCS systems might not be economically feasible.

One promising area of innovation lies in the development of modular and scalable CCS systems that can be deployed on a range of drilling platforms, from large oil fields to smaller, more remote sites. Such modular systems would provide flexibility and allow operators to scale their CCS infrastructure based on the specific needs of each drilling operation. For example, containerized or transportable capture units could be deployed for temporary or short-term operations, offering a cost-effective solution for smaller projects (Mac Kinnon, Brouwer & Samuelsen, 2018, Szulecki & Westphal, 2014). This kind of modular approach would also be beneficial in regions where existing infrastructure is limited, enabling the deployment of CCS technologies in remote or underserved areas. Advances in automation and robotics could also play a role in improving the efficiency and safety of CCS operations, reducing human intervention and increasing the overall reliability of the systems.

In addition to capture technologies, advancements are needed in the transportation and storage components of CCS. Current CO2 transportation infrastructure, primarily consisting of pipelines, can be expensive to build and maintain, especially in areas with limited infrastructure. Innovative transportation methods, such as the development of compressed CO2 shipping technologies or the use of underground CO2 pipelines, could offer more flexible and cost-effective solutions for moving CO2 from capture sites to storage locations (Mahmood, et al., 2022, Quintanilla, et al., 2021). This would make CCS more accessible to a broader range of drilling operations and increase the potential for global CCS networks, where CO2 can be transported across borders to storage sites with optimal geological characteristics. Furthermore, innovations in long-term CO2 storage technologies, such as advanced monitoring systems to detect leaks and ensure the integrity of storage sites, are essential to building trust and ensuring the safety of CCS projects over time.

Cost reduction and efficiency improvement are vital considerations for the widespread adoption of CCS in green drilling technologies. Reducing the financial burden associated with CCS systems will require a combination of technological innovation, policy support, and market mechanisms. One key strategy is the improvement of process integration within drilling operations. By optimizing the design and layout of drilling platforms and capturing processes, operators can achieve economies of scale and reduce the energy consumption of both drilling and carbon capture systems (Maraveas, et al., 2022). Moreover, integrating renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind power, into drilling operations could offset the energy demands of CCS, making the overall process more sustainable and cost-effective.

Governments and industry stakeholders must also work together to develop financial incentives and mechanisms to support the deployment of CCS technologies. Carbon pricing, tax incentives, or direct subsidies for CCS projects could significantly reduce the financial risks associated with adopting this technology. Furthermore, collaboration between public and private sectors can help de-risk CCS investments and accelerate the commercialization of new technologies (Marhoon, 2020). By providing stable policy frameworks, governments can create the necessary conditions for long-term investment in CCS and foster a competitive market for carbon capture solutions.

In addition to policy support, industry players must work to improve operational efficiencies by implementing best practices and adopting the latest technological advancements. For example, leveraging big data, artificial intelligence, and machine learning could help optimize drilling and CCS operations by predicting potential inefficiencies and suggesting corrective actions in real time (Martin, 2022). These tools could also help improve the design of capture systems by identifying areas where CO2 separation could be more efficient. Furthermore, integrating advanced sensors and monitoring technologies into drilling systems can provide continuous feedback on the performance of CCS technologies, ensuring that capture rates are maximized, and emissions are minimized.

Collaborative research and development (R&D) initiatives will be a driving force behind the advancement of green drilling technologies and CCS integration. Cross-sector collaboration, particularly between energy companies, research institutions, and government agencies, is essential for sharing knowledge, resources, and expertise in developing next-generation CCS technologies (Martin-Roberts, et al., 2021). By pooling resources and combining efforts, these entities can accelerate the pace of innovation and bring new, more efficient, and cost-effective solutions to market. R&D initiatives should focus on a wide range of areas, including the development of advanced CO2 capture materials, the optimization of injection and storage processes, and the design of sustainable and flexible CCS infrastructure.

One example of such collaboration is the joint efforts by energy companies and research institutions in developing enhanced CO2 storage technologies, such as using saline aquifers or depleted oil fields for CO2 injection. These collaborations are crucial for identifying and testing the geological formations best suited for long-term CO2 storage, ensuring the safety and efficacy of storage practices, and addressing concerns about leakage or contamination (Beiranvand & Rajaee, 2022, Ozowe, Zheng & Sharma, 2020). Additionally, R&D should prioritize solutions for the monitoring, verification, and validation of CO2 storage sites, using advanced remote sensing technologies, satellite imagery, and ground-based monitoring systems to track the movement of CO2 in underground reservoirs and ensure their environmental safety.

Furthermore, international collaboration is vital for advancing CCS technologies on a global scale. Given that climate change is a global issue, sharing CCS technologies and best practices between countries with varying levels of technological maturity and regulatory frameworks will be key to scaling CCS implementation worldwide. International partnerships can also help accelerate the development of common standards and regulations for CCS, creating a cohesive global approach to carbon capture and storage.

In conclusion, the future of green drilling technologies integrated with CCS is full of potential, but realizing this potential will require concerted efforts from all stakeholders in the energy sector. By investing in technological innovations, reducing costs, improving operational efficiencies, and fostering collaborative R&D initiatives, the widespread adoption of CCS in drilling operations can become a reality (McCollum, et al., 2018). These efforts will be critical for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and advancing the global transition toward sustainable energy production. As the energy sector continues to evolve, integrating CCS technologies with green drilling systems will play a pivotal role in shaping a low-carbon, sustainable future.

6. Conclusion

The integration of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) into green drilling technologies marks a pivotal step toward achieving sustainable energy production. As the world grapples with the urgency of addressing climate change, the need for cleaner, more efficient methods of energy extraction and utilization has never been more critical. The advancements in green drilling technologies that incorporate CCS offer a promising solution to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions, mitigating the environmental impacts of energy production. By capturing and storing carbon emissions, these technologies contribute to a cleaner energy future, ensuring that drilling operations can continue while aligning with global climate goals.

The potential for sustainable energy production through the integration of CCS in green drilling is vast. Not only does it present an opportunity to reduce the carbon footprint of traditional drilling methods, but it also opens up pathways to more sustainable practices in the energy sector. The combination of eco-friendly drilling fluids, optimized rig designs, and enhanced monitoring systems with CCS can transform the energy landscape. These innovations hold the promise of not only decreasing emissions but also increasing the operational efficiency of drilling operations, ensuring that energy production remains reliable and economically viable in a carbon-constrained world. This integration also aligns with the growing demand for cleaner energy alternatives, providing a means for the oil and gas industry to evolve in a way that supports both economic growth and environmental responsibility.

However, realizing this potential requires continued investment, innovation, and collaboration across the industry. Technological advancements, policy support, and financial incentives are critical to making CCS a more widely adopted practice in drilling operations. Governments, energy companies, and research institutions must work together to develop and deploy the necessary infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and financing mechanisms that can accelerate the commercialization and scalability of CCS technologies. The path to a sustainable energy future is challenging but achievable, and the integration of CCS in green drilling technologies plays a central role in this transformation.

In conclusion, the integration of CCS into green drilling technologies is an essential component of sustainable energy production. It offers a tangible solution to reduce emissions and environmental impact while ensuring that the energy needs of the world are met. The continued push for innovation, investment, and collaboration will be the key to unlocking the full potential of CCS and driving the energy sector toward a more sustainable, low-carbon future.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Aftab, A. A. R. I., Ismail, A. R., Ibupoto, Z. H., Akeiber, H., & Malghani, M. G. K. (2017). Nanoparticles based drilling muds a solution to drill elevated temperature wells: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *76*, 1301-1313.

[2] Agemar, T., Weber, J., & Schulz, R. (2014). Deep geothermal energy production in Germany. *Energies*, *7*(7), 4397-4416.

[3] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.

[4] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022); Advancements in Technology for Renewable Energy Microgrids.

[5] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022): Policy and regulatory framework supporting renewable energy microgrids and energy storage systems.

[6] Ahlstrom, D., Arregle, J. L., Hitt, M. A., Qian, G., Ma, X., & Faems, D. (2020). Managing technological, sociopolitical, and institutional change in the new normal. *Journal of Management Studies*, *57*(3), 411-437.

[7] Ahmad, T., Madonski, R., Zhang, D., Huang, C., & Mujeeb, A. (2022). Data-driven probabilistic machine learning in sustainable smart energy/smart energy systems: Key developments, challenges, and future research opportunities in the context of smart grid paradigm. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *160*, 112128.

[8] Ahmad, T., Zhang, D., Huang, C., Zhang, H., Dai, N., Song, Y., & Chen, H. (2021). Artificial intelligence in sustainable energy industry: Status Quo, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *289*, 125834.

[9] Akpan, E. U. (2019). *Water-based drilling fluids for high temperature and dispersible shale formation applications*. University of Salford (United Kingdom).

[10] Alagorni, A. H., Yaacob, Z. B., & Nour, A. H. (2015). An overview of oil production stages: enhanced oil recovery techniques and nitrogen injection. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, *6*(9), 693.

[11] AlBahrani, H., Alsheikh, M., Wagle, V., & Alshakhouri, A. (2022, March). Designing Drilling Fluids Rheological Properties with a Numerical Geomechanics Model for the Purpose of Improving Wellbore Stability. In *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition* (p. D011S009R003). SPE.

[12] Ali, I., Ahmad, M., Arain, A. H., Atashbari, V., & Zamir, A. (2022). Utilization of Biopolymers in Water Based Drilling Muds. In *Drilling Engineering and Technology-Recent Advances New Perspectives and Applications*. IntechOpen.

[13] Beiranvand, B., & Rajaee, T. (2022). Application of artificial intelligence-based single and hybrid models in predicting seepage and pore water pressure of dams: A state-of-the-art review. *Advances in Engineering Software*, *173*, 103268.

[14] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ogundipe, A., Kazeem, O., Budale, A., Zainab, F., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2022). Enhancing Cyber Financial Fraud Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Study on Neural Networks and Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Network and Communication Research*, *7*(1), 90-113.

[15] Chen, X., Cao, W., Gan, C., & Wu, M. (2022). A hybrid partial least squares regression-based real time pore pressure estimation method for complex geological drilling process. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *210*, 109771.

[16] Chenic, A. Ș., Cretu, A. I., Burlacu, A., Moroianu, N., Vîrjan, D., Huru, D., ... & Enachescu, V. (2022). Logical analysis on the strategy for a sustainable transition of the world to green energy—2050. Smart cities and villages coupled to renewable energy sources with low carbon footprint. *Sustainability*, *14*(14), 8622.

[17] Child, M., Koskinen, O., Linnanen, L., & Breyer, C. (2018). Sustainability guardrails for energy scenarios of the global energy transition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *91*, 321-334.

[18] Chukwuemeka, A. O., Amede, G., & Alfazazi, U. (2017). A Review of Wellbore Instability During Well Construction: Types, Causes, Prevention and Control. *Petroleum & Coal*, *59*(5).

[19] Cordes, E. E., Jones, D. O., Schlacher, T. A., Amon, D. J., Bernardino, A. F., Brooke, S., ... & Witte, U. (2016). Environmental impacts of the deep-water oil and gas industry: a review to guide management strategies. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *4*, 58.

[20] Craddock, H. A. (2018). *Oilfield chemistry and its environmental impact*. John Wiley & Sons.

[21] da Silva Veras, T., Mozer, T. S., & da Silva César, A. (2017). Hydrogen: trends, production and characterization of the main process worldwide. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, *42*(4), 2018-2033.

[22] de Almeida, P. C., Araújo, O. D. Q. F., & de Medeiros, J. L. (2017). Managing offshore drill cuttings waste for improved sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*, *165*, 143-156.

[23] Diao, H., & Ghorbani, M. (2018). Production risk caused by human factors: a multiple case study of thermal power plants. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, *12*, 1-27.

[24] Dickson, M. H., & Fanelli, M. (2018). What is geothermal energy?. In *Renewable Energy* (pp. Vol1_302-Vol1_328). Routledge.

[25] Dominy, S. C., O'Connor, L., Parbhakar-Fox, A., Glass, H. J., & Purevgerel, S. (2018). Geometallurgy—A route to more resilient mine operations. *Minerals*, *8*(12), 560.

[26] Dong, X., Liu, H., Chen, Z., Wu, K., Lu, N., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Enhanced oil recovery techniques for heavy oil and oilsands reservoirs after steam injection. *Applied energy*, *239*, 1190-1211.

[27] Dufour, F. (2018). The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and comprehensive Analysis of the available energy resources. *The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and Comprehensive Analysis of the Available Energy Resources (2018)*.

[28] El Bilali, A., Moukhliss, M., Taleb, A., Nafii, A., Alabjah, B., Brouziyne, Y., ... & Mhamed, M. (2022). Predicting daily pore water pressure in embankment dam: Empowering Machine Learning-based modeling. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(31), 47382-47398.

[29] Eldardiry, H., & Habib, E. (2018). Carbon capture and sequestration in power generation: review of impacts and opportunities for water sustainability. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, *8*(1), 1-15.

[30] Epelle, E. I., & Gerogiorgis, D. I. (2020). A review of technological advances and open challenges for oil and gas drilling systems engineering. *AIChE Journal*, *66*(4), e16842.

[31] Ericson, S. J., Engel-Cox, J., & Arent, D. J. (2019). *Approaches for integrating renewable energy technologies in oil and gas operations* (No. NREL/TP-6A50-72842). National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States).

[32] Erofeev, A., Orlov, D., Ryzhov, A., & Koroteev, D. (2019). Prediction of porosity and permeability alteration based on machine learning algorithms. *Transport in Porous Media*, *128*, 677-700.

[33] Eshiet, K. I. I., & Sheng, Y. (2018). The performance of stochastic designs in wellbore drilling operations. *Petroleum Science*, *15*, 335-365.

[34] Eyinla, D. S., Oladunjoye, M. A., Olayinka, A. I., & Bate, B. B. (2021). Rock physics and geomechanical application in the interpretation of rock property trends for overpressure detection. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production*, *11*, 75-95.

[35] Fakhari, N. (2022). *A mud design to improve water-based drilling in clay rich formation* (Doctoral dissertation, Curtin University).

[36] Farajzadeh, R., Eftekhari, A. A., Dafnomilis, G., Lake, L. W., & Bruining, J. (2020). On the sustainability of CO2 storage through CO2–Enhanced oil recovery. *Applied energy*, *261*, 114467.

[37] Farajzadeh, R., Glasbergen, G., Karpan, V., Mjeni, R., Boersma, D. M., Eftekhari, A. A., ... & Bruining, J. (2022). Improved oil recovery techniques and their role in energy efficiency and reducing CO2 footprint of oil production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *369*, 133308.

[38] Garia, S., Pal, A. K., Ravi, K., & Nair, A. M. (2019). A comprehensive analysis on the relationships between elastic wave velocities and petrophysical properties of sedimentary rocks based on laboratory measurements. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *9*, 1869-1881.

[39] Ghani, A., Khan, F., & Garaniya, V. (2015). Improved oil recovery using CO 2 as an injection medium: a detailed analysis. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *5*, 241-254.

[40] Glassley, W. E. (2014). *Geothermal energy: renewable energy and the environment*. CRC press.

[41] Griffiths, S. (2017). A review and assessment of energy policy in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Energy Policy*, *102*, 249-269.

[42] Gür, T. M. (2022). Carbon dioxide emissions, capture, storage and utilization: Review of materials, processes and technologies. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, *89*, 100965.

[43] Hoseinpour, M., & Riahi, M. A. (2022). Determination of the mud weight window, optimum drilling trajectory, and wellbore stability using geomechanical parameters in one of the Iranian hydrocarbon reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 1-20.

[44] Hossain, M. E., Al-Majed, A., Adebayo, A. R., Apaleke, A. S., & Rahman, S. M. (2017). A Critical Review of Drilling Waste Management Towards Sustainable Solutions. *Environmental Engineering & Management Journal (EEMJ)*, *16*(7).

[45] Huaman, R. N. E., & Jun, T. X. (2014). Energy related CO2 emissions and the progress on CCS projects: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *31*, 368-385.

[46] Jafarizadeh, F., Rajabi, M., Tabasi, S., Seyedkamali, R., Davoodi, S., Ghorbani, H., ... & Csaba, M. (2022). Data driven models to predict pore pressure using drilling and petrophysical data. *Energy Reports*, *8*, 6551-6562.

[47] Jamrozik, A., Protasova, E., Gonet, A., Bilstad, T., & Żurek, R. (2016). Characteristics of oil based muds and influence on the environment. *AGH Drilling, Oil, Gas*, *33*(4).

[48] Jharap, G., van Leeuwen, L. P., Mout, R., van der Zee, W. E., Roos, F. M., & Muntendam-Bos, A. G. (2020). Ensuring safe growth of the geothermal energy sector in the Netherlands by proactively addressing risks and hazards. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences*, *99*, e6.

[49] Jomthanachai, S., Wong, W. P., & Lim, C. P. (2021). An application of data envelopment analysis and machine learning approach to risk management. *Ieee Access*, *9*, 85978-85994.

[50] Kabeyi, M. J. B. (2019). Geothermal electricity generation, challenges, opportunities and recommendations. *International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering (ijasre)*, *5*(8), 53-95.

[51] Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 743114.

[52] Karad, S., & Thakur, R. (2021). Efficient monitoring and control of wind energy conversion systems using Internet of things (IoT): a comprehensive review. *Environment, development and sustainability*, *23*(10), 14197-14214.

[53] Khalid, P., Ahmed, N., Mahmood, A., Saleem, M. A., & Hassan. (2016). An integrated seismic interpretation and rock physics attribute analysis for pore fluid discrimination. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *41*, 191-200.

[54] Kinik, K., Gumus, F., & Osayande, N. (2015). Automated dynamic well control with managed-pressure drilling: a case study and simulation analysis. *SPE Drilling & Completion*, *30*(02), 110-118.

[55] Kiran, R., Teodoriu, C., Dadmohammadi, Y., Nygaard, R., Wood, D., Mokhtari, M., & Salehi, S. (2017). Identification and evaluation of well integrity and causes of failure of well integrity barriers (A review). *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *45*, 511-526.

[56] Kumari, W. G. P., & Ranjith, P. G. (2019). Sustainable development of enhanced geothermal systems based on geotechnical research–A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *199*, 102955.

[57] Leung, D. Y., Caramanna, G., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2014). An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *39*, 426-443.

[58] Li, G., Song, X., Tian, S., & Zhu, Z. (2022). Intelligent drilling and completion: a review. *Engineering*, *18*, 33-48.

[59] Li, H., & Zhang, J. (2018). Well log and seismic data analysis for complex pore-structure carbonate reservoir using 3D rock physics templates. *Journal of applied Geophysics*, *151*, 175-183.

[60] Li, W., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., Guo, F., Qiao, S., Liu, S., ... & Heng, X. (2019). Development of a distributed hybrid seismic–electrical data acquisition system based on the Narrowband Internet of Things (NB-IoT) technology. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, *8*(2), 177-186.

[61] Lindi, O. (2017). *Analysis of Kick Detection Methods in the Light of Actual Blowout Disasters* (Master's thesis, NTNU).

[62] Liu, W., Zhang, G., Cao, J., Zhang, J., & Yu, G. (2019). Combined petrophysics and 3D seismic attributes to predict shale reservoirs favourable areas. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, *16*(5), 974-991.

[63] Lohne, H. P., Ford, E. P., Mansouri, M., & Randeberg, E. (2016). Well integrity risk assessment in geothermal wells–Status of today. *GeoWell, Stavanger*.

[64] Luo, Y., Huang, H., Jakobsen, M., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., & Cai, Y. (2019). Prediction of porosity and gas saturation for deep-buried sandstone reservoirs from seismic data using an improved rock-physics model. *Acta Geophysica*, *67*, 557-575.

[65] Mac Kinnon, M. A., Brouwer, J., & Samuelsen, S. (2018). The role of natural gas and its infrastructure in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, improving regional air quality, and renewable resource integration. *Progress in Energy and Combustion science*, *64*, 62-92.

[66] Mahmood, A., Thibodeaux, R., Angelle, J., & Smith, L. (2022, April). Digital transformation for promoting renewable energy & sustainability: A systematic approach for carbon footprint reduction in well construction. In *Offshore Technology Conference* (p. D031S038R005). OTC.

[67] Maraveas, C., Piromalis, D., Arvanitis, K. G., Bartzanas, T., & Loukatos, D. (2022). Applications of IoT for optimized greenhouse environment and resources management. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *198*, 106993.

[68] Marhoon, T. M. M. (2020). *High pressure High temperature (HPHT) wells technologies while drilling* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[69] Martin, C. (2022). *Innovative drilling muds for High Pressure and High Temperature (HPHT) condition using a novel nanoparticle for petroleum engineering systems* (Doctoral dissertation).

[70] Martin-Roberts, E., Scott, V., Flude, S., Johnson, G., Haszeldine, R. S., & Gilfillan, S. (2021). Carbon capture and storage at the end of a lost decade. *One Earth*, *4*(11), 1569-1584.

[71] McCollum, D. L., Zhou, W., Bertram, C., De Boer, H. S., Bosetti, V., Busch, S., ... & Riahi, K. (2018). Energy investment needs for fulfilling the Paris Agreement and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Energy*, *3*(7), 589-599.

[72] Mikunda, T., Brunner, L., Skylogianni, E., Monteiro, J., Rycroft, L., & Kemper, J. (2021). Carbon capture and storage and the sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *108*, 103318.

[73] Misra, S., Liu, R., Chakravarty, A., & Gonzalez, K. (2022). Machine learning tools for fossil and geothermal energy production and carbon geo-sequestration—a step towards energy digitization and geoscientific digitalization. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, *2*(3), 1225-1240.

[74] Mohd Aman, A. H., Shaari, N., & Ibrahim, R. (2021). Internet of things energy system: Smart applications, technology advancement, and open issues. *International Journal of Energy Research*, *45*(6), 8389-8419.

[75] Mohsen, O., & Fereshteh, N. (2017). An extended VIKOR method based on entropy measure for the failure modes risk assessment–A case study of the geothermal power plant (GPP). *Safety science*, *92*, 160-172.

[76] Mosca, F., Djordjevic, O., Hantschel, T., McCarthy, J., Krueger, A., Phelps, D., ... & MacGregor, A. (2018). Pore pressure prediction while drilling: Three-dimensional earth model in the Gulf of Mexico. *AAPG Bulletin*, *102*(4), 691-708.

[77] Mrdjen, I., & Lee, J. (2016). High volume hydraulic fracturing operations: potential impacts on surface water and human health. *International journal of environmental health research*, *26*(4), 361-380.

[78] Mushtaq, N., Singh, D. V., Bhat, R. A., Dervash, M. A., & Hameed, O. B. (2020). Freshwater contamination: sources and hazards to aquatic biota. *Fresh water pollution dynamics and remediation*, 27-50.

[79] Muther, T., Syed, F. I., Lancaster, A. T., Salsabila, F. D., Dahaghi, A. K., & Negahban, S. (2022). Geothermal 4.0: AI-enabled geothermal reservoir development-current status, potentials, limitations, and ways forward. *Geothermics*, *100*, 102348.

[80] Najibi, A. R., & Asef, M. R. (2014). Prediction of seismic-wave velocities in rock at various confining pressures based on unconfined data. *Geophysics*, *79*(4), D235-D242.

[81] Najibi, A. R., Ghafoori, M., Lashkaripour, G. R., & Asef, M. R. (2017). Reservoir geomechanical modeling: In-situ stress, pore pressure, and mud design. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *151*, 31-39.

[82] Napp, T. A., Gambhir, A., Hills, T. P., Florin, N., & Fennell, P. S. (2014). A review of the technologies, economics and policy instruments for decarbonising energy-intensive manufacturing industries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *30*, 616-640.

[83] Nduagu, E. I., & Gates, I. D. (2015). Unconventional heavy oil growth and global greenhouse gas emissions. *Environmental science & technology*, *49*(14), 8824-8832.

[84] Nguyen, H. H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B., Vincent, P., & Marix-Evans, M. (2014, October). Sustainability considerations for ground improvement techniques using controlled modulus columns. In *AGS Symposium on Resilient Geotechnics*. The Australian Geomechanics Society.

[85] Nimana, B., Canter, C., & Kumar, A. (2015). Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in upgrading and refining of Canada's oil sands products. *Energy*, *83*, 65-79.

[86] Njuguna, J., Siddique, S., Kwroffie, L. B., Piromrat, S., Addae-Afoakwa, K., Ekeh-Adegbotolu, U., ... & Moller, L. (2022). The fate of waste drilling fluids from oil & gas industry activities in the exploration and production operations. *Waste Management*, *139*, 362-380.

[87] Okoroafor, E. R., Smith, C. M., Ochie, K. I., Nwosu, C. J., Gudmundsdottir, H., & Aljubran, M. J. (2022). Machine learning in subsurface geothermal energy: Two decades in review. *Geothermics*, *102*, 102401.

[88] Okwiri, L. A. (2017). *Risk assessment and risk modelling in geothermal drilling* (Doctoral dissertation).

[89] Olayiwola, T., & Sanuade, O. A. (2021). A data-driven approach to predict compressional and shear wave velocities in reservoir rocks. *Petroleum*, *7*(2), 199-208.

[90] Olufemi, B. A., Ozowe, W. O., & Komolafe, O. O. (2011). Studies on the production of caustic soda using solar powered diaphragm cells. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, *6*(3), 49-54.

[91] Olufemi, B., Ozowe, W., & Afolabi, K. (2012). Operational Simulation of Sola Cells for Caustic. *Cell (EADC)*, *2*(6).

[92] Ozowe, W. O. (2018). *Capillary pressure curve and liquid permeability estimation in tight oil reservoirs using pressure decline versus time data* (Doctoral dissertation).

[93] Ozowe, W. O. (2021). *Evaluation of lean and rich gas injection for improved oil recovery in hydraulically fractured reservoirs* (Doctoral dissertation).

[94] Ozowe, W., Quintanilla, Z., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, October). Experimental evaluation of solvents for improved oil recovery in shale oil reservoirs. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D021S019R007). SPE.

[95] Ozowe, W., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, July). A novel experimental approach for dynamic quantification of liquid saturation and capillary pressure in shale. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D023S025R002). URTEC.

[96] Ozowe, W., Zheng, S., & Sharma, M. (2020). Selection of hydrocarbon gas for huff-n-puff IOR in shale oil reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *195*, 107683.

[97] Pan, S. Y., Gao, M., Shah, K. J., Zheng, J., Pei, S. L., & Chiang, P. C. (2019). Establishment of enhanced geothermal energy utilization plans: Barriers and strategies. *Renewable energy*, *132*, 19-32.

[98] Pereira, L. B., Sad, C. M., Castro, E. V., Filgueiras, P. R., & Lacerda Jr, V. (2022). Environmental impacts related to drilling fluid waste and treatment methods: A critical review. *Fuel*, *310*, 122301.

[99] Quintanilla, Z., Ozowe, W., Russell, R., Sharma, M., Watts, R., Fitch, F., & Ahmad, Y. K. (2021, July). An experimental investigation demonstrating enhanced oil recovery in tight rocks using mixtures of gases and nanoparticles. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D031S073R003). URTEC.

[100] Radwan, A. E. (2022). Drilling in complex pore pressure regimes: analysis of wellbore stability applying the depth of failure approach. *Energies*, *15*(21), 7872.

[101] Rahman, M. M., Canter, C., & Kumar, A. (2014). Greenhouse gas emissions from recovery of various North American conventional crudes. *Energy*, *74*, 607-617.

[102] Raliya, R., Saharan, V., Dimkpa, C., & Biswas, P. (2017). Nanofertilizer for precision and sustainable agriculture: current state and future perspectives. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, *66*(26), 6487-6503.

[103] Rashid, M. I., Benhelal, E., & Rafiq, S. (2020). Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from gas, oil, and coal power plants in Pakistan by carbon capture and storage (CCS): A Review. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, *43*(11), 2140-2148.

[104] Raza, A., Gholami, R., Rezaee, R., Rasouli, V., & Rabiei, M. (2019). Significant aspects of carbon capture and storage–A review. *Petroleum*, *5*(4), 335-340.

[105] Salam, A., & Salam, A. (2020). Internet of things in sustainable energy systems. *Internet of Things for Sustainable Community Development: Wireless Communications, Sensing, and Systems*, 183-216.

[106] Seyedmohammadi, J. (2017). The effects of drilling fluids and environment protection from pollutants using some models. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, *3*, 1-14.

[107] Shahbaz, M., Mallick, H., Mahalik, M. K., & Sadorsky, P. (2016). The role of globalization on the recent evolution of energy demand in India: Implications for sustainable development. *Energy Economics*, *55*, 52-68.

[108] Shahbazi, A., & Nasab, B. R. (2016). Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and its impacts on climate change and global warming. *J. Pet. Environ. Biotechnol*, *7*(9).

[109] Shaw, R., & Mukherjee, S. (2022). The development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) in India: A critical review. *Carbon Capture Science & Technology*, *2*, 100036.

[110] Shortall, R., Davidsdottir, B., & Axelsson, G. (2015). Geothermal energy for sustainable development: A review of sustainability impacts and assessment frameworks. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *44*, 391-406.

[111] Shrestha, N., Chilkoor, G., Wilder, J., Gadhamshetty, V., & Stone, J. J. (2017). Potential water resource impacts of hydraulic fracturing from unconventional oil production in the Bakken shale. *Water Research*, *108*, 1-24.

[112] Soeder, D. J., & Soeder, D. J. (2021). Impacts to human health and ecosystems. *Fracking and the Environment: A scientific assessment of the environmental risks from hydraulic fracturing and fossil fuels*, 135-153.

[113] Soga, K., Alonso, E., Yerro, A., Kumar, K., & Bandara, S. (2016). Trends in large-deformation analysis of landslide mass movements with particular emphasis on the material point method. *Géotechnique*, *66*(3), 248-273.

[114] Soltani, M., Kashkooli, F. M., Souri, M., Rafiei, B., Jabarifar, M., Gharali, K., & Nathwani, J. S. (2021). Environmental, economic, and social impacts of geothermal energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *140*, 110750.

[115] Sowiżdżał, A., Starczewska, M., & Papiernik, B. (2022). Future technology mix—enhanced geothermal system (EGS) and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS)—an overview of selected projects as an example for future investments in Poland. *Energies*, *15*(10), 3505.

[116] Spada, M., Sutra, E., & Burgherr, P. (2021). Comparative accident risk assessment with focus on deep geothermal energy systems in the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. *Geothermics*, *95*, 102142.

[117] Stober, I., & Bucher, K. (2013). Geothermal energy. *Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. doi*, *10*, 978-3.

[118] Sule, I., Imtiaz, S., Khan, F., & Butt, S. (2019). Risk analysis of well blowout scenarios during managed pressure drilling operation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *182*, 106296.

[119] Suvin, P. S., Gupta, P., Horng, J. H., & Kailas, S. V. (2021). Evaluation of a comprehensive non-toxic, biodegradable and sustainable cutting fluid developed from coconut oil. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology*, *235*(9), 1842-1850.

[120] Suzuki, A., Fukui, K. I., Onodera, S., Ishizaki, J., & Hashida, T. (2022). Data-driven geothermal reservoir modeling: Estimating permeability distributions by machine learning. *Geosciences*, *12*(3), 130.

[121] Szulecki, K., & Westphal, K. (2014). The cardinal sins of European energy policy: Nongovernance in an uncertain global landscape. *Global Policy*, *5*, 38-51.

[122] Tabatabaei, M., Kazemzadeh, F., Sabah, M., & Wood, D. A. (2022). Sustainability in natural gas reservoir drilling: A review on environmentally and economically friendly fluids and optimal waste management. *Sustainable Natural Gas Reservoir and Production Engineering*, 269-304.

[123] Tahmasebi, P., Kamrava, S., Bai, T., & Sahimi, M. (2020). Machine learning in geo-and environmental sciences: From small to large scale. *Advances in Water Resources*, *142*, 103619.

[124] Tapia, J. F. D., Lee, J. Y., Ooi, R. E., Foo, D. C., & Tan, R. R. (2016). Optimal CO2 allocation and scheduling in enhanced oil recovery (EOR) operations. *Applied energy*, *184*, 337-345.

[125] Teodoriu, C., & Bello, O. (2021). An outlook of drilling technologies and innovations: Present status and future trends. *Energies*, *14*(15), 4499.

[126] Tester, J. W., Beckers, K. F., Hawkins, A. J., & Lukawski, M. Z. (2021). The evolving role of geothermal energy for decarbonizing the United States. *Energy & environmental science*, *14*(12), 6211-6241.

[127] Thomas, L., Tang, H., Kalyon, D. M., Aktas, S., Arthur, J. D., Blotevogel, J., ... & Young, M. H. (2019). Toward better hydraulic fracturing fluids and their application in energy production: A review of sustainable technologies and reduction of potential environmental impacts. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *173*, 793-803.

[128] Udegbunam, J. E. (2015). Improved well design with risk and uncertainty analysis.

[129] Ugwu, G. Z. (2015). An overview of pore pressure prediction using seismicallyderived velocities. *Journal of Geology and Mining Research*, *7*(4), 31-40.

[130] Van Oort, E., Chen, D., Ashok, P., & Fallah, A. (2021, March). Constructing deep closed-loop geothermal wells for globally scalable energy production by leveraging oil and gas ERD and HPHT well construction expertise. In *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition* (p. D021S002R001). SPE.

[131] Vesselinov, V. V., O'Malley, D., Frash, L. P., Ahmmed, B., Rupe, A. T., Karra, S., ... & Scharer, J. (2021). *Geo Thermal Cloud: Cloud Fusion of Big Data and Multi-Physics Models Using Machine Learning for Discovery, Exploration, and Development of Hidden Geothermal Resources* (No. LA-UR-21-24325). Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States).

[132] Vielma, W. E., & Mosti, I. (2014, November). Dynamic Modelling for Well Design, Increasing Operational Margins in Challenging Fields. In *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference* (p. D041S071R003). SPE.

[133] Wang, K., Yuan, B., Ji, G., & Wu, X. (2018). A comprehensive review of geothermal energy extraction and utilization in oilfields. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *168*, 465-477.

[134] Weldeslassie, T., Naz, H., Singh, B., & Oves, M. (2018). Chemical contaminants for soil, air and aquatic ecosystem. *Modern age environmental problems and their remediation*, 1-22.

[135] Wennersten, R., Sun, Q., & Li, H. (2015). The future potential for Carbon Capture and Storage in climate change mitigation–an overview from perspectives of technology, economy and risk. *Journal of cleaner production*, *103*, 724-736.

[136] Wilberforce, T., Baroutaji, A., El Hassan, Z., Thompson, J., Soudan, B., & Olabi, A. G. (2019). Prospects and challenges of concentrated solar photovoltaics and enhanced geothermal energy technologies. *Science of The Total Environment*, *659*, 851-861.

[137] Wojtanowicz, A. K. (2016). Environmental control of drilling fluids and produced water. *Environmental technology in the oil industry*, 101-165.

[138] Wu, Y., Wu, Y., Guerrero, J. M., & Vasquez, J. C. (2021). A comprehensive overview of framework for developing sustainable energy internet: From things-based energy network to services-based management system. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *150*, 111409.

[139] Younger, P. L. (2015). Geothermal energy: Delivering on the global potential. *Energies*, *8*(10), 11737-11754.

[140] Yu, H., Chen, G., & Gu, H. (2020). A machine learning methodology for multivariate pore-pressure prediction. *Computers & Geosciences*, *143*, 104548.

[141] Yudha, S. W., Tjahjono, B., & Longhurst, P. (2022). Sustainable transition from fossil fuel to geothermal energy: A multi-level perspective approach. *Energies*, *15*(19), 7435.

[142] Zabbey, N., & Olsson, G. (2017). Conflicts–oil exploration and water. *Global challenges*, *1*(5), 1600015.

[143] Zhang, P., Ozowe, W., Russell, R. T., & Sharma, M. M. (2021). Characterization of an electrically conductive proppant for fracture diagnostics. *Geophysics*, *86*(1), E13-E20.

[144] Zhang, Z., & Huisingh, D. (2017). Carbon dioxide storage schemes: technology, assessment and deployment. *journal of cleaner production*, *142*, 1055-1064.

[145] Zhao, X., Li, D., Zhu, H., Ma, J., & An, Y. (2022). Advanced developments in environmentally friendly lubricants for water-based drilling fluid: a review. *RSC advances*, *12*(35), 22853-22868.