World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr

Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

# Sustainable Pore Pressure Prediction and its Impact on Geo-mechanical Modelling for Enhanced Drilling Operations

Kate Aigbaifie Iwe [1,*], Daniel Edet Isong [1], Gideon Oluseyi Daramola [2] and Mercy Odochi Agho [3]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*

[2] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2021, 12(01), 540–557

Publication history: Received on 16 September 2021; revised on 23 October 2021; accepted on 25 October 2021

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2021.12.1.0536

## Abstract

Sustainable pore pressure prediction plays a pivotal role in optimizing geomechanical modeling, significantly enhancing drilling operations' efficiency and safety. The accurate estimation of pore pressure is crucial in identifying safe drilling windows, mitigating formation collapse, and avoiding blowouts. Traditional prediction methods often rely on static geological data, which may fail to capture dynamic reservoir behaviors, leading to operational risks. In contrast, integrating advanced computational techniques, real-time data analytics, and sustainable practices revolutionizes pore pressure prediction, providing adaptive and precise models that align with environmental and operational goals. This paper explores innovative approaches to pore pressure prediction, emphasizing the incorporation of machine learning algorithms, seismic data interpretation, and well-log analysis. These techniques allow for real-time updates, accommodating dynamic changes in subsurface conditions. Additionally, sustainable practices in prediction methodologies, such as minimizing reliance on invasive drilling methods and reducing energy consumption in modeling processes, are discussed. The impact of accurate pore pressure estimation on geomechanical modeling is profound, enhancing the prediction of stress fields and wellbore stability, critical for complex and high-pressure formations. The study highlights case examples where sustainable pore pressure prediction has facilitated better drilling outcomes, reducing non-productive time (NPT) and enhancing reservoir management. These examples underscore the role of predictive analytics in designing well trajectories, selecting optimal mud weights, and ensuring compliance with environmental standards. Moreover, the integration of digital twin technologies is presented as a frontier for coupling geomechanical and real-time operational data, providing a comprehensive decision-making framework. By addressing challenges such as data integration, uncertainty quantification, and computational limitations, this paper proposes pathways to refine sustainable prediction models. The findings advocate for a balanced approach that combines technological innovation with environmental stewardship, enabling more resilient and sustainable drilling operations.

**Keywords:** Sustainable Pore Pressure Prediction; Geomechanical Modeling; Drilling Operations; Wellbore Stability; Real-Time Data Analytics; Machine Learning; Reservoir Management; Digital Twin; Environmental Stewardship.

## 1. Introduction

Pore pressure prediction is a critical component of drilling operations, directly influencing the safety, efficiency, and overall success of well construction. Pore pressure, the pressure of fluids within the pore spaces of a rock, plays a pivotal role in determining drilling parameters such as mud weight and casing design (Ali, et al., 2020, Olufemi, Ozowe & Komolafe, 2011). Accurate pore pressure prediction is essential for identifying safe drilling windows, avoiding formation collapse, and preventing catastrophic events like blowouts. Traditional prediction methods, while widely used, often rely on static geological data, which may not adequately capture the dynamic nature of subsurface

* Corresponding author: Kate Aigbaifie Iwe

conditions. This limitation underscores the need for more adaptive and precise prediction techniques that can respond to real-time changes during drilling.

Geomechanical modeling complements pore pressure prediction by providing a comprehensive understanding of subsurface stress fields and their interactions with drilling operations. By incorporating data on rock strength, formation properties, and in-situ stress conditions, geomechanical models ensure wellbore stability and optimize well trajectory design (Chataway, Hanlin & Kaplinsky, 2014, de Almeida, Araújo & de Medeiros, 2017). These models are vital for mitigating drilling hazards, enhancing operational safety, and maximizing resource recovery. However, inaccuracies in pore pressure predictions can compromise geomechanical models, leading to costly delays and operational risks.

Sustainability in pore pressure prediction methodologies has become increasingly important in light of environmental and economic challenges in the oil and gas industry. Incorporating sustainable practices involves minimizing the environmental footprint of drilling operations, reducing energy consumption in computational processes, and optimizing resource utilization. By integrating advanced technologies such as machine learning, real-time data analytics, and digital twin systems, the industry can develop predictive models that are both accurate and environmentally responsible (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, Diao & Ghorbani, 2018). These innovations not only improve drilling outcomes but also align with broader goals of sustainability and operational efficiency.

This paper explores the intersection of sustainable pore pressure prediction and geomechanical modeling, emphasizing their combined impact on enhancing drilling operations. By adopting advanced methodologies and sustainable practices, the industry can achieve safer, more efficient, and environmentally conscious drilling processes.

## 2. Fundamentals of Pore Pressure Prediction

Pore pressure, defined as the pressure of fluids within the pore spaces of a rock, is a fundamental parameter in subsurface formations that significantly influences drilling operations. This pressure determines how fluids are distributed and behave within the reservoir and surrounding formations, impacting wellbore stability, drilling safety, and reservoir management (Bui, et al., 2018, Dickson & Fanelli, 2018). Accurate knowledge of pore pressure is crucial for designing drilling parameters such as mud weight, casing settings, and well trajectory. It also plays a pivotal role in preventing hazardous situations like formation collapse, stuck pipe incidents, or blowouts, which can lead to environmental and financial consequences.

Estimating pore pressure accurately requires an understanding of the complex interactions between geological, petrophysical, and fluid properties. Several methods are employed to predict pore pressure, each with unique strengths and limitations. Empirical methods are among the most traditional approaches used in the industry. These rely on established correlations between measurable subsurface properties and pore pressure. For example, the Eaton method and other similar techniques utilize overburden stress, formation resistivity, and sonic velocity data to estimate pore pressure indirectly (Ali, et al., 2015, Carter, Van Oort & Barendrecht, 2014). While empirical methods are relatively simple to apply and can provide rapid assessments, they often rely heavily on assumptions and historical data, which may not account for variations in geological formations or dynamic changes within the reservoir.

Seismic data analysis offers a more comprehensive approach to pore pressure prediction by utilizing the relationship between seismic wave velocities and rock properties. Compressional (P-wave) and shear (S-wave) velocities derived from seismic surveys are used to infer formation stiffness and fluid properties, which can be related to pore pressure. Advanced techniques, such as full waveform inversion and amplitude variation with offset (AVO) analysis, enhance the resolution and accuracy of seismic-based predictions (Carri, et al., 2021, Dominy, et al., 2018). Seismic methods are particularly valuable in frontier exploration areas where direct well data is limited. However, these methods are subject to uncertainties arising from data quality, velocity modeling assumptions, and resolution limitations, which can impact the reliability of predictions.

Well-log interpretation is another widely used method for pore pressure estimation, relying on data collected directly from wellbores. Resistivity, sonic, and density logs provide detailed information about formation properties, enabling the calculation of pore pressure gradients. Sonic logs, for example, measure the travel time of acoustic waves through rock formations, which can be correlated with rock stiffness and fluid pressure (Allahvirdizadeh, 2020, Burrows, et al., 2020). Density logs help estimate overburden stress, while resistivity logs can indicate fluid saturation and composition. The combination of multiple log types enhances the accuracy of pore pressure prediction, particularly in mature fields where extensive well data is available. However, this method is limited to areas with sufficient well coverage and may not capture regional variations in pore pressure.

Despite their widespread use, traditional approaches to pore pressure prediction have significant limitations that must be addressed to improve accuracy and sustainability. One major limitation is their reliance on static data, which may not reflect real-time changes in reservoir conditions. This static nature can lead to over- or underestimation of pore pressure, particularly in dynamic environments such as fault zones or high-pressure, high-temperature (HPHT) formations (Dong, et al., 2019, Hadinata, et al., 2021). Additionally, empirical and well-log-based methods often depend on pre-established correlations that may not be applicable to all geological settings, reducing their effectiveness in unconventional reservoirs or new exploration areas.

Another challenge is the resolution and quality of input data, particularly for seismic-based methods. Seismic surveys are inherently subject to noise, resolution limits, and interpretation biases, which can introduce uncertainties into pore pressure models. Furthermore, the computational complexity of advanced seismic techniques can be resource-intensive, raising concerns about sustainability and cost-effectiveness. Well-log interpretation, while detailed, is constrained by the availability of well data, which may be sparse or unevenly distributed in large fields.

These limitations highlight the need for innovative and sustainable approaches to pore pressure prediction. Integrating multiple data sources, such as seismic, well logs, and real-time drilling data, can provide a more holistic understanding of subsurface conditions. The application of machine learning algorithms to combine and analyze diverse datasets offers a promising avenue for improving prediction accuracy while reducing computational demands (Dufour, 2018, Olufemi, Ozowe & Afolabi, 2012). Additionally, the adoption of real-time monitoring systems and digital twin technologies enables dynamic updates to pore pressure models, addressing the limitations of static methods and enhancing responsiveness during drilling operations.

Sustainability in pore pressure prediction also involves minimizing the environmental impact of data acquisition and modeling processes. Reducing reliance on invasive techniques, optimizing resource utilization, and leveraging energy-efficient computational tools are essential steps toward achieving this goal. By addressing these challenges and embracing innovative methodologies, the industry can enhance the accuracy, safety, and sustainability of pore pressure prediction, ultimately supporting more efficient and environmentally responsible drilling operations.

### 2.1. Integration of Sustainable Practices in Pore Pressure Prediction

The integration of sustainable practices in pore pressure prediction represents a significant shift toward more environmentally responsible and efficient drilling operations. Traditionally, pore pressure estimation relied on invasive methods that often required extensive data collection through drilling, logging, and testing. However, as the industry evolves, there is increasing pressure to minimize environmental impacts, reduce operational costs, and improve the overall sustainability of exploration and production activities (Alvarez-Majmutov & Chen, 2014, Eldardiry & Habib, 2018). Sustainable pore pressure prediction emphasizes the importance of reducing reliance on invasive techniques, implementing energy-efficient computational modeling practices, and using environmentally friendly drilling fluids, all of which play a crucial role in enhancing the accuracy and safety of drilling operations while minimizing their ecological footprint.

Reducing reliance on invasive methods is a key principle in sustainable pore pressure prediction. In conventional practices, pore pressure estimation often requires extensive drilling operations, including the collection of rock samples, pressure testing, and other intrusive procedures. These methods can result in significant environmental disturbances, including land degradation, habitat disruption, and pollution (Brown, et al., 2020). Additionally, they consume substantial amounts of energy and resources, contributing to the industry's carbon footprint. To address these concerns, there has been a shift toward non-invasive, remote-sensing technologies, such as seismic surveys and advanced geophysical methods, which provide valuable data without the need for drilling (Ozowe, 2018). By leveraging these techniques, companies can gather crucial information about subsurface pressure and formation characteristics with minimal environmental impact.

Seismic data, for example, has become an increasingly effective tool for pore pressure prediction. Modern seismic methods such as full-waveform inversion (FWI) and amplitude variation with offset (AVO) analysis allow for high-resolution imaging of subsurface formations, helping to estimate pore pressure gradients with greater accuracy. These methods can provide real-time insights into pore pressure changes, reducing the need for extensive wellbore testing and improving the ability to predict pressure variations across large, complex fields (Epelle & Gerogiorgis, 2020, Hafezi & Alipour, 2021). As a result, the integration of seismic data into pore pressure prediction not only improves accuracy but also reduces the frequency and scope of invasive drilling activities, which helps mitigate environmental impact. Furthermore, the use of seismic data aligns with sustainability goals by reducing the need for resource-intensive operations, thereby lowering the overall carbon footprint of exploration and production activities.

Energy-efficient computational modeling techniques represent another crucial aspect of sustainable pore pressure prediction. The application of computational modeling has revolutionized the ability to predict pore pressure with high precision. However, traditional computational methods can be resource-intensive, requiring significant computational power and energy consumption (Anderson & Rezaie, 2019). With growing awareness of the environmental consequences of energy consumption, the focus has shifted toward optimizing modeling techniques to reduce energy requirements (Ozowe, 2021). Energy-efficient computational methods utilize algorithms and tools designed to minimize power consumption while maintaining high levels of accuracy. These advancements allow for the processing of large datasets with lower energy demands, thereby reducing the environmental impact of computationally intensive processes.

Machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) have emerged as powerful tools in this context. By automating complex pore pressure prediction tasks, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns in large datasets more efficiently than traditional methods. These algorithms can improve the speed and accuracy of predictions, significantly reducing the time and energy required to analyze subsurface conditions (Brevik, et al., 2016, Ozowe, et al., 2020). Moreover, machine learning models can be trained to prioritize energy-efficient processes, further enhancing the sustainability of computational modeling. By embracing AI-driven approaches, the industry can significantly reduce the carbon footprint associated with pore pressure prediction while also benefiting from more accurate and timely forecasts.

The use of environmentally friendly drilling fluids is another key sustainable practice in pore pressure prediction and drilling operations. Drilling fluids, commonly known as muds, are essential for maintaining wellbore stability, controlling pressure, and removing cuttings from the wellbore. However, many conventional drilling fluids contain harmful chemicals and materials that can negatively impact the environment if not properly managed (Bogdanov, et al., 2021, Ericson, Engel-Cox & Arent, 2019). The disposal of these fluids often results in contamination of groundwater and soil, and they can pose risks to local ecosystems. As part of the push for sustainability in drilling operations, the development and use of environmentally friendly drilling fluids has become a priority. These fluids are designed to be less toxic, biodegradable, and more easily managed, reducing their environmental impact.

Water-based muds (WBM) and synthetic-based muds (SBM) are two examples of environmentally friendly drilling fluids that are commonly used in sustainable drilling operations. Water-based muds, in particular, have gained popularity due to their low environmental toxicity and ease of disposal. By using non-toxic or less hazardous additives, these muds provide effective pressure control and wellbore stability while minimizing the risk of contamination (Erofeev, et al., 2019, Halabi, Al-Qattan & Al-Otaibi, 2015). Additionally, the use of environmentally friendly drilling fluids is often coupled with real-time monitoring systems that track fluid properties, ensuring that any changes in pore pressure are immediately detected and addressed. This combination of sustainable fluids and advanced monitoring techniques enables more accurate pore pressure predictions while reducing the ecological footprint of drilling operations.

Furthermore, advances in the development of biodegradable and non-toxic additives for drilling fluids have improved the sustainability of drilling operations. These additives enhance the performance of environmentally friendly fluids without compromising their effectiveness in controlling pore pressure. By integrating these fluids into sustainable pore pressure prediction strategies, operators can minimize environmental risks while improving the accuracy of their predictions (Eshiet & Sheng, 2018, Hamza, et al., 2021). For instance, less invasive fluid-based testing methods can provide insights into formation properties and pore pressure without the need for traditional sampling and testing procedures, which can be both costly and environmentally disruptive.

The integration of these sustainable practices into pore pressure prediction has significant implications for geomechanical modeling and overall drilling operations. Geomechanical models rely on accurate pore pressure estimates to simulate subsurface conditions, assess wellbore stability, and optimize drilling parameters. The use of non-invasive techniques, energy-efficient computational models, and environmentally friendly drilling fluids enhances the accuracy and reliability of these models. For example, when seismic data and machine learning models are integrated into geomechanical simulations, operators can obtain real-time pore pressure predictions that adapt to changes in the reservoir, allowing for more precise modeling of stress fields and formation behavior (Anwar, et al., 2018, Eyinla, et al., 2021). These improvements lead to safer and more efficient drilling operations, with a reduced risk of failure, formation collapse, or blowouts.

Moreover, these sustainable practices support broader environmental and economic goals by reducing resource consumption, lowering operational costs, and minimizing the environmental impact of drilling activities. As the oil and gas industry faces increasing regulatory scrutiny and stakeholder expectations around sustainability, the adoption of these practices becomes essential for maintaining public trust and ensuring long-term viability (Binley, et al., 2015, Farajzadeh, et al., 2020). The ability to predict pore pressure accurately and sustainably will help operators navigate the challenges of drilling in increasingly complex and environmentally sensitive areas while contributing to the industry's efforts to reduce its carbon footprint.

In conclusion, the integration of sustainable practices into pore pressure prediction represents a critical advancement in the oil and gas industry's efforts to balance operational efficiency with environmental responsibility. By reducing reliance on invasive methods, implementing energy-efficient computational techniques, and using environmentally friendly drilling fluids, operators can achieve more accurate, efficient, and sustainable pore pressure predictions (Hassani, Silva & Al Kaabi, 2017). These practices not only improve drilling safety and efficiency but also support broader sustainability goals, paving the way for more responsible and environmentally conscious exploration and production activities.

### 2.2. Technological Advancements in Pore Pressure Prediction

Technological advancements in pore pressure prediction have significantly enhanced the ability to forecast subsurface conditions, thereby improving the safety, efficiency, and sustainability of drilling operations. In particular, the application of machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI), the integration of seismic and petrophysical data, and the introduction of digital twin technologies have revolutionized how pore pressure is predicted and modelled (Garia, et al., 2019, Heidari, Nikolinakou & Flemings, 2018). These innovations not only improve the accuracy of predictions but also provide real-time capabilities and dynamic updates, which are essential for adapting to the complexities and challenges of modern drilling environments. Moreover, these advancements contribute to a more sustainable approach by reducing the reliance on invasive techniques and increasing the operational efficiency of drilling projects.

Machine learning and AI have emerged as powerful tools in pore pressure prediction, allowing for the development of data-driven models that enhance the accuracy and reliability of subsurface forecasts. Traditional methods of pore pressure estimation often relied on empirical correlations, geological assumptions, and data from well logs, which may not capture the full complexity of subsurface conditions (Ghani, Khan & Garaniya, 2015). Machine learning models, however, can process vast amounts of data from diverse sources and learn complex patterns in the data that are not immediately apparent. By training algorithms on historical and real-time datasets, ML models can generate more accurate pore pressure predictions, reducing the uncertainties associated with traditional methods.

AI-powered data-driven models significantly improve accuracy by continuously adapting and refining predictions as new data becomes available. For instance, machine learning models can be trained on seismic, petrophysical, and well-log data to predict pore pressure in different geological settings. These models improve over time as they are exposed to more data, allowing for more precise forecasting of pore pressure in areas with limited or no well data (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glassley, 2014). The ability of AI to identify patterns in large, complex datasets enhances the overall prediction capabilities, especially in regions where geological formations are heterogeneous and difficult to model using conventional methods.

One of the most significant advantages of AI and ML in pore pressure prediction is their ability to provide real-time prediction capabilities. In modern drilling operations, where real-time data acquisition is increasingly common, AI models can process incoming data from sensors, seismic surveys, and monitoring equipment to adjust predictions as conditions change (Griffiths, 2017, Heinemann, et al., 2021). This real-time capability allows for immediate adjustments to drilling parameters, such as mud weight and casing design, ensuring that pore pressure is continuously managed to avoid wellbore instability, blowouts, and other risks associated with unpredictable formation pressures. The ability to make real-time adjustments enhances operational safety and allows for more efficient decision-making, reducing both the environmental impact and operational costs associated with drilling.

The integration of seismic and petrophysical data plays a critical role in improving pore pressure prediction. Seismic data, which provides information on the velocity and acoustic properties of subsurface formations, is a vital tool for estimating pore pressure, particularly in areas with limited well data. By analyzing seismic velocity data, geophysicists can identify the relationship between pressure and formation properties, which allows for more accurate predictions of pore pressure gradients (Hossain, et al., 2017). Seismic methods, such as amplitude variation with offset (AVO) analysis and full-waveform inversion (FWI), provide high-resolution imaging of the subsurface, helping to detect variations in pore pressure across different geological layers. These techniques improve the understanding of subsurface pressure dynamics, particularly in complex reservoirs or frontier exploration areas where traditional well data may be sparse.

In addition to seismic data, petrophysical data plays an essential role in refining pore pressure predictions. Petrophysical properties, such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, directly influence pore pressure, and their accurate measurement is critical for effective prediction. Integrating petrophysical data with seismic and well-log data helps create a more comprehensive model of the subsurface, leading to more precise pore pressure predictions. For example, changes in fluid saturation or porosity can significantly impact the pressure within a formation, and integrating these parameters into pore pressure models helps to account for variations that might otherwise be overlooked (Bagum, 2018, Huaman & Jun, 2014). The combination of seismic and petrophysical data enables a holistic approach to pore pressure estimation, improving the accuracy of predictions and reducing uncertainties associated with individual data sources.

One of the most exciting developments in pore pressure prediction technology is the introduction of digital twin technologies. Digital twins are virtual representations of physical systems that can simulate real-world conditions in real time. In the context of drilling operations, a digital twin can be used to model and monitor subsurface conditions, including pore pressure, throughout the drilling process (Jamrozik, et al., 2016). These digital models integrate data from multiple sources, such as seismic surveys, real-time sensor data, well logs, and geological information, to create a dynamic, real-time representation of the wellbore and surrounding formation. By continuously updating the model as new data is collected, digital twins provide an up-to-date, accurate picture of pore pressure conditions at every stage of the drilling operation.

The introduction of digital twins enhances the ability to predict and manage pore pressure more effectively. By simulating the behavior of the reservoir and wellbore, digital twins allow operators to anticipate potential issues before they occur. For example, the model can predict how pore pressure will change as drilling progresses, enabling operators to adjust drilling parameters proactively (Jharap, et al., 2020, Ozowe, Russell & Sharma, 2020). This reduces the risk of wellbore instability, stuck pipe incidents, and blowouts, while also optimizing drilling efficiency. Furthermore, digital twins enable the testing of various scenarios in a virtual environment, allowing for better decision-making and more efficient planning of drilling operations. The use of digital twin technologies helps to ensure that pore pressure is accurately managed throughout the entire drilling process, from the planning phase to the final stages of production.

In addition to improving pore pressure prediction accuracy, digital twins contribute to the sustainability of drilling operations by reducing the need for invasive testing and continuous monitoring. Traditional pore pressure prediction methods often require frequent well testing, such as pressure sampling and mud weight adjustments, which can be costly and environmentally disruptive. Digital twins, on the other hand, provide a non-invasive method of monitoring pore pressure and wellbore conditions in real time, reducing the need for such invasive interventions (Bahmaei & Hosseini, 2020, Jomthanachai, Wong & Lim, 2021). This not only lowers operational costs but also reduces the environmental footprint of drilling operations.

The integration of digital twin technologies with machine learning and AI further enhances the predictive capabilities of pore pressure models. By combining the real-time data processing power of AI with the dynamic simulation capabilities of digital twins, operators can create highly accurate, adaptive models that respond to changing conditions during the drilling process. This synergy allows for better risk management and operational optimization, ensuring that pore pressure is accurately predicted and effectively managed at all times.

In conclusion, technological advancements in pore pressure prediction, such as the application of machine learning and AI, the integration of seismic and petrophysical data, and the introduction of digital twin technologies, have dramatically improved the accuracy, efficiency, and sustainability of drilling operations (Kabeyi, 2019). These innovations enable more precise, real-time predictions of pore pressure, reducing the risks associated with wellbore instability and enhancing overall operational safety. Furthermore, by reducing reliance on invasive methods and improving data-driven decision-making, these advancements contribute to more environmentally responsible drilling practices, ensuring that the oil and gas industry can meet both its operational and sustainability goals. As these technologies continue to evolve, they promise to further transform pore pressure prediction, driving more efficient and sustainable practices in the industry.

### 2.3. Impact on Geomechanical Modelling

The integration of sustainable pore pressure prediction methods into geomechanical modeling has led to significant advancements in enhancing the safety, efficiency, and reliability of drilling operations. Geomechanical modeling plays a crucial role in understanding the stress fields and stability of subsurface formations, and by incorporating sustainable and accurate pore pressure prediction, the ability to predict formation behavior and manage risks improves considerably (Ball, 2021, Karad & Thakur, 2021). These advancements allow operators to optimize their drilling strategies, reduce non-productive time (NPT), and ensure the stability of the wellbore, which is vital in preventing costly and hazardous incidents such as wellbore instability or blowouts.

One of the primary impacts of sustainable pore pressure prediction on geomechanical modeling is its ability to enhance the understanding of stress fields and formation stability. By accurately predicting pore pressure, geomechanical models can more precisely account for the variations in subsurface stress, which is essential for assessing the stability of the wellbore during drilling. Pore pressure is a critical component of the overall stress state of a formation, influencing the effective stress and, consequently, the mechanical behavior of the rock. Inaccurate or insufficient pore pressure estimation can lead to erroneous predictions of formation strength and stability, potentially resulting in wellbore failure (Khalid, et al., 2016). The ability to predict pore pressure with greater accuracy enables more reliable models of formation stability, thus ensuring that drilling operations are conducted within safe limits. This is particularly important in unconventional reservoirs, deepwater drilling, and regions with complex geological settings, where pore pressure variations are often highly variable and challenging to predict.

The improved accuracy in pore pressure prediction also enhances the ability to predict safe drilling windows. Drilling windows are the ranges of pore pressure and fracture gradients within which the wellbore can be drilled safely without encountering issues such as kicks, blowouts, or formation damage. Accurate pore pressure prediction enables the precise determination of these windows, ensuring that drilling operations are planned within the limits of formation integrity (Kinik, Gumus & Osayande, 2015). By reducing uncertainty in pore pressure estimates, geomechanical models can more accurately predict the pressure gradients required to keep the wellbore stable and avoid incidents related to overpressure or underpressure. This improvement is especially critical in high-pressure, high-temperature (HPHT) environments and deepwater operations, where maintaining the proper balance between drilling mud weight and pore pressure is essential to preventing wellbore instability.

Furthermore, the integration of sustainable pore pressure prediction methods into geomechanical modeling leads to a significant reduction in non-productive time (NPT) by allowing for proactive planning. Inaccurate pore pressure predictions often lead to unexpected challenges during drilling, such as the need to modify drilling parameters, change mud weights, or halt operations to reassess wellbore stability (Bashir, et al., 2020). These unanticipated adjustments are costly and time-consuming, contributing to non-productive time. However, by utilizing more accurate and sustainable pore pressure prediction techniques, operators can anticipate pressure-related issues before they occur, allowing for better pre-drilling planning and more efficient execution (Kiran, et al., 2017). Early identification of potential pressure zones allows for better selection of drilling muds, casing programs, and wellbore designs that mitigate risks associated with overpressure or formation instability. By minimizing the need for reactive measures, such as wellbore re-entry or abandonment, the overall efficiency of drilling operations is significantly improved, leading to lower operational costs and increased productivity.

Several case studies demonstrate the tangible improvements in geomechanical modeling and drilling outcomes resulting from sustainable pore pressure prediction techniques. In one case, a deepwater drilling project faced significant challenges in predicting pore pressure variations in a complex, multi-layered formation. Traditional pore pressure prediction methods had led to frequent wellbore instability and unexpected pressure kicks, causing delays and significant NPT. However, after integrating more accurate and sustainable pore pressure prediction techniques using real-time seismic and petrophysical data, the geomechanical model was able to more accurately forecast pore pressure variations (Kumari & Ranjith, 2019). This improved prediction allowed the drilling team to adjust their mud weights and optimize their casing program, resulting in more stable drilling operations and the successful completion of the well without further wellbore instability or pressure kicks.

Another case study highlights the role of sustainable pore pressure prediction in mitigating blowouts, one of the most severe and dangerous incidents that can occur during drilling. In this instance, a high-pressure well had experienced multiple near-blowout incidents due to the inaccurate prediction of pore pressure. The use of conventional empirical methods and historical data had failed to capture the full complexity of the formation's pressure regime, leading to inadequate well control measures (Bayer, et al., 2019, Leung, Caramanna & Maroto-Valer, 2014). After adopting advanced pore pressure prediction techniques that incorporated machine learning and real-time seismic data integration, the team was able to obtain a more accurate representation of the formation's pore pressure. This allowed them to adjust drilling parameters in real time, providing better control over the well and preventing a blowout. The combination of advanced pore pressure prediction and geomechanical modeling enabled the team to safely navigate high-pressure zones and minimize the risk of a blowout.

The integration of sustainable pore pressure prediction techniques has also had a significant impact on the prevention of wellbore instability in unconventional reservoirs, where the geological complexity and heterogeneity of the formations make pore pressure prediction particularly challenging. In one example, a shale gas reservoir was being drilled with frequent wellbore instability issues, including stuck pipe incidents and borehole enlargement. Traditional methods for pore pressure prediction had been inadequate, leading to inaccurate wellbore stability predictions (Benighaus & Bleicher, 2019, Li & Zhang, 2018). However, by utilizing real-time pore pressure measurements and integrating them with advanced geomechanical modeling, the drilling team was able to identify zones of high pore pressure and adjust drilling parameters accordingly. This proactive approach not only mitigated the risk of wellbore instability but also allowed for the optimization of the drilling program, resulting in reduced NPT and improved overall well delivery.

Another significant benefit of sustainable pore pressure prediction and its impact on geomechanical modeling is its role in improving the management of drilling risks. In geomechanics, understanding the balance between pore pressure, fracture pressure, and wellbore stability is crucial to ensuring that drilling operations are conducted safely. Inaccurate predictions of pore pressure can lead to drilling incidents such as overpressure kicks, stuck pipe, or even blowouts, all of which pose serious safety and environmental risks (Li, et al., 2019). By using more accurate and sustainable pore pressure prediction methods, operators can more effectively manage these risks by accurately predicting the pressure behavior in various geological formations. This, in turn, enables the selection of the most suitable drilling techniques, mud types, and casing designs for each specific well, ensuring that the drilling operation proceeds safely and efficiently.

In conclusion, the integration of sustainable pore pressure prediction into geomechanical modeling has a profound impact on drilling operations by enhancing the understanding of stress fields and formation stability, improving the prediction of safe drilling windows, and reducing non-productive time. Through case studies and practical applications, it is clear that accurate pore pressure prediction helps mitigate wellbore instability, prevent blowouts, and optimize drilling efficiency (Lindi, 2017). By incorporating real-time data and advanced predictive techniques, operators can make more informed decisions, reduce operational costs, and ensure the safety and success of their drilling projects. The continued development and application of sustainable pore pressure prediction technologies will undoubtedly drive further improvements in geomechanical modeling, leading to safer, more efficient, and environmentally responsible drilling operations.

### 2.4. Environmental and Operational Benefits

Sustainable pore pressure prediction has become a pivotal aspect of modern drilling operations, contributing significantly to both environmental and operational benefits. The integration of accurate and sustainable pore pressure prediction methods within geomechanical modeling enhances the overall effectiveness of drilling operations while mitigating environmental risks and reducing operational costs (Bilgen, 2014, Liu, et al., 2019). By providing a more precise understanding of subsurface conditions, sustainable pore pressure prediction contributes to better resource management, less waste, and fewer disruptions during drilling, leading to increased safety and efficiency.

One of the key environmental benefits of sustainable pore pressure prediction is the reduction of the environmental footprint associated with drilling activities. Traditionally, drilling operations have been associated with various environmental challenges, including overuse of resources, contamination of groundwater, and damage to surrounding ecosystems. Inaccurate pore pressure prediction has often led to drilling disruptions, such as blowouts, wellbore instability, and unplanned wellbore cleaning procedures, all of which exacerbate the environmental impact of drilling (Lohne, et al., 2016). These operational setbacks not only waste valuable time and resources but can also cause significant environmental damage through uncontrolled discharges of drilling fluids, gas leaks, and other contaminants.

By adopting sustainable pore pressure prediction methods, operators can optimize drilling parameters, such as mud weight and drilling speed, to prevent such disruptions. Accurate prediction of pore pressure variations allows for the implementation of more precise wellbore management strategies, reducing the need for invasive methods like wellbore cleaning and excessive drilling fluid use. These strategies minimize the environmental impact by limiting the release of harmful substances into the surrounding environment and ensuring that drilling operations are carried out within safe parameters that prevent contamination or destabilization of the formation (Luo, et al., 2019, Szulecki & Westphal, 2014). Additionally, the ability to predict formation pressures in real time enables operators to adjust drilling parameters proactively, avoiding catastrophic events such as blowouts or unexpected gas releases that could have severe environmental consequences.

Furthermore, by reducing the frequency of operational disruptions and enhancing the ability to maintain wellbore integrity throughout the drilling process, sustainable pore pressure prediction contributes to a more efficient use of resources, thereby decreasing the overall environmental footprint. For example, fewer drilling interruptions result in less frequent use of heavy equipment and fewer trips in and out of the well, reducing fuel consumption, emissions, and the carbon footprint of drilling operations (Mac Kinnon, Brouwer & Samuelsen, 2018, Suvin, et al., 2021). Additionally, more accurate predictions of pore pressure ensure that drilling fluids are used more efficiently, preventing unnecessary waste and reducing the need for disposal of spent fluids, which can be harmful to the environment if not properly managed.

In terms of operational benefits, sustainable pore pressure prediction significantly reduces the frequency of disruptions, leading to considerable cost savings. Operational disruptions, such as stuck pipe, wellbore instability, and pressure kicks, are common occurrences in drilling operations that lead to extended downtime, costly repairs, and the need for additional resources to rectify the issues. These disruptions are often caused by inaccurate or imprecise predictions of pore pressure, which can lead to poor wellbore stability or a lack of appropriate well control measures.

By integrating more accurate and sustainable pore pressure prediction methods, such as real-time data acquisition, seismic data analysis, and advanced geomechanical modeling, operators can proactively mitigate these issues. For example, a precise prediction of pore pressure enables operators to select the correct drilling muds, casing designs, and drilling parameters to avoid problems like kicks or wellbore collapse (Marhoon, 2020, Sule, et al., 2019). These proactive measures reduce the need for costly reactive measures, such as wellbore re-entry, re-drilling, or expensive well control operations. As a result, operational costs are significantly reduced, and drilling projects can be completed more efficiently, leading to lower overall expenses.

In addition to reducing downtime and operational costs, sustainable pore pressure prediction also enhances the management of resources during drilling operations. Accurate pore pressure prediction enables operators to optimize their drilling strategies to extract the maximum value from a reservoir while avoiding the risk of damaging the formation or overproducing resources (Martin-Roberts, et al., 2021, Stober & Bucher, 2013). This form of proactive reservoir management is critical to achieving sustainable resource recovery, as it ensures that the well is drilled in a manner that maximizes production without causing long-term harm to the formation or reducing the reservoir's productive lifespan.

For example, sustainable pore pressure prediction allows operators to avoid drilling into overpressured zones or fractures that could lead to unwanted production loss or premature reservoir depletion. By precisely identifying the pore pressure distribution across the reservoir, operators can design wells that minimize the risk of overproduction or underproduction while improving the efficiency of resource extraction (McCollum, et al., 2018, Spada, Sutra & Burgherr, 2021). This results in more sustainable reservoir management practices that not only ensure the long-term viability of the reservoir but also maximize the recovery factor, which is the proportion of a reservoir's total volume that can be safely and economically recovered over time.

Additionally, sustainable pore pressure prediction helps optimize the drilling process in a way that enhances resource recovery. For instance, accurate pore pressure estimates ensure that the wellbore remains stable during drilling and that the right mud weight is used to balance the pressure in the formation, preventing potential damage to the reservoir. This balance is crucial for the long-term productivity of the reservoir, as improper drilling conditions can lead to issues such as formation damage, loss of permeability, and reduced flow rates (Mikunda, et al., 2021, Soltani, et al., 2021). By integrating sustainable practices into pore pressure prediction, operators can ensure that drilling operations contribute to the efficient extraction of resources without causing irreparable damage to the reservoir.

The use of advanced technologies, such as machine learning, artificial intelligence, and real-time data integration, further enhances the ability to make accurate and sustainable pore pressure predictions, further benefiting both the environment and operational efficiency. Machine learning algorithms can process large amounts of historical data and real-time measurements to predict pore pressure more accurately, leading to more efficient drilling practices (Mohd Aman, Shaari & Ibrahim, 2021, Soga, t al., 2016). The integration of digital twins into drilling operations, for example, allows for real-time modeling and monitoring of the drilling process, providing immediate feedback and adjustments to pore pressure predictions. This dynamic, data-driven approach ensures that operators can continuously optimize their drilling strategy, minimizing both operational disruptions and environmental risks.

Another notable benefit of sustainable pore pressure prediction is its role in enhancing safety and reducing the risk of catastrophic events. Accurate pore pressure predictions allow operators to identify potential hazards early, such as high-pressure zones or fractures that may lead to blowouts, kicks, or other dangerous incidents (Soeder & Soeder, 2021). By anticipating these risks and adjusting drilling parameters accordingly, operators can avoid costly and dangerous events that not only disrupt operations but also pose serious environmental and safety concerns. Furthermore, this proactive risk management approach ensures that drilling operations are carried out with minimal impact on the surrounding ecosystem, contributing to the overall sustainability of the project.

In conclusion, the integration of sustainable pore pressure prediction into geomechanical modeling provides significant environmental and operational benefits, improving the efficiency, safety, and sustainability of drilling operations. By optimizing drilling practices, reducing operational disruptions, and ensuring more sustainable reservoir management, sustainable pore pressure prediction contributes to lower environmental impacts, cost savings, and enhanced resource recovery (Mohsen & Fereshteh, 2017, Zhang, et al., 2021). The continued development of advanced technologies, data integration techniques, and machine learning models will further enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of pore pressure prediction, ensuring that drilling operations remain safe, efficient, and environmentally responsible. Through these advancements, sustainable practices in drilling operations can be achieved, contributing to the long-term viability of the oil and gas industry while minimizing its impact on the environment.

### 2.5. Challenges and Future Directions

Sustainable pore pressure prediction is a cornerstone of modern drilling operations, providing valuable insights into subsurface conditions and guiding operational decisions. However, despite significant progress in this field, several challenges persist in achieving consistent, accurate, and sustainable pore pressure predictions that align with the evolving needs of the oil and gas industry (Mosca, et al., 2018, Shrestha, et al., 2017). The integration of these predictive models into geomechanical modeling processes to enhance drilling operations remains complex, with hurdles that need to be overcome to fully realize the benefits. These challenges span across data integration, uncertainty management, computational capabilities, and future trends in sustainable and adaptive modeling.

One of the primary challenges in sustainable pore pressure prediction is the integration and management of data. Accurate pore pressure prediction relies on the synthesis of a variety of data sources, including seismic data, well logs, geological surveys, and real-time measurements from drilling operations. These datasets are often diverse in nature and come with varying levels of quality, resolution, and temporal relevance (Mrdjen & Lee, 2016, Shortall, Davidsdottir & Axelsson, 2015). Integrating these heterogeneous data sources into a cohesive, actionable prediction model is a complex task, as discrepancies in data quality can lead to inaccurate pore pressure predictions, which in turn impact geomechanical modeling and wellbore stability. For instance, seismic data may not always provide sufficient resolution at the required depth, while well log data may be sparse in certain areas. Moreover, temporal mismatches between data collected from various sources can complicate real-time modeling efforts.

The integration of such diverse data sets requires robust data fusion techniques, but achieving reliable and consistent outcomes from this data remains an ongoing challenge. Furthermore, ensuring data quality is critical, as low-quality or noisy data can skew predictions and cause discrepancies in geomechanical modeling. Addressing these issues requires advancements in data cleaning, pre-processing techniques, and the application of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms capable of identifying and correcting data inconsistencies (Mushtaq, et al., 2020, Shahbazi & Nasab, 2016). Additionally, the challenge of integrating real-time data during the drilling process adds another layer of complexity, as operators need predictive models that can adjust to the ever-changing conditions of the wellbore while maintaining high accuracy.

Another significant challenge lies in addressing the uncertainties inherent in prediction models. Pore pressure prediction models, while essential, are often based on simplifying assumptions that may not always capture the full complexity of subsurface formations. Geological formations are highly variable, with intricate variations in rock properties, fluid content, and stress fields that can lead to significant uncertainty in predictions (Najibi & Asef, 2014, Ozowe, Zheng & Sharma, 2020). Even with advanced techniques, such as machine learning and statistical analysis, there is no perfect model for pore pressure prediction that can account for all possible variations in subsurface conditions. These uncertainties are further compounded by the lack of comprehensive data in certain regions or for specific geological formations.

To minimize these uncertainties, it is crucial to develop more robust models that can incorporate variability in subsurface conditions. Incorporating stochastic methods and probabilistic approaches in pore pressure prediction models may allow for more accurate representation of uncertainty and improve predictions (Najibi, et al., 2017, Quintanilla, et al., 2021). These methods would provide a range of possible outcomes rather than a single deterministic prediction, enabling operators to better understand the range of potential risks and uncertainties. However, implementing such approaches requires sophisticated computational tools and methodologies that can handle the complexity of the data and model the probabilistic nature of subsurface conditions effectively.

Advances in computational capabilities are essential for improving pore pressure prediction models and reducing the impact of uncertainties. Traditional modeling methods, particularly those relying on empirical formulas and static data, often struggle to cope with the vast amount of data available from modern drilling operations (Napp, et al., 2014, Shahbaz, et al., 2016). The rapid increase in computational power has facilitated the development of more sophisticated models, such as those based on machine learning, artificial intelligence, and data-driven approaches. These models can process large volumes of data, adapt to new information in real-time, and provide more accurate and dynamic predictions.

However, while computational advancements offer substantial benefits, they also present challenges. The complexity of integrating real-time data with predictive models, particularly in the context of adaptive and adaptive learning models, requires powerful computational tools that can work at scale and speed (Nduagu & Gates, 2015, Seyedmohammadi, 2017). Furthermore, the computational cost of such advanced modeling techniques can be high, particularly when applied to large-scale drilling operations. Managing the balance between model accuracy and computational efficiency is an ongoing challenge, especially when striving for real-time predictions that can dynamically adjust to the ever-changing drilling conditions.

The next phase of sustainable pore pressure prediction will likely involve more advanced modeling frameworks that embrace both technological innovations and adaptive modeling techniques. For example, the use of digital twins in drilling operations promises to bring real-time, high-fidelity simulations that can replicate and predict subsurface behavior as drilling progresses (Nguyen, et al., 2014, Salam & Salam, 2020). Digital twins can continuously integrate new data, adjust pore pressure predictions accordingly, and provide operators with real-time feedback on the stability of the wellbore. This concept, still in its early stages, has the potential to revolutionize the industry by offering a dynamic, adaptable approach to pore pressure prediction and geomechanical modeling.

Looking ahead, the future of sustainable pore pressure prediction will be defined by an increasing focus on integrating sustainability principles into predictive modeling. While the oil and gas industry has long been focused on maximizing efficiency and productivity, there is a growing recognition of the need to reduce environmental impacts and ensure responsible resource management (Nimana, Canter & Kumar, 2015, Raza, et al., 2019). This includes not only minimizing the environmental footprint of drilling operations but also ensuring that pore pressure prediction models contribute to sustainable reservoir management. For example, future models will likely focus on optimizing production in a way that maximizes resource recovery while preserving reservoir integrity. Sustainable practices may also involve incorporating more renewable energy sources into drilling operations, such as solar or wind power, and improving the efficiency of drilling operations to reduce carbon emissions.

Furthermore, the future of sustainable pore pressure prediction will likely see the further development of adaptive modeling techniques that can adjust to new information as it becomes available. These techniques will allow for continuous updates to prediction models, helping to account for the dynamic nature of subsurface conditions and ensuring that drilling operations remain on course, even as unexpected challenges arise (Okwiri, 2017, Olayiwola & Sanuade, 2021). The ability to rapidly update and adapt pore pressure models to changing conditions will be crucial in minimizing operational disruptions and ensuring the safety of drilling operations.

The integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence into pore pressure prediction models will continue to evolve. These technologies hold the potential to significantly improve the accuracy and speed of pore pressure predictions, as AI can process large datasets, learn from patterns in historical data, and optimize models in real-time. In addition, the development of more sophisticated algorithms will enable the modeling of complex subsurface environments with greater precision, accounting for more variables and reducing uncertainty in predictions (Pan, et al., 2019, Rashid, Benhelal & Rafiq, 2020). With AI's ability to learn and adapt to new data continuously, these technologies can greatly enhance the real-time prediction capabilities of pore pressure models.

In conclusion, sustainable pore pressure prediction represents an essential component of modern drilling operations, with the potential to improve safety, efficiency, and environmental stewardship. However, several challenges remain, particularly in the areas of data integration, uncertainty management, and computational limitations. Addressing these challenges will require continued innovation in data fusion, modeling techniques, and computational technologies (Rahman, Canter & Kumar, 2014, Raliya, et al., 2017). The future of pore pressure prediction will be shaped by advances in AI, machine learning, and adaptive modeling techniques, enabling the development of more sustainable, accurate, and dynamic models. As the oil and gas industry continues to adapt to the evolving demands for sustainability and efficiency, sustainable pore pressure prediction will play a critical role in driving these changes and ensuring the future success of drilling operations.

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, sustainable pore pressure prediction plays a pivotal role in modern drilling operations, offering the potential for safer, more efficient, and environmentally responsible practices. Through accurate pore pressure modeling, operators can make informed decisions about wellbore stability, drilling fluid management, and overall operational safety, thus reducing the risks of unexpected events like blowouts or wellbore instability. The integration of geomechanical modeling enhances the understanding of subsurface stress conditions, enabling the prediction of safe drilling windows and ultimately minimizing non-productive time (NPT) and operational disruptions. As the industry progresses, the need for sustainable approaches to pore pressure prediction becomes increasingly apparent, as these methods not only support operational efficiency but also help in managing the environmental impact of drilling activities.

Incorporating sustainability into pore pressure prediction and geomechanical modeling allows the industry to move toward practices that reduce its carbon footprint, optimize resource recovery, and minimize waste generation. This is particularly relevant in a time when there is growing pressure on the oil and gas industry to adopt more environmentally conscious practices. Innovations in predictive modeling, such as the use of machine learning, AI, and data integration techniques, offer a promising future for the sector. These technologies allow for real-time, dynamic adjustments in predictions, offering the flexibility to respond to changing subsurface conditions while ensuring operational efficiency and safety.

However, achieving a balance between operational efficiency and environmental stewardship requires continued innovation and investment in advanced technologies. The challenges related to data integration, uncertainties in predictions, and computational demands remain significant but are not insurmountable. Addressing these challenges will require further research, collaboration across industries, and the development of more sophisticated tools and models. By leveraging emerging technologies and sustainable practices, the oil and gas industry can enhance its ability to manage resources more efficiently, reduce its environmental impact, and ensure the safety of its drilling operations. The continued evolution of sustainable pore pressure prediction will be essential to the industry's future success, both in terms of operational effectiveness and environmental responsibility.

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

## Reference

[1] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.

[2] Ali, J. A., Kalhury, A. M., Sabir, A. N., Ahmed, R. N., Ali, N. H., & Abdullah, A. D. (2020). A state-of-the-art review of the application of nanotechnology in the oil and gas industry with a focus on drilling engineering. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *191*, 107118.

[3] Ali, N., Jaffar, A., Anwer, M., Khan, S., Anjum, M., Hussain, A., ... & Ming, X. (2015). The greenhouse gas emissions produced by cement production and its impact on environment: a review of global cement processing. *International Journal of Research (IJR)*, *2*(2).

[4] Allahvirdizadeh, P. (2020). A review on geothermal wells: Well integrity issues. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *275*, 124009.

[5] Alvarez-Majmutov, A., & Chen, J. (2014). Analyzing the energy intensity and greenhouse gas emission of Canadian oil sands crude upgrading through process modeling and simulation. *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering*, *8*, 212-218.

[6] Anderson, A., & Rezaie, B. (2019). Geothermal technology: Trends and potential role in a sustainable future. *Applied Energy*, *248*, 18-34.

[7] Anwar, M. N., Fayyaz, A., Sohail, N. F., Khokhar, M. F., Baqar, M., Khan, W. D., ... & Nizami, A. S. (2018). CO2 capture and storage: a way forward for sustainable environment. *Journal of environmental management*, *226*, 131-144.

[8] Armstrong, R. C., Wolfram, C., De Jong, K. P., Gross, R., Lewis, N. S., Boardman, B., ... & Ramana, M. V. (2016). The frontiers of energy. *Nature Energy*, *1*(1), 1-8.

[9] Bagum, M. (2018). *Development of an environmentally safe additive with natural material for drilling fluid application* (Doctoral dissertation, Memorial University of Newfoundland).

[10] Bahmaei, Z., & Hosseini, E. (2020). Pore pressure prediction using seismic velocity modeling: case study, Sefid-Zakhor gas field in Southern Iran. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *10*, 1051-1062.

[11] Ball, P. J. (2021). A review of geothermal technologies and their role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the USA. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, *143*(1), 010903.

[12] Bashir, I., Lone, F. A., Bhat, R. A., Mir, S. A., Dar, Z. A., & Dar, S. A. (2020). Concerns and threats of contamination on aquatic ecosystems. *Bioremediation and biotechnology: sustainable approaches to pollution degradation*, 1-26.

[13] Bayer, P., Attard, G., Blum, P., & Menberg, K. (2019). The geothermal potential of cities. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *106*, 17-30.

[14] Benighaus, C., & Bleicher, A. (2019). Neither risky technology nor renewable electricity: Contested frames in the development of geothermal energy in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *47*, 46-55.

[15] Bilgen, S. E. L. Ç. U. K. (2014). Structure and environmental impact of global energy consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *38*, 890-902.

[16] Binley, A., Hubbard, S. S., Huisman, J. A., Revil, A., Robinson, D. A., Singha, K., & Slater, L. D. (2015). The emergence of hydrogeophysics for improved understanding of subsurface processes over multiple scales. *Water resources research*, *51*(6), 3837-3866.

[17] Binley, A., Hubbard, S. S., Huisman, J. A., Revil, A., Robinson, D. A., Singha, K., & Slater, L. D. (2015). The emergence of hydrogeophysics for improved understanding of subsurface processes over multiple scales. *Water resources research*, *51*(6), 3837-3866.

[18] Bogdanov, D., Ram, M., Aghahosseini, A., Gulagi, A., Oyewo, A. S., Child, M., ... & Breyer, C. (2021). Low-cost renewable electricity as the key driver of the global energy transition towards sustainability. *Energy*, *227*, 120467.

[19] Bogdanov, D., Ram, M., Aghahosseini, A., Gulagi, A., Oyewo, A. S., Child, M., ... & Breyer, C. (2021). Low-cost renewable electricity as the key driver of the global energy transition towards sustainability. *Energy*, *227*, 120467.

[20] Brevik, E. C., Calzolari, C., Miller, B. A., Pereira, P., Kabala, C., Baumgarten, A., & Jordán, A. (2016). Soil mapping, classification, and pedologic modeling: History and future directions. *Geoderma*, *264*, 256-274.

[21] Brevik, E. C., Calzolari, C., Miller, B. A., Pereira, P., Kabala, C., Baumgarten, A., & Jordán, A. (2016). Soil mapping, classification, and pedologic modeling: History and future directions. *Geoderma*, *264*, 256-274.

[22] Brown, S., Coolbaugh, M., DeAngelo, J., Faulds, J., Fehler, M., Gu, C., ... & Mlawsky, E. (2020). Machine learning for natural resource assessment: An application to the blind geothermal systems of Nevada. *Transactions-Geothermal Resources Council*, *44*.

[23] Brown, S., Coolbaugh, M., DeAngelo, J., Faulds, J., Fehler, M., Gu, C., ... & Mlawsky, E. (2020). Machine learning for natural resource assessment: An application to the blind geothermal systems of Nevada. *Transactions-Geothermal Resources Council*, *44*.

[24] Bui, M., Adjiman, C. S., Bardow, A., Anthony, E. J., Boston, A., Brown, S., ... & Mac Dowell, N. (2018). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *11*(5), 1062-1176.

[25] Bui, M., Adjiman, C. S., Bardow, A., Anthony, E. J., Boston, A., Brown, S., ... & Mac Dowell, N. (2018). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *11*(5), 1062-1176.

[26] Burrows, L. C., Haeri, F., Cvetic, P., Sanguinito, S., Shi, F., Tapriyal, D., ... & Enick, R. M. (2020). A literature review of CO2, natural gas, and water-based fluids for enhanced oil recovery in unconventional reservoirs. *Energy & Fuels*, *34*(5), 5331-5380.

[27] Burrows, L. C., Haeri, F., Cvetic, P., Sanguinito, S., Shi, F., Tapriyal, D., ... & Enick, R. M. (2020). A literature review of CO2, natural gas, and water-based fluids for enhanced oil recovery in unconventional reservoirs. *Energy & Fuels*, *34*(5), 5331-5380.

[28] Carri, A., Valletta, A., Cavalca, E., Savi, R., & Segalini, A. (2021). Advantages of IoT-based geotechnical monitoring systems integrating automatic procedures for data acquisition and elaboration. *Sensors*, *21*(6), 2249.

[29] Carri, A., Valletta, A., Cavalca, E., Savi, R., & Segalini, A. (2021). Advantages of IoT-based geotechnical monitoring systems integrating automatic procedures for data acquisition and elaboration. *Sensors*, *21*(6), 2249.

[30] Carter, K. M., van Oort, E., & Barendrecht, A. (2014, September). Improved regulatory oversight using real-time data monitoring technologies in the wake of Macondo. In *SPE Deepwater Drilling and Completions Conference* (p. D011S007R001). SPE.

[31] Carter, K. M., van Oort, E., & Barendrecht, A. (2014, September). Improved regulatory oversight using real-time data monitoring technologies in the wake of Macondo. In *SPE Deepwater Drilling and Completions Conference* (p. D011S007R001). SPE.

[32] Chataway, J., Hanlin, R., & Kaplinsky, R. (2014). Inclusive innovation: an architecture for policy development. *Innovation and Development*, *4*(1), 33-54.

[33] Chataway, J., Hanlin, R., & Kaplinsky, R. (2014). Inclusive innovation: an architecture for policy development. *Innovation and Development*, *4*(1), 33-54.

[34] de Almeida, P. C., Araújo, O. D. Q. F., & de Medeiros, J. L. (2017). Managing offshore drill cuttings waste for improved sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*, *165*, 143-156.

[35] Diao, H., & Ghorbani, M. (2018). Production risk caused by human factors: a multiple case study of thermal power plants. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, *12*, 1-27.

[36] Dickson, M. H., & Fanelli, M. (2018). What is geothermal energy?. In *Renewable Energy* (pp. Vol1_302-Vol1_328). Routledge.

[37] Dominy, S. C., O'Connor, L., Parbhakar-Fox, A., Glass, H. J., & Purevgerel, S. (2018). Geometallurgy—A route to more resilient mine operations. *Minerals*, *8*(12), 560.

[38] Dong, X., Liu, H., Chen, Z., Wu, K., Lu, N., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Enhanced oil recovery techniques for heavy oil and oilsands reservoirs after steam injection. *Applied energy*, *239*, 1190-1211.

[39] Dufour, F. (2018). The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and comprehensive Analysis of the available energy resources. *The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and Comprehensive Analysis of the Available Energy Resources (2018)*.

[40] Eldardiry, H., & Habib, E. (2018). Carbon capture and sequestration in power generation: review of impacts and opportunities for water sustainability. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, *8*(1), 1-15.

[41] Epelle, E. I., & Gerogiorgis, D. I. (2020). A review of technological advances and open challenges for oil and gas drilling systems engineering. *AIChE Journal*, *66*(4), e16842.

[42] Ericson, S. J., Engel-Cox, J., & Arent, D. J. (2019). *Approaches for integrating renewable energy technologies in oil and gas operations* (No. NREL/TP-6A50-72842). National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States).

[43] Erofeev, A., Orlov, D., Ryzhov, A., & Koroteev, D. (2019). Prediction of porosity and permeability alteration based on machine learning algorithms. *Transport in Porous Media*, *128*, 677-700.

[44] Eshiet, K. I. I., & Sheng, Y. (2018). The performance of stochastic designs in wellbore drilling operations. *Petroleum Science*, *15*, 335-365.

[45] Eyinla, D. S., Oladunjoye, M. A., Olayinka, A. I., & Bate, B. B. (2021). Rock physics and geomechanical application in the interpretation of rock property trends for overpressure detection. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production*, *11*, 75-95.

[46] Farajzadeh, R., Eftekhari, A. A., Dafnomilis, G., Lake, L. W., & Bruining, J. (2020). On the sustainability of CO2 storage through CO2–Enhanced oil recovery. *Applied energy*, *261*, 114467.

[47] Garia, S., Pal, A. K., Ravi, K., & Nair, A. M. (2019). A comprehensive analysis on the relationships between elastic wave velocities and petrophysical properties of sedimentary rocks based on laboratory measurements. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *9*, 1869-1881.

[48] Ghani, A., Khan, F., & Garaniya, V. (2015). Improved oil recovery using CO 2 as an injection medium: a detailed analysis. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *5*, 241-254.

[49] Glassley, W. E. (2014). *Geothermal energy: renewable energy and the environment*. CRC press.

[50] Griffiths, S. (2017). A review and assessment of energy policy in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Energy Policy*, *102*, 249-269.

[51] Hadinata, D., Mulia, Y., Rudyanto, T., Laharan, A., Haurissa, P., Soemantri, H., ... & Sugianto, R. (2021, March). A Success of Modified Water Based Mud as Drilling Fluid Optimization to Drill Shale Formation at South-S Wells. In *International Petroleum Technology Conference* (p. D041S016R001). IPTC.

[52] Hafezi, R., & Alipour, M. (2021). Renewable energy sources: Traditional and modern-age technologies. In *Affordable and clean energy* (pp. 1085-1099). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[53] Halabi, M. A., Al-Qattan, A., & Al-Otaibi, A. (2015). Application of solar energy in the oil industry—Current status and future prospects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *43*, 296-314.

[54] Hamza, A., Hussein, I. A., Al-Marri, M. J., Mahmoud, M., Shawabkeh, R., & Aparicio, S. (2021). CO2 enhanced gas recovery and sequestration in depleted gas reservoirs: A review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *196*, 107685.

[55] Hassani, H., Silva, E. S., & Al Kaabi, A. M. (2017). The role of innovation and technology in sustaining the petroleum and petrochemical industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *119*, 1-17.

[56] Heidari, M., Nikolinakou, M. A., & Flemings, P. B. (2018). Coupling geomechanical modeling with seismic pressure prediction. *Geophysics*, *83*(5), B253-B267.

[57] Heinemann, N., Alcalde, J., Miocic, J. M., Hangx, S. J., Kallmeyer, J., Ostertag-Henning, C., ... & Rudloff, A. (2021). Enabling large-scale hydrogen storage in porous media–the scientific challenges. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *14*(2), 853-864.

[58] Hossain, M. E., Al-Majed, A., Adebayo, A. R., Apaleke, A. S., & Rahman, S. M. (2017). A Critical Review of Drilling Waste Management Towards Sustainable Solutions. *Environmental Engineering & Management Journal (EEMJ)*, *16*(7).

[59] Huaman, R. N. E., & Jun, T. X. (2014). Energy related CO2 emissions and the progress on CCS projects: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *31*, 368-385.

[60] Jamrozik, A., Protasova, E., Gonet, A., Bilstad, T., & Żurek, R. (2016). Characteristics of oil based muds and influence on the environment. *AGH Drilling, Oil, Gas*, *33*(4).

[61] Jharap, G., van Leeuwen, L. P., Mout, R., van der Zee, W. E., Roos, F. M., & Muntendam-Bos, A. G. (2020). Ensuring safe growth of the geothermal energy sector in the Netherlands by proactively addressing risks and hazards. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences*, *99*, e6.

[62] Jomthanachai, S., Wong, W. P., & Lim, C. P. (2021). An application of data envelopment analysis and machine learning approach to risk management. *Ieee Access*, *9*, 85978-85994.

[63] Kabeyi, M. J. B. (2019). Geothermal electricity generation, challenges, opportunities and recommendations. *International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering (ijasre)*, *5*(8), 53-95.

[64] Karad, S., & Thakur, R. (2021). Efficient monitoring and control of wind energy conversion systems using Internet of things (IoT): a comprehensive review. *Environment, development and sustainability*, *23*(10), 14197-14214.

[65] Khalid, P., Ahmed, N., Mahmood, A., Saleem, M. A., & Hassan. (2016). An integrated seismic interpretation and rock physics attribute analysis for pore fluid discrimination. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *41*, 191-200.

[66] Kinik, K., Gumus, F., & Osayande, N. (2015). Automated dynamic well control with managed-pressure drilling: a case study and simulation analysis. *SPE Drilling & Completion*, *30*(02), 110-118.

[67] Kiran, R., Teodoriu, C., Dadmohammadi, Y., Nygaard, R., Wood, D., Mokhtari, M., & Salehi, S. (2017). Identification and evaluation of well integrity and causes of failure of well integrity barriers (A review). *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *45*, 511-526.

[68] Kumari, W. G. P., & Ranjith, P. G. (2019). Sustainable development of enhanced geothermal systems based on geotechnical research–A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *199*, 102955.

[69] Leung, D. Y., Caramanna, G., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2014). An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *39*, 426-443.

[70] Li, H., & Zhang, J. (2018). Well log and seismic data analysis for complex pore-structure carbonate reservoir using 3D rock physics templates. *Journal of applied Geophysics*, *151*, 175-183.

[71] Li, W., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., Guo, F., Qiao, S., Liu, S., ... & Heng, X. (2019). Development of a distributed hybrid seismic–electrical data acquisition system based on the Narrowband Internet of Things (NB-IoT) technology. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, *8*(2), 177-186.

[72] Lindi, O. (2017). *Analysis of Kick Detection Methods in the Light of Actual Blowout Disasters* (Master's thesis, NTNU).

[73] Liu, W., Zhang, G., Cao, J., Zhang, J., & Yu, G. (2019). Combined petrophysics and 3D seismic attributes to predict shale reservoirs favourable areas. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, *16*(5), 974-991.

[74] Lohne, H. P., Ford, E. P., Mansouri, M., & Randeberg, E. (2016). Well integrity risk assessment in geothermal wells–Status of today. *GeoWell, Stavanger*.

[75] Luo, Y., Huang, H., Jakobsen, M., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., & Cai, Y. (2019). Prediction of porosity and gas saturation for deep-buried sandstone reservoirs from seismic data using an improved rock-physics model. *Acta Geophysica*, *67*, 557-575.

[76] Mac Kinnon, M. A., Brouwer, J., & Samuelsen, S. (2018). The role of natural gas and its infrastructure in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, improving regional air quality, and renewable resource integration. *Progress in Energy and Combustion science*, *64*, 62-92.

[77] Marhoon, T. M. M. (2020). *High pressure High temperature (HPHT) wells technologies while drilling* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[78] Martin-Roberts, E., Scott, V., Flude, S., Johnson, G., Haszeldine, R. S., & Gilfillan, S. (2021). Carbon capture and storage at the end of a lost decade. *One Earth*, *4*(11), 1569-1584.

[79] McCollum, D. L., Zhou, W., Bertram, C., De Boer, H. S., Bosetti, V., Busch, S., ... & Riahi, K. (2018). Energy investment needs for fulfilling the Paris Agreement and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Energy*, *3*(7), 589-599.

[80] Mikunda, T., Brunner, L., Skylogianni, E., Monteiro, J., Rycroft, L., & Kemper, J. (2021). Carbon capture and storage and the sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *108*, 103318.

[81] Mohd Aman, A. H., Shaari, N., & Ibrahim, R. (2021). Internet of things energy system: Smart applications, technology advancement, and open issues. *International Journal of Energy Research*, *45*(6), 8389-8419.

[82] Mohsen, O., & Fereshteh, N. (2017). An extended VIKOR method based on entropy measure for the failure modes risk assessment–A case study of the geothermal power plant (GPP). *Safety science*, *92*, 160-172.

[83] Mosca, F., Djordjevic, O., Hantschel, T., McCarthy, J., Krueger, A., Phelps, D., ... & MacGregor, A. (2018). Pore pressure prediction while drilling: Three-dimensional earth model in the Gulf of Mexico. *AAPG Bulletin*, *102*(4), 691-708.

[84] Mrdjen, I., & Lee, J. (2016). High volume hydraulic fracturing operations: potential impacts on surface water and human health. *International journal of environmental health research*, *26*(4), 361-380.

[85] Mushtaq, N., Singh, D. V., Bhat, R. A., Dervash, M. A., & Hameed, O. B. (2020). Freshwater contamination: sources and hazards to aquatic biota. *Fresh water pollution dynamics and remediation*, 27-50.

[86] Najibi, A. R., & Asef, M. R. (2014). Prediction of seismic-wave velocities in rock at various confining pressures based on unconfined data. *Geophysics*, *79*(4), D235-D242.

[87] Najibi, A. R., Ghafoori, M., Lashkaripour, G. R., & Asef, M. R. (2017). Reservoir geomechanical modeling: In-situ stress, pore pressure, and mud design. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *151*, 31-39.

[88] Napp, T. A., Gambhir, A., Hills, T. P., Florin, N., & Fennell, P. S. (2014). A review of the technologies, economics and policy instruments for decarbonising energy-intensive manufacturing industries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *30*, 616-640.

[89] Nduagu, E. I., & Gates, I. D. (2015). Unconventional heavy oil growth and global greenhouse gas emissions. *Environmental science & technology*, *49*(14), 8824-8832.

[90] Nguyen, H. H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B., Vincent, P., & Marix-Evans, M. (2014, October). Sustainability considerations for ground improvement techniques using controlled modulus columns. In *AGS Symposium on Resilient Geotechnics*. The Australian Geomechanics Society.

[91] Nimana, B., Canter, C., & Kumar, A. (2015). Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in upgrading and refining of Canada's oil sands products. *Energy*, *83*, 65-79.

[92] Okwiri, L. A. (2017). *Risk assessment and risk modelling in geothermal drilling* (Doctoral dissertation).

[93] Olayiwola, T., & Sanuade, O. A. (2021). A data-driven approach to predict compressional and shear wave velocities in reservoir rocks. *Petroleum*, *7*(2), 199-208.

[94] Olufemi, B. A., Ozowe, W. O., & Komolafe, O. O. (2011). Studies on the production of caustic soda using solar powered diaphragm cells. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, *6*(3), 49-54.

[95] Olufemi, B., Ozowe, W., & Afolabi, K. (2012). Operational Simulation of Sola Cells for Caustic. *Cell (EADC)*, *2*(6).

[96] Ozowe, W. O. (2018). *Capillary pressure curve and liquid permeability estimation in tight oil reservoirs using pressure decline versus time data* (Doctoral dissertation).

[97] Ozowe, W. O. (2021). *Evaluation of lean and rich gas injection for improved oil recovery in hydraulically fractured reservoirs* (Doctoral dissertation).

[98] Ozowe, W., Quintanilla, Z., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, October). Experimental evaluation of solvents for improved oil recovery in shale oil reservoirs. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D021S019R007). SPE.

[99] Ozowe, W., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, July). A novel experimental approach for dynamic quantification of liquid saturation and capillary pressure in shale. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D023S025R002). URTEC.

[100] Ozowe, W., Zheng, S., & Sharma, M. (2020). Selection of hydrocarbon gas for huff-n-puff IOR in shale oil reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *195*, 107683.

[101] Pan, S. Y., Gao, M., Shah, K. J., Zheng, J., Pei, S. L., & Chiang, P. C. (2019). Establishment of enhanced geothermal energy utilization plans: Barriers and strategies. *Renewable energy*, *132*, 19-32.

[102] Quintanilla, Z., Ozowe, W., Russell, R., Sharma, M., Watts, R., Fitch, F., & Ahmad, Y. K. (2021, July). An experimental investigation demonstrating enhanced oil recovery in tight rocks using mixtures of gases and nanoparticles. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D031S073R003). URTEC.

[103] Rahman, M. M., Canter, C., & Kumar, A. (2014). Greenhouse gas emissions from recovery of various North American conventional crudes. *Energy*, *74*, 607-617.

[104] Raliya, R., Saharan, V., Dimkpa, C., & Biswas, P. (2017). Nanofertilizer for precision and sustainable agriculture: current state and future perspectives. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, *66*(26), 6487-6503.

[105] Rashid, M. I., Benhelal, E., & Rafiq, S. (2020). Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from gas, oil, and coal power plants in Pakistan by carbon capture and storage (CCS): A Review. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, *43*(11), 2140-2148.

[106] Raza, A., Gholami, R., Rezaee, R., Rasouli, V., & Rabiei, M. (2019). Significant aspects of carbon capture and storage–A review. *Petroleum*, *5*(4), 335-340.

[107] Salam, A., & Salam, A. (2020). Internet of things in sustainable energy systems. *Internet of Things for Sustainable Community Development: Wireless Communications, Sensing, and Systems*, 183-216.

[108] Seyedmohammadi, J. (2017). The effects of drilling fluids and environment protection from pollutants using some models. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, *3*, 1-14.

[109] Shahbaz, M., Mallick, H., Mahalik, M. K., & Sadorsky, P. (2016). The role of globalization on the recent evolution of energy demand in India: Implications for sustainable development. *Energy Economics*, *55*, 52-68.

[110] Shahbazi, A., & Nasab, B. R. (2016). Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and its impacts on climate change and global warming. *J. Pet. Environ. Biotechnol*, *7*(9).

[111] Shortall, R., Davidsdottir, B., & Axelsson, G. (2015). Geothermal energy for sustainable development: A review of sustainability impacts and assessment frameworks. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *44*, 391-406.

[112] Shrestha, N., Chilkoor, G., Wilder, J., Gadhamshetty, V., & Stone, J. J. (2017). Potential water resource impacts of hydraulic fracturing from unconventional oil production in the Bakken shale. *Water Research*, *108*, 1-24.

[113] Soeder, D. J., & Soeder, D. J. (2021). Impacts to human health and ecosystems. *Fracking and the Environment: A scientific assessment of the environmental risks from hydraulic fracturing and fossil fuels*, 135-153.

[114] Soga, K., Alonso, E., Yerro, A., Kumar, K., & Bandara, S. (2016). Trends in large-deformation analysis of landslide mass movements with particular emphasis on the material point method. *Géotechnique*, *66*(3), 248-273.

[115] Soltani, M., Kashkooli, F. M., Souri, M., Rafiei, B., Jabarifar, M., Gharali, K., & Nathwani, J. S. (2021). Environmental, economic, and social impacts of geothermal energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *140*, 110750.

[116] Spada, M., Sutra, E., & Burgherr, P. (2021). Comparative accident risk assessment with focus on deep geothermal energy systems in the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. *Geothermics*, *95*, 102142.

[117] Sule, I., Imtiaz, S., Khan, F., & Butt, S. (2019). Risk analysis of well blowout scenarios during managed pressure drilling operation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *182*, 106296.

[118] Suvin, P. S., Gupta, P., Horng, J. H., & Kailas, S. V. (2021). Evaluation of a comprehensive non-toxic, biodegradable and sustainable cutting fluid developed from coconut oil. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology*, *235*(9), 1842-1850.

[119] Szulecki, K., & Westphal, K. (2014). The cardinal sins of European energy policy: Nongovernance in an uncertain global landscape. *Global Policy*, *5*, 38-51.

[120] Zhang, P., Ozowe, W., Russell, R. T., & Sharma, M. M. (2021). Characterization of an electrically conductive proppant for fracture diagnostics. *Geophysics*, *86*(1), E13-E20.