

Some analytic properties of Janowski q -class functions

Uzoamaka Azuka Ezeafulukwe *

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Physical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2022, 13(02), 523–535

Publication history: Received on 22 December 2021; revised on 11 February 2022; accepted on 14 February 2022

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2022.13.2.0003>

Abstract

The q -derivative operator was stated as a convolution of two analytic functions. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a Janowski's harmonic q -starlike functions were studied. Also, some subordination properties of Janowski's analytic q -starlike functions were studied. This article ends with a few open questions.

Keywords: q -Derivative operator; q -Integral operator; Janowski harmonic functions; Janowski analytic functions.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let A_k denote the class of functions f normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U(1) = U$ where,

$$U(r) = \{z : |z| < r\}.$$

The applications of q -derivative operator $D_{z,q}$ defined by [3] (see also [4]) as

$$\begin{cases} D_{z,q}f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, & q \in (0, 1), z \neq 0 \\ D_{z,q}f(z) |_{z=0} = f'(0), \end{cases}$$

(where $[k]_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{k-1}$) to the so called q -analysis in Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis dates back to late 1980s. It started with the generalization of the class, S^* of starlike functions in U satisfying

$$f'(0) - 1 - f(0) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

The generalized class of starlike function called the class of q -starlike functions of f denoted by, was defined by Ismail et al [7] \mathcal{PS}_q^* as the following:

Definition 1.1 A function $f \in A_k$ is said to belong to the class \mathcal{PS}_q^* if

$$\left| \frac{zD_{z,q}f(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q} \quad (1.1)$$

$q \in (0,1); z \in U$.

Meanwhile, in 1869, Thomae [1] introduced the q -integral operator

$$I_{z,q}^{\alpha,\beta} f(z) = \int_0^1 f(\zeta) d_q \zeta = (1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(q^k)$$

provided the q -series converges. Jackson [2] also defined the general q -integral operator

$$\mathcal{I}_{z,q}^{\alpha,\beta} f(z) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\zeta) d_q \zeta := \int_0^{\beta} f(\zeta) d_q \zeta - \int_0^{\alpha} f(\zeta) d_q \zeta = z(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(zq^k)$$

provided the q -series converges. Recently, Agrawal and Sahoo [8] defined and studied the class of functions, $\mathcal{PS}_q^*(\alpha)$ of q -starlike of order alpha. They established some important results which includes Lemma 1.1, stated as:

Lemma 1.1 Let $f \in A_k$ and $q \in (0,1)$. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_{z,q}^{0,z} \left(\frac{D_{z,q}f(z)}{f(z)} \right) = \frac{(q-1)}{\ln q} \text{Log} f(z) \quad (1.2)$$

We say that the function $\tau : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is subordinate to the $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, represented as $\tau \prec \sigma$ or $\tau(z) \prec \sigma(z)$ if there exists the complex-valued function $v : U \rightarrow U$, with $v(0) = 0$, such that

$$\tau(z) = \sigma(v(z)), \quad z \in U.$$

Previously Janowski, in 1973 introduced the class of functions $S^*(E,A)$, for arbitrary fixed numbers, $E \in [-1,1]$ and $A \in [-1,1]$ as follows:

Definition 1.2 [23]. Let $f \in A_k$ and v be analytic in U with $v(0) = 0$, $|v(z)| < 1$. $S^*(E,A)$ if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \mathcal{P}(v(z))$$

for some class of functions \mathcal{P} such that

$$\mathcal{P}(v(z)) = (1 + Ev(z))(1 + Av(z))^{-1}, \quad z \in U.$$

Janowski determined among other results the bounds for

$$\Re \left\{ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}(E, A)$$

He also determined the bounds for $|f(z)|$ and $|f^n(z)|$ of the function $f \in S^*(E,A)$. Many authors like [18] - [28] to mention but a few had studied some properties of functions in the family $S^*(E,A)$.

Motivated by some applications of q -calculus to the Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis introduced and studied by [7], and by many authors like [5] -[18] to mention but a few, in this article we extend the study of q -calculus to some subordination properties of the Janowski's class of harmonic and analytic functions.

The main aim of this article is to define and study the followings:

Define

the q -derivative operator $D_{z,q}$ on $f \in A_k$ using the convolution product of two analytic functions,

the Janowski's class of harmonic q -starlike functions $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$

and the Janowski's class of analytic q -starlike functions $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{P}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Study

the necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $f \in S_H$ to be in the class $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$

the sufficient condition for the function $f \in H_0$ to be in the class

$\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$

the necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $f \in \mathcal{PJ}^0$ to be in the the class $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$

and calculate some subordinate properties of the Janowski analytic q -starlike functions.

Preliminaries Concepts of the q -Class of Janowski Functions

Firstly, we let H denote the class of harmonic functions in the unit disc U and by H_0 we denote the class of normalized by $f(0) = f'_z(0) = f'_{\bar{z}}(0) - 1 = 0$. The function $f \in H_0$ can be written as

$$f = h + g, (2.1)$$

3

where both h and g are analytic . Also h and g can be expressed as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \text{ and } g(z) = b_k z^k, |b| < 1,$$

that means

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_k z^k + \overline{b_k z^k} \right), \quad a_1 = 1, |b_1| < 1, z \in \mathcal{U}, f \in \mathcal{H}_0 \quad . (2.2)$$

The function h is called the analytic part while g is the co-analytic part of f . By S_H we denote the class of functions $f \in H_0$ which are univalent and sense-preserving in U . We note that the class of functions $f \in A_k$ is the same as the class of functions $f \in H$ for which the co-analytic part vanish. Furthermore, a necessary and sufficient condition for the

function $f \in H_0$ to be locally univalent and sense preserving in U is that $\left| \frac{h'(z)}{g'(z)} \right| > 1$.

Secondly, the q -derivative operator $D_{z,q}$ on the function $f \in A_k$ can be presented as the convolution of two analytic functions as follows:

Definition 2.3 Let $p \in P$, such that $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}$. Then the q -derivative,

$D_{z,q}$ on the function $f \in A_k$ is define as follows:

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{1, \mathcal{A}_k} p)(q; z) := (D_{z,q} f)(z) - p(z) * \prod_{\mu=0}^1 \frac{1}{(1-q^{\mu} z)}, & m = 1 \\ (\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{m, \mathcal{A}_k} p)(q; z) := (D_{z,q}^m f)(z) - p(z) * \prod_{\mu=0}^m \frac{1}{(1-q^{\mu} z)}, & m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4 The function $f \in H_0$ is harmonic q -starlike function $\mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*$ if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi \arg} [\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f(r \exp[i\phi])] \geq 0, \quad z = r \exp[i\phi], \quad \phi \in [0, 2\pi], \quad r \in (0, 1) \quad (2.3)$$

or equivalently

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z) := z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} h)(z) - \overline{z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} g)(z)}$$

Remark 2.1 Assume

$$\frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} f)(z)}{f(z)}$$

and substituting $\frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)}$ for $\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} f)(z)}{f(z)}$ in (1.1) gives (2.4).

We introduce Janowski q -classes of functions as follow:

Let $-A \leq E < A \leq 1$, then,

• $\mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ denote the class functions $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \prec \frac{1 + \mathcal{E}z}{1 + \mathcal{A}z} \quad (2.6)$$

• and $\mathcal{PS}_{q, P}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ denote the class functions $p \in P$ such that

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} p)(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + \mathcal{E}z}{1 + \mathcal{A}z} \quad (2.7)$$

Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

Using the technique of Dziok [25] we, calculate the necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ to be in the class $\mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if

$$f(z) * \Phi(z; \varsigma) \neq 0, \quad (\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, |\varsigma| = 1) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Phi(z; \varsigma) = \frac{(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E})\varsigma z + (1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)qz^2}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} - \frac{[2 + (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{A})\varsigma] \bar{z} - (1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)q\bar{z}^2}{1 - [2]_q \bar{z} + q\bar{z}^2}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$ of the form (2.1). Then $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if equation (2.6) is satisfied, or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)} \right\} - \frac{1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma}{1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma} \neq 0, \quad (\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, |\varsigma| = 1) \quad (3.2)$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need to show that conditions (3.1) and (2.6) are equivalent. Since

$$z(\mathcal{J}_\mu^{1, \mathcal{H}} h)(q; z) = z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} h)(z) = h(z) * \frac{z}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$h(z) = h(z) * \frac{z}{1 - z}.$$

From (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma)(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1, \mathcal{H}} f)(z) - (1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)f(z) &= (1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma)z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} h)(z) - (1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)h(z) \\ &- \left[(1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma)z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q} g)(z) - (1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)g(z) \right] \\ &= h(z) * \left(\frac{z(1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma)}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} - \frac{z(1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)}{1 - z} \right) \\ &- \overline{g(z)} * \left(\frac{\bar{z}(1 + \mathcal{A}\varsigma)}{1 - [2]_q \bar{z} + q\bar{z}^2} + \frac{\bar{z}(1 + \mathcal{E}\varsigma)}{1 - \bar{z}} \right) \\ &= f(z) * \Phi(z; \varsigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Hence, the proof is complete.

Substituting $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{A} = -1$ in Theorem 3.1 gives the next Corollary:

Corollary 3.2 Let $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{A} = -1$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$. Then $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(-1, 1)$ if and only if

$$f(z) * \Phi(z; \varsigma) \neq 0, \quad (\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, |\varsigma| = 1) \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\Phi(z; \varsigma) = \frac{2\varsigma z + (1 - \varsigma)qz^2}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} - \frac{2\bar{z} - (1 - \varsigma)q\bar{z}^2}{1 - [2]_q \bar{z} + q\bar{z}^2}.$$

Next, we show the sufficient condition for function $f \in H_0$ to be in the class

$$\mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $f \in H_0$. If f is defined as (2.2) and satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k |a_k| + \tau_k |b_k|) \leq 2(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E}), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\lambda_k + (1 + \mathcal{E}) = [k]_q(1 + \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$\tau_k - (1 + \mathcal{E}) = [k]_q(1 + \mathcal{A}). \quad (3.7)$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q, \mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \equiv z$ then Theorem 3.3 is true. If $f \in H_0$ of the form (2.2) and there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_k \neq 0, k \geq 2$ with $b_k \neq 0$, since

$$\lambda_k \geq [k]_q(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E}), \quad \tau_k \geq [k]_q(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then (3.6) gives

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q (|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq 1 - |b_1|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_{z,q} h(z)| - |\mathcal{D}_{z,q} g(z)| &\geq 1 - b_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q (|a_k| + |b_k|) |z|^{k-1} \\ &\geq 1 - b_1 - |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q (|a_k| + |b_k|) (1 - b_1)(1 - |z|) \\ &\geq 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $k > [k]_q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $q \in (0, 1)$ then,

$$|h^0(z)| - |g^0(z)| \geq |\mathcal{D}_{z,q} h(z)| - |\mathcal{D}_{z,q} g(z)| \geq 0$$

and

$$|h^0(z)| - |g^0(z)| \geq 0.$$

Hence, f is locally univalent and sense-preserving in \mathcal{U} .

Suppose g is identically zero, Ismail et. al. [7] (see also [8]) proved the univalence of the function $f \in \mathcal{PS}_q^*$. Assume g is not equivalent to zero, we need to show that $z_1 \neq z_2$ whenever $f(z_1) \neq f(z_2)$. If $z_1, z_2 \in U$ with $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0$, since U is simply connected and convex, then

$$z(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta)z_1 + \zeta z_2 \in U, \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1.$$

Hence, we can write

$$|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| = \int_0^1 \left[(z_2 - z_1)(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) + \overline{(z_2 - z_1)(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g(\zeta))} \right] d_q \zeta. \quad (3.9)$$

Taking the real parts of equation (3.9) divided by $(z_2 - z_1)$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z_2) - f(z_1)}{z_2 - z_1} \right\} &= \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) + \frac{\overline{(z_2 - z_1)(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g(\zeta))}}{(z_2 - z_1)} \right\} d_q \zeta. \\ &> \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \{ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) - |(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g)(\zeta)| \} d_q \zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

That means

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \{ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) \} - |(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g)(\zeta)| &\geq \operatorname{Re} \{ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) \} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k|. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$(3.11) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z(\zeta)) \} - |(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g)(\zeta)| \geq 0$$

also

$$(3.12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z_2) - f(z_1)}{z_2 - z_1} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Hence, f is univalent.

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if there exists a complex-valued function v , with $v(0) = 0$, and $|v(z)| < 1, z \in U$, such that

$$\frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{\mathcal{H}} f)(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + \mathcal{E}v(z)}{1 + \mathcal{A}v(z)}, \quad (3.13)$$

that is

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - f(z)}{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - \mathcal{E}f(z)} \right| < 1, \quad z \in U$$

We need to show that

$$|(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - f(z)| - |\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - \mathcal{E}f(z)| < 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.15)$$

Let $r \in (0,1)$ be the radius of \mathcal{U} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - f(z)| - |\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - \mathcal{E}f(z)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1) a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ([k]_q + 1) \overline{b_k} \overline{z^k} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E})z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\mathcal{A}[k]_q - \mathcal{E}) a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{A}[k]_q + \mathcal{E}) \overline{b_k} \overline{z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1) |a_k| r^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ([k]_q + 1) |b_k| r^k \\ &\quad - (A - \mathcal{E})r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (A [k]_q - \mathcal{E}) |a_k| r^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (A [k]_q + \mathcal{E}) |b_k| r^k \\ &\leq r \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k |a_k| |b_k|) r^{k-1} - 2(A - \mathcal{E}) \right\} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}).$$

In 1975, Silverman [22] studied the harmonic univalent functions $f \in H_0$ with negative coefficient of the form

$$f = h + \overline{g}, \quad h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, \quad g(z) = (-1)^\delta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k, \quad \delta \in [0, 1) \quad (3.16)$$

where $a_k = -|a_k|, b_k = (-1)^\delta |b_k|, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. We denote such class of functions defined in equation (3.16) by \mathcal{PS}^δ .

Next, we calculate the necessary and sufficient for the function $f \in \mathcal{PS}^0$ to be in the class of function $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$

Theorem 3.4 Let $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{PJ}^0 \cap \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ with $f \in \mathcal{PJ}^0$. Then $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k |a_k| + \tau_k |b_k|) \leq 2(A - \mathcal{E}), \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\lambda_k + (1 + \mathcal{E}) = [k]_q(1 + \mathcal{E})$$

and

$$\tau_k - (1 + \mathcal{E}) = [k]_q(1 + \mathcal{E}). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. The function $f \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,3}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - f(z)}{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}^{1,\mathcal{H}} f)(z) - \mathcal{E}f(z)} \right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

which is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{([k]_q - 1)|a_k| |z|^k + ([k]_q + 1)|b_k| |\bar{z}|^k\}}{2(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E})z - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\mathcal{A}[k]_q - \mathcal{E})|a_k| z^k + (\mathcal{A}[k]_q + \mathcal{E})|b_k| \bar{z}^k\}} \right| < 1 \quad (3.19)$$

Let $r \in (0,1)$ be the radius of \mathcal{U} , with $z \in \mathcal{U}$. We have

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{([k]_q - 1)|a_k| + ([k]_q + 1)|b_k|\} r^{k-1}}{2(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\mathcal{A}[k]_q - \mathcal{E})|a_k| + (\mathcal{A}[k]_q + \mathcal{E})|b_k|\} r^{k-1}} < 1 \quad (3.20)$$

The numerator of (3.20) cannot vanish for $0 < r < 1$. Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\mathcal{A}[k]_q - \mathcal{E})|a_k| + (\mathcal{A}[k]_q + \mathcal{E})|b_k|\} r^{k-1} < 2(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E})$$

Applying the method of proving Theorem 3.3, the theorem has been proved. Next, we calculate some subordinate property of the class $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{J}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

The Subordination Theory of the analytic Class of Janowski Functions

In this section we assume g is equivalent to zero. Using the technique of [30] we have the following subordination results.

Lemma 4.1 *Let h be starlike in \mathcal{U} . Let $\phi(0) = a, a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with*

$$z(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}\phi)(z) \prec h(z). \quad (4.1)$$

Then

$$\varphi(z) \prec a - \int_0^z h(\zeta)\zeta^{-1}d_{(\zeta,q)}(\zeta)$$

Proof. Equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}\phi)(z) \prec z^{-1}h(z). \quad (4.2)$$

Calculating the q -integral on both sides of (4.2) from 0 to z gives

$$\varphi(z) \prec a - \int_0^z h(\zeta)\zeta^{-1}d_{(\zeta,q)}(\zeta)$$

Next,

Corollary 4.2 *Let h be starlike in \mathcal{U} . Let $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with*

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}\varphi)(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (4.3)$$

Then

$$\varphi(z) \prec \exp \left\{ \frac{\ln q}{(q-1)} \left[\int_0^z h(\zeta) \zeta^{-1} d_{(\zeta,q)}(\zeta) \right] \right\}$$

Proof. Let $P(z) = \text{Log}\phi(z)$, then we can rewrite (4.6) as

$$z(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}P)(z) \prec h(z)$$

equivalently as

$$(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}P)(z) \prec z^{-1}h(z) \quad (4.4)$$

Calculating the q -integral on both sides of (4.4) and applying Lemma 1.1 gives

$$\frac{(1-q)}{\ln q} \text{Log} \varphi(z) \prec \int_0^z \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} d_{(\zeta,q)}(\zeta) \quad (4.5)$$

Equation (4.5) gives the required result.

Next,

Corollary 4.3 Assume $h(z) = \frac{1+\mathcal{E}z}{1+\mathcal{A}z}$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{P}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\varphi(z) \prec \exp \left\{ \frac{\ln q}{(q-1)} \left[\int_0^z \frac{1}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1+\mathcal{E}\zeta}{1+\mathcal{A}\zeta} \right) d_{(\zeta,q)}(\zeta) \right] \right\}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{P}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ then,

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}\varphi)(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec \frac{(1+\mathcal{E}z)}{1+\mathcal{A}z} \quad (4.6)$$

The q -integral of equation (4.6) gives the required result.

Next,

Corollary 4.4 Assume $E = q$ and $A = -q^2$ and $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{P}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Then,

$$\varphi(z) \prec \left[\frac{z}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} \right]^\sigma$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\ln q}{1-q}$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_q = \frac{z}{1 - [2]_q z + qz^2} \quad (4.7)$$

Simple calculation on (4.7) gives

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}\mathcal{K}_q)(z)}{\mathcal{K}_q} = \frac{(1+qz)}{(1-q^2z)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{(\mathcal{D}_{(z,q)}\varphi)(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}\mathcal{K}_q)(z)}{\mathcal{K}_q}. \quad (4.9)$$

Calculating q -integral of (4.9) gives the required result.

Results and Discussion

Firstly, we present the results in this article as follows:

Let $f \in S_H$, we calculated the necessary and sufficient conditions for the function f to be in the class of $\mathcal{PS}_{q,H}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$. Suppose, $f \in H_0$, we calculated the sufficient condition for f to be in the class of function $\mathcal{PS}_{q,H}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$. If $f \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{J}^0$, we calculated the necessary and sufficient conditions for f to be in the class of function $\mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathfrak{J}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Furthermore, with the assumption that g is identically zero, we calculated the some subordination properties of q -class of functions.

Discussion

We note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{PS}_{q,H}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S}_H^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}),$$

hence the class of function studied by [27], [25] and [26], also

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{PS}_{q,\mathcal{J}}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$$

the class of function studied by [22], [21], [24], [27], [25], [23] and [28] to mention but a few.

Due to the speculated expansion of the q -theory in geometric function theory, we introduce Lemma 4.1 and their corollaries. Lemma 4.1 is the q -class of the result of Suffridge,[29] (see also [30].)

2. Direction for further research

In conclusions, we extended the q -theory to some harmonic and analytic properties of Janowski functions. In view of the recent applications of q -theory in Geometric Function Theory, it seem natural to investigate some properties of a q -Koebe function given by(4.7).

Let K_q define by (4.7) be represented as

$$K_q(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

Then, we may have the following:

$$K_q(z) \in \mathcal{PS}_q^*$$

$$K_q(z) \in PC_q, \text{ iii. } c_k \leq [k]_q,$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{K}_q(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)},$$

$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [k]_q = k$, where (iii) - (v) are too obvious.

In view of Clunie and Sheil-Small [21], we state an open problem for harmonic q -Koebe type of function given as follows:

Definition 6.5 Let the function $\varphi(z) = \frac{z}{1-[2]_q z + qz^2}$ and

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h(z) - g(z) &= \frac{z}{1-[2]_q z + qz^2} & (i) \\ (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g)(z) - q^3 z (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z) &= 0 & (ii) \end{aligned}$$

Solving (6.1) gives

$$(\mathcal{D}_{z,q}h)(z) = \frac{1+qz}{\prod_{k=0}^3 (1-zq^k)}, \quad (\mathcal{D}_{z,q}g)(z) = q^3 z \frac{1+qz}{\prod_{k=0}^3 (1-zq^k)}. \quad (6.2)$$

Hence the harmonic q -Koebe functions h and g can be derived from (6.2).

3. Conclusion

The q -derivative operator was stated as a convolution of two analytic functions. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a Janowski's harmonic q -starlike functions were studied. Also, some subordination properties of Janowski's analytic q -starlike functions were studied.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The author disclosed there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Thomae, L. J., Beiträuge zur Theorie der durch die Heinesche Reihe, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 70, (1869), 258–281.
- [2] F. H. Jackson, On q -difference integrals, *Quart. J. Pure and Appl.*, 41(1910), 193-203.
- [3] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, 46(1909), 253-281.
- [4] F. H. Jackson, q -difference equations, *Amer. J. of Math.*, 32(1910), 305-314.
- [5] U. A. Ezeafulukwe and M. Darus, Certain properties of q -Hypergeometric functions, *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sc.*, 2015 (2015), 9 pages.
- [6] U. A. Ezeafulukwe and M. Darus, A note on q -calculus, *Fasciculi Mathematici*, 55 (2015), 53-63.
- [7] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, A generalization of starlike functions, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 14 (1990), 77-84.
- [8] S. Agrawal and S. K. Sahoo, A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha, *arXiv.1404.3988*, 2014 (2014), 14 pages.
- [9] S. Agrawal and S. K. Sahoo, Geometric properties of basic hypergeometric functions, *J. Diff. Eq. Appl.*, 20 (11)(2014), 1502-1522.
- [10] E. Merkes and W. Scott, Starlike hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1961), 885-888.
- [11] S. Kanas and D. Răducanu, Some class of analytic functions related to conic domain, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 64 (2014), 1-14.

- [12] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Univalent Functions, Fractional calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, and Toronto, 1989.
- [13] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, Properties of a subclass of analytic functions defined by generalized operator involving q -hypergeometric functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 81(2)(2013),189-200.
- [14] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, Some subordination results on q -analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014(2014), 6 pages.
- [15] A. Mohammed and M. Darus, A generalized operator involving the q hypergeometric functions, *MatematickiVesnik*, 65(4)(2013), 454-465.
- [16] S. K. Sahoo and N. L. Sharma, On a generalization of close-to-convex functions, *arXiv:1404.3268*, 2015, (2015), 14 pages.
- [17] A.Baricz and A. Swaminathan, Mapping properties of basic hypergeometric functions, *J. Classical Anal.*, 5 (2)(2014), 115-12
- [18] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, A subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava operator *ISRN Math. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), 6 pages.
- [19] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, and O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, A New Subclass of SalageanType Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2010(2010), 12 pages.
- [20] H. Lewy, On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 42(1936), 349-372.
- [21] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Annales AcademiaeScientiarumFennicae. Series A I. Mathematica*, 9(1984), 3-25.
- [22] H. Silverman, Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients, *J. Math. Annl. Appl.*, 220(1998), 283-289.
- [23] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I, *AnnalesPoloniciMathematici*, 28 (1973), 297-326.
- [24] J. M. Jahangiri, Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235(2)(1999), 470-477.
- [25] J. Dziok, Classes of harmonic functions defined by subordination, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2015 (2015), 9 pages.
- [26] J. Dziok, M. Darus, J. Sokoł and T. Bulboaca, Generalizations of starlike harmonic functions, *ComptesRendusMathematique*, 354(2016), 13-18.
- [27] J. Dziok, On Janowski harmonic functions, *J. Appl. Anal.*, 21(2015), 99-107.
- [28] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Some conditions for Janowskistarlikeness, *Intern. J. Math. Math. Sc.*, 2007(2007), 7 pages.
- [29] T. J. Suffridge, Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disc, *Duke Math. J.*, 37(1970), 775-777.
- [30] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: theory and applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2000.